

## APPROXIMATION BY CHENEY-SHARMA-KANTOROVIČ POLYNOMIALS IN THE $L_p$ -METRIC

MANFRED W. MÜLLER

**1. Properties of CSB-polynomials.** Based on the identity

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk}(x; \beta) := (1+n\beta)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x(x+k\beta)^{k-1} [1-x+(n-k)\beta]^{n-k} = 1,$$

$x \in I := [0, 1], \beta \in \mathbf{R}, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , a partition of unity originating from a more general identity of Jensen [6], Cheney and Sharma [1] associated with a bounded function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  the polynomial

$$(1.2) \quad (P_{n,\beta}f)(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk}(x; \beta) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

of degree  $n$ , depending on a parameter  $\beta$  and reducing to the  $n$ -th Bernstein polynomial for  $\beta = 0$ . We shall refer to it as the  $n$ -th Cheney-Sharma-Bernstein polynomial (briefly: CSB-polynomial). The CSB-operators  $P_{n,\beta}$  defined by (1.2) are positive, linear, polynomial and preserve, due to (1.1), constant functions. In [1] it is proved that the sequence  $(P_{n,\beta})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  gives a positive polynomial approximation method on the space  $C(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty$  (i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_{n,\beta}f\|_\infty = 0$  for all  $f \in C(I)$ ) if the parameters  $\beta$  are chosen to be nonnegative and are coupled with  $n$  (i.e.  $\beta = \beta_n$ ) in such a way that

$$(1.3) \quad n\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using estimates in [1] it can easily be shown that

$$(1.4) \quad (P_{n,\beta_n}t)(x) = x + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

---

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 41A36, 41A25, 41A10.

Keywords and phrases: Cheney -Sharma - Bernstein polynomials, Cheney - Sharma - Kantorovič polynomials, positive linear operators, degree of approximation in the  $L_p$  - metric, Voronovskaja - theorem.

Received by the editors on September 5, 1986.

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$$(1.5) \quad (P_{n,\beta_n} t^2)(x) = x^2 + \frac{x(1-x)}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

pointwise for  $x \in I$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if (1.3) is satisfied. By an argument similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 5 it can be shown moreover that, pointwise for  $x \in I$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad n(P_{n,\beta_n}(t-x)^4)(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if (1.3) is replaced by the stronger coupling

$$(1.7) \quad n^2 \beta_n \rightarrow c \quad (c > 0) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Utilizing (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) we have, by Mamedov's theorem [9], the following Voronovskaja-theorem for CSB-polynomials: If  $f$  is bounded on  $I$  and possesses a second derivative at a point  $x$  and if (1.7) is satisfied, then

$$(1.8) \quad P_{n,\beta_n} f(x) - f(x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{2n} f''(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

This formula is the same as for Bernstein polynomials and corrects a result contained in [1].

**2.  $L_p$ -approximation by CSK-polynomials.** CSB-polynomials are not suitable for the approximation of functions  $f \in L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , in the  $L_p$ -metric. According to an idea of Kantorovič the point evaluations of  $f$  in (1.2) are replaced by integral means over suitable small and disjoint intervals around the knots leading to the polynomial of degree  $n$

$$(2.1) \quad (A_{n,\beta} f)(x) := (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \int_{I_k} f(t) dt \right) p_{nk}(x; \beta),$$

where  $I_k := \left[ \frac{k}{n+1}, \frac{k+1}{n+1} \right]$ . Since this polynomial reduces to the  $n$ -th Kantorovič polynomial for  $\beta = 0$  we shall refer to it as the  $n$ -th Cheney-Sharma-Kantorovič polynomial (briefly: CSK-polynomial). These polynomials have been introduced by Habib and Umar as generalized Bernstein polynomials and studied in two subsequent papers [2],

[3]. However their statements are mostly incorrect and fragmentary [13].

This motivates a new and systematic treatment.

The CSK-operators  $A_{n,\beta}$  defined by (2.1) for  $f \in L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , are positive, linear, polynomial and preserve, due to (1.1), constant functions. We write  $A_{n,\beta}f$  as a singular integral of the type

$$A_{n,\beta}f(x) = \int_0^1 H_{n,\beta}(x,t)f(t)dt$$

with the positive kernel

$$H_{n,\beta}(x,t) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk}(x;\beta) 1_{I_k}(t),$$

where  $1_{I_k}(t)$  denotes the characteristic function of the interval  $I_k$  with respect to  $I$ . Utilizing the estimate

$$\int_0^1 p_{nk}(x;\beta)dx \leq (1+n\beta) \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 z^k(1-z)^{n-k}dz = \frac{1+n\beta}{n+1}$$

we have, for all  $n$  and  $x$  or  $t$  respectively,

$$\int_0^1 H_{n,\beta}(x,t) dt = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk}(x;\beta) = 1,$$

$$\int_0^1 H_{n,\beta}(x,t) dx \leq (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1+n\beta}{n+1} 1_{I_k}(1) = 1+n\beta$$

and thus by a theorem of Orlicz [10] the operator norm  $\|A_{n,\beta}\|_p$  is bounded by  $1+n\beta$ . If  $(A_{n,\beta_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a sequence of CSK-operators with nonnegative parameters satisfying (1.3) then the corresponding sequence of operator norms is hence bounded by some constant  $C > 1$ . For  $f \in C(I)$  and arbitrary  $x \in I$  we easily obtain

$$|A_{n,\beta_n}f(x) - P_{n,\beta_n}f(x)| \leq \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{n+1}\right)_\infty,$$

where  $\omega_1(f; \cdot)_\infty$  denotes the ordinary modulus of continuity of  $f$  with respect to the sup-norm, and consequently

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_{n,\beta_n} f - P_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p &\leq \|A_{n,\beta_n} f - P_{n,\beta_n} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{n+1}\right)_\infty = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p &\leq \|A_{n,\beta_n} f - P_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p + \|P_{n,\beta_n} f - f\|_p \\ &\leq \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{n+1}\right)_\infty + \|P_{n,\beta_n} f - f\|_\infty = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

holds on account of (2.2) and the fact that  $(P_{n,\beta_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a linear approximation method on the space  $(C(I), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . Since this space is dense in  $L_p(I)$  with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm and  $\|A_{n,\beta_n}\|_p \leq C$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we have proved the following

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p = 0$$

for all  $f \in L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

As an application of this theorem we obtain the following criterion of compactness for a bounded subset

$$K := \{f \in L_p(I) \mid \|f\|_p \leq M, M \text{ a positive constant}\}$$

of  $L_p(I)$ :  $K$  is compact with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm if and only if (2.3) holds uniformly for all  $f \in K$ .

The proof of this criterion proceeds just along the lines of an argument given by G.G. Lorentz [5, p. 33] for Kantorovič polynomials.

**3. Degree of  $L_p$ -approximation by CSK-polynomials.** Long and tedious calculations (see [13]) using estimates in [1] show that

$$(3.1) \quad (A_{n,\beta_n} t)(x) = x + \frac{1-2x}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$(3.2) \quad (A_{n,\beta_n} t^2)(x) = x^2 + \frac{x(2-3x)}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

pointwise for  $x \in I$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if (1.3) is satisfied.

We start with the approximation of functions belonging to the Sobolev spaces  $L_p^r(I) := \{f^{(r-1)} \in AC(I) | f^{(r)} \in L_p(I)\}$ ,  $r = 1, 2$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , which are smooth subspaces of  $L_p(I)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$\|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \|f'\|_p, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad n \geq n_0,$$

for all  $f \in L_p^1(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , where  $C$  is some positive constant.

**PROOF.** We apply the following very remarkable quantitative result of V.A. Popov [12] on positive linear operators mapping the space  $M(I)$  of bounded and measurable functions on  $I$  into itself and preserving constant functions: If

$$(Lt)(x) = x + \alpha(x), \quad (Lt^2)(x) = x^2 + \beta(x)$$

and

$$M := \sup_{x \in I} |\beta(x) - 2x\alpha(x)| \leq 1,$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \|g - Lg\|_p \leq B\tau_1(g; \sqrt{M})_p, \quad g \in M(I), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Here  $B$  is some positive constant and  $\tau_1(g; \delta)_p$  denotes the first order  $\tau$ -modulus of  $g$  with step size  $\delta$  in the  $L_p$ -metric given by

$$\tau_1(g; \delta)_p := \|\omega_1(g, \cdot; \delta)\|_p,$$

where

$$\omega_1(g, x; \delta) := \sup\{|g(t+h) - g(t)| : t, t+h \in [x - \delta/2, x + \delta/2] \cap I\}.$$

The following two properties of this modulus of smoothness will be needed ([12]):

$$(3.4) \quad \tau_1(g; \lambda\delta)_p \leq (2)^\lambda \lambda^{+2} \tau_1(g; \delta)_p, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \tau_1(g; \delta)_p \leq \delta \|g'\|_p, \quad g \in L_p^1(I).$$

For  $L = A_{n, \beta_n}$ , we derive immediately from (3.1), (3.2) that

$$M = \frac{1}{n} \max_{x \in I} x(1-x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{A}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

( $A$  a suitable positive real constant) if (1.3) is satisfied. In view of (3.3) and (3.5) we have therefore, for all  $f \in L_p^1(I)$  and almost all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  (say  $n \geq n_0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - A_{n, \beta_n} f\|_p &\leq B \tau_1\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{A}{n}}\right)_p \\ &\leq (2)^\lambda \sqrt{A}^{+2} B \tau_1\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)_p \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \|f'\|_p \end{aligned}$$

( $C$  a positive real constant), which completes the proof.  $\square$

A quite different measure for the smoothness of functions is the first order  $K$ -functional of J. Peetre [11] which is, for  $g \in L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  (with  $g \in C(I)$  for  $p = \infty$ ), defined by

$$(3.6) \quad K_{1,p}(t; g) := \inf_{h \in L_p^1(I)} (\|g - h\|_p + t \|h'\|_p) \quad (t > 0)$$

and which is equivalent to the usual first order  $\omega$ -modulus of  $g$  in the  $L_p$ -metric, i.e., there are constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  independent of  $g$  and  $p$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad c_1 \omega_1(g; t)_p \leq K_{1,p}(t; g) \leq c_2 \omega_1(g; t)_p \quad (t > 0).$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) and Theorem 2 by a smoothing argument in a similar way to what we have done in [8, p. 246] for Kantorovič

polynomials we obtain the following upper bound for the degree of  $L_p$ -approximation of nonsmooth functions by our method.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$(3.8) \quad \|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p \leq M\omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)_p, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

for all  $f \in L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , where  $M$  is some positive constant. Especially if  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, L_p)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , then

$$\|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p = o(n^{-\alpha/2}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**REMARK.** Since the second order  $\omega$ -modulus has the property  $w_2(g; \delta)_p \leq \delta^2 \|g''\|_p$  for  $g \in L_p^2(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  (with  $g \in C^2(I)$  for  $p = \infty$ ) and since  $(A_{n,\beta_n} t)(x) \neq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x \in I$ , an estimate of the type (3.8) with  $\omega_1(f; \cdot)_p$  replaced by  $\omega_2(f; \cdot)_p$  cannot exist.

The following theorem shows that the degree of approximation can be  $o(n^{-1})$  for suitable subspaces of  $L_p(I)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $n\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then*

$$(3.9) \quad \|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p \leq \frac{C_p}{n} [\|f'\|_p + \|f''\|_p], \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

for all  $f \in L_p^2(I)$ ,  $p > 1$ , where  $C_p$  is a positive real constant depending only on  $p$ .

**PROOF.** Fix  $x \in I$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$E(x) := A_{n,\beta_n} f(x) - f(x) = \int_0^1 H_{n,\beta_n}(x, t) [f(t) - f(x)] dt.$$

From

$$f(t) - f(x) = (t - x)f'(x) + (t - x) \int_x^\xi f''(u) du$$

for arbitrary  $t \in I$  and  $\xi = \xi(t)$  between  $x$  and  $t$  we obtain

$$E(x) := f'(x)A_{n,\beta_n}(t-x)(x) + \int_0^1 H_{n,\beta_n}(x,t)(t-x) \left\{ \int_x^\xi f''(u) du \right\} dt.$$

Because of

$$|A_{n,\beta_n}(t-x)^i(x)| \leq \frac{A_i}{n}, \quad x \in I, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

( $A_i$  positive real constants independent of  $n$  and  $x$ ) being an immediate consequence of (3.1) and (3.2), there follows

$$\begin{aligned} |E(x)| &\leq \frac{A_1}{n} |f'(x)| + \int_0^1 H_{n,\beta_n}(x,t)(t-x)^2 \left| \sup_{\substack{t \in I \\ t \neq x}} \frac{1}{t-x} \int_x^t |f''(u)| du \right| \\ &= \frac{A_1}{n} |f'(x)| + \theta_{f''}(x) A_{n,\beta_n}(t-x)^2(x) \leq \frac{C}{n} (|f'(x)| + \theta_{f''}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C := \max(A_1, A_2)$  and

$$\theta_{f''}(x) := \sup_{\substack{t \in I \\ t \neq x}} \frac{1}{t-x} \int_x^t |f''(u)| du, \quad x \in I,$$

is the Hardy-Littlewood majorant of  $f''$  on  $I$ . For  $p > 1$  it is known that  $f'' \in L_p(I)$  implies  $\theta_{f''} \in L_p(I)$  and

$$(3.10) \quad \int_0^1 \theta_{f''}^p(x) dx \leq 2 \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^1 |f''(x)|^p dx$$

(cf. [14, Theorem 13.15]). Applying Minkowski's inequality to the last inequality for  $|E(x)|$  and taking into account (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - A_{n,\beta_n} f\|_p &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left[ \|f'\|_p + \frac{p\sqrt{2}}{p-1} \|f''\|_p \right] \\ &\leq C\sqrt{2} \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1}{n} [\|f'\|_p + \|f''\|_p] =: \frac{C_p}{n} [\|f'\|_p + \|f''\|_p], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$



REMARK. For  $p = 1$  the above proof breaks down. This case is left as an open problem.

**4. The Voronovskaja theorem for CSK-polynomials.** When determining the asymptotic form of CSB-approximation Cheney and Sharma [1] had to replace the coupling (1.3) by the stronger condition (1.7). As the proof of the following theorem shows (1.7) is indispensable in the case of CSK-approximation, too, a fact which has not been taken into account by Habib and Umar [3, Theorem 1.2].

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $n^2\beta_n \rightarrow c(c > 0)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and if  $f \in L_1(I)$  possesses a second derivative at a point  $x$ , then*

$$A_{n,\beta_n}f(x) - f(x) = \frac{(1-2x)f'(x) + x(1-x)f''}{x/(2n)} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

REMARK. This formula is the same as for Kantorovič polynomials (cf. [7]).

PROOF. In order to be able to apply Mamedov's theorem we show that  $I := n(A_{n,\beta_n}(t-x)^4)(x) \rightarrow o(n \rightarrow \infty)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} I &= n(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \int_{I_k} (t-x)^4 dt \right) p_{nk}(x; \beta_n) \\ &= n(n+1) \left[ \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < n^{-\alpha}} + \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| \geq n^{-\alpha}} \right] =: I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $|\frac{k}{n} - x| < n^{-\alpha}$  there holds  $|\frac{k}{n+1} - x| < 2n^{-\alpha}$  and

from this we easily deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1| &= o(n^{1-4\alpha}) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{nk}(x; \beta_n) = o(n^{1-4\alpha}), \\
 |I_2| &\leq n \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| \geq n^{-\alpha}} p_{nk}(x; \beta_n) \\
 &\leq n(1+n\beta_n)^{-n} \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| \geq n^{-\alpha}} \binom{n}{k} (x+n\beta_n)^k [1-x+n\beta_n]^{n-k} \\
 &= \left( \frac{1+2n\beta_n}{1+n\beta_n} \right)^n \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| \geq n^{-\alpha}} \binom{n}{k} \left( \frac{x+n\beta_n}{1+2n\beta_n} \right)^k \left( 1 - \frac{x+n\beta_n}{1+2n\beta_n} \right)^{n-k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $y_n := \frac{x+n\beta_n}{1+2n\beta_n}$  we observe that  $0 \leq y_n \leq 1$  and  $y_n = x + o(n^{-1})$  since  $n^2\beta_n \rightarrow c$ . Thus  $|\frac{k}{n} - y_n| \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{-\alpha}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , say for  $n \geq n_0$ . (1.7) implies further on that  $\left(\frac{1+2n\beta_n}{1+n\beta_n}\right)^n$  is bounded by  $e^c$ . Then, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$|I_2| \leq e^c \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-y_n| \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{-\alpha}} \binom{n}{k} y_n^k (1-y_n)^{n-k}$$

which is of order  $o(n^{-s})$  for each  $s > 0$ , by inequality 1.5 (8) in [5]. Mamedov's theorem together with (3.1), (3.2) completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK. The estimate of  $I_2$  in the above proof uses certain ideas from [4].

## REFERENCES

1. E.W. Cheney and A. Sharma, *On a generalization of Bernstein polynomials*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **5** (1964), 77-84.
2. A. Habib, *On the degree of approximation of functions by certain new Bernstein type polynomials*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. **12** (1981), 882-888.
3. ——— and S. Umar, *On generalized Bernstein polynomials*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. **11** (1980), 1177-189.
4. K.G. Ivanov, written communication, 1985.
5. G.G. Lorentz, *Bernstein polynomials*, Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
6. J.L.W.V. Jensen, *Sur une identité d'Abel et sur autres formules analogues*, Acta Math. **26** (1902), 307-318.

7. A. Marlewski, *Asymptotic form of Bernstein - Kantorovič approximation*, Fasc. Math. **12** (1980), 99-102.
8. M.W. Müller, *Die Güte der  $L_p$ -Approximation durch Kantorovič - Polynome*, Math. Z. **151** (1976), 243-247.
9. ———, *Approximationstheorie*, Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft, Wiesbaden, 1978.
10. W. Orlicz, *Ein Satz über die Erweiterung von linearen Operatoren*, Studia Math. **5** (1934), 127-140.
11. J. Peetre, *A theory of interpolation of normed spaces*, Lecture Notes, Brazilia, 1963.
12. V.A. Popov, *On the quantitative Korovkin theorems in  $L_p$* , Compt. rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **35** (1982), 897-900.
13. N. Wolik, *Approximation durch verallgemeinerte Bernstein - Kantorovič - Operatoren*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Dortmund, 1985.
14. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. I and II, Cambridge University Press, London - New York, 1968.

UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND. LEHRSTUHL MATHEMATIK VIII. POSTFACH 500 500,  
D-4600 DORTMUND 50, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

