

## A $q$ -EXTENSION OF BAILEY'S BILINEAR GENERATING FUNCTION FOR THE JACOBI POLYNOMIALS

H.M. SRIVASTAVA

**ABSTRACT.** This note presents a rather simple proof of an interesting  $q$ -extension of Bailey's bilinear generating function for the classical Jacobi polynomials. The proof given here uses only such elementary results as the  $q$ -analogues of Euler's transformation, Vandermonde's summation theorem, and binomial expansion.

**1. Introduction.** Several interesting proofs are given in the literature for Bailey's bilinear generating function for the classical Jacobi polynomials [1, p. 9, Eq. (2.1)]. One of the recent proofs is given by Stanton [3]; it uses the orthogonality property of Jacobi polynomials and a known quadratic transformation for a well-poised hypergeometric  ${}_3F_2$  series. Indeed, as remarked by Stanton [3, p. 399], this technique applies *mutatis mutandis* to yield a  $q$ -extension of Bailey's result. The object of the present note is to give a rather simple proof of the  $q$ -extension, using only such elementary results as the  $q$ -analogues of Euler's transformation, Vandermonde's summation theorem, and binomial expansion.

### 2. Definitions and preliminaries. Put

$$(2.1) \quad (\lambda; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda q) \dots (1 - \lambda q^{n-1}), & \forall n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \end{cases}$$

and let  ${}_p\phi_p$  denote the standard  $q$ -hypergeometric series with  $p + 1$  numerator and  $p$  denominator parameters. Then, in terms of the little  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials defined by

$$(2.2) \quad p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q) = \frac{(\alpha q; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_2\phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}; \\ q, qx \end{matrix} \middle| \alpha q; \right]$$

Supported, in part, by NSERC (Canada) grant A-7353.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification*: Primary 33A30, 33A65; Secondary 42C10.

*Key words and phrases*: Bilinear generating function, Jacobi polynomials, orthogonality property, quadratic transformation, well-poised hypergeometric series, Euler's transformation, Vandermonde's summation theorem, binomial expansion,  $q$ -hypergeometric series,  $q$ -Jacobi polynomials, Heine's theorem.

Received by the editors on August 31, 1981, and in revised form on September 20, 1982.

a  $q$ -extension of Bailey's result has the form (cf. [3, p. 400, Eq. (5)])

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_n (\alpha\beta q; q)_n}{(\alpha q; q)_n (\beta q; q)_n} (\beta q)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y; q) t^n \\
 &= \frac{(-\alpha\beta qt; q)_{\infty}}{(-t; q)_{\infty}} \Phi_{2;1;1}^{4;0;2} \left[ \begin{matrix} \sqrt{\alpha\beta q}, -\sqrt{\alpha\beta q}, q\sqrt{\alpha\beta}, -q\sqrt{\alpha\beta}; \\ -\alpha\beta qt, -q/t; \\ -; \beta qx, \beta qy; \\ \alpha q; \beta q; \\ \beta q^2 xy, q \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &= \frac{(-\alpha\beta qt; q)_{\infty}}{(-t; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha\beta q; q)_{2r+2s} (\beta qx; q)_s (\beta qy; q)_s}{(-\alpha\beta qt; q)_{r+s} (-q/t; q)_{r+s} (\alpha q; q)_r (\beta q; q)_s} \cdot \frac{(\beta q^2 xy)^r q^s}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_s}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.3}$$

where, for convenience,

$$(\lambda; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda q^j).
 \tag{2.4}$$

In our proof of the  $q$ -extension (2.3), detailed in the next section, we shall require the following elementary results in the theory of  $q$ -hypergeometric functions.

I. A  $q$ -analogue of Euler's transformation [2, p. 97, Eq. (3.3.2.3)]

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, b; \\ q, z \end{matrix} \middle| c; \right] = \frac{(abz/c; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} c/a, c/b; \\ q, abz/c \end{matrix} \middle| c; \right].
 \tag{2.5}$$

II. A  $q$ -analogue of Vandermonde's theorem [2, p. 97, Eq. (3.3.2.7)]

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b; \\ q, q \end{matrix} \middle| c; \right] = b^n \frac{(c/b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots
 \tag{2.6}$$

III. The  $q$ -binomial expansion (or Heine's theorem) [2, p. 92, Eq. (3.2.2.11)]

$${}_1\Phi_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} a; \\ q, z \end{matrix} \middle| -; \right] = \frac{(az; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}}.
 \tag{2.7}$$

**3. Proof of the  $q$ -extension (2.3).** In view of (2.5), the definition (2.2) readily yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x; q) \\
 (3.1) \quad & = \frac{(\beta q; q)_n (\beta q x; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_n (q x; q)_\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q^{\ell(-2n+1)/2} \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{n+\ell}}{(\alpha q; q)_\ell (\beta q; q)_{n-\ell}} \frac{(-x)^\ell}{(q; q)_\ell},
 \end{aligned}$$

and, using (2.1) in (2.2), we also have

$$(3.2) \quad p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y; q) = \frac{(\alpha q; q)_n}{(\alpha \beta q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{q^{m(m-2n+1)/2} (\alpha \beta q; q)_{m+n} (-y)^m}{(q; q)_m (q; q)_{n-m} (\alpha q; q)_m}.$$

Denoting, for convenience, the first member of (2.3) by  $\Omega$ , and substituting from (3.1) and (3.2), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad \Omega & = \frac{(\beta q x; q)_\infty}{(q x; q)_\infty} \sum_{n, \ell=0}^{\infty} q^{(1/2)n(n+1)+(1/2)\ell(-2n+1)} (\beta t)^n \frac{(-x)^\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} \\
 & \cdot \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{n+\ell}}{(\alpha q; q)_\ell (\beta q; q)_{n-\ell}} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{q^{m(m-2n+1)/2} (\alpha \beta q; q)_{m+n} (-y)^m}{(q; q)_m (q; q)_{n-m} (\alpha q; q)_m} \\
 & = \frac{(\beta q x; q)_\infty}{(q x; q)_\infty} \sum_{\ell, m, n=0}^{\infty} q^{(1/2)\ell(\ell+1)+n+(1/2)n(n+1)-n\ell-\ell m} \\
 & \cdot \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{\ell+m+n} (\alpha \beta q; q)_{2m+n}}{(\alpha q; q)_\ell (\alpha q; q)_m (\beta q; q)_{m+n-\ell}} \frac{(-x)^\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} \frac{(-\beta y)^m}{(q; q)_m} \frac{(\beta t)^n}{(q; q)_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the  $q$ -summation theorem (2.6) it is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{\ell+m}}{(q; q)_\ell (q; q)_m (\alpha q; q)_\ell (\alpha q; q)_m} \\
 & = q^{\ell m} \frac{\min(\ell, m)}{\sum_{r=0}^{\min(\ell, m)} q^{r(r-\ell-m)}} \frac{q^{r(r-\ell-m)}}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_{\ell-r} (q; q)_{m-r} (\alpha q; q)_r}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{\ell+m+n}}{(q; q)_n (\alpha q; q)_{\ell+m} (\beta q; q)_{m+n-\ell}} = (-\beta)^{-n} q^{(-1/2)n(n+1)-mn+n\ell} \\
 & \cdot \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(-1)^s q^{(1/2)s(s-2n+1)} (\alpha \beta q^{2m+n+1}; q)_s}{(q; q)_s (q; q)_{n-s} (\beta q; q)_{s+m-\ell}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which, together, yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \frac{(\alpha q; q)_{\ell+m+n} (\alpha \beta q; q)_{2m+n}}{(q; q)_\ell (q; q)_m (q; q)_n (\alpha q; q)_\ell (\alpha q; q)_m (\beta q; q)_{m+n-\ell}} \\
 & = (-\beta)^{-n} q^{(-1/2)n(n+1)+\ell m-mn+n\ell} \sum_{r=0}^{\min(\ell, m)} \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(-1)^s q^{r(r-\ell-m)+(1/2)s(s-2n+1)}}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_s} \\
 & \cdot \frac{(\alpha \beta q; q)_{s+2m+n}}{(q; q)_{\ell-r} (q; q)_{m-r} (q; q)_{n-s} (\alpha q; q)_r (\beta q; q)_{s+m-\ell}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting from (3.6) into (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega &= \frac{(\beta qx; q)_\infty}{(qx; q)_\infty} \sum_{\ell, m, n=0}^\infty q^{(1/2)\ell(\ell+1)-m(n-1)} (-x)^\ell (-\beta yt)^m (-t)^n \\
 &\cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\min(\ell, m)} \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(-1)^s q^{r(r-\ell-m)+(1/2)s(s-2n+1)} (\alpha\beta q; q)_{s+2m+n}}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_s (q; q)_{\ell-r} (q; q)_{m-r} (q; q)_{n-s} (\alpha q; q)_r (\beta q; q)_{s+m-r}} \\
 (3.7) \quad &= \frac{(\beta qx; q)_\infty}{(qx; q)_\infty} \sum_{r, s, \ell, m, n=0}^\infty q^{(1/2)(\ell+r)(\ell+r+1)-(m+r)(n+s-1)-r(r+\ell+m)-(1/2)s(s+2n-1)} \\
 &\cdot \frac{(\alpha\beta q; q)_{2r+2s+2m+n}}{(\alpha q; q)_r (\beta q; q)_{s-m-\ell}} \frac{(\beta xyt)^r}{(q; q)_r} \frac{t^s}{(q; q)_s} \frac{(-x)^\ell}{(q; q)_\ell} \frac{(-\beta yt)^m}{(q; q)_m} \frac{(-t)^n}{(q; q)_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now replace the summation index  $s$  in (3.7) by  $s - m$  and apply the definition (2.1). Each of the resulting  $\ell$ -,  $m$ - and  $n$ -series can thus be separated, and from (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega &= \frac{(\beta qx; q)_\infty}{(qx; q)_\infty} \sum_{r, s=0}^\infty \frac{q^{(-1/2)(r+s)(r+s+1)} (\alpha\beta q; q)_{2r+2s}}{(\alpha q; q)_r (\beta q; q)_s} \\
 (3.8) \quad &\cdot \frac{(\beta q^2 xyt)^r}{(q; q)_r} \frac{(qt)^s}{(q; q)_s} {}_1\Phi_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-s}/\beta; \\ q, \beta q^{s+1}x \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &\cdot {}_1\Phi_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-s}; \\ q, \beta q^{s+1}y \end{matrix} \right] {}_1\Phi_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha\beta q^{2r+2s+1}; \\ q, -q^{-r-s}t \end{matrix} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Heine's theorem (2.7) to each of the  ${}_1\Phi_0$ 's occurring in (3.8) and noticing, in particular, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &{}_1\Phi_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha\beta q^{2r+2s+1}; \\ q, -q^{-r-s}t \end{matrix} \right] \\
 (3.9) \quad &= \frac{(-\alpha\beta q^{r+s+1}t; q)_\infty}{(-q^{-r-s}t; q)_\infty} \\
 &= \frac{(-\alpha\beta qt; q)_\infty}{(-t; q)_\infty} \frac{t^{-r-s} q^{(1/2)(r+s)(r+s+1)}}{(-\alpha\beta qt; q)_{r+s} (-q/t; q)_{r+s}},
 \end{aligned}$$

the last member of the  $q$ -extension (2.3) follows at once from (3.8); here we assume that the various parameters involved are so constrained that Equation (3.9) holds true.

This evidently completes our proof of the  $q$ -extension (2.3) in which the second member is obtained upon merely interpreting the double series as a  $q$ -hypergeometric function of two variables.

## REFERENCES

1. W. N. Bailey, *The generating function of Jacobi polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **13** (1938), 8–12.
2. L. J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London and New York, 1966.
3. D. Stanton, *A short proof of a generating function for Jacobi polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 398–400.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA, VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA  
V8W 2Y2, CANADA

