

## SOME REMARKS ON FACTORIAL QUOTIENT RINGS

GIORGIO DALZOTTO

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $D$  be a Weil divisor with rational coefficients on an integral, normal, projective scheme  $X$  defined over a field  $K$ . Assume that  $ND$  is an ample Cartier divisor for some  $N > 0$ . Then  $A(X, D) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))T^n \subseteq K(X)[T]$  is a finitely generated, integrally closed, graded  $K$ -algebra. Since factorial domains are integrally closed, it is natural to ask for criteria which imply the factoriality of  $A(X, D)$ . In 1984 Robbiano found the shape of the divisor  $D$  such that  $A(X, D)$  is factorial, in the case  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ . The main result in this paper is Theorem 29 where we give a characterization of such factorial rings valid over a field of any characteristic.

In the last part of the paper we study how the task of factorizing an element of a UFD, given as a quotient  $R/I$ , can be achieved by simply calculating inside the ring  $R$ .

**1. Introduction.** In 1979 Demazure developed the theory of Weil divisors with rational coefficients on normal schemes. He showed that if  $D$  is such a divisor on an integral, normal, projective scheme  $X$  defined over a field  $K$ , and if  $ND$  is an ample Cartier divisor for some  $N > 0$ , then  $A(X, D) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))T^n \subseteq K(X)[T]$  is a finitely generated, integrally closed, graded  $K$ -algebra.

In [12] Robbiano studied the connection between weighted projective spaces, rational coefficient Weil divisors and unique factorization domains. In particular, he showed that if  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , the ring  $A(X, D)$  is almost factorial if and only if  $\text{rank}(\text{Cl}(X)) = 1$ . Moreover, he described the shape that a Weil divisor with rational coefficients must have to give rise to a factorial ring. This result is described in our Theorem 8.

The main result in this paper is Theorem 29 where we give a characterization of such factorial rings. Let  $X$  be an integral normal projective scheme defined over a field  $K$ . Assume that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  generated by  $[D_0]$ , where  $D_0$  is very ample, and let  $D =$

---

*Keywords and phrases.* Factoriality, grading, unique factorization.  
Received by the editors on December 7, 2004, and in revised form on November 8, 2006.

$\sum_V (p_V/q_V)V$  be a rational coefficient Weil divisor such that  $A(X, D)$  is a factorial ring. Then there exists an equivariant isomorphism

$$A(X, D) \cong A(X, D_0)[X_0, \dots, X_s]/(X_0^{\delta_0} - f_0, \dots, X_s^{\delta_s} - f_s)$$

where  $f_0, \dots, f_s \in A(X, D_0)$  are homogeneous generators of the prime ideals of  $A(X, D_0)$  corresponding to the prime divisors in the support of  $D$ .

A more general structure theorem was proved in a recent paper by Tomari and Watanabe [15], where they deal with integral normal projective schemes defined over a field  $K$  with  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Their result is also valid under the hypothesis that  $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ , but in this case they need the extra assumptions  $\text{GCD}(\text{LCM}(q_V), p) = 1$  and  $\text{GCD}(p, p_V) = 1$ . Our result is obtained using a more direct approach which does not require any restrictions on the characteristic of the field  $K$ .

The aim of the last part of the paper is to understand how the task of factorizing an element of a UFD, given as a quotient  $R/I$ , can be achieved by simply calculating inside the ring  $R$ . In fact, it is natural to ask for a method to compute the factorization of an element in a factorial quotient ring  $R/I$  where  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is a polynomial ring over a field  $K$ , and  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $R$  with respect to a positive graduation of  $R$ . Therefore, we provide an algorithm to compute the factorization of the residue class of  $F$  in  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$  by the computation of the minimal primes of the ideal  $(F) + I$  in  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

In the last section we give an alternative algorithm to compute the factorization of an element in  $R/I$  in the case where  $I$  is a principal ideal. The approach we use is similar to the one for factoring polynomials in several variables over an algebraic number field (Trager, [16]).

**2. Rings associated to Weil divisors with rational coefficients.** In this section we recall some basic definitions, and we study the rings associated to Weil divisors with rational coefficients over a normal projective scheme. In the following,  $K$  is a field and  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is an integral projective normal scheme defined over  $K$ . We denote by  $\text{W-div}(X)$  the set of Weil divisors on  $X$ , by  $\text{W-div}(X, \mathbf{Q}) =$

$W\text{-div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ , the set of Weil divisors on  $X$  with rational coefficients, and by  $\mathcal{D}_X$  the set of divisors  $D \in W\text{-div}(X, \mathbf{Q})$  such that  $ND$  is an ample Cartier divisor for some  $N > 0$ . If  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , then  $\lfloor D \rfloor$  denotes the integral part of the  $D$ , i.e.,  $\lfloor D \rfloor$  is the divisor defined by  $\sup\{\Delta \in W\text{-div}(X) : \Delta \leq D\}$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is the sheaf defined by  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) = \{f \in K(X) : ((f) + D)|_U \geq 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor D \rfloor)$ . Moreover, when we write  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i / \delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , we assume that  $\delta_i > 0$ ,  $\text{GCD}(\eta_i, \delta_i) = 1$  and  $V_i$  are distinct prime divisors.

**Theorem 1** ([4, Proposition 3.3]). *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme. Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , let  $T$  be a new indeterminate of degree 1, and let  $A(X, D)$  be the graded subring of  $K(X)[T]$  defined by the equality  $A(X, D) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))T^n$ . Then:*

- (1)  $A(X, D)$  is an integral domain and a finitely generated graded  $K$ -algebra such that  $A(X, D)_0 = K$ .
- (2)  $A(X, D)$  is integrally closed.
- (3) There exists an isomorphism of schemes  $j : X \rightarrow \text{Proj}(A(X, D))$  such that  $j_* \mathcal{O}_X(nD) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(A(X, D))}(n)$  and  $j^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(A(X, D))}(n) = \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ .
- (4)  $K(A(X, D)) = K(X)(T)$ .
- (5) Let  $f$  be a rational function over  $X$ . Then there exists an equivariant isomorphism  $\psi : A(X, D) \rightarrow A(X, D + (f))$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A$  is an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated graded  $K$ -algebra such that  $A_0 = K$ . Then we want to find a divisor  $D \in W\text{-div}(\text{Proj}(A), \mathbf{Q})$  such that  $A = A(\text{Proj}(A), D)$ .

Let  $p : \text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\} \rightarrow \text{Proj}(A)$  be the canonical projection. We recall that if  $V$  is a prime divisor of  $\text{Proj}(A)$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  the prime ideal of height 1 such that  $V = \text{Proj}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ , and  $W = \text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  the unique prime divisor of  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  such that  $p(W) = V$ , then the pull-back of  $V$  is the divisor  $p^*(V) = e_{W|V}W$  where  $e_{W|V} = l(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}_{A(\mathfrak{p})}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is the length of the  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}_{A(\mathfrak{p})}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Theorem 2** ([4], Theorem 3.5). *Let  $A$  be an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated graded  $K$ -algebra such that  $A_0 = K$ . Then let  $T \in K(A)$  be a homogeneous element of degree one, and*

let  $A = A(\text{Proj}(A), D)$ . Then there exists a unique divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i/\delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$  with the property that  $A_n = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))T^n$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X(n) = \mathcal{O}_X(nD)T^n$ , and  $p^*(D) = \text{div}(T)$ . In particular,  $p^*(V_i) = \delta_i W_{V_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , and let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . The following result states the existence of an exact sequence which connects the class groups of  $A(X, D)$  and  $X$ .

**Theorem 3** ([17, Theorem 1.6]). *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i/\delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$  and let  $L_D = \text{LCM}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s)$ . Then let  $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$  be the map defined by  $\phi(1) = [L_D \cdot D]$ , let  $\alpha : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}/\delta_i \mathbf{Z}$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\alpha(1) = (\eta_1 \bmod \delta_1, \dots, \eta_s \bmod \delta_s)$ , and let  $\psi : \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(A(X, D))$  be the map induced by  $p^*$ . The following sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{Cl}(A(X, D)) \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Definition 4.** A normal ring  $A$  is said to be *factorial* if  $\text{Cl}(A) = 0$ . A normal ring  $A$  is said to be *almost factorial* if  $\text{Cl}(A)$  is torsion.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i/\delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , and denote by  $L_D$  the integer  $\text{LCM}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s)$ . Then*

- (1)  $A(X, D)$  is almost factorial if and only if  $\text{rank}(\text{Cl}(X)) = 1$ .
- (2)  $A(X, D)$  is factorial if and only if  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  generated by  $[L_D \cdot D]$  and the  $\delta_i$ s are pairwise coprime.

**Definition 6.** A divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i/\delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$  is said to be a *pairwise coprime divisor* if  $\text{GCD}(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 1, i \neq j$ .

**Remark 7.** Suppose that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $[D_0]$  be a generator. Then either  $D_0$  or  $-D_0$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . In fact, if we consider the embedding

of  $X$  in some  $\mathbf{P}^N$ , and denote by  $H$  a hyperplane section of  $X$ , then  $[nD_0] = [H]$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . This relation implies that either  $D_0$  or  $-D_0$  is an ample Cartier divisor. Therefore, we can assume that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \text{W-div}(X)$  is such that  $[D_0]$  generates  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , and for every  $D \in \text{W-div}(X)$  we denote by  $\text{deg}(D)$  the integer defined by the relation  $D \sim \text{deg}(D)D_0$ .

**Theorem 8** [12, Theorem 3.5]. *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i/\delta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , and assume that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  is generated by  $[D_0]$  and that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A(X, D)$  is factorial.
- (2)  $D$  is a pairwise coprime divisor such that  $\sum_{i=1}^s (\eta_i \cdot \text{deg}(V_i))/\delta_i = 1/(\prod_{i=1}^s \delta_i)$ .

**2.1. Normalized grading.** Let  $Q = (q_0, \dots, q_r)$  be an  $r + 1$ -tuple of positive integers,  $q = \text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ ,  $d_i = \text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_r)$ ,  $a_i = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_{i-1}, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_r)$ , and  $a = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_r)$ . The  $r + 1$ -tuple  $Q$  is said to be *reduced* if  $q = 1$ .

In the following remark we collect some easy facts about reduced tuples of positive integers.

*Remark 9.* Suppose that  $Q$  is reduced. The following statements hold:

- (1)  $a_i \mid q_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .
- (2)  $\text{GCD}(q_i, d_i) = 1$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .
- (3)  $\text{GCD}(d_j, d_i) = 1$  for every  $j \neq i$ .
- (4)  $\text{GCD}(a_i, d_i) = 1$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .
- (5)  $a_i d_i = a$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .
- (6)  $d_j \mid a_i$  for every  $j \neq i$ .

**Definition 10.** We say that  $Q$  is *normalized* if  $d_i = 1$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . The *normalization* of  $Q$  is the  $r + 1$ -tuple  $\overline{Q} = ((q_0/a_0), \dots, (q_r/a_r))$ . Clearly,  $\overline{Q}$  is normalized.

Now, we study some properties related to an  $\mathbf{N}$ -grading of a  $K$ -algebra  $A$  and the induced map  $p^* : \text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\} \rightarrow \text{Proj}(A)$ . Let  $A$  be an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded  $K$ -algebra, assume that  $A_0 = K$ , and let  $\{t_0, \dots, t_r\}$  be a minimal set of homogeneous generators of  $A$  as a  $K$ -algebra. Let  $q_i = \deg(t_i) > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and let  $Q = (q_0, \dots, q_r)$ . The next lemma is easy to prove, so we omit the proof.

**Lemma 11.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : A_n \neq (0)\} = q$ .
- (2)  $\text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_r) = q$ .
- (3)  $A_{qn} = (0)$  for  $n > 0$ , and there exists a homogeneous element  $T \in K(A)$  such that  $\deg(T) = q$ .

The grading on  $A$  is said to be *reduced* if the  $r+1$ -tuple  $Q$  is reduced, or equivalently if there exists a homogeneous element  $T \in K(A)$  of degree one.

It is known that for every integer  $n > 0$  there exists an isomorphism of schemes  $\psi : \text{Proj}(A) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(A^{(n)})$ , where  $A^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -Veronese of  $A$ , and it is the graded ring  $A^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} [A^{(n)}]_m$  such that  $[A^{(n)}]_m = A_{mn}$ .

In particular,  $\text{Proj}(A) \cong \text{Proj}(A^{(q)})$ , where  $q = \text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ . Moreover,  $A^{(q)} = K[t_0, \dots, t_r]$  where  $\deg(t_i) = q_i/q$ . Therefore, in the following we can assume that the grading on  $A$  is reduced, and it is said to be *normalized* if  $Q$  is normalized.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $A$  be an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded  $K$ -algebra. Assume that  $A_0 = K$ . With the above notation, we have  $A^{(a)} = K[t_0^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}]$  and  $\deg(t_i^{d_i}) = q_i/a_i$ .*

*Proof.* In the ring  $A$  we have  $\deg(t_i^{d_i}) = q_i d_i = a_i d_i q_i / a_i = a q_i / a_i$  and  $q_i / a_i \in \mathbf{N}^*$ , hence  $t_i^{d_i} \in A^{(a)}$ .

Conversely, if  $t_0^{s_0} \cdots t_r^{s_r} \in A^{(a)}$ , then  $s_0 q_0 + \cdots + s_r q_r = \lambda a$ , for some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{N}$ ; therefore,

$$s_i q_i = - \sum_{j \neq i} s_j q_j + \lambda a_i d_i.$$

Since  $d_i \mid q_j$  where  $j \neq i$ , we obtain  $s_i q_i \in (d_i)$ . Moreover,  $q_i$  and  $d_i$  are coprime, so  $s_i \in (d_i)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .  $\square$

We have seen that  $\overline{Q}$  is normalized but in general  $\{t_0^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}\}$  is not a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A^{(a)}$ , so the grading on  $A^{(a)}$  is not necessarily normalized.

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $A$  be an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$  graded  $K$ -algebra. Assume that  $A_0 = K$ . Let  $V$  be a prime divisor of  $\text{Proj}(A)$ , let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the corresponding prime ideal of height 1, and let  $W$  be the unique prime divisor of  $\text{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  such that  $p(W) = V$ . Then  $e_{W|V} = \text{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : (A/\mathfrak{p})_n \neq (0)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : (A/\mathfrak{p})_n \neq (0)\}$ . Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a regular ring of dimension 1, then  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is principle. We can suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (f)$ , where  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  is homogeneous. The claim is

$$\mathfrak{m}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (f^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}}$  is the maximal ideal of  $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ .

First, we observe that for every homogeneous element  $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $d_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \deg(u)$ . Moreover, by Lemma 11, there exists an element  $u' = u_1/u_2 \in K(A)$ , with  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  homogeneous elements in  $A$  not in  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\deg(u') = \deg(u_1) - \deg(u_2) = d_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Since  $u' \notin \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , if we prove that  $\text{GCD}(\deg(f), d_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ , then

$$\mathfrak{m}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} = \left( \frac{f^{d_{\mathfrak{p}}}}{u'^{\deg(f)}} \right),$$

and the conclusion follows. Let  $d'$  be the  $\text{GCD}(\deg(f), d_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . If  $g \in A$  is a homogeneous element not in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $d_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \deg(g)$ , so  $d' \mid \deg(g)$ . On the other hand, if  $g \neq 0$  is a homogeneous element in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  we have  $g = (l_1/l_2)f^s$ , where  $l_1, l_2$  are homogeneous elements in  $A$  not in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Then  $d' \mid d_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \deg(l_1)$  and  $d' \mid d_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \deg(l_2)$ . Moreover  $d' \mid \deg(f)$ , so  $d' \mid \deg(g)$ . Therefore, the degree of every homogeneous element in  $A$  is a multiple of  $d'$ . Since the grading on  $A$  is reduced, then  $d' = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $A$  be an integrally closed domain and a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$  graded  $K$ -algebra. Assume that  $A_0 = K$ . With the above notation, suppose that  $\operatorname{div}(t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} s_{i,j} W_{i,j}$ , with  $W_{i,j}$  prime divisors of  $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ , and let  $V_{i,j} = p(W_{i,j})$  be the corresponding prime divisors of  $\operatorname{Proj}(A)$ . Then*

- (1)  $d_i | e_{W_{i,j}|V_{i,j}}$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m_i$ .
- (2) If  $\operatorname{div}(t_i)$  is prime for some  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , then  $p^*(V_i) = d_i \operatorname{div}(t_i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  be the homogeneous prime ideal of height 1 corresponding to  $V_{i,j}$ . By Proposition 13,  $e_{W_{i,j}|V_{i,j}} = \operatorname{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : [A/\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}]_n \neq (0)\}$ . Since  $t_i \in \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ , then  $A/\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  is a quotient algebra of  $A/(t_i)$ . Hence,  $[A/\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}]_n \neq 0$  implies  $[A/(t_i)]_n \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : [A/(t_i)]_n \neq (0)\}$  divides  $\operatorname{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : [A/\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}]_n \neq (0)\} = e_{W_{i,j}|V_{i,j}}$ .

By Proposition 11 and the observation that  $t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r$  is a minimal system of homogeneous generators of the ring  $A/(t_i)$ , we have the equality  $\operatorname{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : [A/(t_i)]_n \neq (0)\} = d_i$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Normal embeddings.** In this section we study the case where the grading of the ring associated to a Weil divisor with rational coefficients over a projective scheme is normalized. Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , and let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . Let  $\{t_0, \dots, t_r\}$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A(X, D)$  as a  $K$ -algebra, and denote by  $Q$  the tuple  $(q_0, \dots, q_r)$ , where  $q_i = \deg(t_i)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Then there exists a homogeneous isomorphism  $K[T_0, \dots, T_r]/I \cong A(X, D)$  such that  $\overline{T}_i \mapsto t_i$  gives a closed embedding of  $X$  in the weighted projective space  $\mathbf{P}(Q)$ .

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , and let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . With the above notation, if  $D$  is a Weil divisor and  $t_i$  is prime in  $A(X, D)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , then  $Q$  is normalized.*

*Proof.* Let  $T = \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{n_i}$  be such that  $p^*(D) = \operatorname{div}(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i \operatorname{div}(t_i)$ . We have  $\sum_{i=0}^r n_i q_i = 1$ . If  $n_i = 0$ , then  $d_i = 1$



since  $1 = \sum_{j \neq i} n_j q_j$ . If  $n_i \neq 0$ , then  $D = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i/d_i p(\operatorname{div}(t_i))$  by Theorem 2 and by Proposition 14, so  $d_i = 1$  since  $D$  is a Weil divisor.  $\square$

**Definition 16.** We say that  $X$  is *normally embedded* by  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$  if the grading of  $A(X, D)$  is normalized. The embedding is said to be factorial if  $A(X, D)$  is factorial and it is said to be almost factorial if  $A(X, D)$  is almost factorial.

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ . If  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \operatorname{W-div}(X)$  and  $A(X, D)$  is factorial, then  $X$  is normally embedded by  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $t_0, \dots, t_r$  are minimal generators of  $A(X, D)$ , then they are irreducible. Moreover,  $A(X, D)$  is factorial, hence the element  $t_i$  is prime for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Then  $Q$  is normalized by Proposition 15.  $\square$

**Proposition 18.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , and let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . If  $A(X, D)$  is factorial and its grading is normalized, then  $D$  is a Weil divisor.*

*Proof.* Let  $T = \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{n_i}$  be such that  $p^*(D) = \operatorname{div}(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i \operatorname{div}(t_i)$ . Since  $A(X, D)$  is factorial and  $t_i$  is irreducible,  $\operatorname{div}(t_i)$  is a prime divisor for every  $i$ . By Theorem 2 and Proposition 14, we have  $D = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i/d_i p(\operatorname{div}(t_i))$ . Therefore,  $D$  is a Weil divisor.  $\square$

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$  such that  $A(X, D)$  is factorial.*
- (2)  $\operatorname{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ .
- (3) *There exists  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \operatorname{W-div}(X)$  such that  $A(X, D_0)$  is factorial, and its grading is normalized.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) is a consequence of Theorem 3.

We prove (2)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $D_0 \in \operatorname{W-div}(X)$  be such that  $[D_0]$  generates  $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ . After Remark 7 we can assume that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X$ .

Moreover,  $A(X, D_0)$  is factorial by Corollary 5, and its grading is normalized by Corollary 17.

Finally, (3)  $\implies$  (1) is obvious.  $\square$

*Remark 20.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$ , and assume that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ . We have seen that there exists a divisor  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \text{W-div}(X)$  such that  $A(X, D_0)$  is factorial and its grading is normalized. Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$  be such that  $A(X, D)$  is factorial and its grading is normalized. By Proposition 18 we have that  $D$  is a Weil divisor. Assume that  $D = \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i V_i \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \text{W-div}(X)$ . Then by Theorem 8 we have  $\sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i \deg(V_i) = 1$ , i.e.,  $D$  is linearly equivalent to  $D_0$ .

**3. Factorial graded algebras.** We have seen in Corollary 5 that if  $X$  is an integral normal projective scheme such that  $\dim(X) \geq 1$  then either  $\text{rk}(\text{Cl}(X)) > 1$ , and in this case we cannot obtain almost factorial rings from  $X$ , or  $\text{rk}(\text{Cl}(X)) = 1$ , and for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$ ,  $A(X, D)$  is almost factorial. Moreover, by Theorem 19, if  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  then there exists a divisor  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X \cap \text{W-div}(X)$  such that  $A(X, D_0)$  is factorial, and we obtain factorial rings from  $X$  using the “recipe” described in Theorem 8. In this section we are going to characterize such rings (Theorem 29).

In the following, by  $A$  we mean an integrally closed domain which is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded  $K$ -algebra. Moreover, we assume that  $A_0 = K$  and that the grading is reduced. If  $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  the ideal  $= \bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$ .

In the following, by  $\{t_0, \dots, t_r\}$  we denote a minimal set of homogeneous generators of  $A$  as a  $K$ -algebra, and by  $q_i = \deg(t_i) > 0$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ .

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $f \in A_m$ ,  $m > 0$ , be a homogeneous element, and let  $n > 0$  be such that  $\text{GCD}(n, m) = 1$ . Then  $X^n - f$  is prime in  $A[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\deg(X) = m$ , and let  $\deg(t_i) = q_i \cdot n$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Then  $A[X]$  is a graded  $K$ -algebra such that  $X^n - f$  is homogeneous of

degree  $nm$ . Let  $B = A[x]/(x^n - f)$ . If  $B$  is not an integral domain, then there exists a homogeneous zero divisor. But a homogeneous element of  $B$  is of the form  $ax^i$  with  $a \in A$  homogeneous,  $0 \leq i < n$  and  $x = \bar{X}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer, let  $f \in A_m$  be a homogeneous prime element, let  $n > 1$  be an integer such that  $\text{GCD}(n, m) = 1$ , and let  $B = A[X]/(X^n - f)$ .*

(1)  *$f$  is a minimal generator of  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  if and only if there exists  $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\{t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, x\}$  is a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $B$  as a  $K$ -algebra.*

(2) *Let  $g \in A$  be a homogeneous prime element such that  $(g) \neq (f)$ . Then  $g$  is prime in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}_B = (t_0, \dots, t_r, x)$ . We have to prove that  $\bar{f} \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2$  if and only if there exists an  $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\bar{t}_0, \dots, \bar{t}_{i-1}, \bar{t}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{t}_r, \bar{x}$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}_B^2 \cap A = \mathfrak{m}_A^2 + (f)$ .

Assume that  $f$  is a minimal generator of  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , and let  $t_0 = f$ . Since  $t_0 = x^n$  in  $B$ ,  $\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r, \bar{x}$  generate  $\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$ . They are linearly independent. In fact, assume that  $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j t_j + \lambda x \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda \in K$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}_B^2$  is a homogeneous ideal and  $\deg(x) \neq \deg(t_i)$  for every  $i$ , we have  $\lambda x \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2$ , hence  $\lambda = 0$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j t_j \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2 \cap A = \mathfrak{m}_A^2 + (t_0)$ , so  $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \bar{t}_j - \lambda_0 \bar{t}_0 = 0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2$ , for a suitable  $\lambda_0 \in K$ . But  $\bar{t}_0, \dots, \bar{t}_r$  are linearly independent, therefore  $\lambda_i = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

Conversely, let  $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  be such that  $\{\bar{t}_0, \dots, \bar{t}_{i-1}, \bar{t}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{t}_r, \bar{x}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_B^2$ . Then  $\bar{t}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \bar{t}_j + \lambda \bar{x}$ , i.e.,  $t_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j t_j + \lambda x \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2$ . This implies  $\lambda = 0$  and  $t_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j t_j \in \mathfrak{m}_B^2 \cap A$ . Therefore,  $t_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j t_j - af \in \mathfrak{m}_A^2$  for some  $a \in A$ , i.e.,  $\bar{t}_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \bar{t}_j - \bar{a} \bar{f} = 0$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_A/\mathfrak{m}_A^2$ . But  $t_0, \dots, t_r$  are linearly independent, so  $\bar{a} \bar{f} \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $f$  is a minimal generator.

Now we prove (2). Since  $g$  is a homogeneous prime element,  $A/(g)$  is a graded domain. Then  $f \in [A/(g)]_m$  and  $f \neq 0$  in  $A/(g)$ . By Proposition 21 we have that  $A/(g)[X]/(X^n - f) \cong A[X]/(X^n - f)/(g)$  is a domain, i.e.,  $g$  is prime.  $\square$

**Proposition 23.** *Suppose that  $A$  is factorial and that its grading is normalized. Let  $T \in K(A)$  be a homogeneous element of degree one, and let  $D$  be the unique divisor in  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Proj}(A)}$  with the property that  $A = A(\text{Proj}(A), D)$  and  $p^*(D) = \text{div}(T)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $D$  is a Weil divisor.
- (2)  $[D]$  generates  $\text{Cl}(\text{Proj}(A)) = \mathbf{Z}$ .
- (3) If  $V$  is a prime divisor of  $\text{Proj}(A)$ , then there exists a homogeneous prime element  $f_V \in A$  such that  $V \cong \text{Proj}(A/(f_V))$ .
- (4) If  $\deg(V)$  is defined by  $[V] = \deg(V)[D]$ , then  $\deg(V) = \deg(f_V)$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from Proposition 18 and (2) follows from Theorem 3. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_V \subset A$  be the unique homogeneous prime ideal of height 1 such that  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Proj}(A/\mathfrak{p}_V)$ . Since  $A$  is factorial, there exists a homogeneous prime element  $f_V \in A$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_V = (f_V)$ .

Since  $(T^{\deg(f_V)}/f_V)$  defines a rational function over  $\text{Proj}(A)$ , we have that  $\deg(f_V)D \sim V$ .  $\square$

With the same notation as in Section 2, let  $d_i = \text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_r)$ ,  $a_i = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_{i-1}, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_r)$  and  $a = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_r)$ .

**Lemma 24.** *Suppose that  $A^{(a)}$  is factorial and that its grading is normalized. If  $t_i$  is a prime element in  $A$ , then  $\deg(V_i) = q_i/a_i$ , where  $V_i = p(\text{div}(t_i))$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 12 we have  $A^{(a)} = K[t_0^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}]$ . Moreover,  $t_i^{d_i}$  is irreducible in  $A^{(a)}$  because  $t_i$  is prime in  $A$ . Since  $A^{(a)}$  is factorial,  $t_i^{d_i}$  is prime in  $A^{(a)}$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Proj}(A^{(a)}/(t_i^{d_i})) = \text{Proj}((A/(t_i))^{(a)}) \cong \text{Proj}(A/(t_i)) = V_i.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 23,  $\deg(V_i)$  is equal to the degree of  $t_i^{d_i}$  in  $A^{(a)}$ , i.e.,  $q_i/a_i$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 25.** *If  $A$  is factorial, then  $A^{(a)}$  is factorial and its grading is normalized.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \text{Proj}(A)$  and let  $T = \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{n_i} \in K(A)$  be such that  $\text{deg}(T) = 1$ . Since  $A$  is factorial and  $t_i$  is irreducible,  $t_i$  is a prime element for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2 and by Proposition 14, the divisor  $D$  such that  $A = A(X, D)$  and  $p^*(D) = \text{div}(T)$  is  $D = \sum_{i=0}^r (n_i/d_i) p(\text{div}(t_i))$ . By Theorem 3,  $[aD]$  generates  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . Moreover, by the definition of  $A(X, D)$ , we have  $A^{(a)} = A(X, aD)$ , hence  $A^{(a)}$  is factorial by Corollary 5. Since  $aD$  is a Weil divisor, the grading on  $A^{(a)}$  is normalized by Corollary 17.  $\square$

Here we show how the methods explained before can be used to prove the following fact. For another proof, see [13, 14].

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated factorial  $K$ -algebra. Assume that  $A$  is  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded,  $A_0 = K$ , and the grading is reduced. Let  $m$  be a positive integer, let  $f \in A_m$  be a prime element and let  $n > 0$  be such that  $\text{GCD}(n, m) = 1$ . Then  $A[X]/(X^n - f)$  is factorial.*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , then  $A[X]/(X - f) \cong A$ . Therefore, we suppose that  $n > 1$  and we denote  $A[X]/(X^n - f)$  by  $B$ .

First, suppose that  $f$  is not a minimal generator of  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ . By Proposition 22,  $B$  is minimally generated by  $t_0, \dots, t_r, x$ , and  $Q' = (nq_0, \dots, nq_r, m)$  is the vector of degrees. Assume that  $f = \sum \alpha_j t_0^{w_{0,j}} \dots t_r^{w_{r,j}}$ , where  $\alpha_j \in K$ . Since  $f$  is prime and is not a minimal generator of  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , in  $\sum \alpha_j t_0^{w_{0,j}} \dots t_r^{w_{r,j}}$  there is an addendum without  $t_i$ , for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Therefore,  $m$  is a multiple of  $d_i = \text{GCD}(q_0, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_r)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . In order to normalize  $Q'$ , we consider

$$d'_i = \text{GCD}(q_0n, \dots, q_{i-1}n, q_{i+1}n, \dots, q_rn, m) = \text{GCD}(d_in, m) = d_i$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and

$$d'_{r+1} = \text{GCD}(q_0n, \dots, q_rn) = n.$$

Since  $d_i \mid m$  and  $\text{GCD}(n, m) = 1$ , we have  $\text{GCD}(n, d_i) = 1$ . Then

$$a'_i = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_{i-1}, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_r, n) = a_in,$$

and  $a'_{r+1} = \text{LCM}(d_0, \dots, d_r, n) = a \cdot n$ . Therefore,  $\overline{Q'} = ((q_0/a_0), \dots, (q_r/a_r), (m/a))$  and

$$B^{(na)} = K[t_0^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}, X^n]/(X^n - f) \cong K[t_0^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}] = A^{(a)}.$$

Since  $A$  is factorial and  $\text{Proj}(A) \cong \text{Proj}(A^{(a)}) \cong \text{Proj}(B^{(na)}) \cong \text{Proj}(B)$ , we obtain that  $B$  is also factorial. Moreover, by Lemma 25,  $A^{(a)}$  is factorial and its grading is normalized, so  $B^{(na)}$  has the same properties.

Let  $T = \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{s_i} \in K(A)$  be a homogeneous element of degree one. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two integers such that  $\alpha m + \beta n = 1$ . Then we have  $\alpha m + \beta \sum_{i=0}^r (nq_i)s_i = 1$ , i.e.,  $T' = x^\alpha \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{\beta s_i} \in K(B)$  is a homogeneous element of degree one. But  $(t_i) \neq (f)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , so, by Proposition 22,  $t_i$  is a homogeneous prime element in  $B$  for every  $i$ . Moreover  $x$  is prime in  $B$  since  $B/(x) \cong A/(f)$ . By Theorem 2 and by Proposition 14, the divisor  $D$  on  $\text{Proj}(B)$  such that  $B = A(\text{Proj}(B), D)$  and  $p^*(D) = \text{div}(T')$  is

$$D = \frac{\alpha}{n}V_{r+1} + \sum_{i=0}^r s_i \frac{\beta}{d_i}V_i,$$

where  $p^*(V_{r+1}) = n\text{div}(x)$  and  $p^*(V_i) = d_i\text{div}(t_i)$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . By Lemma 24, we have the equalities  $\text{deg}(V_i) = q_i/a_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , and  $\text{deg}(V_{r+1}) = m/a$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)\frac{m}{a} + \sum_{i=0}^r \left(s_i \frac{\beta}{d_i}\right)\left(\frac{q_i}{a_i}\right) &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)\frac{m}{a} + \beta \sum_{i=0}^r s_i \frac{q_i}{a} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)\frac{m}{a} + \frac{\beta}{a} \\ &= \frac{\alpha m + \beta n}{na} = \frac{1}{na} = \frac{1}{n \prod_{i=0}^r d_i}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $B$  is factorial using Theorem 8.

Now, assume that  $f$  is a minimal generator of  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , so let  $t_0 = f$ . By Proposition 22,  $B$  is minimally generated by  $t_1, \dots, t_r, x$ , and  $Q' = (nq_1, \dots, nq_r, q_0)$  is the vector of degrees. We normalize  $Q'$ . Since  $m = q_0$  and  $\text{GCD}(n, m) = 1$ , we have  $\text{GCD}(q_1n, \dots, q_{i-1}n, q_{i+1}n, \dots, q_rn, q_0) = d_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Moreover,  $\text{GCD}(q_1n, \dots, q_rn) = nd_0$ .

Since  $d_i \mid q_0$  for every  $i \neq 0$ , and  $\text{GCD}(n, q_0) = 1$ , we have  $\text{GCD}(n, d_i) = 1$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Therefore,  $\text{LCM}(d_1, \dots, d_{i-1},$

$d_{i+1}, \dots, d_r, nd_0) = a_i n$ ,  $\text{LCM}(d_1, \dots, d_r) = a_0$ , and  $\text{LCM}(d_1, \dots, d_r, d_0 \cdot n) = a \cdot n$ . So this implies that  $\overline{Q'} = ((q_1/a_1), \dots, (q_r/a_r), (q_0/a_0))$ . By Proposition 12, we have

$$B^{(na)} = K[t_1^{d_1}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}, X_0^{nd_0}]/(X_0^n - t_0) \cong K[t_1^{d_0}, \dots, t_r^{d_r}, t_0^{d_0}] = A^{(a)},$$

hence  $B^{(na)}$  is factorial and its grading is normalized. Let  $T = \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{s_i}$  be a homogeneous element of degree one in  $K(A)$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be such that  $\alpha q_0 + \beta n = 1$ . Then  $\alpha q_0 + \beta \sum_{i=0}^r (nq_i) s_i = 1$ , i.e.,  $T' = x^\alpha \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{\beta s_i}$  is a homogeneous element of degree one in  $K(B)$ . By Proposition 22,  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  are homogeneous prime elements in  $B$ . Moreover  $t_0 = x^n$ , and  $x$  is prime in  $B$ , since  $B/(x_i) \cong A/(t_0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div} \left( x^\alpha \prod_{i=0}^r t_i^{\beta s_i} \right) &= \alpha \text{div}(x) + s_0 \beta \text{div}(t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta s_i \text{div}(t_i) = \\ &= \alpha \text{div}(x) + s_0 \beta \text{div}(x^n) + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta s_i \text{div}(t_i) \\ &= (\alpha + ns_0 \beta) \text{div}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta s_i \text{div}(t_i). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 and by Proposition 14, the divisor  $D$  such that  $B = A(X, D)$  and  $p^*(D) = \text{div}(T')$  is

$$D = \frac{\alpha + ns_0 \beta}{nd_0} V_{r+1} + \sum_{i=1}^r s_i \frac{\beta}{d_i} V_i,$$

where  $V_{r+1}$  is such that  $p^*(V_{r+1}) = nd_0 \text{div}(x)$ , and  $V_i$  is such that  $p^*(V_i) = d_i \text{div}(t_i)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Moreover, by Proposition 14,  $\text{deg}(V_i) = q_i/a_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\text{deg}(V_{r+1}) = q_0/a_0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha + ns_0 \beta}{nd_0} \frac{q_0}{a_0} + \sum_{i=0}^r s_i \frac{\beta}{d_i} \frac{q_i}{a_i} &= \frac{\alpha q_0}{na} + \beta \frac{s_0 q_0}{a} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{s_i q_i}{a} \\ &= \frac{\alpha q_0}{na} + \beta \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{s_i q_i}{a} = \alpha \frac{q_0}{n} + \frac{\beta}{a} \\ &= \frac{\alpha q_0 + \beta n}{na} = \frac{1}{na} = \frac{1}{nd_0 \prod_{i=1}^r d_i}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $B$  is factorial by Theorem 8.  $\square$

*Remark 27.* Using Proposition 7.1 of [13], it is possible to show that a graded ring is factorial if and only every irreducible homogeneous element is prime. Then Theorem 26 easily follows by Proposition 22.

**Lemma 28.** *Let  $A$  be a domain and a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$  graded  $K$ -algebra such that  $A_0 = K$ . Assume that the grading on  $A$  is normalized. Then for each homogeneous prime  $f \in A$  the grading on  $A/(f)$  is reduced.*

*Proof.* Let  $t_0, \dots, t_r$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A$  as a  $K$ -algebra. Then  $\overline{t_0}, \dots, \overline{t_r}$  is a system of generators of  $A/(f)$ . If  $\overline{t_0}, \dots, \overline{t_r}$  is a minimal system of generators of  $A/(f)$  as a  $K$ -algebra, we have the assumption. Suppose that for some  $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$  we have  $\overline{t_i} = \overline{g}$ , where  $g \in K[t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r]_{\deg(t_i)}$ . Then  $t_i - g \in (f)$ , and  $t_i - g$  is irreducible since  $t_i$  is irreducible. Hence  $t_i - g$  is equal to  $cf$ , where  $c$  is invertible. Therefore  $t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, f, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r$  is a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A$ . Then  $A/(f) \cong K[t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r]$ , and it is minimally generated by  $t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r$ . But the grading on  $A$  is normalized, so the grading on  $A/(f)$  is reduced.  $\square$

Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme. Suppose that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  is generated by  $[D_0]$ . After Remark 7, we can assume that  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . Then  $A(X, D_0)$  is factorial by Corollary 5 and his grading is normalized by Proposition 23. Moreover, for every prime divisor  $V$  on  $X$ , there exists a homogeneous prime element  $f_V \in A(X, D_0)$  such that  $V \cong \text{Proj}(A(X, D_0)/(f_V))$  and  $\deg(V) = \deg(f_V)$ .

The next theorem shows that for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}_X$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 19, i.e., such that  $A(X, D)$  is factorial, we have a complete description of  $A(X, D)$  if we know  $A(X, D_0)$ .

**Theorem 29.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be an integral projective normal scheme. Suppose that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$  is generated by  $[D_0]$ , where  $D_0 \in \mathcal{D}_X$ . Let  $\{t_0, \dots, t_r\}$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A(X, D_0)$  as a  $K$ -algebra, and let  $Q = (q_0, \dots, q_r)$ , where  $q_i = \deg(t_i)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . Let  $D = \sum_{i=0}^s (\eta_i/\delta_i) V_i$  be a pairwise coprime divisor in  $\mathcal{D}_X$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^s (\eta_i \cdot \deg(V_i))/\delta_i = 1/\prod_{i=0}^s \delta_i$ . Let  $\delta =$*



$\prod_{i=0}^s \delta_i = \text{LCM}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s)$ , and denote by  $f_0, \dots, f_s$  the homogeneous elements in  $A(X, D_0)$  corresponding to  $V_0, \dots, V_s$ . Then there exists an equivariant isomorphism

$$A(X, D) \cong K[t_0, \dots, t_r][X_0, \dots, X_s]/(X_0^{\delta_0} - f_0, \dots, X_s^{\delta_s} - f_s)$$

such that  $\deg(t_i) = \delta \cdot q_i$  and  $\deg(X_j) = \deg(f_j) \cdot \delta/\delta_j$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and for every  $j = 0, \dots, s$ .

*Proof.* We denote by  $A$  the ring  $A(X, D_0)$  and by  $A'$  the quotient ring  $K[t_0, \dots, t_r][X_0, \dots, X_s]/(X_0^{\delta_0} - f_0, \dots, X_s^{\delta_s} - f_s)$  graded by  $\deg(t_i) = \delta \cdot q_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , and  $\deg(X_j) = \deg(f_j) \cdot \delta/\delta_j$  for every  $j = 0, \dots, s$ . We want to prove that  $\text{Proj}(A') \cong X$ , and  $A(X, D) = A'$ . First, we determine a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A'$  as a  $K$ -algebra, using recursively Proposition 22. We observe that  $\sum_{i=0}^s (\eta_i \cdot \deg(f_i) \cdot \delta/\delta_i) = 1$  and  $\delta_i \mid (\delta/\delta_j)$  if  $i \neq j$ , so:

- I)  $\text{GCD}(\deg(f_0) \cdot \delta/\delta_1, \dots, \deg(f_s) \cdot \delta/\delta_s) = 1$ ,
- II)  $\text{GCD}(\delta_i, \delta/\delta_i) = 1$ ,
- III)  $\text{GCD}(\delta_i, \deg(f_i)) = 1$ .

Now, for every  $i = 0, \dots, s$ , consider

$$B_i = K[t_0, \dots, t_r][X_0, \dots, X_i]/(X_0^{\delta_0} - f_0, \dots, X_i^{\delta_i} - f_i),$$

where  $\deg(t_h) = \delta_0 \cdots \delta_i \cdot q_h$  for  $h = 0, \dots, r$ , and  $\deg(X_j) = \deg(f_j) \cdot \delta_0 \cdots \delta_i/\delta_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, i$ .

Since  $\delta_0$  and  $\deg(f_0)$  are coprime, Theorem 26 implies that  $B_0$  is factorial, and that  $f_i$  is a prime element in  $B_0$  of degree  $\delta_0 \deg(f_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Moreover,  $x_0$  is prime in  $B_0$  where  $x_0$  is the residue class of  $X_0$  in  $B_0$ . Suppose that  $B_i$  is factorial and that  $x_0, \dots, x_i, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_s$  are homogeneous prime elements in  $B_i$ . Since  $\text{GCD}(\delta_{i+1}, \deg(f_{i+1})\delta_0 \cdots \delta_i) = 1$ , Theorem 26 implies that  $B_{i+1}$  is factorial and that  $x_0, \dots, x_i, f_{i+2}, \dots, f_s, x_{i+1}$  are a prime element in  $B_{i+1}$ . In particular,  $A' = B_s$ , hence it is factorial, and  $x_0, \dots, x_s$  are prime in  $A'$ .

Now we look for a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $A'$ . Suppose that  $\delta_{l+1} = \dots = \delta_s = 1$  where  $-1 \leq l \leq s$ , and  $\delta_i > 1$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq l$ . Then  $A' = K[t_0, \dots, t_r, x_0, \dots, x_l]$ . Moreover,

assume that  $\{f_0, \dots, f_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r\}$  is a minimal set of homogeneous generators of  $A$  for some  $-1 \leq i \leq l$ , and that  $f_h \in (f_0, \dots, f_i) + \mathfrak{m}_A^2$ , for every  $i < h \leq l$ . We can choose  $t_0 = f_0, \dots, t_i = f_i$ , and then  $\{x_0, \dots, x_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r\}$  is a minimal system of generators of  $B_i$  by Proposition 22. Moreover,  $f_{h+1}$  is not minimal in  $B_h$  for every  $h \geq i$ , since  $f_{h+1} \in \mathfrak{m}_A^2 + (f_0, \dots, f_i) = \mathfrak{m}_{B_h}^2 \cap A$ . Then, using Proposition 22 recursively, we conclude that  $\{x_0, \dots, x_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_l\}$  is a minimal system of generators of  $A'$ .

Since  $T' = x_0^{\eta_0} \dots x_s^{\eta_s}$  is an element of degree one in  $K(A')$ , the grading on  $A'$  is reduced. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &= (q'_0, \dots, q'_i, q'_{i+1}, \dots, q'_r, q'_{r+1}, \dots, q'_{r+l-i}) \\ &= \left( q_0 \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta_0}, \dots, q_i \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta_i}, \delta \cdot q_{i+1}, \dots, \delta \cdot q_r, \deg(f_{i+1}) \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta_{i+1}}, \dots, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \deg(f_l) \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta_l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We normalize  $Q'$ . Let  $d'_h = \text{GCD}(q'_0, \dots, q'_{h-1}, q'_{h+1}, \dots, q'_{r+l-i})$ . We observe that  $d'_h = 1$  for every  $h = i+1, \dots, r$ . In fact, if  $p$  is prime and  $p \mid d'_h$ , then  $p \mid \delta \cdot q_j$  for every  $j = 0, \dots, r, j \neq h$ . But  $Q$  is normalized, so  $p \mid \delta$ , i.e., there exists  $k \in \{0, \dots, l\}$  such that  $p \mid \delta_k$ . Moreover,  $p$  divides  $\deg(f_k) \cdot \delta / \delta_k$  which is coprime with  $\delta_k$ , hence  $p = 1$ . Now we prove that  $d'_{r-i+h} = \delta_h$  for every  $h = i+1, \dots, l$ . Let  $h \in \{i+1, \dots, l\}$ . Then  $\delta_h \mid d'_{r-i+h}$ , since  $\delta_h \mid (\delta / \delta_h)$  if  $i \neq h$ . Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $d'_{r-i+h}$ . Since  $Q$  is normalized,  $p \mid \delta$ . Then  $p \mid \delta_j$  for some  $j$ . If  $j \neq h$ , then  $p \mid (\deg(f_j) \cdot \delta / \delta_j)$ . By II) and III),  $\deg(f_j) \cdot \delta / \delta_j$  is coprime with  $\delta_j$ , so  $p \mid \delta_h$ . In the same way we prove that  $d'_h = \delta_h$  for  $h \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (d'_0, \dots, d'_i, d'_{i+1}, \dots, d'_r, d'_{r+1}, \dots, d'_{r+l-i}) \\ = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_i, 1, \dots, 1, \delta_{i+1}, \dots, \delta_l). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a'_h = \text{LCM}(d'_0, \dots, d'_{h-1}, d'_{h+1}, \dots, d'_{r+l-i})$  for  $h = 0, \dots, r+l-i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a'_0, \dots, a'_i, a'_{i+1}, \dots, a'_r, a'_{r+1}, \dots, a'_{r+l-i}) \\ = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta_0}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta_i}, \delta, \dots, \delta, \frac{\delta}{\delta_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta_l} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that  $\overline{Q'} = (q_0, \dots, q_i, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_r, \deg(f_{i+1}), \dots, \deg(f_l))$ . Since  $a' = \text{LCM}(d'_0, \dots, d'_{r+l-i}) = \delta$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} A'^{(\delta)} &= K[x_0^{\delta_0}, \dots, x_i^{\delta_i}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, x_{i+1}^{\delta_{i+1}}, \dots, x_l^{\delta_l}] \\ &= K[t_0, \dots, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_l] = A, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that  $\text{Proj}(A') \cong X$ .

Let now  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_X$  be the unique divisor such that  $A(X, D') = A'$  and  $p^*(D') = \text{div}(T')$ . On  $\text{Spec}(A') - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  we have  $\text{div}(T') = \sum_{j=0}^s \eta_j \text{div}(x_j)$ , and  $p(\text{div}(x_j)) = V_j$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proj}(A'/(x_j)) &\cong \text{Proj}((A'/(x_j))^{(\delta)}) \\ &= \text{Proj}(K[x_0^{\delta_0}, \dots, x_i^{\delta_i}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, x_{i+1}^{\delta_{i+1}}, \dots, x_l^{\delta_l}]/(x_j^{\delta_j})) \\ &= \text{Proj}(K[t_0, \dots, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_l]/(f_j)) \\ &= \text{Proj}(K[t_0, \dots, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_r]/(f_j)) \\ &= \text{Proj}(A/(f_j)) = V_j. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we determine the integers  $e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j}$  such that  $p^*(V_j) = e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j} \text{div}(x_j)$  for every  $j = 0, \dots, s$ . Proposition 14 implies that  $e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j} = \delta_j$  for every  $j = 0, \dots, l$ . Let us prove that  $e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j} = 1$  for every  $j > l$ . By Proposition 13,  $e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j} = \text{GCD}\{n \in \mathbf{N}^* : (A'/(x_j))_n \neq (0)\}$ . By Lemma 28, the claim is that there exists a homogeneous element of degree one in the field of fraction of  $A'/(x_j) = A'/(f_j) = A/(f_j)[x_0, \dots, x_l]$ . By Lemma 28, there exists an element of degree one in  $K(A/(f_j))$ , and its degree is  $\delta$  in  $K(A'/(f_j))$ . Moreover, in  $K(A'/(f_j))$ ,  $\deg(x_i) = \deg(f_i)\delta/\delta_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, l$ . Since  $\text{GCD}(\delta, \deg(f_1)\delta/\delta_1, \dots, \deg(f_l)\delta/\delta_l) = 1$ , there exists an element of degree one in  $K(A'/(f_j))$ . Therefore we have  $e_{\text{div}(x_j)|V_j} = \delta_j = 1$  for every  $j > l$ . By Theorem 2 and Proposition 14, we conclude that  $D' = \sum_{j=0}^s \eta_j/\delta_j V_j = D$ .  $\square$

**4. Factorization in a graded quotient ring.** The aim of this section is to understand how the task of factorizing an element of a UFD, given as a quotient  $R/I$ , can be achieved by simply calculating inside the ring  $R$ .

Classical examples of varieties whose coordinate ring is a graded factorial domain include generic surfaces of  $\mathbf{P}_K^3$  with order  $m \geq 4$ , nonsingular quadrics of  $\mathbf{P}_K^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , Grassmannians, nonsingular complete intersections with dimension  $\geq 3$  in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^n$ , where  $n \geq 4$ . Moreover, as we have seen, it is possible to construct factorial domains taking  $A(X, D)$  where  $X$  is an integral, normal, projective scheme defined over a field  $K$  whose divisor class group is  $\text{Cl}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ , and  $D$  is a well-defined Weil divisor with rational coefficients.

Since all these examples are finitely generated  $K$ -algebras, it is natural to ask for a method to compute the factorization of an element in a factorial quotient ring  $R/I$  where  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is a polynomial ring over a field  $K$ , and  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $R$  to respect to a positive graduation of  $R$ .

In order to have the factorization (Proposition 39), we need an algorithm to compute the greatest common divisor of two elements in a quotient ring. First, we show that we can assume the elements are homogeneous.

Let  $K$  be a field. Let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal in  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  where  $\deg(X_i) = q_i > 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Assume that  $R/I$  is a unique factorization domain, and let  $W$  be a new indeterminate. Then the ring  $R[W]/IR[W] \cong R/I[W]$  is a UFD, and it admits an induced positive grading with  $\deg(W) = 1$ . Moreover,  $(R/I)_0 = (R[W]/IR[W])_0 = K$ , and we recall that in a positively graded domain the degree of an invertible element is zero. In the following, by  $S$  we denote  $R[W]$ , by  $IS$  the extension of the ideal  $I$  in  $S$ , and by  $w$  the residue class of  $W$  in  $S/IS$ .

**Definition 30.** Let  $f \in R/I$ . Let  $f = f_0 + \dots + f_{\deg(f)}$  where  $f_i \in (R/I)_i$  for every  $i = 0, \dots, \deg(f)$ . Then  ${}^h f = w^{\deg(f)} f_0 + w^{\deg(f)-1} f_1 + \dots + f_{\deg(f)}$  is a homogeneous element in  $S/IS$  of degree  $\deg(f)$ , and it is called the *homogenization* of  $f$ .

**Definition 31.** Let  $g \in S/IS$ . Then  ${}^d g = g|_{w=1} \in R/I$  is called the *dehomogenization* of  $g$ .

*Remark 32.* Given  $f \in R/I$ , let  $F \in R$  be such that  $f$  is the residue class of  $F$  in  $R/I$ . Then  $\overline{hF} = {}^h f \cdot w^{\deg(F) - \deg(f)}$  where  ${}^h F$  is the usual homogenization of a polynomial in  $R$ .

Given  $g \in S/IS$ , let  $G \in S$  be such that  $g$  is the residue class of  $G$  in  $S/I$ . Then  $\overline{dG} = {}^d g$  where  ${}^d G$  is the usual dehomogenization.

The next lemma is easy to prove, so we omit the proof.

**Lemma 33.** *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *Let  $f', f'' \in R/I$ . Then  ${}^h(f'f'') = {}^h f' {}^h f''$ .*
- (2) *Let  $g', g'' \in S/IS$ . Then  ${}^d(g'g'') = {}^d g' {}^d g''$ .*
- (3) *Let  $f \in R/I$ . Then  ${}^d({}^h f) = f$ .*
- (4) *Let  $g \in S/IS$  be such that  $w$  does not divide  $g$ . Then  ${}^h({}^d g) = g$ .*

*Remark 34.* Let  $g$  be a homogeneous element in  $S/IS$ . Then  ${}^d g \in K$  if and only if  $g = kw^{\deg(g)}$  for some  $k \in K$ . Indeed, let  $g = a_0 + a_1w + \dots + a_s w^s$  where  $a_i \in (R/I)_{\deg(g)-i}$ , and  $a_s \neq 0$ . Then  ${}^d g = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_s \in K$  if and only if  $s = \deg(g)$ , and  $a_0 = \dots = a_{s-1} = 0$ .

**Proposition 35.** *If  $f \in R/I$  is an irreducible element, then  ${}^h f$  is irreducible in  $S/IS$ . Conversely, if  $g \in S/IS$  is irreducible, then  ${}^d g$  is irreducible in  $R/I$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  irreducible and  ${}^h f = g_1 \cdot g_2$  where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are homogeneous elements in  $S/IS$ . Then  $f = {}^d g_1 \cdot {}^d g_2$ , so either  ${}^d g_1 \in K$  or  ${}^d g_2 \in K$ . Assume that  ${}^d g_1 \in K$ . By Remark 34, we have  $g_1 = kw^{\deg(g_1)}$  for some  $k \in K$ . Since  $w$  does not divide  ${}^h f$ , then  $\deg(g_1) = 0$ , so  ${}^h f$  is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that  ${}^d g = f_1 \cdot f_2$ . Since  $g$  is irreducible,  $w$  cannot divide  $g$ , so  ${}^h({}^d g) = g = {}^h f_1 \cdot {}^h f_2$ . Then either  ${}^h f_1 \in K$  or  ${}^h f_2 \in K$ , so either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is in  $K$ . □

**Proposition 36.** *Let  $f, g \in R/I$ .*

- (1)  $\text{GCD}(f, g) = {}^d \text{GCD}({}^h f, {}^h g)$ .

(2) Let  $F, G \in R$  be such that  $f = \overline{F}$  and  $g = \overline{G}$ . Then  $\text{GCD}(f, g) = {}^d\text{GCD}(\overline{{}^hF}, \overline{{}^hG})$ .

*Proof.* (1) If either  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ , the conclusion follows. So, assume that  $f \neq 0$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , and let  $q = \text{GCD}(f, g)$ . Then we have to prove that  ${}^h q = \text{GCD}({}^h f, {}^h g)$ . Since  $q \mid f$  and  $q \mid g$ , then  ${}^h q \mid {}^h f$  and  ${}^h q \mid {}^h g$ . Let  $p \in S/IS$  be such that  $p \mid {}^h f$  and  $p \mid {}^h g$ . Therefore,  ${}^d p$  divides  $f$  and  $g$ , so  ${}^d p \mid q$ . Moreover  $w$  cannot divide  $p$ , hence  $p = {}^h({}^d p)$ . Then we conclude that  $p \mid {}^h q$ , i.e.,  ${}^h q = \text{GCD}({}^h f, {}^h g)$ .

(2) follows by  $\text{GCD}(f, g) = {}^d\text{GCD}({}^h f, {}^h g) = {}^d\text{GCD}({}^h f w^{\deg(F) - \deg(f)}, {}^h g w^{\deg(G) - \deg(g)}) = {}^d\text{GCD}(\overline{{}^hF}, \overline{{}^hG})$   $\square$

Therefore, if we want to calculate  $\text{GCD}(f, g)$  where  $f, g \in R/I$ , we may assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are homogeneous elements.

**Proposition 37.** *Let  $f, g$  be homogeneous elements in  $R/I$ . Let  $f_1, g_1$  be such that  $f = f_1 \text{GCD}(f, g)$  and  $g = g_1 \text{GCD}(f, g)$ . Let  $F, G$  be homogeneous elements in  $R$  such that  $f, g$  are the residue class of  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively, in  $R/I$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_r \in R$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $I$ . Let  $\mathbf{V}_1, \dots, \mathbf{V}_s$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $\text{Syz}(F, -G, I_1, \dots, I_r)$ . Let  $m \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  be such that  $\mathbf{V}_m$  has minimum degree between the vectors whose first component is not in  $I$ . Then  $\mathbf{V}_m = k(G_1, F_1, J_1, \dots, J_r)$  where  $\overline{G_1} = g_1$ ,  $\overline{F_1} = f_1$ , and  $k \in K^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{V}_h = (V_{1,h}, \dots, V_{r+2,h}) \in R^{r+2}$  for every  $h = 1, \dots, s$ , and let  $v_{l,h}$  be the residue class of  $V_{l,h}$  in  $R/I$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_h = (v_{1,h}, v_{2,h})$  for every  $h = 1, \dots, s$ . Then,  $v_1, \dots, v_s$  is a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $\text{Syz}(f, -g)$ . Since  $R/I$  is a unique factorization domain,  $\text{Syz}(f, -g)$  is minimally generated by  $(g_1, f_1)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{v}_1 = k(g_1, f_1)$  for some  $l \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , and for some  $k \in K^*$ . This implies that  $V_{1,l} = kG_1$  and  $V_{2,l} = kF_1$  where  $\overline{F_1} = f_1$  and  $\overline{G_1} = g_1$ . We prove that  $l = m$ . Since  $\mathbf{v}_h = t\mathbf{v}_1$  for every  $h = 1, \dots, s$  and for some  $t \in R/I$ ,  $V_{1,h} - TV_{1,l} \in I$  where  $\overline{T} = t$ . If  $T \in I$ , then  $V_{1,h} \in I$ ; if  $T \notin I$ , then  $\deg(V_{1,h}) \geq \deg(V_{1,l})$ . Therefore,  $l = m$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 38.** *Let  $a, f, g$  be homogeneous elements in  $R/I$  for which  $a = f/g$ . Let  $F, G$  be homogeneous elements in  $R$  such that  $f = \overline{F}$  and  $g = \overline{G}$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_r \in R$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $I$ . Finally, let  $\mathbf{V}_1, \dots, \mathbf{V}_s$  be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of  $\text{Syz}(F, -G, I_1, \dots, I_r)$ . Then there exists an index  $h \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  such that  $\mathbf{V}_h = (k, kA, J_1, \dots, J_r)$  where  $k \in K^*$  and  $\overline{A} = a$ .*

**Algorithm DivQuotient**

**input:**  $F, G$  two polynomials,  $I = (I_1, \dots, I_r)$  an ideal,  $W$  a new variable

**output:** either a polynomial  $H$  such that the residue class of  $F$  is equal to the residue class of the product of  $H$  and  $G$  in  $R/I$ , or the string “error”

```

F1 = Homogenized(W, F);
G1 = Homogenized(W, G);
[V1, ..., Vs] = Syzygies([F1, -G1, I1, ..., Ir]);
UsefulSyz = [Vj : Vj[1] IsNot 0 And
             Deg(Vj[1]) = 0 For j = 1, ..., s];
If Length(UsefulSyz) IsNot 0 Then
    VH = UsefulSyz[1];
    H = Dehomogenized(W, VH[2]/VH[1]);
    Return H;
Else Return “error”;
EndIf;
End

```

**Algorithm GCDQuotient**

**input:**  $F, G$  two polynomials,  $I = (I_1, \dots, I_r)$  an ideal,  $W$  a new variable

**output:** a polynomial  $H$  such that the residue class of  $H$  is equal to the GCD of the residue class of  $F$  and  $G$  in  $R/I$

```

F1 = Homogenized (W, F);
G1 = Homogenized (W, G);
[V1, ..., Vs] = Syzygies ([F1, -G1, I1, ..., Ir]);
FirstCompOfV = [NormalForm (Vj[1], I) :
                j = 1, ..., s];
CandG1overH = [L In FirstCompOfV : L IsNot 0];
MinDeg = Min ([Deg (M) : M In CandG1overH]);
G1overH = [L In CandG1overH : Deg (L) = MinDeg];
H = DivQuotient (G1, G1overH[1], I, W);
Return Dehomogeneized (W, H);

```

**End**

By the uniqueness of the minimal primary decomposition we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 39.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is a UFD. Let  $f \in R/I$ , and let  $f = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$  be the unique factorization of  $f$  in  $R/I$ . Let  $F \in R$  be such that  $f$  is the residue class of  $F$  in  $R/I$ , and let  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$  be a minimal primary decomposition of  $(F) + I$  in  $R$ . Then  $r = s$  and, after possibly reindexing,  $\mathfrak{q}_i/I = (p_i^{n_i})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .*

*In particular if  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i = (P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,r_i})$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and if  $P_i \in R$  is such that  $\overline{P_i} = \text{GCD}(\overline{P_{i,1}}, \dots, \overline{P_{i,r_i}})$ , then  $p_i = \overline{P_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $(p_1^{n_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (p_r^{n_r})$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_1/I \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s/I$  are minimal primary decompositions of  $(f)$ . Then  $r = s$  and, after possibly reindexing,  $\mathfrak{q}_i/I = (p_i^{n_i})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .  $\square$

**Algorithm** FactorQuotient

**input:**  $F$  a polynomial,  $I$  an ideal,  $W$  a new variable

**output:** a list  $[[p_1, n_1], \dots, [p_r, n_r]]$  of the irreducible factors of the residue class of  $F$  with their respective multiplicities



```

 $[P_1, \dots, P_r] = \text{MinimalPrimes}(I + (F));$ 
For  $i = 1$  To  $r$  Do
   $n_i = 1;$ 
   $[(P_i)_1, \dots, (P_i)_t] = \text{Generators}(P_i);$ 
   $p_i = (P_i)_1;$ 
  For  $k = 2$  To  $t$  Do
     $p_i = \text{GCDQuotient}(p_i, (P_i)_k, I, W);$ 
  EndFor;
  While  $\text{DivQuotient}(F, p_i, I, W)$  IsNot "error" Do
     $n_i = n_i + 1;$ 
     $F = \text{DivQuotient}(F, p_i, I, W);$ 
  EndWhile;
   $\text{ListFactorization}[i] = [p_i, n_i];$ 
EndFor;
Return  $\text{ListFactorization};$ 
End

```

**4.1. A special case.** In this section we give an alternative algorithm to compute the factorization of an element in  $R/I$  in the case where  $I$  is a principal ideal. Then we suppose that  $I = (F)$  is a homogeneous ideal in  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  where  $\deg(X_i) = q_i > 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Assume that  $R/(F)$  is a UFD. Let  $\text{LT}_{X_1}(F)$  be the leading coefficient of  $F$  to respect the variable  $X_1$ , and assume that  $\text{LT}_{X_1}(F) \in K$ .

**Proposition 40.** *Let  $G \in R$ . If  $\overline{G}$  is irreducible in  $R/(F)$ , then the resultant of  $F$  and  $G$  with respect to  $X_1$  is the power of an irreducible polynomial in  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\text{Resultant}(G, F, X_1) = C \cdot D$ , where  $\text{GCD}(C, D) = 1$ . We observe that  $R/(F)$  is an algebraic extension of  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ . Let  $X_{1,1}, X_{1,p}$  be the distinct roots of  $F$ , and let  $G_i = G(X_{i,1})$ . It is well known that  $\text{Resultant}(G, F, X_1) = \text{Norm}(G) = \prod_i G_i$ . Then  $\overline{G} = G_1$  divides the residue class of  $\text{Resultant}(G, F, X_1)$  in  $R/(F)$ ; therefore, either  $\overline{G} \mid \overline{C}$  or  $\overline{G} \mid \overline{D}$ . Assume for concreteness that  $\overline{G} \mid \overline{C}$ , i.e.,  $\overline{C} = G_1 \cdot H_1$ . The rings  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n][X_{1,1}]$  and  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n][X_{1,j}]$  are canonically isomorphic under a mapping  $\phi_j$  which sends  $X_{1,1}$  to  $X_{1,j}$ , and it is the identity on  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ . So  $\overline{C}$  is invariant under  $\phi_j$ , and the equation  $\overline{C} = G_1 \cdot H_1$  becomes

$\overline{C} = G_j \cdot H_j$ . Therefore,  $G_j \mid \overline{C}$  for every  $j$ . But  $\text{GCD}(C, D) = 1$  implies that  $\text{GCD}(G_j, \overline{D}) = 1$  for all  $j$ , so  $\text{GCD}(\prod G_j, \overline{D}) = 1$ . But  $D \mid \text{Resultant}(F, G, X_1) = \text{Norm}(\overline{G}) = \prod G_j$ . So we have  $D = 1$ .  $\square$

**Algorithm** FactorPrincQuotient

**input:**  $F$  a polynomial,  $\mathcal{I}$  a polynomial,  $W$  a new variable

**output:** a list  $[[p_1, n_1], \dots, [p_r, n_r]]$  of the irreducible factors of the residue class of  $F$  with their respective multiplicities

```

[[F1, m1], ..., [Fr, mr]] = Factorize(Resultant(F, I, X1));
For i = 1 To r Do
  pi = GCDQuotient(F, Fi, (I), W);
  If Resultant(pi, F, X1) Is Squarefree Then
    ni = 0;
    pi = GCDQuotient(F, Fi, (I), W);
    F = DivQuotient(F, pi, (I), W);
  While F IsNot 'error' Do
    ni = ni + 1;
    F = DivQuotient(F, pi, (I), W);
  EndWhile;
Endif;
ListFactor[i] = [pi, ni];
EndFor;
ListFactor = [ListFactor, FactorQuotient(F, Ideal(I), W)];
Return ListFactor;
End

```

**Theorem 41.** *Let  $G \in R$  be such that  $\text{Resultant}(F, G, X_1)$  is square free, and let  $\prod G_i(X_2, \dots, X_n)$  be a complete factorization of  $\text{Resultant}(F, G, X_1)$  in  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ . Then  $\prod (\text{GCD}(\overline{G}, \overline{G}_i))$  is a complete factorization of  $\overline{G}$  in  $R/(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_1 = \text{GCD}(\overline{G}, \overline{G}_1)$ . Then we must show that each  $g_i$  is irreducible and that all the irreducible factors of  $g = \overline{G}$  are among the  $g_i$ . Let  $v = \overline{V}$  be an irreducible factor of  $g$ . Then  $\text{Resultant}(V, F, X_1)$  is the power of an irreducible polynomial in  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ , but  $v \mid g$ , so  $\text{Norm}(v) \mid \text{Norm}(g)$  and  $\text{Norm}(g)$  is square free. Therefore the

Norm( $v$ ) is irreducible and must be one of the  $G_i$ . Since the Norm( $g$ ) is equal to the product of the norms of each of the irreducible factors of  $g$ , each  $G_i$  must be the norm of some irreducible factor of  $g$ .

Then assume that both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  divide  $\text{GCD}(g, \overline{G_i})$ , where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are distinct irreducible factors of  $g$ . Since  $v_1 \mid \overline{G_i}$ , we have  $\text{Norm}(v_1) \mid \text{Norm}(G_i)$ , but  $G_i \in K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ , so  $\text{Norm}(G_i) = (G_i)^p$ . The norm of  $v_1$  is irreducible in  $K[X_2, \dots, X_n]$  and divides the irreducible polynomial  $G_i$ , so  $\text{Norm}(v_1) = G_i$ . Similarly,  $\text{Norm}(v_2) = G_i$ . But  $v_1 v_2 \mid g$ , so  $\text{Norm}(v_1 v_2) = G_i^2 \mid \text{Norm}(g)$ , and this contradicts the assumption that  $\text{Norm}(g)$  is square free. Therefore,  $\text{GCD}(g, G_i)$  must be irreducible for all  $i$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. M.F. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
2. M. Beltrametti and L. Robbiano, *Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces*, *Expos. Math.* **4** (1986), 111–162.
3. G. Dalzotto, *Metodi geometrici per la fattorialità*, Ph.d. thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Florence, 2003.
4. M. Demazure, *Anneaux gradués normaux*, *seminaire Demazure - Giraud - Teissier, Singularités des surfaces*, École Polytechnique, 1979.
5. I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, *Lecture Notes Math.* **956**, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
6. R.M. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, *Ergeb. Math.* **74**, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
7. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique II: Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*, *Ibid.* **8**, Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, Paris, 1961.
8. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, *Grad. Text Math.* **52**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
9. M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, *Computational commutative algebra 1*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2000.
10. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
11. S. Mori, *Graded factorial domains*, *Japan J. Math.* **3** (1977), 223–238.
12. L. Robbiano, *Factorial and almost factorial schemes in weighted projective spaces*, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1092**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
13. P. Samuel, *Lectures on unique factorization domains*, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res.*, Bombay, 1964.

14. G. Scheja and U. Storch, *Zur Konstruktion faktorieller Graduierter Integritätsbereiche*, Arch. Math. **42** (1984), 45–52.
15. M. Tomari and K.-i. Watanabe, *Cyclic covers of normal graded rings*, Kodai Math. J. **24** (2001), 436–457.
16. B.M. Trager, *Integration of algebraic functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1984.
17. K.-i. Watanabe, *Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 203–211.
18. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Volume II, Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, 1960.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, DEGLI STUDI DI PISA  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA LARGO PONTECORVO 5, ITALY  
**Email address:** [dalzotto@posso.dm.unipi.it](mailto:dalzotto@posso.dm.unipi.it)