

## POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH UNBOUNDED DELAY

I. GYÖRI AND G. LADAS

ABSTRACT. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution of the linear integro-differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) + bx(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)x(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

which is positive for  $t > 0$ . We also obtain conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the Volterra-type integro-differential equation of population dynamics

$$\dot{N}(t) = N(t) \left[ a - bN(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)N(s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0.$$

**1. Introduction.** Our aim in this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution of the linear integro-differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{x}(t) + bx(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)x(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

which is positive for  $t > 0$ . We also obtain conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the Volterra-type integro-differential equation of population dynamics

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{N}(t) = N(t) \left[ a - bN(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)N(s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0.$$

The literature concerning results of the above type is scarce. For some related results, see [3] and [4] and the references cited therein.

---

Received by the editors on August 1, 1989.  
AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 34K15, 34C10, 92A15.  
The first author on leave from the Computing Centre of A. Szent-Györgyi Medical University, 6720 Szeged, Pecs u. 4/a, Hungary.

**2. Positive solutions of integro-differential equations with unbounded delay.** Consider the linear integro-differential equation with unbounded delay

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{x}(t) + bx(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)x(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad b \in R, \quad c \in C[[0, \infty), R^+] \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^{\infty} c(s)e^{-\gamma_0 s} ds < \infty$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is some real number.

Let  $B^+$  denote the space of initial functions  $B^+ = \{\phi \in C[(-\infty, 0], \mathbf{R}^+]: \int_{-\infty}^0 c(t-s)\phi(s) ds \text{ is a continuous function on } [0, \infty)\}$ . Note that the set  $B^+$  contains the function

$$\phi(t) = Me^{\gamma_0 t} \quad \text{for } -\infty < t \leq 0 \quad \text{with } M \in (0, \infty).$$

With Equation (2.1) we associate an initial function of the form

$$(2.3) \quad x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } -\infty < t \leq 0 \quad \text{with } \phi \in B^+.$$

When (2.2) holds, then the initial value problem (2.1) and (2.3) has a unique solution on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , see Burton [1].

If we look for a positive solution of Equation (2.1) of the form  $x(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ , we see that  $\lambda$  is a root of the *characteristic equation*

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda + b + \int_0^{\infty} c(s)e^{-\lambda s} ds = 0.$$

The main result in this section is the following necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution of Equation (2.1) which is positive for  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that (2.2) holds. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *There is no  $\phi \in B^+$  such that the initial value problem (2.1) and (2.3) has a solution which is positive for  $t > 0$ .*

(b) *The characteristic equation (2.4) has no real roots.*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Otherwise  $\lambda_0$  is a root of (2.4). Then  $x(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t}$  is a positive solution of Equation (2.1) for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Moreover, the initial function  $\phi$  for this solution is  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t}$  for  $-\infty < t \leq 0$  and clearly  $\phi \in B^+$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that for some  $\phi \in B^+$  the solution  $x(t)$  of (2.1) and (2.3) is positive for  $t > 0$ . Then from Equation (2.1) we see that

$$\dot{x}(t) + bx(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

and so

$$(2.5) \quad x(t) \leq x(0)e^{bt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the Laplace transform of  $x(t)$ ,

$$X(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} x(t) dt,$$

exists for all  $\text{Re } s > b$ . From (2.2) and (2.5), it follows that the Laplace transform of the integral term in (2.1) exists for all  $\text{Re } s > b + \gamma_0$ . Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-u)x(u) du \right] dt = G(s) + C(s)X(s)$$

for all  $\text{Re } s > b + \gamma_0$  where

$$G(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^0 c(t-u)\phi(u) du \right] dt$$

and

$$C(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} c(t) dt.$$

Hence, by taking Laplace transforms on both sides of (2.1) we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad [s + b + C(s)]X(s) = x(0) - G(s) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s > b + \gamma_0.$$

Let us denote by  $\sigma_x, \sigma_c$  and  $\sigma_g$  the abscissae of convergence of the Laplace transforms  $X(x), C(s)$  and  $G(s)$  of the functions  $x(t), c(t)$  and

$$g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 c(t-u)x(u) du,$$

respectively. Then  $X(s), C(s)$  and  $G(s)$  are analytic functions for

$$\operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_x, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_c \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} s > \sigma_g,$$

respectively. From the hypothesis that the characteristic equation (2.4) has no real roots, it follows that

$$s + b + C(s) > 0 \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{R}$$

and therefore the function

$$\frac{x(0) - G(s)}{s + b + C(s)}$$

is analytic for all  $\operatorname{Re} s > \max\{\sigma_c, \sigma_g\}$ . Hence, we can extend (2.6) to hold for all  $\operatorname{Re} s > \max\{\sigma_x, \sigma_c, \sigma_g\}$ . Then

$$(2.7) \quad X(s) = \frac{x(0) - G(s)}{s + b + C(s)}$$

for all  $\operatorname{Re} s > \max\{\sigma_x, \sigma_c, \sigma_g\}$ .

Our strategy is to show that (2.7) is valid for all  $\operatorname{Re} s > -\infty$  and then to prove that this leads to a contradiction.

Set

$$\sigma_0 = \max\{\sigma_c, \sigma_g\}.$$

First, we claim that

$$(2.8) \quad \sigma_x \leq \sigma_0.$$

Otherwise (see Widder [5]), the point  $s = \sigma_x$  is a singularity of  $X(s)$ . Then from (2.7) we see that

$$\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_x^-} X(s) = \frac{x(0) - G(\sigma_x)}{\sigma_x + b + C(\sigma_x)} < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, (2.8) holds and so (2.7) holds for all  $\text{Re } s > \sigma_0$ . Now we claim that

$$(2.9) \quad \sigma_c = \sigma_g = -\infty.$$

Otherwise, one of the following three cases holds:

- (i)  $-\infty \leq \sigma_g < \sigma_c < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $-\infty \leq \sigma_c < \sigma_g < \infty$ ; or
- (iii)  $-\infty < \sigma_c = \sigma_g < \infty$ .

We will prove that (i) leads to a contradiction. A similar argument may be used to show that (ii) and (iii) also lead to contradictions. It follows from (2.8) and (i) that  $\sigma_x \leq \sigma_0 = \sigma_c$ . Then (see Widder [5]),  $X(\sigma_{c-}) = \infty$  and (2.7) yields the contradiction

$$0 < X(\sigma_{c-}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma_{c-}} \frac{x(0) - G(s)}{s + b + C(s)} = 0.$$

From (2.8) and (2.9) we see that (2.7) is valid for all  $\text{Re } s > -\infty$ . As  $X(s) > 0$  for all  $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , (2.7) yields that

$$(2.10) \quad x(0) \geq x(0) - G(s) > s + b + C(s) \quad \text{for } s \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Now for  $s \leq 0$ ,  $e^{-st} \geq (1/2)s^2t^2$  and so

$$s + b + C(s) \geq s + b + (1/2)s^2 \int_0^\infty t^2 c(t) dt \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow -\infty.$$

This contradicts (2.10) and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

*Remark 2.1.* It is an elementary observation that Theorem 2.1 remains true if the initial condition (2.3) is replaced by the (possibly discontinuous) initial condition

$$(2.3)' \quad x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_0$$

where  $\phi \in B^+$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ .

The above remark enables us to obtain the following necessary condition for the existence of a positive solution for the integro-differential equation

$$(2.11) \quad \dot{y}(t) + by(t) + \int_0^t c(t-s)y(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

See also [4].

**Corollary 2.1.** *Assume that (2.2) holds and that the equation (2.11) has a positive solution on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then Equation (2.4) has a real root.*

*Proof.* Let  $y(t)$  be a positive solution of Equation (2.11) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then the function

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} y(t), & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

is a solution of (2.1) with initial function  $\phi(t) = 0$  for  $-\infty < t \leq 0$ . On the other hand  $\phi \in B^+$  and  $x(t) > 0$  for  $0 < t < \infty$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, Equation (2.4) has a real root. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Oscillation in Volterra's integro-differential equation.

Consider the Volterra-type integro-differential equation of population dynamics

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{N}(t) = N(t) \left[ a - bN(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)N(s) ds \right], \quad t \geq 0$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad a \in (0, \infty), \quad b \in [0, \infty), \quad c \in C[[0, \infty), \mathbf{R}^+]$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty c(s) ds < \infty.$$

This equation arises in models for the variation of the population of a species where the death rate depends on not only the population at

time  $t$ , but on the population at all previous times  $s \leq t$  in a manner distributed in the past by the delay kernel  $c(s)$  (see Cushing [2]).

Let  $B^+$  denote the space of initial functions  $B^+ = \{\phi \in C((-\infty, 0], (0, \infty)) : \int_{-\infty}^0 c(t-s)\phi(s) ds \text{ is a continuous function on } [0, \infty)\}$ .

By a solution of (3.1) on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  we mean a function  $N \in C((-\infty, \infty), \mathbf{R}) \cap C^1([0, \infty), \mathbf{R})$  which satisfies (3.1) for  $t \geq 0$  and such that the function  $\phi(t) = N(t)$  for  $t \leq 0$  is in  $B^+$ .

Clearly, every solution of (3.1) is positive for all  $t$ . With Equation (3.1) we associate an initial function of the form

$$(3.3) \quad N(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } t \leq 0 \quad \text{where } \phi \in B^+.$$

When (3.2) holds, the initial value problem (3.1) and (3.3) has a unique solution  $N(t)$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  (see Burton [1]).

Observe that (3.1) has a unique positive equilibrium  $N^*$  and that

$$N^* = \frac{a}{b + \int_0^\infty c(s) ds}.$$

Let  $N(t)$  be the unique positive solution of the initial value problem (3.1) and (3.3) and set  $N(t) = N^*e^{x(t)}$  for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Then  $x(t)$  satisfies the initial value problem

$$(3.4) \quad \dot{x}(t) + bN^*[e^{x(t)} - 1] + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)[e^{x(s)} - 1] ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad x(t) = \ln \frac{\phi(t)}{N^*}, \quad -\infty < t \leq 0.$$

The *linearized equation* associated with Equation (3.4) is

$$(3.6) \quad \dot{y}(t) + bN^*y(t) + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)y(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If we look for a positive solution of (3.6) of the form

$$y(t) = e^{\lambda t}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty$$

we see that  $\lambda$  satisfies the *characteristic equation* of (3.6), namely,

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda + bN^* + N^* \int_0^\infty c(s)e^{-\lambda s} ds = 0.$$

In Theorem 2.1 we proved that if (3.7) has no real roots, then (3.6) has no positive solutions on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The next theorem shows that the same result is true for (3.4). In this sense, the following result may be thought of as being a linearized oscillation result for Volterra-type integro-differential equations.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that (3.2) holds and that Equation (3.7) has no real roots. Let  $N(t)$  be the unique solution of (3.1) and (3.3). Then  $N(t) - N^*$  has at least one zero in the interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $N(t) - N^*$  has no zero in the interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . We will assume that  $N(t) > N^*$  for all  $t$ . The case where  $N(t) < N^*$  for all  $t$  is similar and will be omitted. Set

$$N(t) = N^* e^{x(t)} \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < \infty.$$

Then  $x(t) > 0$  for all  $t$  and  $x(t)$  satisfies (3.4).

Since  $e^x - 1 \geq x$  for  $x \geq 0$ , it follows from (3.4) that

$$\dot{x}(t) + bN^* x(t) + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)x(s) ds \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$bN^* + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{s, 0\})} \frac{x(\max\{s, 0\})}{x(t)} ds \leq -\frac{\dot{x}(t)}{x(t)}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . Set  $\alpha(t) = -\dot{x}(t)/x(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $\alpha(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq 0$  and for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha(t) \geq bN^* + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{s, 0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \alpha(u) du} ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Define the sequence of functions  $\{\beta_n(t)\}$  for  $n \geq 0$  as follows:

$$\beta_0(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$



(3.9)

$$\beta_{n+1}(t) = bN^* + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{s, 0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta_n(u) du}$$

for  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ .

Then it can be easily seen that the functions  $\beta_n(t)$  are well defined and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $0 \leq \beta_0(t) \leq \alpha(t)$  for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  and, clearly,

$$0 \leq \beta_0(t) \leq \beta_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \beta_n(t) \leq \dots \leq \alpha(t), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

Thus, the limit  $\beta(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_n(t)$  exists and is an integrable function on any compact subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover, for  $t \geq s \geq 0$ ,

$$0 \leq \beta(t) \leq \alpha(t) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\int_{\max\{0, s\}}^t \beta(u) du} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta_n(u) du}.$$

Combining these facts, we see that  $\beta(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\beta(t) = bN^* + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{s, 0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta(u) du}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Set

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} x(0)e^{\int_0^t \beta(u) du}, & 0 \leq t < \infty, \\ x(t), & -\infty < t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $y(t)$  is a positive and continuous function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and is continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{y(s)}{y(\max\{s, 0\})} = \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{s, 0\})}, \quad s \geq 0$$

and

$$\beta(t) = \frac{-\dot{y}(t)}{y(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta(u) du} = \frac{y(\max\{s, 0\})}{y(t)}, \quad t \geq s \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $y(t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{-\dot{y}(t)}{y(t)} = bN^* + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{x(s)}{x(\max\{0, s\})} \frac{y(\max\{s, 0\})}{y(t)} ds,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.10) \quad \dot{y}(t) = -bN^*y(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s)y(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where we used the fact that  $x(s) = y(s)$  for all  $s \leq 0$  and  $x(s) = x(\max\{0, s\})$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Since (3.10) has a solution  $y(t)$  which is positive on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that its characteristic equation (3.7) has a real root. This is a contradiction and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

The next result is a partial converse of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that (3.2) holds and that there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that the equation*

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda + (1 + \delta_0)bN^* + (1 + \delta_0)N^* \int_0^\infty c(t)e^{\lambda t} dt = 0$$

*has a real root. Then Equation (3.1) has a positive solution  $N(t)$  such that*

$$(3.12) \quad N(t) > N^* \quad \text{for } -\infty < t < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since (3.11) has a real root and (3.2) is satisfied, it follows that (3.16) has a negative root. Moreover, there exists  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$  such that the equation

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda + (1 + \delta)bN^* + (1 + \delta)N^* \int_0^\infty c(t)e^{\lambda t} dt = 0$$

has exactly two negative real roots  $-\alpha_1$  and  $-\alpha_2$  such that  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ . By virtue of (3.13) it can be easily seen that

$$(3.14) \quad \alpha_i = (1 + \delta)bN^* + (1 + \delta)N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{e^{-\alpha_i s}}{e^{-\alpha_i \max\{s, 0\}}} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \alpha_i du} ds$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Define two sequences  $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  as follows:

$$\beta_0(t) = \alpha_1 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

$$x_0(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon e^{-\int_0^t \beta_0(u) du} & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{n+1}(t) = \begin{cases} bN^* \frac{e^{x_n(t)} - 1}{x_n(t)} + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \\ \cdot \frac{e^{x_n(s)} - 1}{x_n(\max\{s, 0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta_n(u) du} ds & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon e^{-\int_0^t \beta_{n+1}(u) du}, & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \varepsilon, & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  is such that

$$(3.15) \quad (e^\varepsilon - 1)/\varepsilon \leq 1 + \delta.$$

Note that  $\beta_n(t)$  is well defined and a locally integrable function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . We claim that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \leq \beta_n(t) \leq \alpha_2 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

The proof of the claim is by induction. First, (3.16) is satisfied for  $n = 0$ . Assume that (3.16) is satisfied for an index  $n \geq 1$ . Then, by definition,

$$(3.17) \quad 0 < \varepsilon e^{-\alpha_2 t} \leq x_n(t) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

and

$$x_n(t) = \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t < 0.$$

Thus, (3.15) yields

$$\frac{e^{x_n(u)} - 1}{x_n(u)} \leq \frac{e^\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \leq \delta + 1 \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\beta_{n+1}(t) \leq \begin{cases} bN^*(1+\delta) + N^*(1+\delta) \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \\ \cdot \frac{x_n(s)}{x_n(\max\{2,0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s,0\}}^t \alpha_2 du} ds, & t \geq 0, \\ \alpha_2, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $(x_n(s))/(x_n(\max\{s,0\})) = 1$  for all  $s$ , the last inequality and (3.5) yield (3.16) and hence the claim is proved.  $\square$

We now show that the limit  $\beta(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_n(t)$  exists for all  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . By the definition of  $\{\beta_n(t)\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad \beta_{n+1}(t) &= bN^* \frac{e^{x_n(t)} - 1}{x_n(t)} + N^* \int_0^t c(t-s) \frac{e^{x_n(s)} - 1}{x_n(s)} e^{\int_s^t \beta_n(u) du} ds \\ &\quad + N^* \frac{e^\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^0 c(t-s) e^{\int_0^t \beta_n(u) du} ds \\ &= bN^* \frac{e^{x_n(t)} - 1}{x_n(t)} + N^* \int_0^t c(t-s) \frac{e^{x_n(s)} - 1}{x_n(s)} e^{-\int_s^t \beta_n(u) du} ds \\ &\quad + N^* \frac{e^\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty c(u) du e^{-\int_0^t \beta_n(u) du} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ . By virtue of (3.17), we see that for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{x_n(t)} - 1}{x_n(t)} - \frac{e^{x_{n-1}(t)} - 1}{x_{n-1}(t)} \right| &\leq a |x_n(t) - x_{n-1}(t)| \\ &= a \left| e^{-\int_0^t \beta_{n-1}(u) du} \right| \\ &\leq ab \int_0^t |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  are some constants. Moreover, for all  $t \geq s \geq 0$

and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{e^{x_n(s)} - 1}{x_n(s)} e^{\int_s^t \beta_n(u) du} - \frac{e^{x_{n-1}(s)} - 1}{x_{n-1}(s)} e^{\int_s^t \beta_{n-1}(u) du} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{e^{x_n(s)} - 1}{x_n(s)} - \frac{e^{x_{n-1}(s)} - 1}{x_{n-1}(s)} \right| e^{\int_s^t \beta_n(u) du} \\ & \quad + \frac{e^{x_{n-1}(s)} - 1}{x_{n-1}(s)} \left| e^{\int_s^t \beta_n(u) du} - e^{\int_s^t \beta_{n-1}(u) du} \right| \\ & \leq c_1 e^{\alpha_2(t-s)} \int_0^s |x_n(u) - x_{n-1}(u)| du \\ & \quad + c_2 e^{\alpha_2(t-s)} \int_s^t |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du \\ & \leq c e^{\alpha_2(t-s)} \int_0^t |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = c_1 + c_2$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in (0, \infty)$  are some constants. Combining these inequalities with (3.18), we find that for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{n+1}(t) - \beta_n(t)| & \leq c_1 \int_0^t |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du \\ & \quad + c_2 \int_0^t c(t-s) e^{\alpha_2(t-s)} \int_0^s |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T > 0$  be an arbitrary but fixed number. Then for some  $d > 0$  and for all  $t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$|\beta_{n+1}(t) - \beta_n(t)| \leq d \int_0^t |\beta_n(u) - \beta_{n-1}(u)| du, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By induction this yields that there exists a constant  $m > 0$  such that

$$|\beta_{n+1}(t) - \beta_n(t)| \leq md \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \quad \text{and for all } n \geq 1.$$

This implies that  $\{\beta_n(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges to a function  $p(t)$  uniformly on  $[0, T]$  and hence by the definition of  $\{x_n(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , we have

$$x(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon e^{-\int_0^t \beta(u) du} & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \varepsilon & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

and this convergence is uniform on  $(-\infty, T]$ . Thus,  $\beta(t)$  and  $x(t)$  satisfy

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} bN^* \frac{e^{x(t)} - 1}{x(t)} \\ + N^* \int_{-\infty}^t c(t-s) \frac{e^{x(s)} - 1}{x(\max\{s, 0\})} e^{\int_{\max\{s, 0\}}^t \beta(u) du} ds & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\beta(t) = -(\dot{x}(t)/x(t))$  for  $t \geq 0$ , we find that  $x(t)$  satisfies (3.1) on  $[0, T]$  with initial condition  $x(t) = \varepsilon$  for  $t \leq 0$ . On the other hand, (3.17) yields

$$0 < \varepsilon e^{-\alpha_2 t} \leq x(t) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Thus, Equation (3.1) has a solution  $x(t)$  which is positive on  $(-\infty, T]$ . As  $T$  is an arbitrary positive number, (3.1) has a solution which is positive on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. T.A. Burton, *Volterra integral and differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
2. J.M. Cushing, *Integrodifferential equations and delay models in population dynamics*, Lect. Notes in Biomath. **20**, Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.
3. I. Györi, *Comparison results for integro-differential equations of Volterra-type*, Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods and Appl. **14** (1990), 245–252.
4. G. Ladas, Ch.G. Philos and Y.G. Sficas, *Oscillations of integro-differential equations*, Differential Integral Equations **4** (1991), 1113–1120.
5. D.V. Widder, *An Introduction to transform theory*, Academic Press, NY, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, KINGSTON, RI, 02881-0816