

## EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS OF A DEGENERATE QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATION

C.V. PAO

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with positive eigenvalues and positive eigenfunctions of a class of degenerate and nondegenerate quasilinear elliptic equations. The degenerate property of the quasilinear operator can lead to a very different positive eigenvalue distribution when compared with classical linear eigenvalue problems.

**1. Introduction.** In the eigenvalue problem

$$(1.0) \quad -\nabla \cdot (D(\phi)\nabla\phi) = \lambda\phi \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \phi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ; if  $D(\phi) = D_0$  is a positive constant, then the problem has a countable number of eigenvalues and a positive eigenfunction only associated with the smallest eigenvalue. However, the eigenvalue distribution can be rather different if  $D(\phi)$  depends on  $\phi$ , especially in the degenerate case where  $D(0) = 0$ . In this note we investigate the eigenvalue problem for a slightly more general equation of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (a(x)D(\phi)\nabla\phi) + \mathbf{c}(x) \cdot (D(\phi)\nabla\phi) &= \lambda\phi \text{ in } \Omega \\ \phi(x) &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a(x)$  is a strictly positive function in  $\overline{\Omega} \equiv \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{c}(x) = (c_1(x), \dots, c_n(x))$  is a smooth function in  $\Omega$ , and  $D(\phi)$  is a positive function in  $(0, \infty)$  with either  $D(0) = 0$  or  $D(0) > 0$ . We assume that  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{2+\alpha}$ ,  $a(x)$  and  $c_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are in  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ , and  $D(\phi)$  satisfies hypothesis (H) in Section 2, where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Our aim is to show that, under the above condition, every  $\lambda > 0$  is an eigenvalue of (1.1), and corresponding to it there is a positive eigenfunction  $\phi(x)$ .

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 35J70, 35J25, Secondary 35P30.

*Keywords and phrases*. Positive eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations, upper and lower solutions.

Received by the editors on May 7, 2007, and in revised form on July 13, 2007.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2010-40-1-305 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Moreover, the positive eigenfunction is unique if  $\mathbf{c} = 0$  and  $D(\phi)$  is monotonic. The same conclusions hold true for every  $\lambda > \mu_0 D(0)$  if the condition  $D(0) = 0$  in hypothesis (H) is replaced by  $D(0) > 0$ , where  $\mu_0$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the linear eigenvalue problem

$$(1.2) \quad -\nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla\psi) + \mathbf{c}(x) \cdot \nabla\psi = \mu\psi \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \psi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Nonlinear eigenvalue problems have been investigated by many researchers, and some of the earlier works can be found in [2–7, 10]. In these papers, the equation under consideration involves either a constant  $D(\phi) = D_0$  and a nonlinear function  $f(\phi)$  instead of  $\phi$ , cf. [2–6, 10] or with  $D(\phi)$  depending on  $\phi$  but with  $D(0) > 0$ , cf. [2, 3]. The work in [7] is concerned with the existence of a positive solution for a degenerate elliptic system of a slightly different form using the method of upper and lower solutions. In this paper we use the same method of upper and lower solutions as that in [9] to study the eigenvalue problem for a class of functions  $D(\phi)$  with either  $D(0) = 0$  or  $D(0) > 0$ . This class of functions for the degenerate case  $D(0) = 0$  includes the elementary functions

$$(1.3) \quad \phi^\alpha, \sinh(\alpha\phi), \cosh(\alpha\phi) - 1, \ln(1 + \alpha\phi), e^{\alpha\phi} - 1, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and the products or linear combinations (with positive coefficients) of these functions such as

$$p(\phi) = a_1\phi^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_m\phi^{\alpha_m}, \quad \sinh(\alpha\phi)p(\phi), \text{ etc.},$$

where  $a_i$  and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , are positive constants. Some of the constants  $a_i$  can be negative so long as  $p(\phi) > 0$  for  $\phi > 0$  (see Remark 2.1).

**2. The main theorems.** To ensure that problem (1.1) has a positive solution for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we impose the following conditions on  $D(\phi)$ .

(H)  $D(\phi)$  is a continuous function of  $\phi \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that  $D(\phi) > 0$  for  $\phi > 0$ ,  $D(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim D(\phi) = \infty$  as  $\phi \rightarrow \infty$ .

The following theorems give our main results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $D(\phi)$  satisfy hypothesis (H). Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , problem (1.1) has a positive solution  $\phi(x)$ . Moreover, the positive*

solution  $\phi(x)$  is unique if  $\mathbf{c}(x) \equiv 0$  and  $D(\phi)$  is either increasing or decreasing in  $\phi > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $D(\phi)$  satisfy hypothesis (H) except with the condition  $D(0) = 0$  replaced by  $D(0) > 0$ . Then all the conclusions in Theorem 1 hold true for every  $\lambda > \mu_0 D(0)$ , where  $\mu_0$  is the smallest eigenvalue of (1.2).*

*Remark 2.1.* (a) It is easy to verify that if  $D_1(\phi)$  and  $D_2(\phi)$  satisfy the conditions in hypothesis (H), then  $D(\phi) \equiv D_1(\phi)D_2(\phi)$  and  $D(\phi) \equiv a_1 D_1(\phi) + a_2 D_2(\phi)$ , where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are positive constants, also satisfy hypothesis (H). Moreover,  $D(\phi)$  is increasing or decreasing in  $\phi$  if both  $D_1(\phi)$  and  $D_2(\phi)$  are increasing or decreasing in  $\phi$ . This implies that the elementary functions in (1.3) and their products or linear combinations all satisfy hypothesis (H). Hence, Theorem 1 is applicable to this class of functions  $D(\phi)$ . Similar elementary functions can be found for the case  $D(0) > 0$ .

(b) If  $D(\phi) < 0$  for  $\phi > 0$ , then the conclusions in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 hold true for  $\lambda < 0$  and  $\lambda < -\mu_0 D(0)$ , respectively.

**3. Proof of main theorems.** We prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 by the method of upper and lower solutions. We say that  $\tilde{\phi} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  is an upper solution of (1.1) if

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (aD(\tilde{\phi})\nabla\tilde{\phi}) + \mathbf{c} \cdot (D(\tilde{\phi})\nabla\tilde{\phi}) &\geq \lambda\tilde{\phi} \text{ in } \Omega \\ \tilde{\phi} &\geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\hat{\phi}$  is called a lower solution if it satisfies the inequalities in (3.1) in reversed order. The pair  $\tilde{\phi}, \hat{\phi}$  are said to be ordered if  $\tilde{\phi} \geq \hat{\phi}$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . For a given pair of ordered upper and lower solutions  $\tilde{\phi}, \hat{\phi}$ , we set

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S} \equiv \{\phi \in C(\bar{\Omega}); \hat{\phi} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{\phi}\}.$$

Define

$$(3.3) \quad w = I(\phi) = \int_0^\phi D(s) ds, \quad \phi \geq 0.$$

Since  $dw/d\phi = D(\phi) > 0$  for  $\phi > 0$ , the inverse function, denoted by  $\phi = q(w)$ , exists and is an increasing function of  $w > 0$ . In view of  $\nabla w = D(\phi)\nabla\phi$ , problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad -\nabla \cdot (a\nabla w) + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla w = \lambda q(w) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

It is easy to see that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  are a pair of ordered upper and lower solutions of (1.1), then the pair  $\tilde{w} = I(\tilde{\phi})$ ,  $\hat{w} = I(\hat{\phi})$  are ordered upper and lower solutions of (3.4). Since  $q(w)$  is a monotone nondecreasing function of  $w$  for  $w \geq 0$  (but not necessarily Lipschitz continuous) the well-known existence theorem for elliptic boundary value problems ensures that problem (3.4) has a maximal solution  $\bar{w}$  and a minimal solution  $\underline{w}$  such that  $\hat{w} \leq \underline{w} \leq \bar{w} \leq \tilde{w}$  (cf. [1, 8]). This implies that  $\bar{\phi} = q(\bar{w})$  and  $\underline{\phi} = q(\underline{w})$  are the maximal and minimal solutions of (1.1) in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, if  $\bar{\phi} = \underline{\phi}$  ( $\equiv \phi^*$ ), then  $\phi^*$  is the unique solution of (1.1) in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Hence, our goal is to find a pair of ordered upper and lower solutions of (1.1).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We first seek a lower solution in the form  $\hat{\phi} = q(\delta\psi)$  for a sufficiently small constant  $\delta > 0$ , where  $\psi$  is the (normalized) positive eigenfunction corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue  $\mu_0$  of (1.2). Indeed, from  $I(\hat{\phi}) = \delta\psi$  and  $\nabla(I(\hat{\phi})) = D(\hat{\phi})\nabla\hat{\phi}$  we see that  $\hat{\phi}$  satisfies all the reversed inequalities in (3.1) if

$$-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla(\delta\psi)) + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla(\delta\psi) \leq \lambda q(\delta\psi) \text{ in } \Omega.$$

In view of (1.2) the above inequality is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_0(\delta\psi) \leq \lambda q(\delta\psi).$$

Since  $D(0) = q(0) = 0$  and by the L'Hopital rule,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q(\eta)}{\eta} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} q'(\eta) = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{D(\phi)} = \infty,$$

we see that given any  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $q(\delta\psi)/(\delta\psi) \geq \mu_0/\lambda$  for all  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ . With this choice of  $\delta$ ,  $\hat{\phi} = q(\delta\psi)$  is a lower solution.

To find a positive upper solution  $\tilde{\phi}$  we let  $\mu'_0$  and  $\psi'$  be the smallest eigenvalues and its corresponding positive eigenfunction of (1.2) in a

larger domain  $\Omega'$  containing  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and we seek  $\tilde{\phi}$  in the form  $q(\rho\psi')$  for a sufficiently large  $\rho > 0$ . The consideration of  $\Omega'$  containing  $\bar{\Omega}$  ensures that  $\psi'$  is strictly positive in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . In view of  $I(\tilde{\phi}) = \rho\psi'$  and  $D(\tilde{\phi})\nabla\tilde{\phi} = \nabla(I(\tilde{\phi})) = \nabla(\rho\psi')$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies all the inequalities in (3.1) if

$$-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla(\rho\psi')) + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla(\rho\psi') \geq \lambda q(\rho\psi') \text{ in } \Omega.$$

This leads to the requirement

$$\mu'_0(\rho\psi') \geq \lambda q(\rho\psi').$$

Since, by (H),

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q(\eta)}{\eta} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} q'(\eta) = \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D(\phi)} = 0,$$

we see that for any  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$q(\rho\psi')/(\rho\psi') \leq \mu'_0/\lambda \quad \text{for all } \rho \geq \rho_0.$$

This shows that, for any  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ ,  $\tilde{\phi} = q(\rho\psi')$  is a positive upper solution. The ordering relation  $\tilde{\phi} \geq \hat{\phi}$  follows by taking either  $\rho$  large or  $\delta$  small. The above construction implies that problem (1.1) has a maximal solution  $\bar{\phi}$  and a minimal solution  $\underline{\phi}$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < q(\delta\psi) \leq \underline{\phi} \leq \bar{\phi} \leq q(\rho\psi'), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

To show the uniqueness of the solution, we observe from the hypothesis  $\vec{c} = 0$  that the functions  $\bar{w} = I(\bar{\phi})$  and  $\underline{w} = I(\underline{\phi})$  satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (a\nabla\bar{w}) &= \lambda\bar{\phi} \text{ in } \Omega, & \bar{w} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ -\nabla \cdot (a\nabla\underline{w}) &= \lambda\underline{\phi} \text{ in } \Omega, & \underline{w} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication of the first equation by  $\underline{w}$ , the second equation by  $\bar{w}$ , subtraction and followed by integration over  $\Omega$ , yield

$$\int_{\Omega} [\underline{w}\nabla \cdot (a\nabla\bar{w}) - \bar{w}\nabla \cdot (a\nabla\underline{w})] dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} (\bar{w}\underline{\phi} - \underline{w}\bar{\phi}) dx.$$

By Green's theorem, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad 0 = \lambda \int_{\Omega} [\bar{w}\underline{\phi} - \underline{w}\bar{\phi}] dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi}\underline{\phi} \left( \frac{I(\bar{\phi})}{\bar{\phi}} - \frac{I(\underline{\phi})}{\underline{\phi}} \right) dx.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\phi} \left( \frac{I(\phi)}{\phi} \right) &= \phi^{-2} [\phi I'(\phi) - I(\phi)] = \phi^{-2} \left[ \phi D(\phi) - \int_0^{\phi} D(s) ds \right] \\ &= \phi^{-2} \left[ \int_0^{\phi} (D(\phi) - D(s)) ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

the increasing or decreasing property of  $D(\phi)$  implies that  $I(\phi)/\phi$  is a strictly increasing or strictly decreasing function of  $\phi$ . It follows from the positive property of  $\bar{\phi}\underline{\phi}$  that relation (3.7) can hold only if  $\bar{\phi} = \underline{\phi}$ . Since  $\delta > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily small and  $\rho$  arbitrarily large, we conclude that  $\bar{\phi}$  (or  $\underline{\phi}$ ) is the unique positive solution. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* It is seen from the proof of Theorem 1 that  $\tilde{\phi} = q(\rho\psi')$  remains to be an upper solution. Moreover,  $\hat{\phi} = q(\delta\psi)$  is a lower solution if relation (3.5) holds for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since, by the mean-value theorem and  $q(0) = 0$ ,

$$q(\delta\phi) = q'(\xi)(\delta\phi) = (\delta\phi)/D(\eta),$$

where  $\xi$  is an intermediate value between 0 and  $\delta\phi$  and  $\eta = q(\xi)$ , we see that (3.5) holds if  $\mu_0 \leq \lambda/D(\eta)$ . In view of  $D(\eta) \rightarrow D(0)$  as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  this requirement is clearly fulfilled by a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  when  $\lambda > \mu_0 D(0)$ . With this choice of  $\delta$ ,  $\hat{\phi} = q(\delta\phi)$  is a lower solution which ensures the existence of a positive solution. The uniqueness of the positive solution follows from the same proof as that in Theorem 1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. H. Amman, *On the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1971), 125–146.
2. R.S. Cantrell and C. Cosner, *Upper and lower solutions for a homogeneous Dirichlet problem with nonlinear diffusion and the principle of linearized stability*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **30** (2000), 1229–1236.

3. R.S. Cantrell and C. Cosner, *Conditional persistence in logistic models with nonlinear diffusion*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh **132** (2002), 267–281.
4. S. Chen and S. Li, *On a nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **307** (2005), 691–698.
5. D.S. Cohen, *Positive solutions of a class of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 209–216.
6. H.B. Keller, *Positive solutions of some nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1969), 279–295.
7. A. Leung and G. Fan, *Existence of positive solutions for elliptic systems—Degenerate and nondegenerate ecological models*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **151** (1990), 512–531.
8. C.V. Pao, *Nonlinear parabolic and elliptic equations*, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
9. ———, *Quasilinear parabolic and elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. **66** (2007), 639–662.
10. L.F. Shampine, *Some nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1968), 1065–1072.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH,  
NC 27695-8205

**Email address:** [cvpao@math.ncsu.edu](mailto:cvpao@math.ncsu.edu)