ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 30, Number 4, Winter 2000

NORMALITY OF COMPLEX CONTACT MANIFOLDS

BELGIN KORKMAZ

ABSTRACT. Complex contact metric manifolds are studied. Normality is defined for these manifolds and equivalent conditions are given in terms of ∇G and ∇H . *GH*-sectional curvature and \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations are defined. Examples of normal complex contact metric manifolds with constant *GH*-sectional curvature c are given for $c \geq -3$.

1. Introduction. The theory of complex contact manifolds started with the papers of Kobayashi [12] and Boothby [4], [5] in late 1950's and early 1960's, shortly after the celebrated Boothby-Wang fibration in real contact geometry [6]. It did not receive as much attention as the theory of real contact geometry. In 1965, Wolf studied homogeneous complex contact manifolds [17]. Recently, more examples are appearing in the literature, especially twistor spaces over quaternionic Kähler manifolds (e.g., [13], [14], [15], [16], [18]). Other examples include the odd dimensional complex projective spaces [9] and the complex Heisenberg group [1].

In the 1970's and early 1980's there was a development of the Riemannian theory of complex contact manifolds by Ishihara and Konishi [8], [9], [10]. However, their notion of normality as it appears in [9] seems too strong since it does not include the complex Heisenberg group and it forces the structure to be Kähler. In this paper we introduce a slightly different notion of normality which includes the complex Heisenberg group.

In Section 2 we give the necessary definitions and some basic facts about complex contact metric manifolds. In Section 3 we define normality and give the theorem which states the necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors, for a complex contact metric manifold to be normal. We discuss some curvature properties of normal complex contact metric manifolds in Section 4.

Received by the editors on August 10, 1998.

Copyright ©2000 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

In Section 5, following the corresponding theory of real contact geometry, we define the GH-sectional curvature for normal complex contact metric manifolds and we classify those with constant GH-sectional curvature +1. We give examples of normal complex contact metric manifolds with constant GH-sectional curvature -3 and +1 in Section 6. Then, in Section 7, we define \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations and show that they preserve normality. Using \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations, we get examples of normal complex contact metric manifolds with constant GH-sectional curvature c for every c > -3. Here we note that Ishihara-Konishi's notion of normality is not preserved under \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations.

2. Basic definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} M = 2n+1$, and let J denote the complex structure on M. M is a complex contact manifold if an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}\}$ of M exists, such that

1) on each \mathcal{O}_{α} there is a holomorphic 1-form ω_{α} with $\omega_{\alpha} \wedge (d\omega_{\alpha})^n \neq 0$ everywhere, and

2) if $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then there is a nonvanishing holomorphic function $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta}$ such that

$$\omega_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}\omega_{\beta} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta}.$$

On each \mathcal{O}_{α} we define $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} = \{X \in T\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \mid \omega_{\alpha}(X) = 0\}$. Since $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$'s are nonvanishing, $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{H}_{\beta}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta}$. So $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is a well-defined, holomorphic, nonintegrable subbundle on M, called the *horizontal subbundle*.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} M = 2n+1$, complex structure J and Hermitian metric g. M is called a complex almost contact metric manifold if an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}\}$ of M exists such that

1) in each \mathcal{O}_{α} there are 1-forms u_{α} and $v_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha}J$, (1,1) tensors G_{α}

and $H_{\alpha} = G_{\alpha}J$, unit vector fields U_{α} and $V_{\alpha} = -JU_{\alpha}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha}^2 &= G_{\alpha}^2 = -\mathrm{Id} + u_{\alpha} \otimes U_{\alpha} + v_{\alpha} \otimes V_{\alpha} \\ g(G_{\alpha}X,Y) &= -g(X,G_{\alpha}Y) \\ g(U_{\alpha},X) &= u_{\alpha}(X) \\ G_{\alpha}J &= -JG_{\alpha} \\ G_{\alpha}U_{\alpha} &= 0 \\ u_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

2) if $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then there are functions a, b on $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\beta}$ such that

$$u_{\beta} = au_{\alpha} - bv_{\alpha}$$
$$v_{\beta} = bu_{\alpha} + av_{\alpha}$$
$$G_{\beta} = aG_{\alpha} - bH_{\alpha}$$
$$H_{\beta} = bG_{\alpha} + aH_{\alpha}$$
$$a^{2} + b^{2} = 1.$$

As a result of this definition, on a complex almost contact metric manifold M, the following identities hold (cf. [9]):

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha}G_{\alpha} &= -G_{\alpha}H_{\alpha} = J + u_{\alpha}\otimes V_{\alpha} - v_{\alpha}\otimes U_{\alpha} \\ JH_{\alpha} &= -H_{\alpha}J = G_{\alpha} \\ g(H_{\alpha}X,Y) &= -g(X,H_{\alpha}Y) \\ G_{\alpha}V_{\alpha} &= H_{\alpha}U_{\alpha} = H_{\alpha}V_{\alpha} = 0 \\ u_{\alpha}G_{\alpha} &= v_{\alpha}G_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha}H_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha}H_{\alpha} = 0 \\ JV_{\alpha} &= U_{\alpha}, g(U_{\alpha},V_{\alpha}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we will suppress the subscripts if \mathcal{O}_{α} is understood.

Let $(M, \{\omega\})$ be a complex contact manifold. We can find a nonvanishing, complex-valued function multiple π of ω such that on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$, $\pi = h\pi'$ with

$$h: \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}' \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^1.$$

Let $\pi = u - iv$. Then v = uJ since ω is holomorphic. Locally we can define a vector field U by du(U, X) = 0 for all X in \mathcal{H} and u(U) = 1,

v(U) = 0. Then we have a global subbundle \mathcal{V} locally spanned by Uand V = -JU with $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. We call \mathcal{V} the vertical subbundle of the contact structure. Here we note that we can find a local (1,1) tensor G such that (u, v, U, V, G, H = GJ, g) form a complex almost contact metric structure on M (cf. [10]).

Definition 2.3. Let $(M, \{\omega\})$ be a complex contact manifold with the complex structure J and Hermitian metric g. We call (M, u, v, U, V, g) a complex contact metric manifold if

1) there is a local (1,1) tensor G such that (u, v, U, V, G, H = GJ, g) is a complex almost contact metric structure on M and

2) g(X,GY) = du(X,Y) and g(X,HY) = dv(X,Y) for all X,Y in \mathcal{H} .

In his thesis [7], Foreman shows the existence of complex contact metric structures on complex contact manifolds.

We will assume that the subbundle \mathcal{V} is integrable. Since every known example of a complex contact manifold has an integrable vertical subbundle, this is a reasonable assumption for our work. From now on, we will work with a complex contact metric manifold M with structure tensors (u, v, U, V, G, H, g) and complex structure J.

Define 2-forms \hat{G} and \hat{H} on M by

$$\hat{G}(X,Y) = g(X,GY), \hat{H}(X,Y) = g(X,HY).$$

Then for horizontal vector fields X, Y,

$$\hat{G}(X,Y) = du(X,Y), \quad \hat{H}(X,Y) = dv(X,Y).$$

In general, we have

(1)
$$\hat{G} = du - \sigma \wedge v,$$

(2)
$$\hat{H} = dv + \sigma \wedge u$$

where $\sigma(X) = g(\nabla_X U, V)$ (cf. [7]).

In real contact geometry, there is a symmetric operator $h = (1/2)\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\phi$, where ξ is the characteristic vector field and ϕ is the structure tensor

of the real contact metric structure. Here \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie differentiation. In particular, on a real contact metric manifold, we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h X,$$

cf. [3].

Similarly, we define symmetric operators $h_U, h_V : TM \to \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$h_U = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sym} \left(\mathcal{L}_U G \right) \circ p$$
$$h_V = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sym} \left(\mathcal{L}_V H \right) \circ p$$

where 'sym' denotes the symmetrization and $p:TM \to \mathcal{H}$ is the projection map. Then we have

$$h_U G = -Gh_U, \quad h_V H = -Hh_V,$$

 $h_U(U) = h_U(V) = h_V(U) = h_V(V) = 0,$

and

(3)
$$\nabla_X U = -GX - Gh_U X + \sigma(X)V,$$

(4)
$$\nabla_X V = -HX - Hh_V X - \sigma(X)U,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g (cf. [7]). Hence,

(5)
$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_U U &= \sigma(U)V, \qquad \nabla_V U &= \sigma(V)V, \\ \nabla_U V &= -\sigma(U)U, \qquad \nabla_V V &= -\sigma(V)U. \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be seen by a direct computation that

$$(\nabla_X \hat{G})(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y \hat{G})(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z \hat{G})(X, Y) = 3d\hat{G}(X, Y, Z),$$

and

$$(\nabla_X \hat{H})(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y \hat{H})(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z \hat{H})(X, Y) = 3d\hat{H}(X, Y, Z).$$

Then, using equations (1) and (2) we get

(6)

$$(\nabla_X \hat{G})(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y \hat{G})(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z \hat{G})(X, Y)$$

$$= -v(X)\Omega(Y, Z) - v(Y)\Omega(Z, X) - v(Z)\Omega(X, Y)$$

$$+ \sigma(X)g(Y, HZ) + \sigma(Y)g(Z, HX) + \sigma(Z)g(X, HY),$$

and

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \hat{H})(Y,Z) + (\nabla_Y \hat{H})(Z,X) + (\nabla_Z \hat{H})(X,Y) \\ &= u(X)\Omega(Y,Z) + u(Y)\Omega(Z,X) + u(Z)\Omega(X,Y) \\ &- \sigma(X)g(Y,GZ) - \sigma(Y)g(Z,GX) - \sigma(Z)g(X,GY), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = d\sigma$.

Lemma 2.4.
$$\nabla_U G = \sigma(U)H$$
 and $\nabla_V H = -\sigma(V)G$.

Proof. By equations (6) and (3) we get

(8)
$$(\nabla_U \hat{G})(X,Y) = v(X)\Omega(U,Y) + v(Y)\Omega(X,U) + \sigma(U)g(X,HY).$$

If X and Y are horizontal, then

$$(\nabla_U \hat{G})(X, Y) = \sigma(U)g(X, HY).$$

On the other hand, by (5)

$$(\nabla_U \hat{G})(U, Y) = -g(\nabla_U U, GY) = 0,$$

and

$$(\nabla_U \hat{G})(V, Y) = -g(\nabla_U V, GY) = 0.$$

So $(\nabla_U G)Y = \sigma(U)HY$ for any Y.

Similarly, using (7) and (4), we get

(9)
$$(\nabla_V \hat{H})(X,Y) = u(X)\Omega(Y,V) + u(Y)\Omega(V,X) - \sigma(V)g(X,GY).$$

Again, by (5), $(\nabla_V \hat{H})(U, Y) = (\nabla_V \hat{H})(V, Y) = 0$. So $(\nabla_V H)Y = -\sigma(V)GY$.

Now, if we use Lemma 2.4 in equations (8) and (9), we get

(10)
$$\Omega(U,X) = v(X)\Omega(U,V),$$

and

(11)
$$\Omega(V,X) = -u(X)\Omega(U,V).$$

1348

3. Normality on complex contact metric manifolds. Let M be a complex contact metric manifold. Ishihara and Konishi [9] defined (1,2) tensors S and T on a complex almost contact manifold as follows:

$$\begin{split} S(X,Y) &= [G,G](X,Y) + 2v(Y)HX - 2v(X)HY + 2g(X,GY)U \\ &- 2g(X,HY)V - \sigma(GX)HY + \sigma(GY)HX + \sigma(X)GHY \\ &- \sigma(Y)GHX \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T(X,Y) &= [H,H](X,Y) + 2u(Y)GX - 2u(X)GY + 2g(X,HY)V \\ &- 2g(X,GY)U + \sigma(HX)GY - \sigma(HY)GX - \sigma(X)HGY \\ &+ \sigma(Y)HGX \end{split}$$

where

$$[G,G](X,Y) = (\nabla_{GX}G)Y - (\nabla_{GY}G)X - G(\nabla_XG)Y + G(\nabla_YG)X$$

is the Nijenhuis torsion of G. In [9], they introduced a notion of normality which is the vanishing of the two tensors S and T. One of their results is that if M is normal, then it is Kähler. This result suggests that Ishihara-Konishi's notion of normality is too strong. Here we will give a somewhat weaker definition.

Definition 3.1. A complex contact metric manifold M is normal if

1) S(X,Y) = T(X,Y) = 0 for all X, Y in \mathcal{H} , and

2) S(U, X) = T(V, X) = 0 for all X.

In real contact geometry, normality implies the vanishing of the operator h. The following proposition is the analogous result for complex contact geometry.

Proposition 3.2. If M is normal, then $h_U = h_V = 0$.

Proof. Since M is normal,

$$S(GX, U) = 0.$$

By (5), $G(\nabla_V U) = G(\nabla_U V) = G(\nabla_U U) = 0$ and $u(\nabla_U GX) = v(\nabla_U GX) = 0$. Also, by (3) $u(\nabla_{GX} U) = 0$, and $v(\nabla_{GX} U) = \sigma(GX)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, $S(GX, U) = 2h_U X$. Therefore, $h_U = 0$.

Similarly, using T(HX, V) = 0 and Lemma 2.4, we get $h_V = 0$.

By the above proposition, on a normal contact metric manifold, we have

(12)
$$\nabla_X U = -GX + \sigma(X)V$$

and

(13)
$$\nabla_X V = -HX - \sigma(X)U.$$

In the next proposition, we give necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of ∇G and ∇H , for M to be normal. Again, compare with the condition for a real contact metric manifold to be normal.

Proposition 3.3. Let M be a complex contact metric manifold. M is normal if and only if

(I)

$$g((\nabla_X G)Y, Z) = \sigma(X)g(HY, Z) + v(X)\Omega(GZ, GY) - 2v(X)g(HGY, Z)$$
$$- u(Y)g(X, Z) - v(Y)g(JX, Z) + u(Z)g(X, Y)$$
$$- v(Z)g(X, JY)$$

and

(II)

$$g((\nabla_X H)Y, Z) = -\sigma(X)g(GY, Z) + u(X)\Omega(HZ, HY) - 2u(X)g(HGY, Z)$$
$$+ u(Y)g(JX, Z) - v(Y)g(X, Z) + u(Z)g(X, JY)$$
$$+ v(Z)g(X, Y).$$

Proof. Suppose that M is normal. For arbitrary vector fields X and Y, we can write

$$X = X' + u(X)U + v(X)V,$$
 $Y = Y' + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$

where X' and Y' are in \mathcal{H} . Then GX = GX', GY = GY' and

$$S(X,Y) = S(X',Y') + 4v(Y)HX - 4v(X)HY - u(X)G(\nabla_U G)Y$$

- $v(X)G(\nabla_V G)Y + u(Y)G(\nabla_U G)X + v(Y)G(\nabla_V G)X$
+ $u(X)\sigma(U)GHY + v(X)\sigma(V)GHY$
- $u(Y)\sigma(U)GHX - v(Y)\sigma(V)GHX.$

From (6) and (11) we get

(14)
$$(\nabla_V \hat{G})(X,Y) = 2g(X,GHY) + 2u \wedge v(X,Y)\Omega(U,V) - \Omega(X,Y) + \sigma(V)g(X,HY).$$

Now, using equation (14), Lemma 2.4 and the fact that S(X', Y') = 0 for any vector field Z, we have

(15)
$$g(S(X,Y),Z) = 2v(Y)g(HX,Z) - 2v(X)g(HY,Z) - v(X)\Omega(GZ,Y) + v(Y)\Omega(GZ,X).$$

If we take Y = V and GX instead of X in (15), we get

(16)
$$g(S(GX,V),Z) = 2g(HGX,Z) + \Omega(GZ,GX).$$

On the other hand, by (3) and (4), $u(\nabla_V GX) = v(\nabla_V GX) = 0$. When we substitute these in S(GX, V), we get

$$S(GX, V) = 4HGX + (\nabla_V G)X - \sigma(V)HX.$$

Hence,

$$g(S(GX, V), Z) = 2g(HGX, Z) - 2u \wedge v(X, Z)\Omega(U, V) + \Omega(X, Z).$$

Combining with (16), we get

(17)
$$\Omega(GZ, GX) = \Omega(X, Z) - 2u \wedge v(X, Z)\Omega(U, V).$$

Applying the above process to T(X, Y), we get

(18)
$$g(T(X,Y),Z) = 2u(Y)g(GX,Z) - 2u(X)g(GY,Z) + u(X)\Omega(HZ,Y) - u(Y)\Omega(HZ,X)$$

1351

and

(19)
$$\Omega(HZ, HX) = \Omega(X, Z) - 2u \wedge v(X, Z)\Omega(U, V).$$

Combining (17) with (19) gives

(20)
$$\Omega(GZ, GX) = \Omega(HZ, HX).$$

Equation (20) implies

$$\Omega(G^2Z, G^2X) = \Omega(HGZ, HGX).$$

If we compute the lefthand side and the righthand side separately using (10) and (11), we get

$$\Omega(G^2Z, G^2X) = \Omega(Z, X) + (u(X)v(Z) - v(X)u(Z))\Omega(U, V),$$

and

$$\Omega(HGZ, HGX) = \Omega(JZ, JX) + (u(X)v(Z) - u(Z)v(X))\Omega(U, V).$$

Therefore,

(21)
$$\Omega(Z,X) = \Omega(JZ,JX).$$

Replacing X with GX in (17), we get

$$\begin{split} \Omega(GX,Z) &= \Omega(GZ,G^2X) \\ &= -\Omega(GZ,X) + u(X)\Omega(GZ,U) + v(X)\Omega(GZ,V). \end{split}$$

Equations (10) and (11) imply $\Omega(GZ, U) = \Omega(GZ, V) = 0$. Hence,

(22)
$$\Omega(GX, Z) = \Omega(X, GZ).$$

Similarly, replacing X with HX in (19), we get

(23)
$$\Omega(HX,Z) = \Omega(X,HZ).$$

Finally, replacing X with JX in (21), we get

(24)
$$\Omega(JX,Z) = -\Omega(X,JZ).$$

1352

We now want to compute S(X, Y) in a different way. First, we can rewrite $G(\nabla_X G)Y$ as

(25)
$$G(\nabla_X G)Y = -u(Y)GX - v(Y)HX - (\nabla_X G)GY + g(X, GY)U + g(X, HY)V.$$

Now let us substitute (25) in S(X, Y) to get

$$S(X,Y) = (\nabla_{GX}G)Y - (\nabla_{GY}G)X + (\nabla_XG)GY - (\nabla_YG)GX + u(Y)GX + 3v(Y)HX - u(X)GY - 3v(X)HY - 4g(X,HY)V - \sigma(GX)HY + \sigma(GY)HX + \sigma(X)GHY - \sigma(Y)GHX.$$

Taking the inner product with Z and using equations (6), (22) and (25) gives

$$g(S(X,Y),Z) = 2g((\nabla_Z G)Y,GX) + 2v(Z)\Omega(X,GY) - v(Y)\Omega(X,GZ) + v(X)\Omega(Y,GZ) + 2\sigma(Z)g(Y,HGX) + 2u(Y)g(GX,Z) + 4v(Y)g(HX,Z) - 2v(X)g(HY,Z) - 4v(Z)g(X,HY).$$

If we combine the above equation with equation (15), we get

$$\begin{split} &2g((\nabla_Z G)Y,GX)+2v(Z)\Omega(X,GY)+2\sigma(Z)g(Y,HGX)\\ &+2u(Y)g(GX,Z)+2v(Y)g(HX,Z)-4v(Z)g(X,HY)=0. \end{split}$$

In order to get the equation we want, we replace X with GX which gives

$$\begin{split} 2g((\nabla_Z G)X,Y) &+ 2v(Z)\Omega(GX,GY) + 2\sigma(Z)g(X,HY) \\ &- 2u(Y)g(X,Z) - 2v(Y)g(X,JZ) - 2v(Y)u(Z)v(X) \\ &+ 4v(Z)g(X,GHY) + 2u(X)g(Z,Y) - 2v(X)g(Z,JY) \\ &+ 2v(X)v(Y)u(Z) = 0. \end{split}$$

Now equation (I) follows.

Applying the same process to T(X, Y), we can easily see that equation (II) also holds.

Conversely, suppose that formulas (I) and (II) hold. To show that M is normal, first let us check S(X, U). Since formula (I) holds,

$$\begin{split} g(S(X,U),Y) &= g((\nabla_U G)GY,X) + g((\nabla_{GX}G)U,Y) + g((\nabla_X G)U,GY) \\ &\quad -\sigma(U)g(GHX,Y) \\ &= \sigma(U)g(HGY,X) - g(GX,Y) - g(X,GY) \\ &\quad -\sigma(U)g(GHX,Y) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, S(X, U) = 0. Similarly, T(X, V) = 0.

Now let X and Y be two vector fields in \mathcal{H} . Making use of the fact that u(X) = v(X) = u(Y) = v(Y) = 0 and applying formula (I), we get

$$\begin{split} g(S(X,Y),Z) &= g((\nabla_{GX}G)Y,Z) + g((\nabla_{GY}G)Z,X) + g((\nabla_XG)Y,GZ) \\ &+ g((\nabla_YG)GZ,X) + 2u(Z)g(X,GY) - 2v(Z)g(X,HY) \\ &- \sigma(GX)g(HY,Z) + \sigma(GY)g(HX,Z) + \sigma(X)g(GHY,Z) \\ &- \sigma(Y)g(GHX,Z) \\ &= \sigma(GX)g(HY,Z) + u(Z)g(GX,Y) - v(Z)g(GX,JY) \\ &+ \sigma(GY)g(HZ,X) - u(Z)g(GY,X) - v(Z)g(JGY,X) \\ &+ \sigma(X)g(HY,GZ) + \sigma(Y)g(HGZ,X) + 2u(Z)g(X,GY) \\ &- 2v(Z)g(X,HY) - \sigma(GX)g(HY,Z) + \sigma(GY)g(HX,Z) \\ &+ \sigma(X)g(GHY,Z) - \sigma(Y)g(GHX,Z) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, S(X, Y) = 0.

In a similar way, we can also show that T(X, Y) = 0. Therefore, M is normal.

At the moment, normality appears to be a local notion since the tensors S and T were defined locally. Our next step is to show that normality is, in fact, a global notion. Towards this end, let us define a

1354

third tensor W as follows:

$$W(X,Y) = [G,H](X,Y) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma(GX)GY - \sigma(HX)HY - \sigma(GY)GX + \sigma(HY)HX\right) - u(Y)HX - V(Y)GX + u(X)HY + v(X)GY + 2g(X,GY)V + 2g(X,HY)U$$

where [G, H](X, Y) = 1/2([GX, HY] + [HX, GY] - G[HX, Y] - H[GX, Y] - G[X, HY] - H[X, GY]).

If M is normal, in other words if

$$\begin{split} S(U,X) &= T(V,X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, \text{ and} \\ S(X,Y) &= T(X,Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X \text{ and } Y \text{ in } \mathcal{H}, \end{split}$$

then equations (I) and (II) hold. Then, using (I) and (II), we get

$$\begin{split} g([G,H](X,Y),Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma(HX)g(HY,Z) - \sigma(GX)g(GY,Z) \right. \\ &\quad - 4u(Z)g(X,HY) - 4v(Z)g(X,GY) + \sigma(GY)g(GX,Z) \\ &\quad - \sigma(HY)g(HX,Z) + u(X)\Omega(GZ,Y) - v(X)\Omega(HZ,Y) \\ &\quad + v(Y)\Omega(HZ,X) - u(Y)\Omega(GZ,X) \right). \end{split}$$

Hence, for X, Y in \mathcal{H} ,

$$W(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma(HX)HY - \sigma(GX)GY - 4g(X,HY)U - 4g(X,GY)V + \sigma(GY)GX - \sigma(HY)HX + \sigma(GX)GY - \sigma(HX)HY - \sigma(GY)GX + \sigma(HY)HX \right) + 2g(X,GY)V + 2g(X,HY)U = 0.$$

We now check the normality condition on an overlap $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$. On the open set \mathcal{O} , we have tensors u, v, G, H, S, T and W. On \mathcal{O}' , we have u', v', G', H', S', T'. Since M is a contact metric manifold, there are

1355

functions a and b on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$ such that

u' = au - bv v' = bu + av G' = aG - bH H' = bG + aH $a^{2} + b^{2} = 1.$

Lemma 3.4. $S' = a^2 S + b^2 T - 2abW$ and $T' = b^2 S + a^2 T + 2abW$.

Proof. First of all U' = aU - bV and V' = bU + aV. Using this fact we see that

$$\sigma'(X) = \sigma(X) + bX(a) - aX(b).$$

Note that aX(a) + bX(b) = 0 for any X since $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Also G'H' = GH. Now if we compute S'(X, Y) using what we have so far and grouping terms under a^2, b^2 and ab, we get

$$S'(X,Y) = a^2 S(X,Y) + b^2 T(X,Y) - 2abW(X,Y).$$

Similarly,

$$T'(X,Y) = b^2 S(X,Y) + a^2 T(X,Y) + 2abW(X,Y).$$

Now assume that S(X,Y) = T(X,Y) = 0 for all horizontal X and Y and S(U,X) = T(V,X) = 0 for all X. Then, as we checked above, W(X,Y) = 0 for all horizontal X and Y. Therefore, S'(X,Y) =T'(X,Y) = 0 by the above lemma.

For an arbitrary vector field X, apply the above lemma to S'(U', X) to get

$$S'(U',X) = a^{2}b[G(\nabla_{V}G)X + \sigma(V)HGX + G(\nabla_{U}H)X + H(\nabla_{U}G)X] -ab^{2}[H(\nabla_{U}H)X + \sigma(U)HGX + G(\nabla_{V}H)X + H(\nabla_{V}G)X].$$

Now, taking the inner product with Y and using equations (I) and (II) gives

$$g(S'(U', X), Y) = 0.$$

Therefore, S'(U', X) = 0.

Similarly, we can show that T'(V', X) = 0.

Therefore, normality conditions agree on the overlaps. So the notion of normality is global.

We now give an expression for $\nabla_X J$. Recall that on a complex contact manifold we have H = GJ = -JG, V = -JU, U = JV. Also, using Proposition 3.2, we have

$$(\nabla_X J)U = HX + \sigma(X)U - J(-GX + \sigma(X)V) = 0$$

and

$$(\nabla_X J)V = -GX + \sigma(X)V - J(-HX - \sigma(X)U) = 0.$$

Then we can write

$$(\nabla_X H)GY = (\nabla_X J)Y - J(\nabla_X G)GY.$$

Taking the inner product with Z and applying equations (I) and (II) gives

(III)

$$g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) = u(X)(\Omega(Z, GY) - 2g(HY, Z)) + v(X)(\Omega(Z, HY) + 2g(GY, Z)).$$

4. Some basic facts on normal complex contact metric manifolds. In this section we will establish some basic formulas for a normal complex contact metric manifold M with structure tensors u, v, U, V, G, H, J, g. First we will consider the curvature of the vertical plane, g(R(U, V)V, U). Using Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{split} R(U,V)V &= \nabla_U (-\sigma(V)U) - \nabla_V (-\sigma(U)U) + \sigma([U,V])U \\ &= -U(\sigma(V))U - \sigma(V)\sigma(U)V + V(\sigma(U))U \\ &+ \sigma(U)\sigma(V)V + \sigma([U,V])U \\ &= -2\Omega(U,V)U. \end{split}$$

1357

Therefore,

(26)
$$g(R(U,V)V,U) = -2\Omega(U,V).$$

Now let X and Y be two horizontal vector fields. Then, using Proposition 3.2,

$$R(X,Y)U = -(\nabla_X G)Y + (\nabla_Y G)X + 2\Omega(X,Y)V - \sigma(Y)HX + \sigma(X)HY.$$

By equation (I) we know that

$$(\nabla_X G)Y = \sigma(X)HY + g(X,Y)U + g(JX,Y)V.$$

If we substitute this in R(X, Y)U we get

(27)
$$R(X,Y)U = 2(g(X,JY) + \Omega(X,Y))V.$$

Similarly, using Proposition 3.2, we have

(28)
$$R(X,Y)V = -2(g(X,JY) + \Omega(X,Y))U.$$

Now we can compute R(X, U)U for horizontal X, using Proposition 3.2:

$$R(X,U)U = 2\Omega(X,U)V - \sigma(U)HX + (\nabla_U G)X + X.$$

Since X is horizontal, $\Omega(X, U) = 0$ by (10), and $(\nabla_U G)X = \sigma(U)HX$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore

(29)
$$R(X,U)U = X.$$

Similarly,

$$(30) R(X,V)V = X.$$

Again, for a horizontal vector field X we can compute R(X,U)V and R(X,V)U using Proposition 3.2 to get

(31)
$$R(X,U)V = \sigma(U)GX + (\nabla_U H)X - JX$$

1358

and

(32)
$$R(X,V)U = -\sigma(V)HX + (\nabla_V G)X + JX.$$

Now define a new tensor P_G by

$$P_G(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)GZ, W) + g(R(X, Y)Z, GW)$$

and similarly define tensors P_H and P_J .

Our next step is to get an expression for P_G free of the curvature tensor R. By a direct computation, it is easy to see that we can write

$$P_G(X, Y, Z, W) = -(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \hat{G} - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \hat{G} - \nabla_{[X,Y]} \hat{G})(Z, W)$$

For horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and W, if we compute the righthand side of the above equation using (I), we get:

(33)

$$P_{G}(X, Y, Z, W) = 2g(HZ, W)\Omega(X, Y) - 2g(HX, Y)\Omega(Z, W) + 4g(HX, Y)g(JZ, W) + g(GX, Z)g(Y, W) + g(HX, Z)g(JY, W) - g(GX, W)g(Y, Z) - g(HX, W)g(JY, Z) - g(GY, Z)g(X, W) - g(HY, Z)g(JX, W) + g(GY, W)g(X, Z) + g(HY, W)g(JX, Z).$$

In the same way, we can show that

(34)

$$P_{H}(X, Y, Z, W) = -2g(GZ, W)\Omega(X, Y) + 2g(GX, Y)\Omega(Z, W) - 4g(GX, Y)g(JZ, W) + g(HX, Z)g(Y, W) - g(GX, Z)g(JY, W) - g(HX, W)g(Y, Z) + g(GX, W)g(JY, Z) - g(HY, Z)g(X, W) + g(GY, Z)g(JX, W) + g(HY, W)g(X, Z) - g(GY, W)g(JX, Z).$$

Since JX = HGX = -GHX for horizontal X, (35)

$$P_J(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)HGZ, W) - g(R(X, Y)Z, GHW)$$

= $P_H(X, Y, GZ, W) - P_G(X, Y, Z, HW)$
= $2g(GX, Y)\Omega(GZ, W) + 2g(HX, Y)\Omega(HZ, W)$
+ $4g(GX, Y)g(HZ, W) - 4g(HX, Y)g(GZ, W).$

1359

Lemma 4.1. For horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and W, the curvature tensor satisfies the following equations:

(ii)

$$\begin{split} g(R(HX,HY)HZ,HW) \\ &= g(R(X,Y)Z,W) - 2g(JZ,W)\Omega(X,Y) - 2g(GX,Y)\Omega(HZ,W) \\ &+ 2g(JX,Y)\Omega(Z,W) + 2g(GZ,W)\Omega(HX,Y). \end{split}$$

Proof. By the definition of P_G , the lefthand side of (i) is equal to

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,W) + P_G(Z,W,X,GY) + P_G(GX,GY,Z,GW).$$

Equation (33) gives

$$P_G(Z, W, X, GY) + P_G(GX, GY, Z, GW)$$

= $2g(JX, Y)\Omega(Z, W) - 2g(HZ, W)\Omega(GX, Y) - 2g(JZ, W)\Omega(X, Y)$
+ $2g(HX, Y)\Omega(GZ, W).$

Therefore equation (i) holds.

Similarly, using the definition of P_H and equation (34) we obtain (ii).

Lemma 4.2. The following equations hold for horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z and W:

(i)

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,GX)Y,GY) &= g(R(X,GX)X,Y) + g(R(X,GY)X,GY) + 4g(JX,Y)\Omega(X,Y) \\ &- 4g(HX,Y)\Omega(GX,Y) - 2g(GX,Y)^2 - 4g(HX,Y)^2 \\ &- 2g(X,Y)^2 + 2g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - 4g(JX,Y)^2 \end{split}$$

1360

(ii)

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,HX)Y,HY) &= g(R(X,HX)X,Y) + g(R(X,HY)X,HY) + 4g(JX,Y)\Omega(X,Y) \\ &+ 4g(GX,Y)\Omega(HX,Y) - 2g(HX,Y)^2 - 4g(GX,Y)^2 \\ &- 2g(X,Y)^2 + 2g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - 4g(JX,Y)^2. \end{split}$$

Proof. By Bianchi's first identity,

$$g(R(X,GX)Y,GY) = -g(R(GX,Y)X,GY) - g(R(Y,X)GX,GY).$$

The definition of P_G implies

$$-g(R(GX,Y)X,GY) = g(R(X,GY)X,GY) - P_G(X,GY,X,Y)$$

and

$$-g(R(Y,X)GX,GY) = g(R(X,Y)X,Y) + P_G(X,Y,X,GY).$$

Using equation (33), we get

$$\begin{split} P_G(X,Y,X,GY) &- P_G(X,GY,X,Y) \\ &= 4g(JX,Y)\Omega(X,Y) - 4g(HX,Y)\Omega(GX,Y) - 4g(HX,Y)^2 \\ &- 2g(X,Y)^2 - 4g(JX,Y)^2 - 2g(GX,Y)^2 + 2g(X,X)g(Y,Y) \end{split}$$

which gives equation (i) and equation (ii) is obtained in the same way. \square

Lemma 4.3. If X is a horizontal vector field, then

$$g(R(X,GX)GX,X) + g(R(X,HX)HX,X) + g(R(X,JX)JX,X)$$

= -6g(X,X)(\Omega(JX,X) + g(X,X)).

Proof. Recall that GX = -HJX. Then, by the definition of P_H , $g(R(X,GX)GX,X) = g(R(X,GX)JX,GJX) - P_H(X,GX,JX,X).$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,GX)JX,GJX) \\ &= -g(R(X,JX)JX,X) - g(R(X,HX)HX,X) \\ &\quad -4g(X,X)\Omega(JX,X) - 2g(X,X)^2. \end{split}$$

We can compute $P_H(X, GX, JX, X)$ using equation (34) to get

$$P_H(X, GX, JX, X) = 2g(X, X)\Omega(JX, X) + 4g(X, X)^2.$$

We get the lemma by joining the above equations. $\hfill \Box$

We can use the definition of P_G and equation (33) to see that the following formulas hold for a horizontal vector field X:

(36)
$$g(R(X,HX)JX,GX) = -g(R(X,HX)HX,X) - 2g(X,X)\Omega(JX,X) - 4g(X,X)^2,$$

(37)
$$g(R(X,JX)HX,GX) = g(R(X,JX)JX,X) + 2g(X,X)\Omega(JX,X) - 2g(X,X)^2,$$

(38)
$$g(R(GX, HX)HX, GX) = g(R(X, JX)JX, X),$$

(39)
$$g(R(GX,JX)JX,GX) = g(R(X,HX)HX,X).$$

Similarly, using the definition of P_J and equation (35) we get the following formulas for horizontal vector fields X, Y:

(40)
$$g(R(JX, JY)JY, JX) = g(R(X, Y)Y, X),$$

$$(41) \quad g(R(X,Y)JX,JY)$$

= $g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + 2g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY)$
 $- 2g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) + 4g(X,GY)^2 + 4g(X,HY)^2,$

(42)
$$g(R(Y,JX)JX,Y) = g(R(X,JY)JY,X),$$

1362

$$\begin{array}{ll} (43) & g(R(X,JY)JX,Y) \\ & = g(R(X,JY)JY,X) - 2g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) \\ & \quad + 2g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) + 4g(X,HY)^2 + 4g(X,GY)^2. \end{array}$$

By Bianchi's first identity,

$$g(R(X,JX)JY,Y) = -g(R(JX,JY)X,Y) - g(R(JY,X)JX,Y)$$

Substituting formulas (41) and (43) in the above equation, we get (44)

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,JX)JY,Y) \\ &= g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + g(R(X,JY)JY,X) + 4(g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) \\ &- g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) + 2g(X,GY)^2 + 2g(X,HY)^2). \end{split}$$

5. *GH*-sectional curvature. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold with structure tensors u, v, U, V, G, H, J, g. For a horizontal vector field X, the plane section generated by X and Y = aGX + bHX, $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, is called a GH-section or an \mathcal{H} holomorphic section. We define the *GH*-sectional curvature $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X)$ as the curvature of a *GH*-section:

$$\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X) = K(X, aGX + bHX)$$

where K(X, Y) is the curvature of the plane section generated by X and Y.

Lemma 5.1. $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X)$ is independent of the choice of the numbers a and b if and only if K(X, GX) = K(X, HX) and g(R(X, GX)HX, X) = 0.

Proof. We can write the GH-sectional curvature as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X) \\ &= a^2 K(X,GX) + b^2 K(X,HX) + \frac{2ab}{g(X,X)^2} g(R(X,GX)HX,X). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a and b, then taking a = 1, b = 0 gives $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X) = K(X, GX)$ and taking a = 0,

b = 1 gives $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X) = K(X, HX)$. So K(X, GX) = K(X, HX)and g(R(X, GX)HX, X) = 0.

Conversely, if K(X, GX) = K(X, HX) = K and g(R(X, GX)HX, X) = 0, then $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X) = K$ and hence $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a and b. \Box

From now on, we will assume that $\mathcal{GH}_{a,b}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a and b and denote it by $\mathcal{GH}(X)$.

As the next step, we want to write holomorphic curvature in terms of GH-sectional curvature. In order to do this, we are going to use the formulas from Section 4.

Proposition 5.2. For a horizontal vector field X,
$$K(X, JX) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{GH}(X + GX) + \mathcal{GH}(X - GX) \right) + 3.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{GH}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a and b, we can choose a = 0, b = 1. Then $\mathcal{GH}(X) = K(X, HX)$. So $\mathcal{GH}(X + GX) = K(X + GX, HX + JX)$ and $\mathcal{GH}(X - GX) = K(X - GX, HX - JX)$. By direct computation we get

$$\begin{split} g(R(X+GX,HX+JX)HX+JX,X+GX) \\ &= g(R(X,HX)HX,X) + g(R(X,JX)JX,X) \\ &+ g(R(GX,HX)HX,GX) + g(R(GX,JX)JX,GX) \\ &+ 2[g(R(X,HX)HX,GX) + g(R(X,HX)JX,X) \\ &+ g(R(X,HX)JX,GX) + g(R(X,JX)HX,GX) \\ &+ g(R(X,JX)JX,GX) + g(R(GX,HX)JX,GX)] \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} g(R(X-GX,HX-JX)HX-JX,X-GX) \\ &= g(R(X,HX)HX,X) + g(R(X,JX)JX,X) \\ &+ g(R(GX,HX)HX,GX) + g(R(GX,JX)JX,GX) \\ &+ 2[-g(R(X,HX)HX,GX) - g(R(X,HX)JX,X) \\ &+ g(R(X,HX)JX,GX) + g(R(X,JX)HX,GX) \\ &- g(R(X,JX)JX,GX) - g(R(GX,HX)JX,GX)]. \end{split}$$

1364

If we add the two equations above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{GH}(X+GX) + \mathcal{GH}(X-GX) \\ &= \frac{1}{2g(X,X)^2} \left[g(R(X,HX)HX,X) + g(R(X,JX)JX,X) \right. \\ &+ g(R(GX,HX)HX,GX) + g(R(GX,JX)JX,GX) \\ &+ 2[g(R(X,HX)JX,GX) + g(R(X,JX)HX,GX)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using formulas (36)–(39), we have

$$\mathcal{GH}(X+GX) + \mathcal{GH}(X-GX) = 2K(X,JX) - 6.$$

Therefore

$$K(X,JX) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{GH}(X+GX) + \mathcal{GH}(X-GX) \right) + 3. \quad \Box$$

We now want to work with the assumption that the GH-sectional curvature is independent of the choice of the GH-section at each point. Let $\mathcal{GH}(X) = c$ where c does not depend on X. Then by the previous proposition

$$K(X, JX) = c + 3.$$

Next we give an expression for the sectional curvature in terms of the holomorphic curvature.

Lemma 5.3. For horizontal vector fields X and Y, we have

$$g(R(X,Y)Y,X) = \frac{1}{32} [3Q(X+JY) + 3Q(X-JY) - Q(X+Y) - Q(X-Y) - Q(X-Y) - 4Q(X) - 4Q(Y)] + \frac{3}{2} [g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) - g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) - 2g(X,GY)^2 - 2g(X,HY)^2],$$

where Q(X) = g(R(X, JX)JX, X).

1365

Proof. By direct computation

$$\begin{split} Q(X+JY) &= g(R(X,JX)JX,X) + g(R(Y,JY)JY,Y) \\ &+ g(R(JX,JY)JY,JX) + g(R(X,Y)Y,X) \\ &+ 2[g(R(X,JX)JX,JY) - g(R(X,JX)Y,X) \\ &- g(R(X,JX)Y,JY) - g(R(X,Y)JX,JY) \\ &+ g(R(X,Y)Y,JY) - g(R(JY,JX)Y,JY)] \end{split}$$

and

$$Q(X-JY) = g(R(X, JX)JX, X) + g(R(Y, JY)JY, Y) + g(R(JX, JY)JY, JX) + g(R(X, Y)Y, X) + 2[-g(R(X, JX)JX, JY) + g(R(X, JX)Y, X) - g(R(X, JX)Y, JY) - g(R(X, Y)JX, JY) - g(R(X, Y)Y, JY) + g(R(JY, JX)Y, JY)].$$

By combining the two equations above, we get

$$\begin{split} Q(X+JY) + Q(X-JY) \\ &= 2[g(R(X,JX)JX,X) + g(R(Y,JY)JY,Y) \\ &\quad + g(R(JX,JY)JY,JX) + g(R(X,Y)Y,X)] \\ &\quad - 4[g(R(X,JX)Y,JY) + g(R(X,Y)JX,JY)]. \end{split}$$

Using the formulas (40), (41) and (44), we have

$$\begin{split} Q(X+JY) + Q(X-JY) \\ &= 2[g(R(X,JX)JX,X) + g(R(Y,JY)JY,Y)] \\ &+ 4[3g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + g(R(X,JY)JY,X)] \\ &+ 24[g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) - g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) \\ &+ 2g(X,GY)^2 + 2g(X,HY)^2]. \end{split}$$

Doing the same calculations for Q(X + Y) + Q(X - Y) and using the formulas (42), (43) and (44), we get

$$\begin{split} Q(X+Y) + Q(X-Y) &= 2[g(R(X,JX)JX,X) + g(R(Y,JY)JY,Y)] \\ &+ 4[3g(R(X,JY)JY,X) + g(R(X,Y)Y,X)] \\ &+ 24[g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) - g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) \\ &+ 2g(X,GY)^2 + 2g(X,HY)^2]. \end{split}$$

1366

Finally, combining what we have so far,

$$\begin{split} 3Q(X+JY) + 3Q(X-JY) - Q(X+Y) - Q(X-Y) - 4Q(X) - 4Q(Y) \\ &= 32g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + 48[g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) \\ &- g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) + 2g(X,GY)^2 + 2g(X,HY)^2], \end{split}$$

giving us the desired result. $\hfill \Box$

Since K(X, JX) = c + 3 does not depend on X, from the above lemma we get

$$(45)$$

$$c(P(X, V))V$$

$$g(R(X,Y)Y,X) = \frac{c+3}{4} [g(X,X)g(Y,Y) - g(X,Y)^2 + 3g(X,JY)^2] + \frac{3}{2} [g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) - g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) - 2g(X,GY)^2 - 2g(X,HY)^2],$$

for horizontal X and Y.

Now let X and Y be two arbitrary vector fields. We can write

 $X = Z + u(X)U + v(X)V, \qquad Y = W + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$

where Z and W are in \mathcal{H} . Then, using the formulas (26)–(32) and (45), we have

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,Y)Y,X) &= g(R(Z,W)W,Z) - 2(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))g(Z,W) \\ &+ (u(Y)^2 + v(Y)^2)g(Z,Z) + (u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)g(W,W) \\ &- 12u \wedge v(X,Y)g(Z,JW) - 12u \wedge v(X,Y)\Omega(Z,W) \\ (46) &- 8(u \wedge v(X,Y))^2\Omega(U,V) \\ &= g(R(Z,W)W,Z) - 2(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))g(X,Y) \\ &+ (u(Y)^2 + v(Y)^2)g(X,X) + (u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)g(Y,Y) \\ &- 12u \wedge v(X,Y)g(X,JY) - 12u \wedge v(X,Y)\Omega(X,Y) \\ &+ 16(u \wedge v(X,Y))^2(1 + \Omega(U,V)) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{c-1}{2} \left[u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y) \right] g(X,Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{c-1}{4} \left[(u(Y)^2 + v(Y)^2)g(X,X) + (u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)g(Y,Y) \right] \\ &\quad - 3(c+7)u \wedge v(X,Y)g(X,JY) \\ &\quad + \frac{c+3}{4} \left[g(X,X)g(Y,Y) + 3g(X,JY)^2 - g(X,Y)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} \left[g(X,HY)\Omega(X,GY) - g(X,GY)\Omega(X,HY) \\ &\quad - 2g(X,GY)^2 - 2g(X,HY)^2 \right] + 4(c+7)(u \wedge v(X,Y))^2 \\ (47) &\quad - 12u \wedge v(X,Y)\Omega(X,Y) + 16(u \wedge v(X,Y))^2 \Omega(U,V). \end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify the above equation somewhat, we need to examine the term $\Omega(X, Y)$. Since $\mathcal{GH}(X) = c + 3$ does not depend on X,

$$g(R(X,GX)GX,X) = g(R(X,HX)HX,X) = cg(X,X)^2$$

and

$$g(R(X,JX)JX,X) = (c+3)g(X,X)^2.$$

Substituting these in Lemma 4.3, we get

(48)
$$\Omega(JX,X) = -\frac{c+3}{2}g(X,X)$$

for horizontal X.

In order to compute $\Omega(JX, X)$ for an arbitrary vector field X, we can apply formula (48) to the horizontal component of X to get

(49)
$$\Omega(JX,X) = -\frac{c+3}{2}g(X,X) + \frac{c+3}{2}(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2) + (u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)\Omega(U,V).$$

Replacing X with JX + Y in (49), we have

(50)
$$\Omega(X,Y) = \frac{c+3}{2} g(JX,Y) + u \wedge v(X,Y)(c+3+2\Omega(U,V)).$$

1368

Now if we substitute (50) in (47) we get a somewhat simpler expression for the sectional curvature as

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,Y)Y,X) &= \frac{c-1}{2} \left[u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y) \right] g(X,Y) \\ &- \frac{c-1}{4} \left[(u(Y)^2 + v(Y)^2)g(X,X) + (u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)g(Y,Y) \right] \\ (51) &+ 3(c-1)u \wedge v(X,Y)g(X,JY) \\ &+ \frac{c+3}{4} \left[g(X,X)g(Y,Y) + 3g(X,JY)^2 - g(X,Y)^2 \right] \\ &+ 3\frac{c-1}{4} \left[g(X,GY)^2 + g(X,HY)^2 \right] \\ &- 8(u \wedge v(X,Y))^2(c+1 + \Omega(U,V). \end{split}$$

Now to get an expression for the curvature tensor, we will use the following identity of [2]:

$$6g(R(X,Y)Z,W) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \left(B(X+sW,Y+tz) - B(X+sZ,Y+tW) \right)|_{s=0,t=0},$$

where B(X, Y) = g(R(X, Y)Y, X).

If we compute the righthand side of the above identity using (51), we get the following expression for the curvature tensor:

$$\begin{split} R(X,Y)Z = & \frac{c\!+\!3}{4} \left[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(Z,JY)JX \\ & + g(X,JZ)JY + 2g(X,JY)JZ \right] \\ & + \frac{c\!-\!1}{4} \left[(u(X)u(Z) \!+\!v(X)v(Z))Y \!-\!(u(Y)u(Z) \!+\!v(Y)v(Z))X \\ & + 4u \wedge v(X,Y)JZ + 2u \wedge v(X,Z)JY + 2u \wedge v(Z,Y)JX \\ & + 2g(X,GY)GZ + g(X,GZ)GY + g(Z,GY)GX \\ & + 2g(X,HY)HZ + g(X,HZ)HY + g(Z,HY)HX \\ & + [u(Y)g(X,Z) - u(X)g(Y,Z) + v(X)g(Z,JY) \\ & + v(Y)g(X,JZ) + 2v(Z)g(X,JY)]U \end{split}$$

1369

$$(52) + [v(Y)g(X,Z) - v(X)g(Y,Z) - u(X)g(Z,JY) - u(Y)G(X,JZ) - 2u(Z)g(X,JY)]V] - \frac{4}{3}(c+1+\Omega(U,V))[(v(X)u \wedge v(Z,Y) + v(Y)u \wedge v(X,Z) + 2v(Z)u \wedge v(X,Y))U - (u(X)u \wedge v(Z,Y) + u(Y)u \wedge v(X,Z) + 2u(Z)u \wedge v(X,Y))V].$$

Now we are ready to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold with complex dimension greater than or equal to 5. If the GH-sectional curvature is independent of the choice of the GH-section at each point, then it is constant on M.

Proof. Suppose that the complex dimension of M is 2n + 1. If the GH-sectional curvature is independent of the choice of the GH-section at each point, then the curvature tensor has the form (52). Let us choose a local orthonormal basis of the form

$$\{X_i, GX_i, HX_i, JX_i, U, V \mid 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

Then the Ricci tensor has the form

$$\begin{split} \rho(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g(R(X_i,X)Y,X_i) + g(R(GX_i,X)Y,GX_i) \right. \\ &+ g(R(HX_i,X)Y,HX_i) + g(R(JX_i,X)Y,JX_i)] \\ &+ g(R(U,X)Y,U) + g(R(V,X)Y,V) \\ &= ((n+2)c + 3n + 2)g(X,Y) + (-(n+2)c + n - 2) \\ &- 2\Omega(U,V))(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y)). \end{split}$$

The scalar curvature τ has the form

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\rho(X_i, X_i) + \rho(GX_i, GX_i) + \rho(HX_i, HX_i) \right] \\ + \rho(JX_i, JX_i) + \rho(U, U) + \rho(V, V) \\ = 2(n+2)(2n-1)c + 4n(3n+4) - 4\Omega(U, V).$$

Since $\Omega = d\sigma$, $d\Omega = 0$. In particular, $d\Omega(U, V, X) = 0$, which implies $X\Omega(U, V) = u(X)U\Omega(U, V) + v(X)V\Omega(U, V).$

By Bianchi's identity,

$$2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((\nabla_{X_{i}}\rho)(X,X_{i}) + (\nabla_{GX_{i}}\rho)(X,GX_{i}) + (\nabla_{HX_{i}}\rho)(X,HX_{i}) + (\nabla_{JX_{i}}\rho)(X,JX_{i})) + (\nabla_{U}\rho)(X,U) + (\nabla_{V}\rho)(X,V)\right] - \nabla_{X}\tau = 0.$$

Substituting the expressions for $\rho(X, Y)$ and τ , the above equation gives

$$2(1-n)X(c) - (u(X)U(c) + v(X)V(c)) = 0.$$

If we let X = U, we get U(c) = 0, and if we let X = V, we get V(c) = 0. Therefore, X(c) = 0 if n is different from 1. So c is constant on M when n > 1.

Definition 5.5. A normal complex contact metric manifold M with constant GH-sectional curvature is called a complex contact space form.

The following theorem is an easy consequence of Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.

Theorem 5.6. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. Then M has constant GH-sectional curvature c if and only if, for horizontal X, the holomorphic sectional curvature of the plane generated by X and JX is c + 3.

This theorem gives rise to a natural question: is it possible for a normal complex contact metric manifold to have constant holomorphic sectional curvature? We answer this question by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.7. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. If M has constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, then c = 4 and M is Kähler.

Proof. For an arbitrary unit vector field X, let X = Z + u(X)U + v(X)V, where Z is horizontal. If we take Y = JX, W = JZ in equation (46), we get

$$g(R(X,JX)JX,X) = g(R(Z,JZ)JZ,Z) + 6(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)\Omega(X,JX)$$

$$(53) - 4(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2) + 4(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)^2(1+\Omega(U,V)).$$

Since M has constant holomorphic curvature c,

$$g(R(X,JX)JX,X) = g(R(U,V)V,U) = c,$$

and

$$g(R(Z,JZ)JZ,Z) = g(Z,Z)^2c.$$

Theorem 5.6 implies that $\mathcal{GH}(X) = c - 3$. Also, by formula (50)

$$\Omega(X,Y) = \frac{c}{2}g(JX,Y) + u \wedge v(X,Y)(c+2\Omega(U,V)).$$

Since $g(R(U,V)V,U) = -2\Omega(U,V)$, $\Omega(U,V) = -(c/2)$. Therefore, $\Omega(X,Y) = (c/2)g(JX,Y)$, and hence $\Omega(X,JX) = (c/2)$. Since X is unit, $g(Z,Z) = 1 - u(X)^2 - v(X)^2$. Substituting these back into (53), we get

$$(c-4)(u(X)^{2} + v(X)^{2})(1 - u(X)^{2} - v(X)^{2}) = 0.$$

We can choose X so that $u(X) \neq 0$, $v(X) \neq 0$ and $u(X)^2 + v(X)^2 \neq 1$. Then we must have c = 4. In this case $\mathcal{GH}(X) = 1$ and $\Omega(U, V) = -2$.

Since M is normal, by equation (III)

$$g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) = u(X)\Omega(Z, GY) + v(X)\Omega(Z, HY) - 2u(X)g(HY, Z) + 2v(X)g(GY, Z) = 2u(X)g(JZ, GY) + 2v(X)g(JZ, HY) - 2u(X)g(HY, Z) + 2v(X)g(GY, Z) = 0.$$

Hence, M is Kähler. \Box

Theorem 5.8. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold with constant GH-sectional curvature 1 and $\Omega(U, V) = -2$. Then Mhas constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 and it is Kähler. If, in addition, M is complete and simply connected, then M is isometric to \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic curvature 4.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{GH}(X) = 1$, $g(R(X, JX)JX, X) = 4g(X, X)^2$ for a horizontal vector field X by Theorem 5.6. Substituting c = 1 and $\Omega(U, V) = -2$ in (50), we get $\Omega(X, Y) = 2g(JX, Y)$. For an arbitrary unit vector field X, let X = Z + u(X)U + v(X)V, where Z is horizontal. Then $g(Z, Z) = 1 - u(X)^2 - v(X)^2$. Now, from (53) it follows that

$$g(R(X,JX)JX,X) = 4(1-u(X)^2 - v(X)^2)^2 - 4(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2) + 12(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2) - 4(u(X)^2 + v(X)^2)^2 = 4.$$

Hence M has constant holomorphic curvature 4, and by Proposition 5.7, M is Kähler. \Box

6. Examples of normal complex contact metric manifolds. Our first example of a normal complex contact metric manifold is the complex Heisenberg group. The complex Heisenberg group is the closed subgroup $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23} \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

Blair defined the following complex contact metric structure on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ in [1]. See also [11]. Let z_1, z_2, z_3 be the coordinates on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \simeq \mathbf{C}^3$, defined by $z_1(B) = b_{23}, z_2(B) = b_{12}, z_3(B) = b_{13}$ for B in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Then the Hermitian metric (matrix)

$$g = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + |z_2|^2 & 0 & -z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 + |z_2|^2 & 0 & -\bar{z}_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -z_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a left invariant metric on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Define a holomorphic 1-form $\theta = (dz_3 - z_2 dz_1)/2$ and set $\theta = u - iv$ and $4(\partial/\partial z_3) = U + iV$.

Also define a (1-1) tensor

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_2 & 1 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (u, v, U, V, G, H = GJ, g) is a complex contact metric structure on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Blair also computed the covariant derivatives of G and H as

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X G)Y &= g(X,Y)U - u(Y)X - g(X,JY)V \\ &- v(Y)JX + 2v(X)GHY \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X H)Y = g(X, Y)V - v(Y)X - g(X, JY)U + u(Y)JX - 2u(X)GHY.$$

In [1], the following are also listed:

$$g(\nabla_X U, V) = 0,$$

$$\nabla_X U = -GX,$$

$$\nabla_X V = -HX.$$

As a consequence of the first equality, we see that σ is identically zero. Therefore, by Proposition 3.3 this structure on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is normal.

The Hermitian connection of g is also given in [1]. So we can establish the following curvature identities easily:

$$\begin{split} g(R(X,GX)GX,X) &= g(R(X,HX)HX,X) \\ &= -3g(X,X)^2, \\ g(R(X,GX)HX,X) &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ has constant *GH*-sectional curvature -3.

Our second example is the odd-dimensional complex projective space \mathbf{CP}^{2n+1} with the standard Fubini-Study metric g of constant holomorphic curvature 4. It is established in [8] that $(\mathbf{CP}^{2n+1}(4), g)$ admits a normal complex contact metric structure via the Hopf fibering

$$\pi: \mathbf{S}^{4n+3} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^{2n+1}$$

Since this structure has constant holomorphic curvature 4, ($\mathbf{CP}^{2n+1}(4)$, g) has constant *GH*-sectional curvature 1 by Theorem 5.6.

7. \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations. The odd-dimensional complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric is an example of a normal complex contact metric manifold with constant GH-sectional curvature 1. To get other examples with constant GH-sectional curvature, we need to study the \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformations.

Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold with structure tensors (u, v, U, V, G, H, g). For a positive constant α , we define new tensors by $\tilde{u} = \alpha u$, $\tilde{v} = \alpha v$, $\tilde{U} = U/\alpha$, $\tilde{V} = V/\alpha$, $\tilde{G} = G$, $\tilde{H} = H$, $\tilde{g} = \alpha g + \alpha (\alpha - 1)(u \otimes u + v \otimes v)$. This change of structure is called an \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformation.

Proposition 7.1. If (u, v, U, V, G, H, g) is a normal complex contact metric structure on (M, J), then $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{g})$ is also a normal complex contact metric structure on (M, J).

Proof. Clearly, $\tilde{\omega} = \alpha \omega$ is a complex contact structure on M. Also, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}, d\tilde{u}(\tilde{U}, X) = du(U, X) = 0$ for all X in $\mathcal{H}, \tilde{u}(\tilde{U}) = u(U) = 1$ and $\tilde{v}(\tilde{U}) = 0$. We can easily check the first condition of Definition 2.2 by noting that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{G}^2 &= -Id + \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{U} + \tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{V}, \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{G}X,Y) &= -\tilde{g}(X,\tilde{G}Y), \\ \tilde{g}(\tilde{U},X) &= \tilde{u}(X), \\ \tilde{G}J &= GJ = -JG = -J\tilde{G}, \\ \tilde{G}\tilde{U} &= G\tilde{U} = \frac{1}{\alpha}GU = 0. \end{split}$$

If $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}' \neq \emptyset$, then there are functions *a* and *b* on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$ which satisfy the second condition of Definition 2.2. Then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}' &= \alpha u' = \alpha (au - bv) = a\tilde{u} - b\tilde{v} \\ \tilde{v}' &= \alpha v' = \alpha (bu + av) = b\tilde{u} + a\tilde{v} \\ \tilde{G}' &= G' = aG - bH = a\tilde{G} - b\tilde{H} \\ \tilde{H}' &= H' = bG + aH = b\tilde{G} + a\tilde{H} \\ a^2 + b^2 &= 1. \end{split}$$

Therefore the first condition of Definition 2.3 is satisfied.

For horizontal X and Y, $d\tilde{u}(X,Y) = \alpha du(X,Y) = \alpha g(X,GY) = \tilde{g}(X,GY)$ and $d\tilde{v}(X,Y) = \alpha dv(X,Y) = \alpha g(X,HY) = \tilde{g}(X,HY)$. So the second condition of Definition 2.3 is also satisfied, and hence $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{g})$ is a complex contact metric structure on (M, J).

To check for normality, first we need to see how the covariant derivative changes. By a direct computation, we can see that

(54) $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (1-\alpha)[u(Y)GX + v(Y)HX + u(X)GY + v(X)HY].$

If we take Y = U in (54), we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X U = \nabla_X U + (1 - \alpha) G X.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\sigma}(X) &= \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \, \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X U, V) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \, g(\tilde{\nabla}_X U, V) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \, v(\tilde{\nabla}_X U) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \, g(\nabla_X U, V) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \, v(\nabla_X U) \\ &= g(\nabla_X U, V) = \sigma(X). \end{split}$$

Thus, $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$. Then

~

$$\tilde{S}(X,Y) = S(X,Y) + 2(\alpha - 1)(v(Y)HX - v(X)HY).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$T(X,Y) = T(X,Y) + 2(\alpha - 1)(u(Y)GX - u(X)GY).$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{S}(\tilde{U},X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \,\tilde{S}(U,X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \,S(U,X) = 0,$$

and

$$\tilde{T}(\tilde{V}, X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{T}(V, X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} T(V, X) = 0.$$

If X and Y are horizontal, then

$$\tilde{S}(X,Y) = S(X,Y) = 0,$$

and

$$\tilde{T}(X,Y) = T(X,Y) = 0.$$

Therefore, the deformed structure is also normal. $\hfill \square$

Now we want to see what happens to the GH-sectional curvature under an \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformation. First we check how the sectional curvature changes.

For horizontal vector fields X and Y,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}(X,Y)Y &= \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X,Y]} Y \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_X \nabla_Y Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \nabla_X Y - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Y \\ &- (1-\alpha)(u([X,Y])GY + v([X,Y])HY) \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Y + (1-\alpha)(u(\nabla_Y Y)GX + v(\nabla_Y Y)HX) - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Y \\ &- (1-\alpha)(u(\nabla_X Y)GY + v(\nabla_X Y)HY) - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Y \\ &- (1-\alpha)(u([X,Y])GY + v([X,Y])HY). \end{split}$$

Since X and Y are horizontal and M is normal, we have

$$u(\nabla_X Y) = g(\nabla_X Y, U) = -g(\nabla_X U, Y) = g(GX, Y),$$

and

$$v(\nabla_X Y) = g(\nabla_X Y, V) = -g(\nabla_X V, Y) = g(HX, Y).$$

Hence, $u(\nabla_Y Y) = v(\nabla_Y Y) = 0$, u([X, Y]) = 2g(GX, Y), v([X, Y]) = 2g(HX, Y). Therefore,

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Y = R(X,Y)Y + 3(1-\alpha)(g(X,GY)GY + g(X,HY)HY)$$

for X, Y in \mathcal{H} . So, for horizontal vector fields X and Y,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X,Y)Y,X) &= \alpha g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + 3\alpha(1-\alpha)(g(X,GY)^2 \\ &+ g(X,HY)^2). \end{split}$$

Assume that the original structure on M has constant GH-sectional curvature c. Let X be a unit horizontal vector field with respect to the new structure on M. Let $Y = a\tilde{G}X + b\tilde{H}X$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Then GY = -aX - bJX and HY = aJX - bX. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X,Y)Y,X) &= \alpha g(R(X,Y)Y,X) + 3\alpha (1-\alpha) (g(X,-aX-bJX)^2 + g(X,aJX-bX)^2) \\ &= \alpha c g(X,X)^2 + 3\alpha (1-\alpha) (a^2 g(X,X)^2 + b^2 g(X,X)^2) \\ &= \alpha c \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \, \tilde{g}(X,X)^2 + 3\alpha (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \, \tilde{g}(X,X)^2 \\ &= \frac{c}{\alpha} + \frac{3(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{c+3}{\alpha} - 3. \end{split}$$

Hence the new structure has constant *GH*-sectional curvature $(c + 3)/\alpha - 3$.

Next we want to see how the curvature of the vertical plane changes under an \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformation. We know that $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$. So $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(\tilde{U},\tilde{V})\tilde{V},\tilde{U}) &= -2\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{U},\tilde{V}) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}\Omega(U,V) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\,g(R(U,V)V,U). \end{split}$$

In particular, if c = 1 and $\Omega(U, V) = -2$, then the new structure has constant *GH*-sectional curvature $(4/\alpha) - 3$ with $\tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) = -(2/\alpha^2)$. This observation gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. In addition to its standard structure, complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} also carries a normal complex contact metric structure with constant GH-sectional curvature $(4/\alpha) - 3$ and $\Omega(U, V) = -(2/\alpha^2)$ for every α greater than 0.

With this theorem we get examples of normal complex contact metric manifolds with constant GH-sectional curvature $\tilde{c} > -3$. Conversely, as we state in the following theorem, every such manifold is \mathcal{H} -homothetic to a normal complex contact metric manifold with constant GH-sectional curvature c = 1.

Theorem 7.3. A normal complex contact metric manifold with metric \tilde{g} of constant GH-sectional curvature $\tilde{c} > -3$ is \mathcal{H} -homothetic to a normal complex contact metric manifold with metric g of constant GH-sectional curvature c = 1. Moreover, if $\Omega(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) = -(\tilde{c} + 3)^2/8$, then the metric g is Kähler and has constant holomorphic curvature 4.

Proof. Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold with metric \tilde{g} of constant GH-sectional curvature $\tilde{c} > -3$. Apply an \mathcal{H} -homothetic deformation to (M, \tilde{g}) with $\alpha = (\tilde{c} + 3)/4 > 0$. We know that the new structure is also a normal complex contact metric structure with constant GH-sectional curvature $c = (\tilde{c}+3)/\alpha - 3 = 1$. Moreover, if $\Omega(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) = -(\tilde{c} + 3)^2/8$, then $\Omega(U, V) = (1/\alpha^2)\Omega(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) = -2$. Then, by Theorem 5.8, (M, g) is Kähler and has constant holomorphic curvature 4.

REFERENCES

1. C. Baikoussis, D. Blair and F. Gouli-Andreou, *Holomorphic Legendre curves in the complex Heisenberg group*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **26** (1998), 179–194.

2. R.I. Bishop and S.I. Goldberg, Some implications of the generalized Gauss-Bonnet theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 508–535.

3. D. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **509**, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1976.

4. W.M. Boothby, *Homogeneous complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math **III**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1961, 144–154.

5.——, A note on homogeneous complex contact manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1962), 276–280.

6. W.M. Boothby and H.C. Wang, On contact manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 68 (1958), 721–734.

7. B. Foreman, Variational problems on complex contact manifolds with applications to twister space theory, Thesis, Michigan State University, 1996.

8. S. Ishihara and M. Konishi, *Real contact 3-structure and complex contact structure*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 3 (1979), 151–161.

9. — , Complex almost contact manifolds, Kodai Math. J. 3 (1980), 385–396.

10. ——, Complex almost contact structures in a complex contact manifold, Kodai Math. J. 5 (1982), 30–37.

11. N. Jayne, *Legendre foliations on contact metric manifolds*, Thesis, Massey University, 1992.

12. S. Kobayashi, *Remarks on complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), 164–167.

13. C. LeBrun, On complete quaternionic-Kahler manifolds, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), 723–743.

14. C. LeBrun, Fano manifolds, contact structures and quaternionic geometry, Internat. J. Math. 6 (1995), 419–437.

15. A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, Kahlerian Killing spinors, complex contact structures and twistor spaces, Quaternionic Structures in Math. and Physics, Trieste, 1994, 197–202 (electronic); Int. Sch. Adv. Stud. (SISSA), Trieste, 1998.

16. S. Salamon, Quaternionic Kahler manifolds, Invent. Math. 67 (1982), 143–171.

17. J.A. Wolf, Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces, J. Math. Mech. 14 (1965), 1033–1047.

18. Y.G. Ye, A note on complex projective threefolds admitting holomorphic contact structures, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 311–314.

Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara 06531, Turkey

E-mail address: korkmazb@arf.math.metu.edu.tr