

## ON FACTORIZATION OF OPERATOR POLYNOMIALS AND ANALYTIC OPERATOR FUNCTIONS

L. RODMAN\*

**ABSTRACT** It is proved that the set of biquasitriangular monic operator polynomials which admit factorization into monic linear factors, is dense in the set of all biquasitriangular monic operator polynomials. An extension of this result to the factorization of analytic operator functions with compact spectrum is obtained as well. These results generalize a known factorization property of monic matrix polynomials.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $L(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^r \lambda^j A_j$  be a polynomial whose coefficients  $A_j$  are (linear bounded) operators  $H \rightarrow H$ , where  $H$  is a fixed separable (complex) Hilbert space. We shall assume always that the operator polynomial  $L(\lambda)$  is monic, i.e., with leading coefficient  $A_r = I$ . The problem of factorization of  $L(\lambda)$  into a product of several operator polynomials is an important one and has attracted much attention recently. This problem was studied in [9] in connection with oscillations of continua, and in [12, 1, 4, 11] (the list is far from being complete). In case  $H$  is finite dimensional, a comprehensive treatment of this problem can be found in [3].

It turns out that, in case  $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ , not every monic operator polynomial  $L(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^r \lambda^j A_j$ , admits a factorization into a product of linear factors

$$(1) \quad L(\lambda) = (\lambda I + X_1) (\lambda I + X_2) \cdots (\lambda I + X_r),$$

where  $X_j: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  are operators (unless, of course,  $n = 1$ ). However, if the companion operator

$$C_L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & \cdots & I \\ -A_0 & -A_1 & & \cdots & -A_{r-1} \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{C}^{nr} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{nr}$$

---

\* Partially supported by the Fund for Basic Research Administered by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Received by the editors on May 4, 1984.

AMS Subject Classification: 47A66, 47D99.

Key words and phrases: operator polynomials, biquasitriangular operators, linear factors, analytic operator functions with compact spectrum.

of  $L(\lambda)$  is diagonable, then a factorization (1) exists. The proofs of these facts can be found in [3, §3.7]. Observe that the set of diagonable linear operators in a finite dimensional (complex) linear space is dense. So, for a dense set of monic operator polynomials of degree  $l$  acting in a finite dimensional space, a factorization (1) exists.

The purpose of this paper is to extend this observation to the case of infinite dimensional  $H$ , as well as to factorizations of analytic operator functions.

**2. Factorization of operator polynomials.** Denoting, by  $L(H)$ , the algebra of all (linear bounded) operators  $H \rightarrow H$  with the norm topology, we introduce the natural topology in  $L(H) \times \cdots \times L(H)$  ( $\ell$  times). We identify a monic operator polynomial  $L(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \lambda^j A_j$  with an element  $(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{\ell-1}) \in L(H) \times \cdots \times L(H)$ .

An operator  $A \in L(H)$  is called biquasitriangular if  $\text{ind}(\lambda I - A) = 0$ , for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda I - A$  is semifredholm (i.e.,  $\text{Im}(\lambda I - A)$  is closed) and the semifredholm index makes sense (i.e., at least one of the numbers  $\dim \text{Ker}(\lambda I - A)$  and  $\text{codim } \text{Im}(\lambda I - A)$  is finite). See Chapter 6 in [5] for the properties of biquasitriangular operators. Analogously, an operator polynomial  $L(\lambda)$  will be called biquasitriangular if  $\text{ind}L(\lambda) = 0$  whenever the semifredholm index makes sense. Denote, by  $(BQT)_{\ell}$ , the set of all biquasitriangular operator polynomials of degree  $\ell$  with the induced topology. We say that an operator polynomial  $L(\lambda) \in (BQT)_{\ell}$  is factorable if there exist  $X_1, \dots, X_{\ell} \in L(H)$  such that

$$L(\lambda) = (\lambda I + X_1) \cdots (\lambda I + X_{\ell}).$$

The main result of this section is the following

**THEOREM 1.** *The set of all factorable biquasitriangular monic operator polynomials of degree  $\ell$  is dense in  $(BQT)_{\ell}$ .*

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following facts.

**PROPOSITION 2.** (see Chapter 5 in [5]). *Let  $S$  be the set of all  $A \in L(H)$  with the property that there is a decomposition  $H = H_1 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} H_k$  into the direct sum of a finite number of (closed)  $A$ -invariant subspaces  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $A|_{H_i} = \lambda_i I$ , for some complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  (the number  $k$  and the subspaces  $H_i$ , as well as the numbers  $\lambda_i$ , may depend on  $A$ ). Then the closure of  $S$  (in the norm topology) coincides with the set of all biquasitriangular operators.*

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $H = H_1 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} H_k$  be a decomposition of  $H$  into the direct sum of (closed) subspaces  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then, for every finite chain of subspaces  $N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \cdots \supset N_{\ell}$  in  $H$ , there exist chains of subspaces  $M_{i1} \subset M_{i2} \subset \cdots \subset M_{i\ell} \subset H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $M_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k M_{ij}$ .*

$M_{1j} + M_{2j} + \dots + M_{kj}$  is a direct complement to  $N_j$  in  $H$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ .

PROOF. Induction on  $\ell$ . For the case  $\ell = 1$ , Proposition 3 is due to D. Gurarie, and its proof can be found in [12]. Assume Proposition 3 has been proved with  $\ell$  replaced by  $\ell - 1$ . Let  $M_{1\ell} \subset H_1, \dots, M_{k\ell} \subset H_k$  be subspaces with the property that  $M_\ell = M_{1\ell} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{k\ell}$  is a direct complement to  $N_\ell$  in  $H$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exist chains of subspaces  $M_{i1} \subset M_{i2} \subset \dots \subset M_{i,\ell-1}$  in  $M_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $M_j$  is a direct complement to  $M_\ell \cap N_j$  in  $M_\ell, j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ . As  $N_j = N_\ell \dot{+} (M_\ell \cap N_j)$ , it follows that  $M_j$  is also a direct complement to  $N_j$  in  $H, j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ .

PROPOSITION 4. For every monic operator polynomial  $L(\lambda)$  of degree  $\ell$  there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon$  and  $K$  such that any operator  $B \in L(H')$  with  $\|B - C_L\| < \varepsilon$ , where  $C_L$  is the companion operator of  $L(\lambda)$ , is similar to the companion operator  $C_M$  of some monic operator polynomial  $M(\lambda)$  of degree  $\ell$ ; moreover,

$$(2) \quad \|C_M - C_L\| \leq K \|B - C_L\|.$$

PROOF. We shall use the ideas developed in [2]. Write  $B$  in the block matrix form  $B = [B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^\ell$ , where  $B_{ij} \in L(H)$ . Letting  $P_1 = [I \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]: H' \rightarrow H$ , observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_1 C_L \\ \vdots \\ P_1 C_L^\ell \end{bmatrix} = I.$$

Hence, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for every  $B \in L(H')$  with  $\|B - C_L\| < \varepsilon$ , the operator

$$Q(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_1 B \\ \vdots \\ P_1 B^{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} : H' \rightarrow H'$$

is invertible. Put  $M(\lambda) = \lambda'I - P_1 B(V_\ell + V_2\lambda + \dots + V_\ell\lambda^{\ell-1})$ , where  $[V_1 \ V_2 \ \dots \ V_\ell] = Q(B)^{-1}, V_i: H \rightarrow J'$ . Then one easily verifies the equality  $Q(B)B = C_M Q(B)$ . Further,

$$\|Q(B)^{-1} - I\| \leq K_1 \|B - C_L\|, \quad \|B' - C_L'\| \leq K_2 \|B - C_L\|,$$

for some positive constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (where  $B \in L(H')$  is such that  $\|B - C_L\| < \varepsilon$ ). Taking into account the equality

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda'I - P_1 C_L'(U_1 + U_2 \lambda + \dots + U_\ell \lambda^{\ell-1}),$$

where  $[U_1 \ U_2 \ \cdots \ U_\ell] = I$ ,  $U_i: H \rightarrow H'$ , we obtain (2).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $L(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \lambda^j A_j$  be a biquasitriangular monic operator polynomial ( $A_\ell = I$ ), and let

$$C_L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I \\ -A_0 & -A_1 & -A_2 & \cdots & -A_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

be its companion operator. There exist everywhere invertible operator polynomials  $E(\lambda): H' \rightarrow H'$  and  $F(\lambda): H' \rightarrow H'$  such that

$$E(\lambda)(\lambda I - C_L)F(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L(\lambda) & & & & \\ & I & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & I \end{bmatrix}$$

(see, e.g., Theorem 1.1 in [3]). So  $C_L$  is biquasitriangular as well. As follows from the factorization theory for monic operator polynomials (see [2]), the existence of a factorization  $L(\lambda) = (\lambda I + X_1) \cdots (\lambda I + X_\ell)$  is equivalent to the existence of a chain of  $C_L$ -invariant subspaces  $(H' \supset) M_{\ell-1} \supset M_{\ell-2} \supset \cdots \supset M_1$  such that the operators

$$P_i|_{M_i}: M_i \rightarrow H^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1,$$

where

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

are invertible (i.e., one-to-one and onto).

Using Proposition 2, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , find  $B \in L(H')$  such that  $\|B - C_L\| < \epsilon$  and  $B|_{M_i} = \lambda_i I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , for some decomposition into the direct sum  $H' = H_1 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} H_k$ . Taking  $\epsilon$  small enough, in view of Proposition 4, we can ensure that  $B$  is similar to the companion operator  $C_{\tilde{L}}$  of a monic operator polynomial  $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$  of degree  $\ell$ ; moreover,

$$(3) \quad \|C_{\tilde{L}} - C_L\| \leq K \|B - C_L\|,$$

where the positive constant  $K$  depends on  $C_L$  only. We have

$$C_{\bar{L}}|_{G_i} = \lambda_i I, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $G_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ , are subspaces such that  $H' = G_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} G_k$ . By Proposition 3, for  $j = 1, \dots, l - 1$ , there is a direct complement  $M_j$  to  $\text{Ker } P_j$  in  $H'$  of the form  $M_j = M_{j1} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} M_{kj}$ , where  $M_{ij} \subset G_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$ ; moreover,  $M_{l-1} \supset \dots \supset M_1$ . Obviously,  $M_j$  is  $C_{\bar{L}}$ -invariant and  $P_j|_{M_j}$  is invertible. This implies existence of a factorization  $\bar{L}(\lambda) = (\lambda I + X_1) \dots (\lambda I + X_l)$ , which proves (in views of (3)) Theorem 1.

**3. Factorization of analytic operator functions.** In this section we shall extend the result of Theorem 1 to the frame-work of analytic operator functions.

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in the complex plane, and let  $W(\lambda): \Omega \rightarrow L(H)$  be an analytic operator valued function. We say that  $W(\lambda)$  has compact spectrum if the spectrum  $\sigma(W)$  of  $W(\lambda)$ , i.e., the set of points  $\lambda \in \Omega$  such that  $W(\lambda)$  does not have a (bounded) inverse, is compact. Denote, by  $CS(\Omega)$ , the set of all analytic operator functions on  $\Omega$  with compact spectrum. A spectral theory of such operator functions was developed recently in [6, 7, 8]. We shall recall briefly the basic facts of this theory which will be used later.

A quintet  $\theta = (A, B, C; G, H)$  is called a spectral node on  $\Omega$ , for  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$ , if  $G$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $A: G \rightarrow G, B: H \rightarrow G, C: G \rightarrow H$  are linear bounded operators, and the following properties hold true:

- (a)  $\sigma(A) \subset \Omega$ ;
- (b)  $W(\lambda)^{-1} - C(\lambda I - A)^{-1}B$  has an analytic extension on  $\Omega$ ;
- (c)  $W(\lambda) C(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  has an analytic extension on  $\Omega$ ;
- (d)  $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Ker } CA^j = (0)$ .

A spectral node exists and is unique up to similarity (Theorem 1.2 in [6]), i.e., any other spectral node for  $W(\lambda)$  on  $\Omega$  has the form  $(S^{-1}AS, S^{-1}B, CS; G, H)$ , for some invertible operator  $S: G \rightarrow G$ . Also,  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(W)$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $(A, B, C; G, H)$  be a spectral node for  $W(\lambda)$  on  $\Omega$ . Then:*

- (i) *for some integer  $m > 0$ , the operators*

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{m-1} \end{bmatrix} : G \rightarrow H^m, [B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B] : H^m \rightarrow G$$

*are left invertible and right invertible, respectively;*

- (ii) *there exist analytic and invertible operator valued fundtions  $E(\lambda): \Omega \rightarrow H \oplus G, G(\lambda): \Omega \rightarrow H \oplus G$  such that*

$$E(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} W(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & I_G \end{bmatrix} F(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_H & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda I - A \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \Omega.$$

For the proof of (i) see §6 in [7]; part (ii) is Corollary 4.2 in [6].

Divisibility is characterized in terms of spectral nodes as follows (see [7]):

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $W(\lambda), W_1(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  and let  $(A, B, C; G, H)$  and  $(A_1, B_1, C_1; G_1, H)$  be the spectral nodes of  $W(\lambda)$  and  $W_1(\lambda)$ , respectively. Then  $W(\lambda) = U(\lambda)W_1(\lambda), \lambda \in \Omega$ , for some  $U(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$ , if and only if there exist an  $A$ -invariant subspace  $\hat{G} \subset G$  and an invertible operator  $S: \hat{G} \rightarrow G_1$  such that  $A|_{\hat{G}} = S^{-1}A_1S, C|_{\hat{G}} = C_1S$ .*

Given  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$ , let  $\Delta$  be a rectifiable contour in  $\Omega$  such that  $\sigma(W)$  is inside  $\Delta$ . Let

$$W_{pq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Delta} \begin{bmatrix} W(\lambda)^{-1} & \lambda W(\lambda)^{-1} & \dots & \lambda^{q-1} W(\lambda)^{-1} \\ \lambda W(\lambda)^{-1} & \lambda^2 W(\lambda)^{-1} & \dots & \lambda^q W(\lambda)^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda^{p-1} W(\lambda)^{-1} & \lambda^p W(\lambda)^{-1} & \dots & \lambda^{p+q-2} W(\lambda)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} : H^q \rightarrow H^p$$

( $p, q = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Clearly,  $W_{pq}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\Delta$ . We have

**PROPOSITION 7.** *A necessary (but not sufficient) condition for existence of a factorization*

$$(4) \quad W(\lambda) = V(\lambda)N(\lambda),$$

where  $V(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  and  $N(\lambda)$  is a monic operator polynomial of degree  $\ell$  with  $\sigma(N) \subset \Omega$ , is that the operator  $W_{\ell q}$  is onto, for some  $q$ .

Note that (because  $H$  is a Hilbert space)  $W_{pq}$  is onto if and only if it is right invertible; also, if  $W_{pq}$  is onto, then so are  $W_{p-1,q}, \dots, W_{1q}$ . In case  $W(\lambda)$  is a monic operator polynomial of degree  $m$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ , the operators  $W_{pq}$  are easily seen to be onto for  $1 \leq p \leq m, q \geq m$ . Indeed, in this case,

$$(C_W, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}, [I \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]; H^m, H)$$

is a spectral node for  $W(\lambda)$  (here  $C_W$  stands for the companion operator of  $W(\lambda)$ , see [2]). Consequently,  $W_{pq}$  has the form

$$W_{pq} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & I \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & I & & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq m, q \geq m.$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7. Let  $(A, B, C; G, H)$  be a spectral node for  $W(\lambda)$ . Then

$$W_{pq} = Q_p(C, A) \cdot [B, AB, \dots, A^{q-1}B], \text{ where } Q_p(C, A) = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 5(i), for  $q$  large enough,  $W_{pq}$  is onto if and only if  $Q_p(C, A)$  is such. If (4) holds, then, by Proposition 6, there is an  $A$ -invariant subspace  $\hat{G} \subset G$  and an invertible operator  $S: \hat{G} \rightarrow H'$  such that

$$A \Big|_{\hat{G}} = S^{-1}C_N S, C \Big|_{\hat{G}} = [I \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]S.$$

Hence

$$Q_p(C, A) \Big|_{\hat{G}} = S$$

is invertible, and, consequently,  $W_{pq}$  (for  $q$  large enough) is onto.

In view of Proposition 7, we shall introduce the following definition. An operator function  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  will be called  $\mathcal{L}$ -complete if the operator  $W_{pq}$  is onto, for some  $q$ .

A natural topology is introduced in  $CS(\Omega)$ . Namely, a sequence  $W_n(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is said to converge to  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  if  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(W_n)$  is contained in some compact set in  $\Omega$  and, for every compact  $K \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in K} \|W_n(\lambda) - W(\lambda)\| = 0.$$

As the right invertibility of a Hilbert space operator is stable under small perturbations, it follows that the set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -complete analytic operator functions with compact spectrum is open in  $CS(\Omega)$ . However, this set is not dense in  $CS(\Omega)$ .

As for operator polynomials, we say that a  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  is biquasitriangular if  $\text{ind } W(\lambda) = 0$ , for every  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , such that the semifredholm index makes sense. In view of Proposition 5(ii),  $W(\lambda)$  is biquasitriangular if and only if the operator  $A$  from a spectral node  $(A, B, C; G, H)$  is such.

We now state the extension of Theorem 1 to the framework of analytic operator functions.

**THEOREM 8.** *Assume the domain  $\Omega$  is simply connected and  $\bar{\Omega} \neq C$ . Then the set of  $\ell$ -complete biquasitriangular operator functions  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  which admit a factorization of type*

$$(5) \quad W(\lambda) = V(\lambda) (\lambda I - X_1) \cdots (\lambda I - X_\ell), \quad X_i \in L(H)$$

with  $V(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  and  $\sigma(X_i) \subset \Omega, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , is dense in the set of all  $\ell$ -complete biquasitriangular operator functions in  $CS(\Omega)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $W(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$  be  $\ell$ -complete and biquasitriangular, and let  $(A, B, C; G, H)$  be a spectral node for  $W(\lambda)$  on  $\ell$ . As  $A$  is biquasitriangular, by Proposition 2, there exists a sequence  $A_m \in L(G), m = 1, 2, \dots$ , which converges to  $A$  and such that, for each  $A_m$ , there is a decomposition  $G = G_{1m} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} G_{k_m, m}$  into a direct sum of  $A_m$ -invariant subspaces  $G_{jm}$  such that  $A_m|_{G_{jm}} = \lambda_{jm} I$ , for some complex numbers  $\lambda_{1m}, \dots, \lambda_{k_m, m}$ . We can assume that  $\bigcup_{m=0}^\infty \sigma(A_m)$  is contained in a compact set in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $z_0 \in C$  be such that

$$\Omega \cap \{\lambda \in C \mid |\lambda - z_0| < \delta\} = \emptyset.$$

By Theorem 2.1 in [8], the operator function

$$\bar{W}(\lambda) = I + CF^{-1}[\delta^2 - (\lambda - z_0)(A^* - \bar{z}_0)]^{-1}C^*,$$

where

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \delta^{2n}(A^* - \bar{z}_0)^{-n-1}C^*C(A - z_0)^{-n-1},$$

belongs to  $CS(\Omega)$  and has the spectral node  $(A, \bar{B}, C; G, H)$ , for some  $\bar{B}: H \rightarrow G$ . Proposition 6 now gives

$$W(\lambda) = U(\lambda)\bar{W}(\lambda),$$

where  $U(\lambda)$  is analytic and invertible in  $\Omega$ . Analogously, the operator function  $\bar{W}_m(\lambda) = I + CF_m^{-1}[\delta^2 - (\lambda - z_0)(A_m^* - \bar{z}_0)]^{-1}C^*$ , where  $F_m = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \delta^{2n}(A_m - \bar{z}_0)^{-n-1}C^*C(A_m - z_0)^{-n-1}$  belongs to  $CS(\Omega)$  (for  $m$  large enough) and has the spectral node  $(A_m, \bar{B}_m, C; G, H)$ , for some  $\bar{B}_m: H \rightarrow G$ . Putting  $W_m(\lambda) = U(\lambda)\bar{W}_m(\lambda)$ , it is easy to see that the sequence  $W_m(\lambda), m = 1, 2, \dots$ , converges to  $W(\lambda)$  (in the indicated topology in  $CS(\Omega)$ ).

To complete the proof of Theorem 8 we shall show that  $W_m(\lambda)$  admits factorization of type (4), for sufficiently large  $m$ . Note that because  $W(\lambda)$  is  $\ell$ -complete, so is  $\bar{W}(\lambda)$  (cf. the proof of Proposition 7), and hence also  $\bar{W}_m(\lambda)$  (at least for  $m$  large enough). So the operators



$$Q_p(C, A_m) = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA_m \\ \vdots \\ CA_m^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad p = 1, \dots, \ell$$

are onto. By Proposition 3, there exist  $A_m$ -invariant subspaces  $M_\ell \supset \dots \supset M_1$  such that  $M_j$  is a direct complement to  $\text{Ker } Q_j(C, A_m)$  in  $G$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ . In particular,  $Q_\ell(C, A_m)|_{M_\ell}$  is invertible. Let

$$L_m(\lambda) = \lambda I - C(A_m|_{M_\ell})^\ell(T_1 + T_2\lambda + \dots + T_\ell\lambda^{\ell-1}),$$

where  $[T_1 \dots T_\ell] = (Q(C, A_m)|_{M_\ell})^{-1}$  (so  $T_i: H \rightarrow M_\ell$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ). It follows from [2] that  $(A_m|_{M_\ell}, T_\ell, C|_{M_\ell}; M_\ell, H)$  is a spectral node for  $L_m(\lambda)$ . So

$$\sigma(L_m) = \sigma(A_m|_{M_\ell}) \subset \Omega$$

(at this point we use the simple connectedness of  $\Omega$ ). Also,  $A_m|_{M_\ell}$  is similar to the companion operator  $C_{L_m}$  of  $L_m(\lambda)$ :  $Q_\ell(C, A_m)|_{M_\ell} \cdot A_m|_{M_\ell} = C_{L_m} \cdot Q_\ell(C, A_m)|_{M_\ell}$ . Now we show, as in the proof of Theorem 1, that  $L_m(\lambda)$  admits a factorization

$$L_m(\lambda) = (\lambda I - X_1) \dots (\lambda I - X_\ell), \quad X_i \in L(H),$$

and the simple connectedness of  $\Omega$  ensures again that  $\sigma(X_i) \subset \Omega$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . It remains to note that, by Proposition 7,  $\tilde{W}_m(\lambda) = V(\lambda)L_m(\lambda)$ , for some  $V(\lambda) \in CS(\Omega)$ .

REFERENCES

1. I. Gohberg, M. A. Kaashoek, L. Rodman, *Spectral analysis of families of operator polynomials and a generalized Vandermonde matrix*, II. *The infinite dimensional case*, J. Funct. Anal. **30** (1978), 357-389.
2. ———, P. Lancaster, ———, *Representations and divisibility of operator polynomials*, Canad. J. Math. **30** (1978), 1045-1069.
3. ———, ———, ———, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
4. ———, L. Lerer, ———, *Stable factorization of operator polynomials*, I. *Spectral divisors simply behaved at infinity*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. **74** (1980), 401-431; II. *Main results and applications to Toeplitz operators*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl. **75** (1980), 1-40.
5. D. A. Herrero, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, I. *Research Notes in Math.*, Vol. 72, Pitman Books Ltd., London, 1982.
6. M. A. Kaashoek, C. V. M. van der Mee, L. Rodman, *Analytic operator functions with compact spectrum*, I. *Spectral linearization and equivalence*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **4** (1981), 504-547.
7. ———, ———, ———, *Analytic operator functions with compact spectrum*, II. *Spectral pairs and factorization*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **5** (1982), 791-827.

8. ———, ———, ———, *Analytic operator functions with compact spectrum, III. Hilbert space case: inverse problem and applications*, J. of Operator Theory **10** (1983), 219–250.
9. M. G. Krein, H. Langer, *On some mathematical principles in the linear theory of damped oscillations of continua*, I, II, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **1** (1978), 364–399; 539–566 (Translation).
10. A. S. Markus, V. I. Macaev, *Spectral factorization of holomorphic operator valued functions*, Matematicheskie Issledovanija **47** (1978), 71–100 (Russian).
11. ———, ———, G. I. Russu, *On some generalizations of the theory of strongly damped bundles to the case of bundles of arbitrary order* (Russian), Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **34** (1973), 245–271.
12. ———, ———, *On the complete  $n$ -tuple of roots of the operator equation corresponding to a polynomial operator bundle*, Math. USSR Izv. **7** (1973), 1105–1128.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL-AVIV, RAMAT AVIV 69978, ISRAEL

CURRENT ADDRESS: DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, AZ 85287