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1. Introduction. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$. The famous theorem of Mordell-Weil states that

$$
E(\mathbf{Q}) \simeq E(\mathbf{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}} \times \mathbf{Z}^{r}
$$

and by a theorem of Mazur [15] we know that only possible torsion groups over $\mathbf{Q}$ are

$$
E(\mathbf{Q})_{\text {tors }}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{Z} / m \mathbf{Z} & m=1,2, \ldots, 10 \text { or } 12 \\ \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 m \mathbf{Z} & m=1,2,3,4\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(F) & =\sup \left\{\operatorname{rank}(E): E \text { curve over } \mathbf{Q} \text { with } E(\mathbf{Q})_{\text {tors }} \simeq F\right\} \\
B_{r}(F) & =\limsup \left\{\operatorname{rank}(E): E \text { curve over } \mathbf{Q} \text { with } E(\mathbf{Q})_{\text {tors }} \simeq F\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An open question is whether $B(F)<\infty$.
The examples of Martin-McMillen and Fermigier [8] show that $B(0) \geq 23$ and $B(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 14$. It follows from results of Montgomery [18] and Atkin-Morain [1] that $B_{r}(F) \geq 1$ for all torsion groups $F$. Kihara [11] proved that $B_{r}(0) \geq 14$ and Fermigier [8] that $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 8$. Recently, Kihara [12] and Kulesz [14] proved using parametrization by $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ and $\mathbf{Q}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}\right)$ that $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 4$ and Kihara [13] proved using parametrization by rational points of an elliptic curve that $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 5$. Kulesz also proved that $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 6, B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 4 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 3, B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 5 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 2, B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 6 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 2$ and $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 4 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 2$. The methods used in $[\mathbf{1 2}]$ and $[\mathbf{1 4}]$ are similar to the method of Mestre $[\mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 7}]$.

In the present paper we prove that $B_{r}(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 4$ by a different method. Namely, we use the theory of, so called, Diophantine

[^0]$m$-tuples. By specialization, we obtain an example of elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ with torsion group $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$ whose rank is equal to 7 , which shows that $B(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}) \geq 7$.
2. Construction. A set of $m$ nonzero rationals $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is called a (rational) Diophantine m-tuple if $a_{i} a_{j}+1$ is a perfect square for all $1 \leq i<j \leq m$ (see [4]).

Let $\{a, b, c\}$ be a Diophantine triple, i.e.,

$$
a b+1=q^{2}, \quad a c+1=r^{2}, \quad b c+1=s^{2}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=a+b+c+2 a b c+2 q r s \\
& e=a+b+c+2 a b c-2 q r s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it can be easily checked that $a d+1, b d+1, c d+1, a e+1, b e+1$ and $c e+1$ are perfect squares. For example, $a d+1=(a s+q r)^{2}$.

Let us mention that, for $a, b, c$ positive integers, there is a conjecture that if $x$ is a positive integer such that $\{a, b, c, x\}$ is a Diophantine quadruple, then $x$ has to be equal to $d$ or $e$. This conjecture was verified for some special Diophantine triples (see $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 0}]$ ).

Furthermore, assume that $d e+1$ is also a perfect square. Note that this is impossible if $a, b, c$ are positive integers and $d e \neq 0$, but it is possible for rationals $a, b, c$.

Consider now the elliptic curve

$$
E: y^{2}=(b x+1)(d x+1)(e x+1)
$$

One may expect that $E$ has at least four independent points of infinite order, namely, points with $x$-coordinates

$$
0, \quad a, \quad c, \quad \frac{1}{b d e} .
$$

The main problem is to satisfy condition $d e+1=w^{2}$. It can be done, for example, in the following way. Let $a$ be fixed. Put $b=a k^{2}+2 k$. Then $q=a k+1$, and put $c=4 q(q-a)(b-q)$. It is easy to check that now $\{a, b, c\}$ is a Diophantine triple. Namely, $r=q^{2}+a b-2 a q$
and $s=q^{2}+a b-2 b q$. Furthermore, let $a k=t$. Now the condition $d e+1=w^{2}$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[k^{2}(t+2)(2 t+1)(2 t+3)-4 k(t+1)\right.} & \left.\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)+t(2 t+1)(2 t+3)\right]^{2} \\
& -k^{2}\left(4 t^{2}+8 t+3\right)=w^{2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

There are two obvious solutions of (1), namely, $\left(k_{0}, w_{0}\right)=(0, t(2 t+$ $1)(2 t+3))$ and $\left(k_{1}, w_{1}\right)=(1,1)$, but in both cases we have $b c d=0$ and therefore they do not lead to a usable formula. However, using the solution $\left(k_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ we may construct a nontrivial and usable solution of (1). Denote the polynomial on the left side of (1) by $F(k, t)$. Choose the polynomial $f(k, t)=\alpha(t) k^{2}+\beta(t) k+\gamma(t)$ such that

$$
F(k, t)-[f(k, t)]^{2}=k^{3} \cdot G(k, t)
$$

Then from the condition $G(k, t)=0$ we obtain a nontrivial solution of (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=\frac{16 t(t+1)\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)}{16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2) we obtain the following expressions for $b, d$ and $e$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\frac{16 t(t+1)(t+2)\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)}{16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\frac{256 t^{8}+2048 t^{7}+6272 t^{6}+8960 t^{5}+5424 t^{4}+192 t^{3}-888 t^{2}-112 t+33}{16\left(16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1\right)\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)(t+1)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e(t)=\left(4096 t^{12}+49152 t^{11}+262144 t^{10}+819200 t^{9}+1665024 t^{8}\right.  \tag{5}\\
&+ 2310144 t^{7}+2233728 t^{6}+ \\
&+3507584 t^{5}+697856 t^{4}+211968 t^{3} \\
&\left.+38624 t^{2}+3520 t+105\right) / {\left[16\left(16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1\right)\right.} \\
&\left.\times\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)(t+1)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $b(t), d(t)$ and $e(t)$ be defined by (3), (4) and (5). Then the elliptic curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
E: y^{2}=(b(t) x+1)(d(t) x+1)(e(t) x+1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ has the torsion group isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$ and the rank greater than or equal to 4 .

Proof. The points $\mathcal{O}, A=(-(1 / b(t)), 0), B=(-(1 / d(t)), 0)$, $C=(-(1 / e(t)), 0)$ form a subgroup of the torsion group $E_{\text {tors }}(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. By Mazur's theorem and a theorem of Silverman [19, Theorem 11.4, p. 217], it suffices to check that there is no point on $E(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ of order four or six.

If there is a point $D$ on $E(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ such that $2 D \in\{A, B, C\}$, then 2-descent proposition (see $[\mathbf{9}, 4.1$, p. 37]) implies that at least one of the expressions $\pm b(t)[e(t)-d(t)], \pm d(t)[e(t)-b(t)], \pm e(t)[d(t)-b(t)]$ is a perfect square. However, by specialization $t=1$ we see that this is not the case.

If there is a point $F=(x, y)$ on $E(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ such that $3 F=A, F \neq A$, then from $2 F=-F+A$ we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}-6 h(t) x^{2}-4 g(t) h(t) x-3 h(t)^{2}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t)=b(t) e(t)+d(t) e(t)-2 b(t) d(t), h(t)=b(t) d(t)[e(t)-$ $d(t)][e(t)-b(t)]$. One can easily check that, e.g., for $t=1$, the equation (7) has no rational solution. Similarly, we can prove that there is no point $F$ on $E(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ such that $3 F=B, F \neq B$ or $3 F=C, F \neq C$. Therefore, we conclude that $E_{\text {tors }}(\mathbf{Q}(t))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$.

Now we will prove that four points with $x$-coordinates 0 ,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(t)=\frac{16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1}{16\left(2 t^{2}+4 t+1\right)(t+1)} \\
c(t)=\frac{(t+1)\left(16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+68 t^{2}+8 t+1\right)\left(16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+100 t^{2}+72 t+17\right)}{4\left(16 t^{4}+64 t^{3}+76 t^{2}+24 t-1\right)\left(2 t^{2}+8 t+1\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{b(t) d(t) e(t)}
$$

are independent $\mathbf{Q}(t)$-rational points. Since the specification map is always a homomorphism, we only have to show that there is a rational number $t$ for which the above four points are specialized to four independent Q-rational points. We claim that this is the case for $t=1$.

We obtain the elliptic curve

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{*}: y^{2}= & x^{3}+6039621860663185 x^{2} \\
& +4139229575576935297875399628800 x \\
& +48358738060886226093564403421659325399040000
\end{aligned}
$$

and the points

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =(0,6954044726695840435200) \\
Q & =(2322788497348275,234053443113019268212650) \\
R & =(48986399479921200,11499867835919119918338000) \\
S & =(51511970169856 / 9,229496624258539337814016 / 27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $S=2 S_{1}, P-Q=2 Q_{1}, P-R=2 R_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =(265264199014080,-39874704566573066299200) \\
R_{1} & =(3714953903426304,387359212888080790925568) \\
S_{1} & =(2452641432447360,247558457515476853468800)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is sufficient to prove that the points $P, Q_{1}, R_{1}, S_{1}$ are independent. The curve $E^{*}$ has three 2-torsion points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{*}=(-11888861752320,0), \\
& B^{*}=(-5253470166461440,0), \\
& C^{*}=(-774262832449425,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider all points of the form

$$
X=\varepsilon_{1} P+\varepsilon_{2} Q_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} R_{1}+\varepsilon_{4} S_{1}+T
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for $i=1,2,3,4, T \in\left\{\mathcal{O}, A^{*}, B^{*}, C^{*}\right\}$ and $X=$ $(x, y) \neq \mathcal{O}$. For all of these 63 points at least one of the numbers $x+11888861752320$ and $x+5253470166461440$ is not a perfect square. Hence, from 2-descent proposition [9, 4.1, p. 37], it follows that $X \notin$ $2 E(\mathbf{Q})$.

Assume now that $P, Q_{1}, R_{1}, S_{1}$ are dependent modulo torsion, i.e., that there exist integers $i, j, m, n$ such that $|i|+|j|+|m|+|n| \neq 0$ and

$$
i P+j Q_{1}+m R_{1}+n S_{1}=T
$$

where $T \in\left\{\mathcal{O}, A^{*}, B^{*}, C^{*}\right\}$. Then the result which we just proved shows that $i, j, m, n$ are even, say $i=2 i_{1}, j=2 j_{1}, m=2 m_{1}, n=2 n_{1}$ and $T=\mathcal{O}$. Thus we obtain

$$
i_{1} P+j_{1} Q_{1}+m_{1} R_{1}+n_{1} S_{1} \in\left\{\mathcal{O}, A^{*}, B^{*}, C^{*}\right\}
$$

Arguing as above, we conclude that $i_{1}, j_{1}, m_{1}, n_{1}$ are even, and continuing this process we finally obtain that $i=j=m=n=0$, a contradiction.

By a theorem of Silverman [19, Theorem 11.4, p. 271], the specialization map is an injective homomorphism for all but finitely many points $t \in \mathbf{Q}$. This fact implies that by specialization of the parameter $t$ to a rational number one gets in all but finitely many cases elliptic curves over $\mathbf{Q}$ of rank at least four, and with subgroup of the torsion group which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. Hence, we have

Corollary 1. There is an infinite number of elliptic curves over $\mathbf{Q}$ with three nontrivial 2-torsion points whose rank is greater than or equal to 4.
3. An example of high-rank curve. We use the program mwrank (see [3]) for computing the rank of elliptic curves obtained from (6) by specialization of parameter $t$. However, since the coefficients in the corresponding Weierstrass form are usually very large, we were able to determine the rank unconditionally only for a few values of $t$. The following table shows the values of $t$ for which we were able to compute the rank.

| $t$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selmer rank | 8 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| rank | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Hence, we obtain

Theorem 2. There is an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ with the torsion group $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$ whose rank is equal to 7 .

Let us write this example of the curve with rank equal to 7 explicitly:

$$
y^{2}=\left(\frac{2176}{373} x+1\right)\left(\frac{192386145}{101456} x+1\right)\left(\frac{122265}{101456} x+1\right)
$$

or in Weierstrass form:
(8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{2}= & x^{3}+19125010376436745905 x^{2} \\
& +52038165131253677052054066913723699200 x \\
& +521987941186440643611574160434960523120404754595840000
\end{aligned}
$$

Seven independent points on (8) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(727040606274688800,6989234854370183719797420000) \\
P_{2} & =\left(\frac{106210585076366036700000}{12769}, \frac{69679298576214445317616490513378400}{1442897}\right) \\
P_{3} & =\left(\frac{335675366319765814629760}{71289}, \frac{529539341511970538352844395949129600}{19034163}\right) \\
P_{4} & =\left(\frac{8891873190221412964144}{81}, \frac{910251624041798036784012061900208}{729}\right) \\
P_{5} & =\left(\frac{101700294221755145291440}{841}, \frac{34956857441184030025736520646806800}{24389}\right) \\
P_{6} & =\left(\frac{73133606420424854742955}{114921}, \frac{251397104609526457099162042379450150}{38958219}\right) \\
P_{7} & =(-11146430015095060400,20291973801839968429609236400)
\end{aligned}
$$
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