

## CONSTRUCTING REAL PRIME DIVISORS USING NASH ARCS

ROBERT ROBSON

Dedicated to the memory of Gus Efroymsen

Let  $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the affine coordinate ring of a variety  $V$  defined over the real closed field  $R$ . We denote the closed real points of  $V$  by  $X \subset R^n$  and the simple points of  $X$  by  $X_0 \subset X$ . A geometric preorder  $P$  on the function field  $K = R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a preorder corresponding to an (open) semialgebraic subset of  $X_0$ —in other words, there is an open semialgebraic set  $U \subset X_0$  such that  $f \in A \cap P$  precisely if  $f \geq 0$  on  $U$ .

Fix a geometric order  $P$  on  $K$ . If  $B \subset K$  is any subring and  $I \subset B$  is an ideal, we say that  $I$  is convex if  $f \in I$  whenever  $0 \leq f \leq g$  and  $g \in I$ . Here “ $f \leq g$ ” means  $g - f \in P$ . A valuation ring  $(B, \mathfrak{m}) \subset K$  is said to be a real prime divisor if there is a domain  $C \subset K$  of finite type over  $R$  and a minimal convex prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset C$  such that  $B$  is the localization  $C_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . The theorem motivating this work is the following.

**THEOREM** *Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  be a convex prime. Then there is a real prime divisor  $(B, \mathfrak{m}) \subset K$  with  $\mathfrak{m} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ .*

Set  $r = \text{tr.deg.}_R K$ . In order to prove this theorem we construct  $(r - 1)$  functions  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1} \in K$  and a total order  $Q \subset K$  containing:

- (A)  $P$ ,
- (B)  $h^2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1}) - C_h^2$  for every non-zero polynomial  $h \in R[T_1, \dots, T_r]$  (pure polynomial ring) and some constants  $C_h \in A \sim \mathfrak{p}$  depending on  $h$ , and
- (C)  $g^2 - f^2 h^2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1})$  for every  $h \in R[T_1, \dots, T_{r-1}]$ ,  $g \in A \sim \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

Once we know that such an order exists, it is a routine matter to show that the convex hull of the ring  $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1}] \subset K$  in the order  $Q$  is our desired real prime divisor. Thus the hard part is defining  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1}$  and proving the existence of  $Q$ .

Once the  $\xi_i$  are defined,  $Q$  exists providing that given any finite collection of inequalities from (A), (B), and (C) we may find a point  $p \in U$  at which all the inequalities are fulfilled. Our definition of the  $\xi_i$  uses

power series associated to nash arcs contained in  $U$  ending in  $X(\mathcal{A})$ , the real zeroes of  $\mathcal{A}$ . An example followed by a few general remarks will best serve to illustrate our methods and results.

Let  $A = R[X, Y, Z]$  (so  $X_0 = X = R^3$ ) and let  $U$  be defined by the following inequalities:  $Y^2 < ZX < Y^2 + Y^3$ , so  $P$  is generated by  $\sum R(X, Y, Z)^2$ ,  $ZX - Y^2$ , and  $Y^2 + Y^3 - ZX$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle X, Y \rangle$ , so  $X(\mathcal{A})$  is the  $Z$ -axis. Given any  $a, z \in R$  with  $z > 2$ , the power series

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(t) &= t^2 \\
 Y(t) &= \sqrt{z} t - t^2 + at^3 \\
 Z(t) &= z
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

define a nash arc  $\gamma_{(z,a)}(t)$  lying in  $U$  for small positive  $t$  with  $\gamma_{(z,a)}(0) = z \in X(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\xi_2 = Z$  and  $\xi_1 = (1/X)((1/X)((Y^2/X) - z)^2 - 4z)^2$ . Then  $\xi_1(X(t), Y(t), Z(t)) = 16z(2a\sqrt{z} + 1)^2 +$  higher order terms.

Now, if  $h(T_1, T_2)$  is given, then either  $h \in R[T_2]$ , in which case we set  $C_h = (1/2)h(Z)$ , or  $h \notin R[T_2]$ , in which case  $C_h = 1$ . Given finitely many non-zero  $h_\mu$ ;  $g_\mu \in A \sim \mathcal{A}$ , and  $f_\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ , we find a point  $(z_0, 0, 0) \in X(\mathcal{A})$  such that all  $h_\mu(T_1, z_0) \in R[T_1]$  are non-constant and all  $g_\mu(z_0, 0, 0)$  are non-zero. The power series  $h_\mu(\xi_1(X(t), Y(t), Z(t)), \xi_2(X(t), Y(t), Z(t)))$  have first terms  $h_\mu(16 z_0(2a\sqrt{z_0} + 1)^2, z_0)$ . These are non-constant polynomials in  $a$ , so we may find  $a_0 \in R$  such that they are all greater than 2. Since  $g_\mu(z) \neq 0$  and  $f_\mu(z) = 0$  for all  $\mu$ , we may find a small positive  $t$  such that (A), (B), (C) are satisfied at the point  $\gamma_{(z_0, a_0)}(t)$ .

We now summarize the steps of our general procedure, most of which were illustrated by our example.

STEP 1 (Not in example). Let  $r = \text{tr.deg. } {}_R K$ . Construct a finite algebraic projection  $\pi: X \rightarrow R^r$  with  $\pi(X(\mathcal{A}))$  contained in  $E = \{(p_1, \dots, p_r) \in R^r \mid p_1 = \dots = p_s = 0\}$ , where  $s = \text{codim } \mathcal{A}$ . Shrink  $U$  so that  $\pi^{-1}$  is nash on  $\pi(U)$  but  $\overline{\pi(U)}$  contains an open semialgebraic subset of  $E$ . This reduces to the “smooth” case as in the example.

STEP 2. Choose a nash wing in  $\pi(U)$  ending in  $E$ . This wing has coefficients which are nash functions of  $p_{s+1}, \dots, p_s$ . The existence of this wing follows from a nash curve selection lemma and from the characterization of the real closure of a function field  $K$  with respect to a total order  $Q$  as the ring of germs of nash functions on a model of  $K$  with respect to the directed set of open subsets of the ultrafilter of semi-algebraic sets corresponding to  $Q$ .

STEP 3. Observe that the power series associated to this wing may be truncated and that arbitrary  $m$ -th terms may be added for some  $m$ .

STEP 4. Using the fact that the nash function coefficients satisfy poly-

nomials over  $R$ , construct  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-1}$  as rational functions whose power series start with constant terms which are non-trivial polynomials in the coefficients of the  $m$ -th terms.

STEP 5. Apply an argument similar to that in the example to find an appropriate  $(0, \dots, 0, p_{s+1}, \dots, p_r) \in E$ , together with coefficients for the  $m$ -th terms, so that finitely many pre-assigned inequalities from (A), (B), and (C) are satisfied at  $\pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$  for the associated power series  $\gamma(t)$  and some small positive  $t$ .

We remark that the nash theory we use is valid over any real closed field—Cantor or not—and that the nash wing selection lemma we prove is a nice generalization of the classical curve selection lemma. We state this result as follows, although we really prove a more useful parametrized version. We do not investigate questions pertaining to differentiability of the wing at its boundary.

**NASH WING SELECTION.** *Let  $Z \subset R^n$  be a semialgebraic set. Let  $F \subset R^n$  be a non-empty irreducible algebraic set of dimension  $d$  with  $Z \cap F$  Zariski-dense in  $F$ . Then there are an open semialgebraic subset  $H \subset R^d$ , a non-empty interval  $(0, \varepsilon) \subset R$ , and a semialgebraic injection  $\omega: H \times [0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow R^n$  such that*

- (i)  $\omega(H \times \{t\}) \subset Z$  if  $0 < t < \varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega(H \times \{0\}) \subset F$  is an open semialgebraic subset of  $F$ , and
- (iii)  $\omega$  is a nash isomorphism on  $H \times (0, \varepsilon)$  — i.e.,  $\omega$  is nash with nash inverse on its image.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG UNIVERSITÄTSSTRABE 31 D - 8400 REGENSBURG (FRG)

