# a-SEPARATION AXIOMS AND a-COMPACTNESS IN FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

A.S. MASHHOUR, M.H. GHANIM, M.A. FATH ALLA

ABSTRACT. In [11] Rodabaugh introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -Hausdorff fuzzy topological spaces which is compatible with  $\alpha$ compactness [4] and fuzzy continuity. It is the purpose of this paper to extend these concepts. We define and study  $\alpha - T_i$  (i = 0, 3, 4),  $\alpha - T'_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4),  $\alpha$ -almost compact and  $\alpha$ -nearly compact fuzzy topological spaces. Also, we define  $\alpha$ -continuous mappings as a generalization of F-continuous mappings. Finally, we define  $\alpha$ S-closed fuzzy spaces and study some of their properties.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let X be a set. If  $A \subset X$ ,  $\mu(A)$  will denote the characteristic function for A defined on X into the unit interval I = [0, 1]. A fuzzy topology  $\tau$  on X is a family of fuzzy sets (functions from X into 1) which is closed under arbitrary suprema and finite infima and which contains  $0 = \mu(\phi)$  and  $1 = \mu(X)$ . A pair  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is a fuzzy topology on X, is called a fuzzy topological space (abbreviated as fts). A fuzzy set u of an fts  $(X, \tau)$  is regular open (resp. regular closed) if  $u = \overline{u}^0$  (resp.  $u = \bar{u}^0$ , it is fuzzy semiopen if  $u \leq \bar{u}^0$ . For notion and results used but not defined or shown we refer to [3, 5, 13, 16, 18].

DEFINITION 1.1 [11]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and  $A \subset X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is an  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-cluster point of A if for each  $u \in \tau$  with  $u(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $u(x) \ge \alpha$ ,  $u \land \mu(X/A) \ne 0$ , where  $\alpha < 1$  (resp.  $\alpha < 0$ ). The family of all  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-cluster points of A will be denoted by  $A^{\alpha}$ (resp.  $A^{\alpha^*}$ ). The  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ ) closure of A is the union of A and its  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ ) cluster points and will be denoted by  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(A)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{CL}_{\alpha^*}(A)$ ). The subset A of X is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed if  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha}(A) \subset A$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\alpha^*}(A) \subset A$ ).

**PROPOSITION 1.2** [11]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts. Then

(i) a subset A of X is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed if and only if for each point  $x \in$  $X \setminus A$  there is  $u \in T$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $u(x) \ge \alpha$ ) and  $u \land \mu(A) = 0$ . (ii) arbitrary intersection of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed sets is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed, (iii) a finite union of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed sets is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed, and, (iv) the inverse image of each  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed set under an F-continuous mapping is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed.

Received by the editor on October 1, 1983, and in revised form on November 17, 1984.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and let  $A \subset X$ . A is an  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )open set if X/A is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed. Equivalently, A is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-open if, for each point  $x \in A$  there is  $u \in \tau$  with  $u(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $u(x) \ge \alpha$ ) and  $u \land \mu(X/A) = 0$ .

**REMARK** 1.4. The following notions are found in [7, 8, 11, 13]. Let  $\alpha \in I$  and  $(X, \tau)$  be a given fts. Put  $u_{\alpha} = \{x \in X : u(x) > \alpha\}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha} = \{u_{\alpha} : u \in \tau\}$ . Clearly,  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a family of  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . One may easily verify that  $\tau_{\alpha}$  is a topology on X.

**PROPOSITION 1.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts. The family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in X is a topology on X coarser than  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

**PROOF.**  $\{\alpha$ -open sets $\} = W_{\alpha}$  [7].

EXAMPLE 1.6. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let u, v be fuzzy sets in X defined by

$$u(a) = 0.3,$$
  $u(b) = 0.5,$   $u(c) = 0.7$   
 $v(a) = 0.7,$   $v(b) = 0.6,$   $v(c) = 0.9.$ 

Define the fuzzy topology  $\tau = \{1, 0, u, v\}$  on X. For  $\alpha = 0.4$ ,  $\{b, c\}$  is a  $\tau_{\alpha}$ -open set which is not  $\alpha$ -open.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and let  $A \subset X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is an  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-weak cluster point ( $\alpha w$  (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-cluster point, for short) of A if for every  $u \in \tau$  with  $u(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $u(x) \ge \alpha$ ),  $\overline{u} \land \mu(A \setminus \{x\}) \neq 0$ . The set of all  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-cluster points of A is denoted by  $A^{\alpha w}$ (resp.  $A^{\alpha^* w}$ ). The  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-closure of A is the union of A and its  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-closed if  $A^{\alpha w} \subset A$  (resp.  $A^{\alpha^* w} \subset A$ ).

**REMARK** 1.8. If a point  $x \in X$  is an  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-cluster point of a subset A of an fts X, then it is an  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-cluster point of A. Consequently, if A is  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )-closed, then A is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-closed. The following example indicates that the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE 1.9. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let u, v be fuzzy sets in X defined by

$$u(a) = 0.5,$$
  $u(b) = 0.6,$   $u(c) = 0$   
 $v(a) = 0.4,$   $v(b) = 0,$   $v(c) = 0.5.$ 

Define the fuzzy topology  $\tau = \{1, 0, u, v, u \lor v, u \land v\}$  on X. For  $\alpha < 0.5$ , the point a is an  $\alpha w$ -cluster point of the set  $\{a, c\}$ , but it is not an  $\alpha$ -cluster point. The set  $\{c\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed set which is not  $\alpha w$ -closed.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and let  $A \subset X$ . A is an  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-strongly open ( $\alpha s$  (resp.  $\alpha^* s$ )-open, for short) if  $X \setminus A$  is  $\alpha w$ (resp.  $\alpha^* w$ )closed. Equivalently, A is  $\alpha s$  (resp.  $\alpha^* s$ )-open if, for every point  $x \in A$ , there is  $u \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$  (resp.  $u(x) \ge \alpha$ ) and  $\bar{u} \land \mu(X \setminus A) = 0$ . **REMARK** 1.11. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and let  $A \subset X$ . If A is an  $\alpha s$  (resp.  $\alpha^*s$ )-open set, then it is  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha^*$ )-open. In Example 1.10,  $\{a, b\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set which is not  $\alpha s$ -open.

2.  $\alpha$ -Separation axioms. We use the concepts of  $\alpha$ -closed sets  $\alpha w$ -closed sets to define the following separation axioms.

DEFINITION 2.1. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

1. An  $\alpha - T_0$  (resp.  $\alpha - T_0$ ) space if for each two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $u \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$  and u(y) = 0 (resp.  $\overline{u}(y) = 0$ ), or  $u(y) > \alpha$  and u(x) = 0 (resp.  $\overline{u}(x) = 0$ ).

2. An  $\alpha - T_1(\text{resp. } \alpha - T'_1)$  space if for each two distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $u, v \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$ ,  $v(y) > \alpha$  and u(y) = v(x) = 0 (resp.  $\bar{u}(y) = \bar{v}(x) = 0$ ).

3. An  $\alpha - T_2(\text{resp. } \alpha - T'_2)$  space if for each two distinct point  $x, y \in X$  there exist  $u, v \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha, v(y) > \alpha$  and  $u \wedge v = 0$  (resp.  $\bar{u} \wedge \bar{v} = 0$ ).

4. An  $\alpha$ -regular (resp.  $\alpha$ -weakly regular) space if for each  $\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $\alpha w$ -closed) subset A of X and each point  $x \in X \setminus A$  there exist  $u, v \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$ ,  $v(y) > \alpha$  on A and  $u \wedge v = 0$ .

An  $\alpha$ -regular (resp.  $\alpha$ -weakly regular) space which is also  $\alpha - T_1$  (resp.  $\alpha - T_1$ ) is called an  $\alpha - T_3$  (resp.  $\alpha - T_3$ ) space.

5. An  $\alpha$ -normal (resp.  $\alpha$ -weakly normal) space if for each two disjoint  $\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $\alpha w$ -closed) subsets A, B of X there exist  $u, v \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$  on A,  $v(y) > \alpha$  on B and  $u \wedge v = 0$ .

An  $\alpha$ -normal (resp.  $\alpha$ -weakly normal) space which is also  $\alpha - T_1$  (resp.  $\alpha - T'_1$ ) is called an  $\alpha - T_4$  (resp.  $\alpha - T'_4$ ) space.

The separation axioms  $\alpha - T_1$  and  $\alpha - T_2$  have been defined in [11, 12] and greatly generalized in [14]. The proof of the following theorem is routine and it is omitted.

THEOREM 2.2. An fts (X, T) is  $\alpha - T_1$  (resp.  $\alpha - T'_1$ ) if and only if every one point subset  $\{x\}$  of X is  $\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $\alpha$ w-closed).

Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 yield the following diagram

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and let u, v be fuzzy sets in X defined by

$$u(a) = 0.5,$$
  $u(b) = 0,$   $u(c) = 0.6$   
 $v(a) = 0,$   $v(b) = 0.4,$   $v(c) = 0.5.$ 

## 594 A. S. MASHHOUR, M. H. GHANIM, M. A. FATH ALLA

Define the fuzzy topology  $\tau = \{1, 0, u, v, u \lor v, u \land v\}$  on X. The fts  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha - T_0$  but it is not  $\alpha - T_1$ . Also  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -normal but it is not  $\alpha$ -regular.

**REMARK** 2.4. The above diagram and Corollary 7.2 of [11] imply that the *I*-fuzzy unit interval I(I) [5] and the I-fuzzy real line  $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{I})$  [4] are not  $\alpha - T_i$  nor  $\alpha - T'_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

#### 3. a -Compactness.

DEFINITION 3.1 [4]. Let X be a nonempty set and  $\alpha \in I$ . A family  $\mathcal{U} \subset I^X$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X if, for each point  $x \in X$ , there is  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$ . A subfamily  $\mathscr{V}$  of an  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathscr{U}$  of X, that is also an  $\alpha$ -shading of X, is called an  $\alpha$ -subshading of  $\mathscr{U}$ . An  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathscr{U}$  of an fts X is an open (resp. closed, ...)  $\alpha$ -shading if each member of  $\mathscr{U}$  is a fuzzy open (resp. closed, ...) set. An fts X is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact if every open  $\alpha$ -shading of X has a finite  $\alpha$ -subshading.

DEFINITION 3.2 [1]. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact if for each open  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathscr{U}$  of X there is a finite subfamily  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$ , the fuzzy closures (resp. fuzzy interiors of the fuzzy closures) of whose members are  $\alpha$ -shading of X.

One may notice that  $\alpha$ -compactness  $\Rightarrow \alpha$ -nearly compactness  $\Rightarrow \alpha$ -almost compactness. These implications do not reverse [1].

THEOREM 3.3. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -nearly compact if and only if each regular open  $\alpha$ -shading of X has a finite  $\alpha$ -subshading.

**PROOF.** A simple combination of Definition 3.2 and the definition of a regular open  $\alpha$ -shading yields the result.

THEOREM 3.4. An  $\alpha w$ -closed subset of an  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact fts is  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact.

PROOF. Let A be an  $\alpha w$ -closed subset of an  $\tau$ -almost compact fts  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open  $\alpha$ -shading of A. Since A is  $\alpha w$ -closed, then for each point  $x \in X \setminus A$  there exists  $v_x \in \tau$  such that  $v_x(x) > \alpha$  and  $\bar{v}_x \wedge \mu(A) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\bar{v}_x(y) = 0$  on A. Then  $\mathscr{V} = \{v_x : x \in X \setminus A\} \cup \mathscr{U}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Consequently there exists a finite subfamily  $\{V_{x_1}, \ldots, V_{x_n}\} \cup \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$  of  $\mathscr{V}$  such that  $\{V_{x_1}, \ldots, V_{x_n}, \bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_m\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Consequently  $\{\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_m\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of A and A is  $\alpha$ -almost compact. The  $\alpha$ -nearly case has a similar proof.

A partial converse of Theorem 3.4 is the following theorem which has a routine proof.

THEOREM 3.5. Any  $\alpha$ -almost compact (crisp) subset of an  $\alpha - T'_2$  space is  $\alpha$ w-closed.

COROLLARY 3.6.

(i) Any  $\alpha$ -nearly compact (crisp) subset of an  $\alpha - T'_2$  space is  $\alpha$ w-closed. (ii) A (crisp) subset of an  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact  $\alpha - T'_2$  space is  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact if and only if it is  $\alpha$ w-closed.

(iii) The intersection of any family of  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact crisp subsets of an  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact  $\alpha - T'_2$  space is  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact.

THEOREM 3.7. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha - T'_2$  space and let A be an  $\alpha$ -almost (resp.  $\alpha$ -nearly) compact crisp subset of X. For each point  $x \in X \setminus A$  there exist  $u, v \in \tau$  such that  $u(x) > \alpha$ ,  $\bar{v}(y) > \alpha$  (resp.  $v(y) > \alpha$ ) on A and  $\bar{u} \wedge \bar{v} = 0$ .

**PROOF.** We give a proof considering A as an  $\alpha$ -almost compact crisp subset of X; the other part has a similar proof. For each  $y \in A$  there exist  $u_y, v_y \in \tau$  such that  $u_y(x) > \alpha$ ,  $v_y(y) > \alpha$  and  $\bar{u}_y \wedge \bar{v}_y = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{v_y: y \in A\}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -shading of A. Consequently, there is a finite subfamily  $\{v_{y_1}, \ldots, v_{y_n}\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\bar{v}_{y_1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{y_n}\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of A. Set  $u = \wedge_{i=1}^n u_{y_i}$  and  $v = \bigvee_{i=1}^n v_{y_i}$ . Then  $u, v \in \tau$ ,  $u(x) > \alpha$ ,  $\bar{v}(y) > \alpha$  on A and  $\bar{u} \wedge \bar{v} = 0$ .

Using the same arguments one may prove the following result.

THEOREM 3.8. An  $\alpha$ -nearly compact  $\alpha - T'_2$  space is  $\alpha - T'_4$ .

### 4. α-Continuous mappings.

DEFINITION 4.1. The following is found in [7, 8, 11, 13] etc. Let  $\alpha \in I$ and  $(X, \tau)$  be a given fts. Put  $u_{\alpha} = \{x : u(x) > \alpha\}, \tau_{\alpha} = \{u_{\alpha} : u \in \tau\}, J_{\alpha}(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_{\alpha})$  and  $J_{\alpha}(f) = f$ , where  $f : X \to Y$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.2** [7, 8, 11].  $J_{\alpha}$  is a functor from the category of *I*-fts to TOP; hence f is F-continuous implies  $J_{\alpha}(f)$  is continuous.

**REMARK 4.3.**  $J_{\alpha}$  is the  $\alpha$ -level functor.

REMARK 4.4. The implication of Proposition 4.2 does not reverse (see Theorem 4.8 (2, 3) below). Hence Proposition 4.2 yields a generalization of Proposition 1.2 (iv) as follows: if  $J_{\alpha}(f)$  is continuous, then  $f^{-1}(\alpha$ -open) is  $\alpha$ -open and so  $f^{-1}(\alpha$ -closed) is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**THEOREM 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  be fts and  $f: X \to Y$ . If  $J_{\alpha}(f): (X, \tau_{\alpha}) \to (Y, \sigma_{\alpha})$  is continuous, then X is  $\alpha$ -compact implies f(X) is  $\alpha$ -compact.

**PROOF.** This is a corollary of two results of [11], namely Theorem 3.1 (2) and Proposition 3.1 (1).

**REMARK** 4.6. Theorem 4.5 generalizes Theorem 2.9 of [4] because of Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.8 (2, 3) below.

DEFINITION 4.7. A mapping  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous if, for every point  $x \in X$  and for every  $\nu \in \sigma$  with  $f(x) \in \nu_{\alpha}$ , there exists  $u \in \tau$  such that  $x \in u_{\alpha}$  and  $f(u) \leq \nu$ .

**THEOREM 4.8.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  be fts and f:  $X \to Y$ . The following hold: (i) f is F-continuous  $\Rightarrow$  f is  $\alpha$ -continuous.

(ii) The implication of (1) does not reverse.

(ii) The implication of (1) does not reverse. (iii) f is  $\alpha$ -continuous  $\Rightarrow J_{\alpha}(f)$  is continuous.

 $(iii) f is a - continuous \Rightarrow f_{\alpha}(f) is continuous.$ 

(iv) The implication of (3) does not reverse.

PROOF.

596

(i). Straight-forward.

(ii). Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and let u, v be fuzzy sets in X defined by

$$u(a) = 0.5,$$
  $u(b) = 0.6,$   
 $v(a) = 0.7,$   $v(b) = 0.8.$ 

Define the fuzzy topologies  $\tau = \{1, 0, u\}$  and  $\sigma = \{1, 0, v\}$  on X. The identity map  $i_X: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is not F-continuous, yet is  $\alpha$ -continuous for  $\alpha < 0.5$ .

(iii). Let  $v \in \sigma$  and  $f(x) \in V_{\alpha}$ . From  $\alpha$ -continuity there is  $u \in \tau$  such that  $x \in u_{\alpha}$  and  $f(u) \leq v$ . We show that  $f(u_{\alpha}) \subset v_{\alpha}$ . Let  $z \in u_{\alpha}$  and observe

$$v(f(z)) \ge f(u) \ (f(z)) = U\{u(w): \ f(w) = f(z)\} \ge u(z) > \alpha.$$

So  $f(z) \in v_{\alpha}$ .

(iv). Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and let u, v be fuzzy sets in X defined by

u(a)=0.3,	u(b) = 0.5
v(a) = 0.6	v(b) = 0.7

Define the fuzzy topologies  $\tau = \{1, 0, u\}$  and  $\sigma = \{1, 0, v\}$  on X. The identity map  $i_X: (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$  is not  $\alpha$ -continuous but  $J(f): (X, \tau_{\alpha}) \to (Y, \sigma_{\alpha})$  is continuous for  $0.5 \leq \alpha < 0.6$ .

COROLLARY 4.9. Each  $\alpha$ -continuous map preserves  $\alpha$ -compactness.

PROOF. Theorems 4.5 and 4.8 (iii).

5. as-closed spaces. In 1976, T. Thompson [16] induced the concept of S-closed spaces. The literature includes [2, 9, 10]. We now use the concept of an  $\alpha$ -shading to define  $\alpha$ S-closed spaces in fuzzy topology.

DEFINITION 5.1. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha$ S-closed if for each semiopen  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathscr{U}$  of X there is a finite subfamily  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$  such that the fuzzy closures of its members are an  $\alpha$ -shading of X.

**REMARK** 5.2. It is clear that if  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ S-closed fts it is also  $\alpha$ -almost compact.

**THEOREM 5.3.** An fts X is  $\alpha$ S-closed if and only if every regular closed  $\alpha$ -shading has a finite  $\alpha$ -subshading.

**PROOF.** Necessity follows from the fact that a fuzzy regular closed set is semiopen and closed.

Sufficiency follows from the fact that if u is a fuzzy semiopen set, then  $\bar{u}$  is regular closed.

DEFINITION 5.4. A fuzzy set u of an fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy regular semiopen set if there exists a fuzzy regular open set v of x such that  $v \leq u \leq \bar{v}$ .

**REMARK** 5.5. If u is a fuzzy regular semiopen set, it is also a fuzzy semiopen set but the converse is not true in general, as we can show from Example 5.6, below. This example indicates also that a fuzzy open set need not be a fuzzy regular semiopen set.

EXAMPLE 5.6. Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and let  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  be fuzzy sets in X defined by

$u_1(a)=0.4,$	$u_1(b)=0.5,$	$u_2(a)=0.5$
$u_2(b) = 0.6,$	$u_3(a) = 0.5,$	$u_3(b) = 0.5$

Define a fuzzy topology  $\tau = \{1, 0, u_1, u_2\}$  on X. The fuzzy set  $u_3$  is a fuzzy regular semiopen but it is not fuzzy open. The fuzzy set  $u_2$  is a fuzzy open set but it is not fuzzy regular semiopen.

**THEOREM 5.7.** An fts X is  $\alpha$ S-closed if and only if for every regular semiopen  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of X, there is a finite subfamily  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that the fuzzy closures of its members are an  $\alpha$ -shading of X.

**PROOF.** If X is  $\alpha S$ -closed, then the result follows directly from the above definition. If X is not an  $\alpha S$ -closed fts, then there exists a fuzzy semiopen  $\alpha$ -shading  $\{u_j: j \in J\}$  which has no finite subfamily such that the fuzzy closures of its members are an  $\alpha$ -shading. Then the family  $\{\bar{u}_j^0 \lor u_j: j \in J\}$  is a fuzzy regular semiopen  $\alpha$ -shading of X which has no finite subfamily such that the fuzzy closures of its members are an  $\alpha$ -shading of x which has no finite subfamily such that the fuzzy closures of its members are an  $\alpha$ -shading, since  $u_j \leq \bar{u}_j^0 \lor u_j \leq \bar{u}_j$ . This completes the proof.

Now we extend the concept of an extremely disconnected space [10] to fuzzy topology.

DEFINITION 5.8. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy extremely disconnected space (abbreviated as FED-space) if the fuzzy closure of every fuzzy open set in X is fuzzy open.

THEOREM 5.9. An FED-space X is  $\alpha$ S-closed if and only if it is  $\alpha$ -almost compact.

**PROOF.** It follows from Theorem 5.7 and the fact that, in FED-space, a fuzzy regular open set is fuzzy open as well as fuzzy closed.

DEFINITION 5.10 [6]. An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is called a regular fuzzy space if every fuzzy open set u of X can be written as the supremum of fuzzy open sets  $u_i$ 's of X such that  $\bar{u}_i \leq u$  for each j.

**THEOREM 5.11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a regular fuzzy space. If X is  $\alpha$ S-closed, then it is  $\alpha$ -compact.

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open  $\alpha$ -shading of X. For each point  $x \in X$  there is a fuzzy open set  $u_x \in \mathscr{U}$  with  $u_x(x) > \alpha$ . Thus the family  $\{u_x : x \in X\}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Since X is a regular fuzzy space,  $u_x = v_{j_x} u_{j_x}$  with  $u_{j_x} \in \tau$  and  $\bar{u}_{j_x} \leq u_x$  for each j. Since  $u_x(x) > \alpha$ , there is  $u_{j_x}$  such that  $u_{j_x}(x) > \alpha$ . Thus  $\{u_{j_x} : x \in X\}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Hence there exists a finite subfamily  $\{u_{j_{x1}}, \ldots, u_{j_{xn}}\}$  of  $\{u_{j_x} : x \in X\}$  such that  $\{\bar{u}_{j_{x1}}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{j_{xn}}\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Therefore  $\{u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}\}$  is a finite  $\alpha$ subshading of  $\mathscr{U}$  and X is  $\alpha$ -compact.

**THEOREM 5.12.** In a regular FED-space, the following are equivalent. (i) X is  $\alpha$ -compact.

- (ii) X is  $\alpha$ -nearly compact.
- (iii) X is  $\alpha$ -almost compact.
- (iv) X is  $\alpha$ S-closed.

598

**PROOF.** It is a direct consequence of Theorems 5.9 and 5.11.

**THEOREM** 5.13. Let an fts X have the property that for each  $\alpha$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of X,  $\mathcal{U}^0 = \{u^0 : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Then X is  $\alpha$ -almost compact if and only if X is  $\alpha$ S-closed.

**PROOF.** For sufficiency, see Remark 5.2. For necessity, let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a semiopen  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Then  $\mathscr{U}^0 = \{u^0: u \in \mathscr{U}\}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -shading of X and there exists a finite subfamily  $\{u_1^0, \ldots, u_n^0\}$  of  $\mathscr{U}^0$  such that  $\{u_1^{0-}, \ldots, u_n^{0-}\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X. This means that  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$  is a finite subfamily of  $\mathscr{U}$  such that  $\{\bar{u}_1, \ldots, \bar{u}_n\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -shading of X. Consequently X is  $\alpha$ S-closed.

THEOREM 5.14. An  $\alpha$ w-closed subset of an  $\alpha$ S-closed fts is  $\alpha$ S-closed.

**PROOF.** It is obvious.

DEFINITION 5.15. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be *F*-almost open if  $f^{-1}(\bar{u}) \leq \overline{f^{-1}(u)}$  for every fuzzy open set *u* of *Y*.

**REMARK** 5.16. If  $f: X \to Y$  is an *F*-open mapping, in the sense of Wong [18], then it is also *F*-almost open. But the converse is not true as one may notice from the following example.

EXAMPLE 5.17. Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $Y = \{c, d\}$ . Define  $u \in I^x$  and  $v \in I^y$  as follows

u(a) = 0.5, u(b) = 0.3, v(c) = 0.5, v(d) = 0.4.

Let  $\tau = \{1, 0, u\}, \sigma = \{1, 0, v\}$  and  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ , where f(a) = c, f(b) = d. Then f is F-almost open but it is not F-open.

LEMMA 5.18. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is F-continuous and F-almost open, then  $f^{-1}$  (semiopen) is semiopen.

**PROOF.** If u is a fuzzy semiopen set of Y, then there is v fuzzy open such that  $v \leq u \leq \overline{v}$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(v) \leq f^{-1}(u) \leq \overline{f^{-1}(v)}$ , i.e.,  $f^{-1}(u)$  is semiopen.

THEOREM 5.19. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be F-continuous and F-almost open. If X is S-closed, so is f(X).

PROOF. A combination of Definition 5.1 and Lemma 5.18 yields the proof.

#### References

1. M. E. Abd Elmonsef, M. H. Ghanim, Almost compact fuzzy topological spaces, Delta J. Science 5 (1981), 19-29.

2. D. E. Cameron, *Properties of S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 581-586.

3. C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.

4. T. E. Ganter, R. C. Steinlage, R. H. Warren, *Compactness in fuzzy topological*, J. Math. Anal. 62 (1978) 547-562.

5. B. Hutton, Normality in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 50 (1975), 74-79.

6. — , I. Reilly, Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 3 (1980), 93-104.

7. J. Klein,  $\alpha$ -Closure in fuzzy topology, Rocky Mount. J. Math. 11 (1981), 553–560. 8. R. Lowen, A comparison of different notions of compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 64 (1978), 446–454.

9. A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, On S-closed spaces and almost (nearly) strongly paracompactness, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelless 95 (1) (1981), 35-44.

10. T. Noiri, A note on extremally disconnected spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (2) (1968), 327-330.

**11.** S. E. Rodabaugh, *The Hausdorff separation axioms for fuzzy topological spaces*, Topology and Its Applications **11** (1980), 319–334.

12. ——, The L-fuzzy real line and its subspaces, Fuzzy Sets and Possibility Theory: Recent Developments, R. R. Yager, ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982, 402–418.

13. ——, A categorical accommodation of various notions of fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 9 (1983), 241–265.

14. S. E. Rodabaugh, Separation axioms and fuzzy real lines, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 11 (1983), 163–183.

15. E. S. Santos, Topology versus fuzzy topology, Preprint (1977).

16. T. Thompson, S-Closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 335-338.

17. R. H. Warren, Neighbourhoods, bases and continuity in fuzzy topology, Rocky Mount. J. Math. 8 (1978), 459–470.

18. C. K. Wong, Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 46 (1974), 316-328.

19. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform Contr. 8 (1965), 338-353.

DEPT. MATH. FAC. SCIENCE, ASSIUT UNIV., ASSIUT - EGYPT.

DEPT. MATH. FAC. SCIENCE, ZAGAZIG UNIV., ZAGAZIG - EGYPT.

DEPT. MATH. SOHAG FAC. SCIENCE, ASSIUT UNIV., SOHAG - EGYPT.