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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the following } p(x) \text { - } \\
& \text { biharmonic problem in Sobolev spaces with variable expo- } \\
& \text { nents } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll}
\triangle_{p(x)}^{2} u=\lambda(\partial F(x, u) / \partial u) & x \in \Omega \\
\partial u / \partial n=0 & x \in \partial \Omega \\
\partial\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) / \partial n=a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the variational approach and Ekeland's principle, we establish that the above problem admits a nontrivial weak solution under appropriate conditions.

1. Introduction. Stimulated by the development of the study of elastic mechanics, see [29], electrorheological fluids, see [26], image processing, see [5], and mathematical description of the filtration processes of an ideal baroscopic gas through a porous medium, see [1], interest in variational problems and differential equations with variable exponents has grown in recent decades. Meanwhile, elliptic problems involving operators in divergence form can be found in [4, 22]. Some other results dealing with the $p(x)$-Laplace and the $p(x)$-biharmonic operators in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents can be found in $[12,15,16,17,18,20,21]$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of an eigenvalue for the following $p(x)$-biharmonic problem

$$
\begin{cases}\triangle_{p(x)}^{2} u=\lambda(\partial F(x, u) / \partial u) & x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ \partial u / \partial n=0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ \partial\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) / \partial n=a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u & x \in \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \Delta_{p(x)}^{2} u=\Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u\right)$ is the $p(x)$ biharmonic operator of fourth order, $n$ is a unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$, $a \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ with $a^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \partial \Omega} a(x)>0, \lambda$ is a positive real number and the functions $p$ and $F$ satisfy the following assumptions:
$$
p \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \text { with } p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)>1 \quad \text { and } \quad F \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})
$$

The $p(x)$-biharmonic problem under Neumann boundary conditions has been studied by many authors in recent years. Let us recall that Ben Haddouch, et al. [3], studied the following problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\triangle_{p(x)}^{2} u=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ \partial u / \partial n=\partial\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) / \partial n=0 & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The authors established the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues by using the Mountain pass lemma and Ekeland's variational principle. Moreover, Taarabti, et al. [27], studied the following nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}\triangle_{p(x)}^{2} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ \partial u / \partial n=\partial\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) / \partial n=0 & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

They used Ekeland's variational principle to prove the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues which lies in a neighborhood of the origin. Moreover, Bin Ge, et al. [13], proved the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues by considering different situations concerning the growth rates involved in the above-quoted problem. Inspired by the above-mentioned papers, we study problem (1.1) under the following assumptions.
$(\mathbf{H 1}) F: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ function such that

$$
F(x, t u)=t^{q(x)} F(x, u), t>0, \text { for all } x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, t)\right| \leq c_{1} V(x)|t|^{q(x)-1} \tag{H2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, where $c$ is a positive constant, $V \in L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$ and $s, q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ are such that, for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, we have $1<q(x)<p(x)<$ $N / 2<s(x)$.
(H3) There exists an $\Omega_{0} \subset \subset \Omega$ with $\left|\Omega_{0}\right|>0$ such that $F(x, t)>0$ in $\Omega_{0}$.

Remark 1.1. Due to assumption (H1), $F$ leads to the so-called Euler identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, t)=q(x) F(x, t), \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main results establish, for small perturbation, the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues in a neighborhood of the origin. On the other hand, we show the existence of a global minimizer of the Euler Lagrange functional associated to problem (1.1).
2. Terminology and abstract setting. In order to study $p(x)$ biharmonic problems, we need some results on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$, see $[\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{2 5}]$ for details, complements and proofs.

Set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}):=\{h: h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x)>1 \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we denote $1<p^{-}:=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}=$ $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<\infty$ and

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ were introduced by Orlicz [23].
The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is endowed with the Luxemburg norm, defined by

$$
|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Clearly, when $p(x) \equiv p$, the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ reduces to the classical Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$, and the norm $|u|_{p(x)}$ reduces to the standard norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \quad \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

For any positive integer $k$, let

$$
W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega): D^{\alpha} u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),|\alpha| \leq k\right\}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right)$ is a multi-index,

$$
|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad D^{\alpha} u=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial^{\alpha_{1}} x_{1} \cdots \partial^{\alpha_{N}} x_{n}}
$$

Then, $W^{k, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space, equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{k, p(x)}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|_{p(x)}
$$

Let $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ be the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$. Then, the following Hölder-type inequality
$\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)}, \quad u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$,
holds. Moreover, if $h_{1}, h_{2}$ and $h_{3}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions such that $1 / h_{1}(x)+1 / h_{2}(x)+1 / h_{3}(x)=1$, then, for any $u \in L^{h_{1}(x)}(\Omega), v \in L^{h_{2}(x)}(\Omega)$ and $w \in L^{h_{3}(x)}(\Omega)$, the following inequality holds [9, Proposition 2.5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v w d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{h_{1}^{-}}+\frac{1}{h_{2}^{-}}+\frac{1}{h_{3}^{-}}\right)|u|_{h_{1}(x)}|v|_{h_{2}(x)}|w|_{h_{3}(x)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.1) and its generalized version (2.2) are due to Orlicz [23].
The modular on the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the map $\rho_{p(x)}: L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
\rho_{p(x)}(u):=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x .
$$

Proposition 2.1 ([19]). For all $u, v \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have
(i) $|u|_{p(x)}<1$ (respectively, $=1,>1$ ) $\Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u)<1$ (respectively, $=1,>1$ ).
(ii) $\min \left(|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq \max \left(|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right)$.
(iii) $\rho_{p(x)}(u-v) \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow|u-v|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0$.

Another interesting property of the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the following.

Proposition $2.2([6])$. Let $p$ and $q$ be measurable functions such that $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq p(x) q(x) \leq \infty$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega), u \neq 0$. Then

$$
\min \left(|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}},|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}}\right) \leq \|\left.\left. u\right|^{p(x)}\right|_{q(x)} \leq \max \left(|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}}\right)
$$

In order to prove the existence of a weak solution for problem (1.1), we introduce the space

$$
X=\left\{u \in W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega):\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\}
$$

This space was first considered by El Amrouss, et al. [7], who proved that $X$ is a nonempty and well-defined closed subspace of $W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Let

$$
\|u\|_{a}:=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\Delta u}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)\left|\frac{u}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d \sigma \leq 1\right\}
$$

for $u \in X$. Since $a \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \Omega} a>0$, we deduce that $\|u\|_{a}$ is an equivalent norm to $\|u\|_{2, p(x)}$ in $X$. Here, we will use the norm $\|u\|_{a}$, and the modular is defined as $\rho_{p(x)}^{a}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\rho_{p(x)}^{a}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)} d \sigma
$$

which satisfies the same properties as Proposition 2.1. Accordingly, we have, similar to [11, Theorem 1.3], the following propositions.

Proposition 2.3. For all $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have
(i) $\|u\|_{a}<1$ (respectively, $=1,>1$ ) $\Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}^{a}(u)<1$ (respectively, $=1,>1$ ).
(ii) $\min \left(\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq \rho_{p(x)}^{a}(u) \leq \max \left(\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right)$.
(iii) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{a} \rightarrow 0$ (respectively, $\rightarrow \infty$ ) $\Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}^{a}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively, $\rightarrow \infty)$.

Arguments similar to those used in the proof of [2, Proposition 4.2] showed the following.

Proposition 2.4. Let

$$
I_{a}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\Delta u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} a(x)|u|^{p(x)} d \sigma
$$

Then
(i) $I_{a}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous, $I_{a} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$.
(ii) The mapping $I_{a}^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a strictly monotone, bounded homeomorphism, and is of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, that is, if $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \leq 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$.

We recall that the critical Sobolev exponent is defined as follows:

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & p(x)<\frac{N}{2} \\ +\infty & p(x) \geq \frac{N}{2}\end{cases}
$$

We point out that, if $q \in C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $X$ is continuously and compactly embedded in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. The Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents coincide with the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, provided that $p$ is constant. According to [25, pages 8-9], these function spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1, p(x)}$ have some unusual properties, such as:
(i) Assuming that $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<\infty$, and $p: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a smooth function, then the following co-area formula

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p} d x=p \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1}|\{x \in \Omega ;|u(x)|>t\}| d t
$$

has no analog in the framework of variable exponents.
(ii) Spaces $L^{p(x)}$ do not satisfy the mean continuity property. More exactly, if $p$ is nonconstant and continuous in an open ball $B$, then there is some $u \in L^{p(x)}(B)$ such that $u(x+h) \notin L^{p(x)}(B)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with arbitrary small norm.
(iii) Function spaces with variable exponents are never invariant with respect to translations. The convolution is also limited. For instance, the classical Young inequality

$$
|f * g|_{p(x)} \leq c|f|_{p(x)}\|g\|_{L^{1}}
$$

remains true if and only if $p$ is constant.
3. Main results and auxiliary properties. Throughout the paper, the letters $c, c_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, denote positive constants which may change from line to line. In the sequel, denote by $s^{\prime}(x)$ the conjugate exponent of the function $s(x)$, and put $\alpha(x):=s(x) q(x) /(s(x)-q(x))$. Then, we have:

Remark 3.1. Under assumption $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$, we have $s^{\prime}(x) q(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \alpha(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$; hence, the embeddings $X \hookrightarrow L^{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)}(\Omega)$ and $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ are compact and continuous.

Proposition 3.2 ([8, Theorem 2.4]). Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Let $m$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $p \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p^{-}>1$ and $m p^{+}<N$. If $q \in S(\partial \Omega)$, where $S(\partial \Omega)$ is the set of all measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$, and there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
1 \leq q(x)<q(x)+\varepsilon \leq \frac{(N-1) p(x)}{N-m p(x)} \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega
$$

then the boundary trace embedding $W^{m, p(.)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(.)}(\partial \Omega)$ is compact.
Remark 3.3. Since $p>1 / 2$, then, by Proposition 3.2, we have that $W^{2, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)$ is compact.

Note that an eigenvalue for problem (1.1) satisfies the following definition.

Definition 3.4. We say that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1), if there exists a $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u \triangle v d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d \sigma=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u) v d x
$$

for any $v \in X$, and we recall that, if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1), then, the corresponding $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ is a weak solution of (1.1).

Proposition 3.5. If $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (1.1) and $u \in C^{4}(\bar{\Omega})$, then, $u$ is a classical solution of (1.1).

Proof. Let $u \in C^{4}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a weak solution of problem (1.1). Then, for every $v \in X$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u \triangle v d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d \sigma=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u) v d x
$$

By applying Green's formula, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \triangle\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) v d x= & -\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) \cdot \nabla v d x \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega} v \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u \Delta v d x= & -\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) \cdot \nabla v d x \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial n}(v) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v \in X$, then $\partial(v) / \partial n=0$. For $v \in D(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\triangle\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right)=\lambda \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u) \text { almost everywhere } x \in \Omega
$$

For each $v \in X$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) v d \sigma=\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d \sigma .
$$

Then, for all $v \in D(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) v d \sigma=\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d \sigma
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right)-a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u=0
$$

almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$.

The first result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that hypotheses (H1), (H2) and (H3) are fulfilled. Then, there exists a $\lambda^{*}>0$, such that any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1).

In the second, we establish that the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to problem (1.1) has a global minimizer.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that hypotheses (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. Then, any $\lambda>0$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1.1).

In order to formulate the variational problem (1.1), we introduce the functionals $\Phi$ and $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by:

$$
\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\triangle u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{a(x)}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d \sigma
$$

and

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x
$$

The Euler Lagrange functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is defined by $\Psi_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(u):=\Phi(u)-\lambda J(u)
$$

Standard arguments show that $\Psi_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}(u), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u \Delta v d x \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d \sigma-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(x, u) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $v \in X$. Hence, a solution to problem (1.1) is a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda}$.

We begin with the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose that we are under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6. Then, for all $\rho \in(0,1)$, there exist $\lambda^{*}>0$ and $b>0$ such that, for all
$u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{a}=\rho$,

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq b>0 \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)
$$

Proof. Since the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)} \leq c_{2}\|u\|_{a}, \quad \text { for all } u \in X \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\|u\|_{a}<\min \left(1,1 / c_{2}\right)$, where $c_{2}$ is the positive constant of inequality (3.1). Then, we have $|u|_{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)}<1$, using Hölder inequality (2.1), Proposition 2.3, Remark 1.1 and inequality (3.1), we deduce that, for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{a}=\rho$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\triangle u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{a(x)}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d \sigma-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}-\left.\left.\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}| | u\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{s^{\prime}(x)} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}-\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}|u|_{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)}^{q^{-}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}-\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)} c_{2}^{q^{-}}\|u\|_{a}^{q^{-}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p^{+}} \rho^{p^{+}}-\lambda c_{1} c_{2}^{q^{-}}|V|_{s(x)} \rho^{q^{-}} \\
& =\rho^{q^{-}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}} \rho^{p^{+}-q^{-}}-\lambda c_{1} c_{2}^{q^{-}}|V|_{s(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above inequality, we remark that, if we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{*}=\frac{\rho^{p^{+}-q^{-}}}{2 p^{+}} \frac{1}{c_{1} c_{2}^{q^{-}}|V|_{s(x)}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{a}=\rho$, there exists a $b>0$ such that

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq b>0
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.8 is complete.

The next result asserts the existence of a valley for $\Psi_{\lambda}$ near the origin.

Lemma 3.9. There exists a $\phi \in X$ such that $\phi \geq 0, \phi \neq 0$ and $\Psi_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0$, for $t>0$ small enough.

Proof. Assumption (H2) implies that $q(x)<p(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$. In the sequel, denote $q_{0}^{-}=\inf _{\Omega_{0}} q(x)$ and $p_{0}^{-}=\inf _{\Omega_{0}} p(x)$. Let $\epsilon_{0}$ be such that $q_{0}^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<p_{0}^{-}$. On the other hand, since $q \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)$, there exists an open set $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{0}$ such that $\left|q(x)-q_{0}^{-}\right|<\epsilon_{0}$ for all $x \in \Omega_{1}$. It follows that $q(x) \leq q_{0}^{-}+\epsilon_{0}<p_{0}^{-}$, for all $x \in \Omega_{1}$.

Let $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{0}, \phi=1$ in a subset $\Omega^{\prime}{ }_{1} \subset \operatorname{supp}(\phi), 0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ in $\Omega_{1}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\lambda}(t \phi)= & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\triangle(t \phi)|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{a(x)}{p(x)}|t \phi|^{p(x)} d \sigma-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, t \phi) d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{p_{0}^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{0}} t^{p(x)}|\triangle \phi|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} t^{p(x)} a(x)|\phi|^{p(x)} d \sigma\right) \\
& -\lambda \int_{\Omega_{1}} t^{q(x)} F(x, \phi) d x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{p_{0}^{-}}}{p_{0}^{-}} \rho_{p(x)}^{a}(\phi)-\lambda t^{q_{0}^{-}+\epsilon_{0}} \int_{\Omega_{1}} F(x, \phi) d x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{p_{0}^{-}}}{p_{0}^{-}} \max \left(\|\phi\|_{a}^{p^{-}},\|\phi\|_{a}^{p^{+}}\right)-\lambda t^{q_{0}^{-}+\epsilon_{0}} \int_{\Omega_{1}} F(x, \phi) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0
$$

for $t<\delta^{1 /\left(p_{0}^{-}-q_{0}^{-}-\epsilon_{0}\right)}$, with

$$
0<\delta<\min \left\{1, \frac{\lambda p_{0}^{-} \int_{\Omega_{1}} F(x, \phi) d x}{\max \left(\|\phi\|_{a}^{p^{+}},\|\phi\|_{a}^{p^{-}}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Since $\phi=1$ in $\Omega^{\prime}{ }_{1}$, then $\|\phi\|_{a}>0$; thus, the proof of Lemma 3.9 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $\lambda^{*}>0$ be defined as in (3.2) and $\lambda \in$ $\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 3.8 it follows that, on the boundary of the ball centered at the origin and of radius $\rho$ in $X$, denoted by $B_{\rho}(0)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.9, there exists a $\phi \in X$ such that $\Psi_{\lambda}(t \phi)<0$ for all $t>0$ small enough. Moreover, using Hölder inequality (2.1), Proposition 2.3 and inequality (3.1), we deduce that, for any $u \in B_{\rho}(0)$, we have

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{+}}-\lambda c_{1} c_{2}^{q-}|V|_{s(x)}\|u\|_{a}^{q^{-}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
-\infty<\underline{c}:=\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}<0
$$

Let $0<\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}-\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}$. Using the above information, the functional $\Psi_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is lower bounded on $\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ and $\Psi_{\lambda} \in C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{\rho}(0)}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Then, by Ekeland's variational principle, there exists a $u_{\epsilon} \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\underline{c} \leq \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \underline{c}+\epsilon \\
0<\Psi_{\lambda}(u)-\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{a} \quad u \neq u_{\epsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}+\epsilon \leq \inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}+\epsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} \Psi_{\lambda}
$$

we deduce that $u_{\epsilon} \in B_{\rho}(0)$.
Now, we define $I_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_{\lambda}(u)=\Psi_{\lambda}(u)+\epsilon \cdot\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{a}$. It is clear that $u_{\epsilon}$ is a minimum point of $I_{\lambda}$, and thus,

$$
\frac{I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}+t \cdot v\right)-I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{t} \geq 0
$$

for small $t>0$ and any $v \in B_{1}(0)$. The above relation yields

$$
\frac{\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}+t \cdot v\right)-\Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)}{t}+\epsilon \cdot\|v\|_{a} \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\epsilon \cdot\|v\|_{a} \geq 0$, and we infer that $\left\|d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{a} \leq \epsilon$. We deduce that there exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset B_{\rho}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \underline{c}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0_{X^{*}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Thus, there exists a $w$ in $X$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ weakly converges to $w$ in $X$. Since $\alpha(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, we deduce that there exists a compact
embedding $E \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, and consequently, $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$. For the strong convergence of $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ in $X$, we need the following proposition.

## Proposition 3.10.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}\left(x, w_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-w\right) d x=0
$$

Proof. Using Hölder inequality (2.1), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}\left(x, w_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-w\right)\right| d x \leq\left.\left. c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}| | w_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} w_{n}\left(w_{n}-w\right)\right|_{s^{\prime}(x)} \\
\leq\left. c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}| |\left|w_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} w_{n}\right|_{q(x) /(q(x)-1)}\left|w_{n}-w\right|_{\alpha(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, if $\left|\left|w_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} w_{n}\right|_{q(x) /(q(x)-1)}>1$, by Proposition 2.2 , we get $\left|\left|w_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} w_{n}\right|_{q(x) /(q(x)-1)} \leq\left|w_{n}\right|_{q(x)}^{q^{+}}$. The compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow$ $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ concludes the proof.

Since $d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, and $w_{n}$ is bounded in $X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}-w\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left|\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right), w\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left\|d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{a}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{a}+\left\|d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{a}\|w\|_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using Proposition 3.10, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}-w\right\rangle=0
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\triangle w_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \triangle w_{n}\left(\triangle w_{n}-\triangle w\right) d x \\
&+\int_{\partial \Omega} a(x)\left|w_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} w_{n}\left(w_{n}-w\right) d \sigma=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, Proposition 2.4 ensures that $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to $w$ in $X$. Since $\Psi_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Psi_{\lambda}\left(w_{n}\right) \longrightarrow d \Psi_{\lambda}(w) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (3.4) and (3.5) show that $d \Psi_{\lambda}(w)=0$, and thus, $w$ is a weak solution for problem (1.1). Moreover, by relation (3.4), it follows
that $\Psi_{\lambda}(w)<0$, and thus, $w$ is a nontrivial weak solution for (1.1). The proof of Theorem 3.6 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Using Hölder inequality (2.1) for $\|u\|_{a}>1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\triangle u|^{p(x)} d x+\left.\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|a(x)| u\right|^{p(x)} d \sigma-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}-\left.\left.\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}| | u\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{s^{\prime}(x)} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}-\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)}|u|_{s^{\prime}(x) q(x)}^{q^{+}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{p^{-}}-\lambda c_{1}|V|_{s(x)} c_{2}^{q^{+}}\|u\|_{a}^{q^{+}} \longrightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{a} \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, since $\Psi_{\lambda}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous, then it has a global minimizer which is a solution of problem (1.1); moreover, Lemma 3.9 ensures that this minimizer is nontrivial, which ends the proof.

Example 3.11. Put $F(x, t)=V(x) t^{q(x)}$, where the function $V(\cdot)$ was as in the assumption (H2), and consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\triangle_{p(x)}^{2} u=\lambda(\partial F(x, u) / \partial u) & x \in \Omega  \tag{3.6}\\ \partial u / \partial n=0 & x \in \partial \Omega \\ \partial\left(|\triangle u|^{p(x)-2} \triangle u\right) / \partial n=a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, n$ is a unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega, a \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$ with $a^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \partial \Omega} a(x)>0$ and $\lambda$ is a positive real number.

First, observe that the function $F$ satisfies assumptions (H1), (H2) and (H3). Then, Theorem 3.6 asserts that there exists a $\lambda^{*}>0$, under which problem (3.6) has a nontrivial weak solution. Moreover, due to Theorem 3.7, we have a solution for any $\lambda>0$.
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