

THE REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR SPACES OF SIGNATURES

MURRAY MARSHALL AND COLM MULCAHY

As shown in [6], there is a commutative diagram of form theories and generalization maps as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{HIGHER LEVEL FORMS} & \longrightarrow & \text{SPACES OF SIGNATURES} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \text{QUADRATIC FORMS} & \longrightarrow & \text{SPACES OF ORDERINGS}
 \end{array}$$

On the left we have the reduced theory of quadratic forms over fields, along with Becker and Rosenberg's extension to a reduced higher level form theory [2]. The abstract theory of Spaces of Orderings [5] facilitates a unified treatment of reduced quadratic forms over fields, skew fields and semi-local rings. The higher level theory can also be carried out over skew fields [8], and Spaces of Signatures [6, 7] allow for a simultaneous generalization of all of these theories.

Associated to each Space of Signatures (X, G) is a reduced Witt ring $W(X)$, which embeds naturally in a ring of continuous functions $C(X, \mathbf{C})$. The problem of characterizing this subring of $C(X, \mathbf{C})$ was solved in [7], under a restrictive 2-power assumption, by extending the representation theorems of [1, 2, 4] and [5]. In this note we explain how this may be done for any Spaces of Signatures, without the 2-power assumption. Detailed proofs will appear in a forthcoming paper.

Let G be an abelian group of finite (even) exponent, and set $G^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G, \mu)$, with the usual compact-open topology (μ being the complex roots of unity, with the discrete topology). Fix a nonempty subset X of G^* . An m -dimensional form f is an m -tuple $\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle (a_i \in G)$. The notions of equivalence, isometry, isotropy, represented sets, and sums of forms are defined just as for Spaces of Orderings (see [6]).

DEFINITION. (X, G) is a *Space of Signatures* when these axioms hold:

S_0 : If $\sigma \in X$, then $\sigma^k \in X$ for all odd k .

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

S_1 : X is closed in G^* .

S_2 : There exists $-1 \in G$ such that $\sigma(-1) = -1$ for all $\sigma \in X$.

S_3 : If $\sigma(x) = 1$ for all $\sigma \in X$, then $x = 1$.

S_4 : If $z \in D(f \oplus g)$, then $z \in D\langle x, y \rangle$ for some $x \in D(f)$ and $y \in D(g)$.

EXAMPLES (i) When $G^2 = 1$, a Space of Signatures is a Space of Orderings in the sense of [5]. These arise in connection with fields, semi-local rings, and skew fields.

(ii) Becker and Rosenberg’s reduced theory of higher level forms over a field [2] gives rise to examples of SOS’s. If T is a torsion preorder in a real field K , and we set $G_T = K/T$ and $X_T = \{\psi \in \text{Sgn}(K) : \psi(T) = 1\}$, then (X_T, G_T) is a Space of Signatures. Of course (X_T, G_T) is a Space of Orderings if the level of T is 1.

(iii) Powers has shown [8] that, under a 2-power assumption, the central notions of [2], i.e., higher level preorders and forms, extend to skew fields, giving rise to Spaces of Signatures just as in the last example.

(iv) Let $G = C_{2n}$, the cyclic group of order $2n$, and set $X = \{\sigma \in G^* : \sigma(-1) = -1\}$, where -1 denotes the unique element of order 2 in G . Then (X, G) is an SOS, which we denote by C_{2n} .

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, G) be a Space of Signatures. If $\psi \in G^* \setminus \{1\}$ satisfies $D\langle 1, x \rangle \subset \ker \psi$ for all $x \in \ker \psi$, then $\psi \in X$.*

REMARK. This result shows that axiom S_5 in [6] and [7] is unnecessary.

Each $a \in G$ induces a continuous function $\hat{a} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (where \mathbf{C} has the discrete topology), via $\hat{a}(\sigma) = \sigma(a)$ ($\sigma \in X$).

DEFINITION. The Witt ring of (X, G) is the subring $W(X)$ of $C(X, \mathbf{C})$ which is generated by all the \hat{a} ($a \in G$). Each form $f = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ thus gives rise to a function $\hat{f} = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{a}_i$ in $W(X)$.

If T is a preorder in a field K , then $W(X_T)$ is isomorphic to the higher level reduced Witt ring $W_T(K)$ of [2].

We are naturally led to consider the *representation problem*:

Given a function $F \in C(X, C)$, how can we tell if $F \in W(X)$?

DEFINITION. (X, G) is a *fan* when it is a group extension (see [6]) of \mathcal{C}_2 , i.e., when $X = \{\psi \in G^* : \psi(-1) = -1\}$.

THEOREM 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, G) is a fan;
- (ii) Each $x \neq -1$ is rigid, i.e., satisfies $D\langle 1, x \rangle = \{1, x\}$;
- (iii) Each $x \neq -1$ of order 2 is rigid;
- (iv) Whenever $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in X$, then $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \in X$.

THEOREM 3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, G) is either a fan or a group extension of a direct sum (see [6]) of two fans each of which has cyclic 2-primary part,
- (ii) For each $x \neq -1$ of order 2, the 2-primary part of the group of elements a satisfying $\langle 1, x \rangle \simeq a\langle 1, x \rangle$ is cyclic.
- (iii) The 2-primary part of the group generated by -1 and the set of nonrigid elements of G has rank less than or equal to 2.

DEFINITION. If (X, G) is either a fan or a group extension of $\mathcal{C}_{2^s} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{2^t}$, for some s, t , (X, G) is said to be a *quasifan*.

THEOREM 4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, G) is a quasifan,
- (ii) For each $x \neq -1$ of order 2, the group of elements b satisfying $\langle 1, x \rangle \simeq b\langle 1, x \rangle$ is 2-primary cyclic.
- (iii) The group generated by -1 and the set of nonrigid elements of G is 2-primary and has rank less than or equal to 2.

(iv) Whenever $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in X$ there exists odd k_1, k_2 , and a permutation π of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $\{\sigma_{\pi(1)}^{k_1} \sigma_{\pi(2)} \sigma_{\pi(3)}, \sigma_{\pi(1)} \sigma_{\pi(2)}^{k_2} \sigma_{\pi(3)}\} \subset X$.

REMARK. Using the signature characterization above it is clear that any subspace of a quasifan is again a quasifan.

DEFINITION. If $Y \subset X$, then $F \in C(X, \mathbf{C})$ is said to be *represented over Y* when $(Y, G/Y^\perp)$ is a subspace of X (see [7]) and there is a form f over (X, G) such that F and \hat{f} agree on Y .

THEOREM 5. *If $F \in C(X, \mathbf{C})$, then F is represented over X precisely when F is represented over each finite quasifan Y in X .*

In [7] necessary and sufficient conditions are given for $F \in C(X, \mathbf{C})$ to be represented over X when (X, G) is a finite quasifan.

REFERENCES

1. E. Becker and L. Bröcker, *On the description of the reduced Witt ring*, J. Algebra **52** (1978), 328-346.
2. ——— and A. Rosenberg, *Reduced forms and reduced Witt rings of higher level*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 477-503.
3. ———, C. Mulcahy and A. Rosenberg, *The representation theorem revisited*, in preparation.
4. R. Brown and M. Marshall, *The reduced theory of quadratic forms*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **11** (1981), 161-175.
5. M. Marshall, *The Witt ring of a space of orderings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), 505-521.
6. C. Mulcahy, *An abstract approach to higher level forms and rigidity*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), 577-612.
7. ———, *A representation theorem for higher level reduced Witt rings*, J. Algebra **111** (1988).
8. V. Powers, *Higher level reduced Witt rings of skew fields*, Math. Z. **198** (1988), 545-554.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATOON, SASKATOON CANADA S7N 0W0

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA, HONOLULU, HI 96822