

## THE LITTLEWOOD-ORLICZ OPERATOR IDEAL

QINGYING BU, DONGHAI JI AND YUWEN WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that each continuous linear operator from an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space to an  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space is Littlewood-Orlicz, and each Littlewood-Orlicz operator from a Banach space to an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space is 2-summing. As a consequence, Littlewood-Orlicz operators from a Banach space with cotype 2 to an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space coincide with 2-summing operators.

**1. Introduction.** The classes of  $p$ -summing operators ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) were introduced by Pietsch [16] in 1967. Actually, the class of 1-summing operators was studied before in Grothendieck's Résumé [9] in 1953. Later, Mityagin and Pelczynski [13] and Kwapien [11] studied the classes of  $(q, p)$ -summing operators, i.e., operators that take weakly  $p$ -summable sequences to absolutely  $q$ -summable sequences. Cohen [4] and Apiola [1] studied the classes of 'Cohen's  $(q, p)$ -summing operators,' i.e., operators that take weakly  $p$ -summable sequences to strongly  $q$ -summable sequences. In 1980, Pietsch in his monograph [17] introduced the classes of  $(r, q, p)$ -summing operators. In particular,  $(1, q, p)$ -summing operators coincide with 'Cohen's  $(q, p)$ -summing operators.' Now, with the help of sequential representations of  $\ell_p \widehat{\otimes} X$  given by Bu and Diestel [3],  $(1, q, p)$ -summing operators from a Banach space  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  are nothing else but operators that take members in  $\ell_p \check{\otimes} X$ , the injective tensor product of  $\ell_p$  and  $X$ , to members in  $\ell_q \widehat{\otimes} Y$ , the projective tensor product of  $\ell_q$  and  $Y$ .

Bu in [2] used  $(1, 1, 2)$ -summing operators (called Littlewood-Orlicz operators in [2]) to characterize G.T. spaces with cotype 2. In this paper, we will give some properties of Littlewood-Orlicz operators between  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces. The reasons why we are interested in such operators and why we called them Littlewood-Orlicz operators are as follows.

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 47B10, 46B28.

The second-named author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 10671048 and is corresponding author.

Received by the editors on March 8, 2007, and in revised form on April 4, 2007.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2009-39-6-1847 Copyright ©2009 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

**Littlewood's inequality [12].** *There is a constant  $K$  such that, for any finite  $n \times n$  scalar matrix  $(a_{ij})$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq K \cdot \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} s_i t_j \right| : |s_i| \leq 1, |t_j| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

**Orlicz theorem [14].** *If  $\sum_n f_n$  is an unconditionally convergent series in  $L_1[0, 1]$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|^2 < \infty$ .*

With the help of sequential representations of projective and injective tensor products of  $\ell_p$  and  $X$  (see [3] and [5, page 90]), we can formulate Littlewood's inequality such that if  $X = \ell_1$ , then  $\ell_1 \otimes X \subseteq \ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} X$  and formulate Orlicz's theorem such that if  $X = L_1[0, 1]$ , then  $\ell_1 \otimes X \subseteq \ell_2^{\text{strong}}(X)$ . Moreover, Grothendieck in his *Résumé* [9] showed that if  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -space, then  $\ell_1 \otimes X \subseteq \ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} X$  (for an exposition of this result, see [7]). Therefore, the identity operator on an  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -space  $X$  takes members in  $\ell_1 \otimes X$  into members in  $\ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} X$ . Diestel called such operators between Banach spaces Littlewood-Orlicz operators.

**2. Preliminaries.** For any Banach space  $X$ , let  $X^*$  denote its dual and  $B_X$  denote its closed unit ball. Let  $(e_n)_n$  denote the unit vector basis of  $\ell_2$ . For  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $p'$  denote its conjugate, i.e.,  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . If  $p = 1$ , then let  $\ell_{p'} = c_0$ ; and if  $p = \infty$ , then let  $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t_n|^p)^{1/p} = \sup_{n \geq 1} |t_n|$ .

Given a Banach space  $X$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote (see [8, pages 32–36]) by  $\ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X)$  the Banach space of all sequences  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^p < \infty$  with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_n\|_{\ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X)} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

and by  $\ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X)$  the Banach space of all sequences  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n)|^p < \infty$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$  with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_n\|_{\ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X)} = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n)|^p \right)^{1/p} : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\};$$

and by  $\ell_p^{\text{weak},0}(X)$  the closed subspace of  $\ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X)$  consisting of such sequences whose tails converge to zero, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell_p^{\text{weak},0}(X) \\ &= \left\{ (x_n)_n \in \ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X) : \lim_n \|(0, \dots, 0, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)\|_{\ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X)} = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $\ell_p\langle X \rangle$  denote the space of all sequences  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_n)| < \infty$  for each  $(x_n^*)_n \in \ell_{p'}^{\text{weak}}(X^*)$ , normed by

$$\|(x_n)_n\|_{\ell_p\langle X \rangle} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n^*(x_n) \right| : \|(x_n^*)_n\|_{\ell_{p'}^{\text{weak}}(X^*)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $p'$  is the conjugate of  $p$ . With this norm  $\ell_p\langle X \rangle$  is a Banach space (see [3, 4]). For convenience, let  $\ell_1\langle X \rangle := \ell_1^{\text{strong}}(X)$ . From the definitions, we have for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\ell_p\langle X \rangle \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{weak},0}(X) \subseteq \ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X),$$

and

$$\|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^{\text{weak}}(X)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p\langle X \rangle}.$$

Moreover, by the Dvoretzky-Rogers theorem (see [6, page 61]), if  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space then  $\ell_p\langle X \rangle \neq \ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\ell_p^{\text{strong}}(X) \neq \ell_p^{\text{weak},0}(X)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

For Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$  and  $X \check{\otimes} Y$  denote the projective and the injective tensor product of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , define

$$\psi : \ell_p \otimes X \longrightarrow X^{\mathbb{N}}$$

by

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s^{(k)} \otimes y_k \longmapsto \left( \sum_{k=1}^n s_i^{(k)} y_k \right)_i.$$

Then  $\psi$  is a well-defined linear map. Combining results in [5, page 92], [3, 10], we have the following.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then, under the mapping  $\psi$ ,  $\ell_p \check{\otimes} X = \ell_p^{\text{weak},0}(X)$  and  $\ell_p \widehat{\otimes} X = \ell_p\langle X \rangle$  isometrically.*

We should mention here Khinchin's inequality (see [8, page 10]) which will play a critical role in this paper. Let  $r_n(t)$  denote the Rademacher functions, namely,  $r_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , defined by  $r_n(t) := \text{sign}(\sin 2^n \pi t)$ .

**Khinchin's inequality.** *For any  $0 < p < \infty$ , there are positive constants  $A_p$  and  $B_p$  such that for any scalar  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we have*

$$A_p \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k r_k(t) \right|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

**3. Littlewood-Orlicz operators.** Recall that a continuous linear operator  $u$  from a Banach space  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  is called *Littlewood-Orlicz* (see [2]) if there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that for any finite sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$  and any finite sequence  $y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*$  in  $Y^*$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle u x_k, y_k^* \rangle| \leq c \cdot \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \sum_{k=1}^n |x^*(x_k)| \cdot \sup_{y \in B_Y} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k^*(y)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The infimum of all possible  $c$  above is called the *Littlewood-Orlicz norm* of  $u$ , denoted by  $\|u\|_{LO}$ . That is,  $u$  is a Littlewood-Orlicz operator if and only if  $u$  takes sequences in  $\ell_1^{\text{weak}}(X)$  into sequences in  $\ell_2(X)$ , equivalently,  $u$  takes sequences in  $\ell_1 \hat{\otimes} X$  into sequences in  $\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} Y$ .

Note that each 1-summing operator from  $X$  to  $Y$  takes sequences in  $\ell_1 \hat{\otimes} X$  into sequences in  $\ell_1 \hat{\otimes} Y$  and each 2-dominated operator from  $X$  to  $Y$  takes sequences in  $\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} X$  into sequences in  $\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} Y$ . Thus, all 1-summing operators and all 2-dominated operators are Littlewood-Orlicz. It was shown in [2, page 745] that  $\ell_1^{\text{weak}}(X) \subseteq \text{Rad}(X)$  for any Banach space  $X$  and [2, Theorem 12] stated that each 1-factorable operator takes sequences in  $\text{Rad}(X)$  into sequences in  $\ell_2 \hat{\otimes} Y$ . Thus, all 1-factorable operators are Littlewood-Orlicz. An example of non Littlewood-Orlicz operators is given as follows.

**Example.** The inclusion map  $i_p : L_\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow L_p[0, 1]$  is *not* Littlewood-Orlicz for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

*Proof. Case 1.*  $1 < p < 2$ . Let  $E_n = (1/(n+1)^{p-1}, 1/n^{p-1}]$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\{E_n\}_1^\infty$  is a partition of  $(0, 1]$  with

$$m(E_n) = \frac{(1 + (1/n))^{p-1} - 1}{(n+1)^{p-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define  $f_n = \chi_{E_n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $f_n \in L_\infty[0, 1]$ , and it is easy to see that  $(f_n)_n \in \ell_1^{\text{weak}}(L_\infty[0, 1])$ . Define  $g_n = [1/m(E_n)]^{1/p'} \chi_{E_n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $g_n \in L_{p'}[0, 1]$  and, for each  $h \in L_p[0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle g_n, h \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \int_{E_n} \left[ \frac{1}{m(E_n)} \right]^{1/p'} h(t) dt \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{m(E_n)} \right]^{2/p'} \left[ \int_{E_n} |h(t)| dt \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{m(E_n)} \right]^{2/p'} \left[ \int_{E_n} 1^{p'} dt \right]^{2/p'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left[ \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^p dt \right]^{2/p} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^p dt \right]^{2/p} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left[ \left\| \left( \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^p dt \right)_n \right\|_{\ell_{2/p}} \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left[ \left\| \left( \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^p dt \right)_n \right\|_{\ell_1} \right]^{1/p} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^p dt \right]^{1/p} \\ &= \|h\|_{L_p[0,1]} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(g_n)_n \in \ell_2^{\text{weak}}(L_{p'}[0, 1])$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle i_p f_n, g_n \rangle| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^1 f_n(t) g_n(t) dt \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(1 + (1/n))^{p-1} - 1}{(n+1)^{p-1}} \right]^{1/p} \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(i_p f_n)_n \notin \ell_2 \langle L_p[0, 1] \rangle$ . It follows that  $i_p$  is not a Littlewood-Orlicz operator.

*Case 2.*  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $E_n = (1/(n+1), 1/n]$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\{E_n\}_1^\infty$  is a partition of  $(0, 1]$  with  $m(E_n) = 1/(n(n+1))$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define  $f_n = \chi_{E_n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $f_n \in L_\infty[0, 1]$  and  $(f_n)_n \in \ell_1^{\text{weak}}(L_\infty[0, 1])$ . Define  $g_n = \sqrt{n(n+1)}\chi_{E_n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $g_n \in L_{p'}[0, 1]$  and for each  $h \in L_p[0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle g_n, h \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^1 \sqrt{n(n+1)} \chi_{E_n} h(t) dt \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) \cdot \left[ \int_{E_n} |h(t)| dt \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) \cdot \left[ \int_{E_n} 1^2 dt \right]^{2/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left[ \int_{E_n} |h(t)|^2 dt \right]^{2/2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|h\|_{L_2[0,1]} \leq \|h\|_{L_p[0,1]} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(g_n)_n \in \ell_2^{\text{weak}}(L_{p'}[0, 1])$ . But

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle i_p f_n, g_n \rangle| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^1 f_n(t) g_n(t) dt \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} = \infty.$$

Therefore,  $(i_p f_n)_n \notin \ell_2 \langle L_p[0, 1] \rangle$ . It follows that  $i_p$  is not a Littlewood-Orlicz operator.  $\square$

Recall that (see [8, page 60]) a Banach space  $X$  is called an  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -space for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  if every finite-dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$  is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $X$  for which there is an isomorphism  $v : F \rightarrow \ell_p^{\dim F}$  with  $\|v\| \cdot \|v^{-1}\| < \lambda$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $u : \ell_2^n \rightarrow \ell_\infty^m$ . Then  $\|u\|_{\text{LO}} \leq K_G \cdot B_1 \cdot \|u\|$ , where  $K_G$  is the Grothendieck's constant and  $B_1$  is the constant in Khinchin's inequality.*

*Proof.* Let  $u^*$  denote the adjoint operator of  $u$ . By the Grothendieck theorem (see [9] or [8, page 15]),  $u^* : \ell_1^m \rightarrow \ell_2^n$  is 1-summing with

$$\pi_1(u^*) \leq K_G \cdot \|u^*\|.$$

By the Pietsch domination theorem (see [16] or [8, page 44]), there is a regular probability measure  $\mu$  on  $B_{\ell_\infty^m}$  such that, for each  $y \in \ell_1^m$ ,

$$\|u^*y\| \leq \pi_1(u^*) \cdot \int_{B_{\ell_\infty^m}} |\langle y, z \rangle| d\mu(z).$$

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \ell_2^n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \ell_1^m = (\ell_\infty^m)^*$ . By Khinchin's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \langle ux_i, y_i \rangle \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)ux_i, \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)y_i \right\rangle dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)x_i \right\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)u^*y_i \right\| dt \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)x_i \right\| \cdot \int_0^1 \left\| u^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)y_i \right) \right\| dt \\ &\leq \|(x_i)_1^k\|_{\ell_1^w(\cdot)} \cdot \pi_1(u^*) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^1 \left( \int_{B_{\ell_\infty^m}} \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)y_i, z \right\rangle \right| d\mu(z) \right) dt \\ &= \pi_1(u^*) \cdot \|(x_i)_1^k\|_{\ell_1^w(\cdot)} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{B_{\ell_\infty^m}} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(t)\langle y_i, z \rangle \right| dt \right) d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K_G \cdot \|u^*\| \cdot \|(x_i)_1^k\|_{\ell_1^w(\cdot)} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{B_{\ell_\infty^m}} \left( B_1 \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle y_i, z \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq K_G \cdot B_1 \cdot \|u\| \cdot \|(x_i)_1^k\|_{\ell_1^w(\cdot)} \cdot \|(y_i)_1^k\|_{\ell_2^w(\cdot)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|u\|_{LO} \leq K_G \cdot B_1 \cdot \|u\|$ .  $\square$

By localization, we have

**Theorem 3.** *Each continuous linear operator from an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space to an  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space is Littlewood-Orlicz.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $u : X \rightarrow \ell_2^n$ . Then  $\pi_2(u) \leq \|u\|_{LO}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ . Define a linear operator  $v : \ell_2^m \rightarrow X$  by  $ve_k = x_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\|v\| = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |x^*(x_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that  $uv : \ell_2^m \rightarrow \ell_2^n$  is an operator between Hilbert spaces. By the proof of [2, Theorem 14],

$$\pi_2(uv) = \|uv\|_{HS} \leq \|uv\|_{LO} \leq \|u\|_{LO} \cdot \|v\|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \|ux_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \|uve_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \pi_2(uv) \\ &\leq \|u\|_{LO} \cdot \|v\| \\ &= \|u\|_{LO} \cdot \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |x^*(x_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\pi_2(u) \leq \|u\|_{LO}$ .  $\square$

By localization, we have



**Theorem 5.** *Each Littlewood-Orlicz operator from a Banach space to an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space is 2-summing.*

*Remark.* It follows from Corollary 11.16 in [8, page 224] that each 2-summing operator from a Banach space with cotype 2 to a Banach space is 1-summing and, hence, Littlewood-Orlicz. Thus, Littlewood-Orlicz operators from a Banach space with cotype 2 to an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space coincide with 2-summing operators. Consequently, Littlewood-Orlicz operators between Hilbert spaces coincide with Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *property V* if for every Banach space  $Y$ , a continuous linear operator  $u$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is weakly compact if and only if  $u$  is unconditionally converging, i.e.,  $u$  takes a weakly unconditionally Cauchy (wuC) series in  $X$  into an unconditionally convergent (uc) series in  $Y$ . For any Hausdorff compact metric space  $K$ ,  $C(K)$  has property  $V$  (see [15]). The identity operator on  $\ell_1$  is 1-factorable, and hence Littlewood-Orlicz, but it is not weakly compact. However, if the domain space  $X$  has property  $V$ , then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces such that  $X$  has property  $V$ . Then each Littlewood-Orlicz operator from  $X$  to  $Y$  is weakly compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Littlewood-Orlicz operator. To show that  $u$  is weakly compact, we need only to show that  $u$  is unconditionally converging. If  $\sum_n x_n$  is a wuC series in  $X$  for which  $\sum_n ux_n$  is not a uc series in  $Y$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x'_n\}_1^\infty$  of  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  such that  $\sum_n ux'_n$  does not converge. Hence,  $\{\sum_{k=1}^n ux'_k\}_1^\infty$  is not a Cauchy sequence. It follows that there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and an integer sequence  $1 \leq m_1 < n_1 < m_2 < n_2 < \dots$  such that

$$(*) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{n_k} ux'_i \right\| \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $y_k = \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{n_k} x'_i$ . Then, for each  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x^*(y_k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m_k+1}^{n_k} |x^*(x'_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x'_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_i)| < \infty.$$

Thus,  $(y_k)_k \in \ell_1^{\text{weak}}(X)$ . Therefore,  $(uy_k)_k \in \ell_2(Y) \subseteq \ell_2^{\text{strong}}(Y)$ . It follows that  $\lim_k \|uy_k\| = 0$  which contradicts (\*). This contradiction shows that  $u$  is unconditionally converging.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $K$  be a Hausdorff compact metric space. Then each Littlewood-Orlicz operator from  $C(K)$  to a Banach space is weakly compact.*

**Acknowledgments.** The first-named author is grateful to Professor Joe Diestel for his good suggestions for this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Apiola, *Duality between spaces of  $p$ -summable sequences,  $(p, q)$ -summing operators and characterization of nuclearity*, Math. Ann. **219** (1976), 53–64.
2. Q. Bu, *On Banach spaces verifying Grothendieck's theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 738–748.
3. Q. Bu and J. Diestel, *Observations about the projective tensor product of Banach spaces, I*  $\ell_p \widehat{\otimes} X$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , Quaest. Math. **24** (2001), 519–533.
4. J.S. Cohen, *Absolutely  $p$ -summing,  $p$ -nuclear operators, and their conjugates*, Math. Ann. **201** (1973), 177–200.
5. A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
6. J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Grad. Texts Math. **92**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
7. J. Diestel, J. Fourie and J. Swart, *A theorem of Littlewood, Orlicz, and Grothendieck about sums in  $L^1(0, 1)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 376–394.
8. J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
9. A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1953/1956), 1–79.
10. ———, *Sur certaines classes des suites dans les espaces de Banach, et le théorème de Dvoretzky-Rogers*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1953/1956), 81–110.
11. S. Kwapien, *Some remarks on  $(p, q)$ -summing operators in  $\ell_p$ -spaces*, Stud. Math. **29** (1968), 327–337.

12. J.E. Littlewood, *On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) **1** (1930), 164–174.
13. B.S. Mityagin and A. Pelczynski, *Nuclear operators and approximative dimensions*, Proc. Inter. Congress of Mathematicians, Moscow, 1966.
14. W. Orlicz, *Über unbedingte Konvergenz in Funktionenräumen (I)*, Stud. Math. **4** (1933), 33–37.
15. A. Pelczynski, *Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **10** (1962), 641–648.
16. A. Pietsch, *Absolut  $p$ -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen*, Stud. Math. **28** (1967), 333–353.
17. ———, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI, UNIVERSITY, MS 38677

**Email address:** [qbu@olemiss.edu](mailto:qbu@olemiss.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARBIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HARBIN 150080, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** [jidonghai@126.com](mailto:jidonghai@126.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARBIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HARBIN 150080, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** [wangyuwen2003@sohu.com](mailto:wangyuwen2003@sohu.com)