

**A DIRECT PROOF OF A THEOREM  
ON MONOTONICALLY NORMAL SPACES  
FOR GO-SPACES**

ÇETIN VURAL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  be a GO-space. We give a direct proof of the following fact: If  $\mathcal{O}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  has a  $\sigma$ -disjoint open, partial refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $X \setminus \cup \mathcal{V}$  is the union of a discrete family of stationary subsets of regular uncountable cardinals.

**1. Introduction and terminology.** We say that an open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of a topological space  $X$  has the  $(\star)$  *property*, if  $\mathcal{O}$  has a  $\sigma$ -disjoint open partial refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $X \setminus \cup \mathcal{V}$  is the union of a discrete family of closed subspaces which are homeomorphic to some stationary subset of a regular uncountable cardinal. A topological space  $X$  is said to have the  $(\star)$ *property*, if each open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $X$  has the  $(\star)$  property.

In [1], Balogh and Rudin have proved that monotonically normal spaces have the  $(\star)$  property, and in [3] it was proved that any GO-space is monotonically normal. So, GO-spaces have the  $(\star)$  property. In this paper we give a direct and simple proof of this fact using “the method of coherent collections” described in [4].

A subset  $C$  of a linearly ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  is called *convex* if

$$\{x \in X : a \leq x \leq b\} \subseteq C$$

for each  $a, b \in C$  with  $a \leq b$ . Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $\leq$  a linear order on  $X$ . Recall that  $(X, \mathcal{T}, \leq)$  is called a *generalized ordered space* (or *GO-space*), if  $\mathcal{T}$  contains usual open interval topology on  $X$  and has a base consisting of convex subsets.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then we write

$$st(A, \mathcal{B}) = \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}.$$

---

2000 *AMS Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 54F05, 54D20.  
*Keywords and phrases.* GO-space, refinement, stationary set.

Received by the editors on June 6, 2004, and in revised form on May 13, 2007.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2009-39-6-2067 Copyright ©2009 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

In particular, we write  $st(x, \mathcal{B})$  instead of  $st(\{x\}, \mathcal{B})$ . For each positive integer  $n > 1$ ,  $st^n(x, \mathcal{B})$  denotes  $st^{n-1}(st(x, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B})$  and

$$st^\infty(x, \mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} st^n(x, \mathcal{B}).$$

A subset  $C$  of a limit ordinal  $\kappa$  is called *cub* in  $\kappa$  if  $C$  is closed and unbounded in  $\kappa$ . A subset  $L$  of  $\kappa$  is called *stationary* in  $\kappa$  if each cub in  $\kappa$  meets with  $L$ .

$Lim$  and  $\bar{A}$  denote the class of limit ordinals and the closure of  $A \subseteq X$ , respectively. As usual,  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal.

We refer to [2, 4] for unexplained terminology and notations.

**2. Main result.** The following lemmas are needed in the proof of the main theorem of this paper. The proof of the first lemma is routine.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a set,  $\mathcal{B}$  a collection of subsets of  $X$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , and  $n$  an integer with  $n > 1$ . Then  $x \in st^n(y, \mathcal{B})$  if and only if there exists  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $y \in B_1$ ,  $x \in B_n$ , and for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $B_i \cap B_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ .*

The proof of the following two lemmas immediately follow from the previous lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  a collection of subsets of  $X$ . Then, for each  $x, y \in X$ , either  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{B}) = st^\infty(y, \mathcal{B})$  or  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{B}) \cap st^\infty(y, \mathcal{B}) = \emptyset$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a linear ordered set and  $\mathcal{C}$  a collection of convex subsets of  $X$ . Then:*

- (a) *For each  $x \in X$ ,  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{C})$  is a convex subset of  $X$ .*
- (b) *For each  $x \in X$ , there are  $\alpha_x \leq \omega$ ,  $\beta_x \leq \omega$ , an increasing sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \alpha_x\}$  in  $X$  and a decreasing sequence  $\{y_n : n \in \beta_x\}$  in  $X$  such that*
  - (b<sub>1</sub>)  $x_0 = y_0 = x$ ,

- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{C}) = (\cup_{n \in \alpha_x} st(x_n, \mathcal{C})) \cup (\cup_{n \in \beta_x} st(y_n, \mathcal{C})),$
- (b<sub>3</sub>) For each  $m, n \in \alpha_x$  with  $m < n, x_n \notin st(x_m, \mathcal{C})$  and, for each  $m, n \in \beta_x$  with  $m < n, y_n \notin st(y_m, \mathcal{C}),$
- (b<sub>4</sub>) For each  $n, n + 1 \in \alpha_x, st(x_n, \mathcal{C}) \cap st(x_{n+1}, \mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset$  and for each  $n, n + 1 \in \beta_x, st(y_n, \mathcal{C}) \cap st(y_{n+1}, \mathcal{C}) \neq \emptyset.$

The above lemma can also be found in [4].

Now we are ready for the main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a GO-space. Then  $X$  has the  $(\star)$  property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Since the space  $X$  has a base consisting of convex subsets of  $X$ , we can assume that each element of  $\mathcal{O}$  is a convex subset of  $X$ . From Lemma 2 it is sufficient to prove that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the  $(\star)$  property in the subspace  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}),$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . From Lemma 3, there are  $\alpha \leq \omega, \beta \leq \omega$  and sequences  $\{x_n : n \in \alpha\}, \{y_n : n \in \beta\}$  as in Lemma 3 (b). First we prove for part  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x, \rightarrow)$  of  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O})$  using the increasing sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \alpha\}.$  By similar arguments, it is proved for part  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap (\leftarrow, x]$  of  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}).$

We consider the following cases.

**Case i.**  $\alpha = \omega.$  Since  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x, \rightarrow) = (\cup_{n \in \alpha} st(x_n, \mathcal{O})) \cap [x, \rightarrow)$  and for each  $n \in \omega, st(x_n, \mathcal{O}) \cap st(x_{n+1}, \mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$  and each element of  $\mathcal{O}$  is convex, the set  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x, \rightarrow)$  can be covered by a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{O}.$

**Case ii.**  $\alpha = m + 1, m \in \omega.$  The interval  $[x, x_m)$  can be covered by a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{O}_0$  of  $\mathcal{O}.$  Since  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow) = st(x_m, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow),$  there are two cases.

**ii<sub>1</sub>)** If the set  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$  has the last element  $p:$  In this case, since  $p \in st(x_m, \mathcal{O})$  and each element of  $\mathcal{O}$  is convex then the interval  $[x_m, p)$  is contained by an element of  $\mathcal{O}.$  Hence, the set  $[x, x_m) \cup [x_m, p)$  can be covered by a finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{O}.$

ii<sub>2</sub>) If the set  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$  has no last element: In this case, there is an increasing and cofinal sequence  $\{z_\rho : \rho < \kappa\}$  in  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$  where  $\kappa$  is a regular cardinal. If  $\kappa$  is countable, then  $\{z_\rho : \rho < \kappa\}$  is countable and so there exists a countable open refinement of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Hence, we can assume that  $\kappa$  is uncountable. Let

$$L = \{\lambda \in \kappa \cap Lim : \sup\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\} \text{ exists in } X \\ \text{and } \sup\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\} \in \overline{\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\}}\}.$$

Either  $L$  is stationary in  $\kappa$  or  $L$  is not stationary in  $\kappa$ .

If  $L$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ : Let  $F = \{\sup\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\} : \lambda \in L\}$ . It is easy to see that  $F$  is closed in the subspace  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$ , and hence  $F$  is closed in  $X$ . Let  $f$  be a function from  $L$  onto  $F$  such that  $f(\lambda) = \sup\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\}$ , for each  $\lambda \in L$ . Since each element of  $L$  is a limit ordinal,  $f$  is one to one. Now, we shall show that the function  $f$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $\lambda$  be any element of  $L$ ,  $V$  a convex open subset of  $X$  such that  $f(\lambda) \in V$ . From the definition of  $L$ , we have  $f(\lambda) \in \overline{\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\}}$ , and hence we have a  $\rho_0 < \lambda$  such that  $z_{\rho_0} \in V$ . Since  $V$  is convex, we have  $[z_{\rho_0}, f(\lambda)] \subseteq V$ . Also, since  $f((\rho_0, \lambda) \cap L) \subseteq [z_{\rho_0}, f(\lambda)] \cap F$ , the function  $f$  is continuous. We will show that the function  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Let  $p = \sup\{z_\rho : \rho < \lambda\} \in F$ , where  $\lambda \in L$  and  $W$  is an open subset of  $\kappa$  with  $f^{-1}(p) = \lambda \in W$ . There exists a  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $(\gamma, \lambda] \cap L \subseteq W$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, we have  $p \in (z_\gamma, z_{\lambda+1})$ , and since  $f^{-1}((z_\gamma, z_{\lambda+1}) \cap F) \subseteq (\gamma, \lambda] \cap L$ , the function  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Hence the function  $f$  is a homeomorphism from  $L$  onto  $F$ .

Since the sequence  $\{z_\rho : \rho < \kappa\}$  is cofinal in  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$  and  $L$  is stationary in  $\kappa$  (hence it is unbounded in  $\kappa$ ), then  $F$  is cofinal in  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$ . It is easy to see that each open subset of a GO-space can be written as a union of disjoint, open convex sets. In addition, since  $F$  is cofinal in  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$ , there exists a disjoint family  $\mathcal{J} = \{J_t : t \in I\}$  which consists of open convex and bounded subsets of  $X$  such that

$$(st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)) \setminus F = \bigcup \{J_t : t \in I\}.$$

As  $J_t$  is bounded and there is no lower bound of  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$ , for each  $t \in I$ , there exists  $y_t \in st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$  such that  $J_t \subseteq [x_m, y_t]$ .

The equality  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow) = st(x_m, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x_m, \rightarrow)$ , and convexity of each element of  $\mathcal{O}$  leads us to the fact that an  $O_t \in \mathcal{O}$  exists such that  $[x_m, y_t] \subseteq O_t$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{J}$  is a disjoint open, partial refinement of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{J} \cup \mathcal{O}_0$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a  $\sigma$ -disjoint open, partial refinement of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $(st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x, \rightarrow)) \setminus \cup \mathcal{V} = F$ .

If  $L$  is not stationary in  $\kappa$ : There exists a cub set  $A$  of  $\kappa$  such that  $L \cap A = \emptyset$ . Also, the set  $C = A \cap Lim$  is a cub set in  $\kappa$ . Let us define a function  $g$  from  $\kappa$  onto  $C$  such that  $g(\rho) = \min\{C \setminus \{g(i) : i \in \rho\}\}$ , for each  $\rho$  in  $\kappa$ . Since  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal and  $C$  is closed, unbounded in  $\kappa$ , we have for each  $\rho, \gamma$  in  $\kappa$  with  $\rho < \gamma$ ,  $g(\rho) < g(\gamma)$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \kappa \cap Lim$ ,  $g(\lambda) = \sup\{g(i) : i \in \lambda\}$ . Let  $g(\rho)$  be denoted by  $z_{\gamma_\rho}$  for each  $\rho$  in  $\kappa$  and  $D = \{z_{\gamma_\rho} : \rho \in \kappa\}$ . It easy to see that  $D$  is a closed and discrete subspace of  $X$ . Therefore,  $\cap\{(z_{\gamma_i}, z_{\gamma_{\lambda+1}}) : i < \lambda\}$  is open in  $X$ , for each  $\lambda \in \kappa \cap Lim$ . We define the following families;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1 &= \{(z_{\gamma_\rho}, z_{\gamma_{\rho+2}}) : \rho \in \kappa \text{ and } \rho \text{ odd}\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_2 &= \{(z_{\gamma_\rho}, z_{\gamma_{\rho+2}}) : \rho \in \kappa \text{ and } \rho \text{ even}\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_3 &= \left\{ \bigcap_{i < \lambda} (z_{\gamma_i}, z_{\gamma_{\lambda+1}}) : \lambda \in \kappa \cap Lim \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{z_{\gamma_\rho} : \rho < \kappa\} \subseteq st(x_m, \mathcal{O})$  and each element of  $\mathcal{O}$  is convex, the above families are disjoint open, partial refinements of  $\mathcal{O}$ . In addition, there is an element  $O$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $[x_m, z_{\gamma_0}] \subseteq O$  and so the set  $st^\infty(x, \mathcal{O}) \cap [x, \rightarrow)$  is covered by  $\{O\} \cup \mathcal{O}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 \cup \mathcal{V}_3$  which is a  $\sigma$ -disjoint open, partial refinement of  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\square$

REFERENCES

1. Z. Balogh and M.E. Rudin, *Monotone normality*, Topology Appl. **47** (1992), 115–127.
2. W.G. Fleissner, *Applications sets in topology*, in *Surveys in general topology*, G.M. Reed, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.
3. R.W. Heath, D.J. Lutzer and P.L. Zenor, *Monotonically normal spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (1973), 481–493.
4. D.J. Lutzer, *Ordered topological spaces*, in *Surveys in general topology*, G.M. Reed, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.

GAZI UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 06500 TEKNİKOKULLAR, ANKARA, TURKEY  
**Email address:** cvural@gazi.edu.tr