# LIMITS OF DIRICHLET FINITE FUNCTIONS ALONG CURVES 

MOSES GLASNER AND RICHARD KATZ

Let $R$ be a noncompact connected orientable real analytic Riemannian $n$-manifold. In formulating the Dirichlet principle in the absence of a border for $R$ various types of behavior at the ideal boundary have been used. Brelot [1] considered limits of functions along a family of curves tending to the ideal boundary, the collection of Green's lines. Royden [11] introduced a compactification $R^{*}$ of $R$ to which Dirichlet finite functions extend continuously and considered values of functions on $\Delta$, the harmonic part of $R^{*} \backslash R$, as boundary values. Nakai [6], [7] showed that for Dirichlet finite functions these two modes of behavior are in a sense the same. Subsequently Ohtsuka [8] used limits along arbitrary curves tending to the ideal boundary and extremal length to specify boundary behavior. Since $\Delta$ is a tractable analytic device and extremal length is related to the geometry of $R$, it is important to determine the connection between the latter sort of boundary behavior and the former ones.

Let $\tilde{M}(R)$ denote the space of Tonelli functions on $R$ with finite Dirichlet integrals, $D_{R}(f)=\int_{R} d f \wedge * d f<+\infty$. We shall show that an $f \in \tilde{M}(R)$ has limit 0 along almost every curve (in the sense of extremal length) joining a fixed parametric ball to the ideal boundary if and only if the values of $f$ on $\Delta$ are 0 . In particular, given a function $g \in \tilde{M}(R)$ the solution to the Dirichlet problem having the same boundary values as $g$ does not depend on which of the above meanings is assigned to the term boundary values. As an application we give a criterion for $R$ to carry nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic functions.

1. We begin by organizing some terminology for later use. We say that an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset R$ is an end of $R$ if the relative boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}$ is piecewise smooth and compact in $R$ whereas $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is not compact. $A$ region $\Omega \subset R$ will be called regular if $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact and $\partial \Omega$ is piecewise smooth. The relative harmonic measure of an end $\mathcal{O}$ of $R, \omega=\omega(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R)$ is defined as follows. Let $\left\{R_{m} \mid m=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be an exhaustion of $R$ by regular regions with $\partial \mathcal{O} \subset R_{1}$ and let $\omega_{m}=\omega_{m}(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R)$ be in $\tilde{M}(R)$ such that $\omega_{m} \mid R \backslash \mathcal{O}=0$, $\omega_{m} \mid \mathcal{O} \backslash R_{m}=1$ and $\omega_{m} \mid \mathcal{O} \cap R_{m}$ is harmonic. By the maximum principle $0 \leqq \omega_{m+1} \leqq \omega_{m} \leqq 1$. Hence by the Harnack principle we may define
$\omega(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R)=\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \omega_{m}(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R)$. The function $\omega$ is continuous on $R$ with $\omega|R \backslash \mathcal{O}=0, \omega| \mathcal{O}$ harmonic. For any $k>m, D_{R}\left(\omega_{k}-\omega_{m}, \omega_{k}\right)=0$ by the harmonicity of $\omega_{k}$ on $\mathcal{O} \cap R_{k}$ and consequently $0 \leqq D_{R}\left(\omega_{m}-\omega_{k}\right)=$ $D_{R}\left(\omega_{m}\right)-D_{R}\left(\omega_{k}\right)$. From this we see that $\left\{\omega_{m}\right\}$ is Cauchy with respect to the Dirichlet seminorm and consequently by Fatou's lemma we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R)=\underset{m \rightarrow+\infty}{D-\lim _{m}} \omega_{m}(\cdot ; \mathcal{O}, R) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an end of $R$ such that $R \backslash \mathcal{O}$ is compact. Then $R$ is said to be parabolic if $\omega=0$ and hyperbolic otherwise. This is equivalent to the other definitions and in particular is independent of $\mathcal{O}$ (cf. [4], [12]).
2. We shall be concerned with the critical points $E$ of a nonconstant harmonic function on a subregion $U$ of $R$. Since such functions are real analytic, we see by Lemma 12 of [2] that $E$ is a union of countably many submanifolds of dimensions at most $n-2$ and in particular $E$ is polar. We shall need the following stronger statement.

Lemma. Let $R$ be hyperbolic and $U$ be a subregion of $R$ with $\partial U$ piecewise smooth. Let $E$ be the set of critical points of a nonconstant harmonic function on $U$ and assume that $E \cap \partial U=\varnothing$. Then there is a function $\varphi \in \tilde{M}(R \backslash E)$ such that $\varphi \mid R \backslash U=0$ and for every $p_{0} \in E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \backslash E \neq p \rightarrow p_{0}} \varphi(p)=+\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take an exhaustion $\left\{G_{k} \mid k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ of $R$ by regular regions and set $U_{k}=U \cap G_{k}, E_{k}=E \cap \bar{G}_{k}$, a compact set, $k=1,2, \ldots$. Fix $k$ for the time being and consider $U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}$ as an end of $R \backslash E_{k}$. Set $\omega^{(k)}=$ $\omega\left(\cdot ; U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}, R \backslash E_{k}\right)$, the relative harmonic measure of $U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}$ in $R \backslash E_{k}$. Since $E$ is polar and $R$ is hyperbolic, there is a positive superharmonic function $s$ on $R$ with $s \mid E=+\infty$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ the function $\omega^{(k)}-\varepsilon s$ is subharmonic on $U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}$, bounded above and has nonpositive limit superior at each point of the relative boundary of $U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}$ in $R$. Thus $\omega^{(k)} \leqq \varepsilon S$ which implies that $\omega^{(k)}=0$. In view of (1) we may choose a matrix of positive integers $\left\{m_{k j}\right\}$ such that the defining sequence $\omega_{m}^{(k)}=\omega_{m}\left(\cdot ; U_{k+1} \backslash E_{k}, R \backslash E_{k}\right)$ for $\omega^{(k)}$ has the property that

$$
D_{R \backslash E_{k}}\left(\omega_{m_{k j}}^{(k)}\right)<4^{-j}
$$

Also define $\omega_{m}^{(k)} \mid E_{k}=1$ and note that this makes $\omega_{m}^{(k)}$ continuous on $R$.
Let $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ be an exhaustion of $U \backslash E$ by compact sets. For each positive integer $i$ we may choose $k_{i}$ such that $C_{i} \subset U_{k_{i}+1} \backslash E_{k_{i}}$ and then we may pick $j_{i} \geqq i$ such that

$$
w_{i}=\omega_{m_{k_{i}} j_{i}}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}
$$

is harmonic on $C_{i}$. Of course $D_{R \backslash E}\left(w_{i}\right)<4^{-i}$. Defining $\varphi_{i}=\sum_{1}^{i} w_{i}$ gives a $D$-Cauchy sequence. In fact for $i^{\prime}>i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{R 1 E}^{12}\left(\varphi_{i^{\prime}}-\varphi_{i}\right) \leqq \sum_{i}^{i^{\prime}} D_{R L E}^{1 / 2}\left(w_{j}\right)<2^{1-i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any compact $C \subset U \backslash E$ all but finitely many $w_{i}$ are harmonic on $C$ and thus the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $U \backslash E$ to a function $\varphi$ or to $+\infty$.
Each point of $\partial U$ has a compact neighborhood $N$ with $N \subset R \backslash E$. Given any compact set $A$ contained in the interior of $N$ there is a constant $a$ such that $u(p) \leqq a D_{N}(u)$ for every $u \in \tilde{M}(N)$ with $u \mid N \backslash U=0, u$ harmonic on the interior of $N \cap U$ and every $p \in A$ (cf. [4]). Since $\varphi_{i}|R| U=0$, we can deduce from this and (3) that $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ actually converges uniformly on compact subsets of $R \backslash E$ to a function $\varphi$. By Kawamura's lemma (cf. [4], [12]) we conclude that $\varphi \in \tilde{M}(R)$. Finally, for any $p_{0} \in E$ there are infinitely many $w_{j}$ with $w_{j}\left(p_{0}\right)=1$. Since $\lim \inf _{R \mid E \ni p \rightarrow p_{0}} \varphi(p) \geqq \varphi_{i}\left(p_{0}\right)$ for any $i$, we conclude that (2) holds.
3. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a family of locally rectifiable curves in $R$. A nonnegative Borel measurable function $\rho$ is called admissible with respect to $\mathscr{H}$ if $\int_{\tau} \rho d s \geqq 1$ for each curve $\gamma \in \mathscr{H}$. The modulus of $\mathscr{H}$ (which is the reciprocal of the extremal length of $\mathscr{H}$ ) is defined as $\bmod \mathscr{H}=\inf \int_{R} \rho^{2} d V$, where the infimum is taken over all admissible $\rho$ with respect to $\mathscr{H}$. A property is said to hold for almost every curve in $\mathscr{H}$ if it holds for all curves in $\mathscr{H} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{0}$, where $\mathscr{H}_{0}$ is a subfamily with $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{0}=0$. The well known elementary properties of modulus and extremal length continue to hold for $n>2$ with the above definition and we shall use them freely.
The following is an analogue of a result of Brelot-Choquet [2] and will play a fundamental role here.

Lemma. Let $R, U, E$ be as in Lemma 2 and $\mathscr{H}$ a family of locally rectifiable curves in $U$. Denote by $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ those curves in $\mathscr{H}$ which do not pass through or terminate at points of $E$. Then $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}=\bmod \mathscr{H}$.

Clearly $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E} \leqq \bmod \mathscr{H}$. To establish the reverse inequality let $\eta>0$ be arbitrary. Then there is a $\rho$ admissible with respect to $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ with $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}$ $+\eta>\int_{R} \rho^{2} d V$. Let $\varphi$ be the function of Lemma 2. For any curve $\gamma \in$ $\mathscr{H} \backslash \mathscr{H}_{E}$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, the function $\varepsilon|\nabla \varphi|$ has the property $\int_{r} \varepsilon|\nabla \varphi| d s \geqq \int_{r} \varepsilon|d \varphi|=+\infty$. Thus the function $\rho_{\varepsilon}=\max (\rho, \varepsilon|\nabla \varphi|)$ is admissible with respect to $\mathscr{H}$ and we obtain

$$
\bmod \mathscr{H} \leqq \int_{R} \rho_{\varepsilon}^{2} d V \leqq \int_{R} \rho^{2} d V+\varepsilon^{2} D_{R}(\varphi)<\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}+\eta+\varepsilon^{2} D_{R}(\varphi),
$$

which establishes $\bmod \mathscr{H} \leqq \bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}$.
4. Fix a parametric ball $B$ in $R$ and denote by $\mathscr{G}$ the family of all curves in $R \backslash B$ joining $\partial B$ to the ideal boundary of $R$; i.e. $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$ if $\gamma:[a, b) \rightarrow R \backslash B$, ( $b$ may be $+\infty$ ) is locally rectifiable, $\gamma(a) \in \partial B, \gamma(t) \in R \backslash \bar{B}$ if $t \neq a$ and for any compact set $C \subset R$ there is a $t_{0} \in[a, b)$ such that $\gamma(t) \notin C$ for every $t>t_{0}$. The notion of parabolicity is related to modulus as follows.

Proposition. $R$ is parabolic if and only if $\bmod \mathscr{G}=0$.
For $n>2$ this is due to $O w$ [9]. We shall present a new proof to which we shall refer later. Consider the functions $\omega_{m}=\omega_{m}(\cdot ; R \backslash \bar{B}, R)$ defining $\omega$. For any $\gamma \in \mathscr{G} . \int_{\tau}\left|\nabla \omega_{m}\right| d s \geqq \int_{T} d \omega_{m}=1$ which shows that $\left|\nabla \omega_{m}\right|$ is admissible with respect to $\mathscr{G}$. Therefore, $0 \leqq \bmod \mathscr{G} \leqq \lim _{m} D_{R}\left(\omega_{m}\right)$. If $R$ is parabolic, then (1) implies that $\bmod \mathscr{G}=0$.

Conversely assume that $R$ is hyperbolic. The function $\omega=\omega(\cdot ; R \backslash \bar{B}, R)$ can be assumed to be harmonic on $R \backslash B$ by suitably redefining it in a neighborhood of $\partial B$. Let $E$ be the critical points of $\omega$ in $R \backslash B$. We may further assume that $E \cap \partial B=\varnothing$ for if this were not the case we would shrink $B$ slightly and this would only decrease $\bmod \mathscr{G}$. Denote by $\mathscr{H}$ the family of maximal integral curves of $\nabla \omega$ starting at points of $B$. Every point of $B$ is the starting point of a curve in $\mathscr{H}$. Consider $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ the subfamily of curves in $\mathscr{H}$ which do not terminate at points of $E$. Clearly $\mathscr{H}_{E} \subset \mathscr{G}$. Let $\rho$ be an admissible density with respect to $\mathscr{H}$. Then for any $\gamma \in \mathscr{H}$ $1 \leqq\left(\int_{\tau} \rho d s\right)^{2}=\left(\int_{\Gamma} \rho /|\nabla \omega| d \omega\right)^{2} \leqq \int_{\Gamma} d \omega \int_{\Gamma} \rho^{2} /|\nabla \omega|^{2} d \omega \leqq \int_{r} \rho^{2} /|\nabla \omega|^{2} d \omega$. Here we have used the fact that along an integral curve of $\nabla \omega,|\nabla \omega| d s$ $=d \omega$. We choose the orientation for $\partial B$ that gives $* d \omega>0$ on $\partial B$, multiply the above inequality by $* d \omega$ and integrate to obtain $\int_{\partial B} * d \omega \leqq$ $\int_{\partial B}\left(\int_{r} \rho^{2} /|\nabla \omega|^{2} d \omega\right)^{*} d \omega=\int_{H} \rho^{2} d V \leqq \int_{R} \rho^{2} d V$, where $H$ is the subset of $R$ foliated by the curves in $\mathscr{H}$. Thus by Lemma 3 we conclude that $0<$ $\int_{\partial B} * d \omega \leqq \bmod \mathscr{H}=\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E} \leqq \bmod \mathscr{G}$.
5. It is easily seen that for any $f \in \tilde{M}(R)$ the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow b} f(\gamma(t))$ exists as a finite real number for almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$. We denote this limit simply by $f(\gamma)$. In addition we shall use the notation $e(\gamma)$ for the end part of $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$ in the Royden compactification $R^{*}$; i.e., $e(\gamma)=\bar{\gamma} \cap \Gamma$, where $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the closure in $R^{*}$ of the image set under $\gamma$. It is easy to verify that for $f \in \tilde{M}(R), f(\gamma)$ exists and is equal to $a$ if and only if $f \mid e(\gamma)$ is the constant $a$.

Lemma. Let $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ be a subfamily of $\mathscr{G}$ such that $F=\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{r \in \mathscr{G}_{0}} e(\gamma)\right)$ is disjoint from $\Delta$, the harmonic boundary. Then $\bmod \mathscr{G}_{0}=0$.

The parabolicity of $R$ is equivalent to $\Delta=\varnothing$ (cf. [4], [12]). In case $R$ is parabolic the assertion follows from Proposition 4. If $R$ is hyperbolic, then there is a nonnegative superharmonic function $v \in \tilde{M}(R)$ such that $v|F=+\infty, v| \Delta=0$ (cf. [4], [12]). For any $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}_{0}$ we have $e(\gamma) \subset F$ and
therefore $\nu(\gamma)=+\infty$. For an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}_{0}$ we see that $\int_{\tau} \varepsilon|\nabla v| d s \geqq \int_{r} \varepsilon d v=+\infty$; i.e., $\varepsilon|\nabla v|$ is admissible with respect to $\mathscr{G}_{0}$. We conclude that $\bmod \mathscr{G}_{0} \leqq \varepsilon^{2} D_{R}(v)$ and the assertion follows.
6. The converse of the above lemma is of course not true. Simply consider a single line segment in the open unit disk with one end point on the unit circle. The following is a partial converse.

Lemma. Let $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ be a subfamily of $\mathscr{G}$ with $\bmod \mathscr{G}_{0}=0$. Then the set $K=$ $\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{r \in S \backslash \mathscr{S}_{0}} e(\gamma)\right)$ contains $\Delta$.

Of course we only need to consider $\Delta \neq \varnothing$. In this case we see by Proposition 4 that $\bmod \left(\mathscr{G} \mid \mathscr{G}_{0}\right)>0$ and then by Lemma 5 that $K \cap \Delta \neq$ $\varnothing$. Assume that, contrary to the assertion, there is a point $p^{*} \in \Delta \backslash K$. Choose a function $h \in H D(R)$ such that $h\left(p^{*}\right)=1, h \mid K \cap \Delta=0$ and $0<h<1$ on $R$. Furthermore we may pick $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $\alpha$ is not a critical value of $h$ and the level surface $\{h=\alpha\}$ intersects $B$. Let $U$ be a component of the set $\{h>\alpha\}$ that intersects $B$ and set $S=\partial U \cap B$. By the choice of $\alpha$ each point of $S$ is the initial point of an integral curve of $\nabla h$ in $U$ and we denote by $\mathscr{H}$ the family of all such integral curves which are maximal. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3 we see that $0<\int_{s}{ }^{*} d h \leqq \bmod \mathscr{H}$ with the appropriate orientation on $S$. Let $E$ be the critical points of $h$ in $U$ and $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ the subfamily of curves in $\mathscr{H}$ not terminating at points of $E$. By Lemma 3 we have $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}>0$. The curves of $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ tend to the ideal boundary of $R$ and we form a new family $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ consisting of the portions of the curves in $\mathscr{H}_{E}$ joining $\partial B$ to the ideal boundary of $R$. Then $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{1} \geqq \bmod \mathscr{H}_{E}>0$ and $\mathscr{H}_{1}$ is a subfamily of $\mathscr{G}$.

Now define $K_{1}=\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{T \in \mathscr{H} 1 \mid \mathscr{S}_{0}} e(\gamma)\right)$. Since $\bmod \left(\mathscr{H}_{1} \mid \mathscr{G}_{0}\right)>0$, we see by Lemma 5 that $K_{1} \cap \Delta \neq \varnothing$. On the one hand, $K_{1} \cap \Delta \subset K \cap \Delta$ and consequently $h \mid K_{1} \cap \Delta=0$. But on the other hand, for every $\gamma \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$ we have $h(\gamma)>\alpha$ which implies $h \mid K_{1} \geqq \alpha$. The contradiction completes the proof.
7. We are ready to establish our main result.

Theorem. Let $f \in \tilde{M}(R)$. Then $f(\gamma)=0$ for almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$ if and only if $f \mid \Delta=0$.

Again no proof is necessary if $R$ is parabolic and we turn to the hyperbolic case. The necessity is a simple consequence of Lemma 6. For the proof of the sufficiency assume that $f \mid \Delta=0$. By considering the positive and negative parts of $f$ separately we may restrict our attention to the case $f \geqq 0$. For each positive integer $k$ consider the family $\mathscr{G}_{k}=$ $\left\{\gamma \in \mathscr{G} \mid f(\gamma)\right.$ exists and $\left.f(\gamma) \geqq k^{-1}\right\}$. Also define $K_{k}=\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup_{T \in \mathscr{s}_{k}} e(\gamma)\right)$. In view of $f \mid \Delta=0$ we see that $K_{k}$ is disjoint from $\Delta$ and hence by Lemma
$5 \bmod \mathscr{G}_{k}=0$. Let $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ be a family of curves in $\mathscr{G}$ such that $\bmod \mathscr{G}_{0}=0$ and $f(\gamma)$ exists for each $\gamma \in \mathscr{G} \backslash \mathscr{G}_{0}$. Then $\mathscr{G}_{\infty}=\bigcup_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}_{k}$ has $\bmod \mathscr{G}_{\infty}=0$ and $f(\gamma)=0$ for every $\gamma \in \mathscr{G} \backslash \mathscr{G}_{\infty}$.
8. There are a number of extremal length criteria for the nonexistence of nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic functions on $R$ (cf. [10], [5], [14]). We have the following result.

Corollary. $\operatorname{dim} H D(R)=1$ if and only if for each $f \in \tilde{M}(R)$ there is a constant $c_{f}$ such that $f(\gamma)=c_{f}$ for almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$.

If $R$ is parabolic, then $\operatorname{dim} H D(R)=1$ and $\bmod \mathscr{G}=0$ which means that the corollary holds. Assume that $R$ is hyperbolic. If $\operatorname{dim} H D(R)=1$, then $\Delta$ consists of one point $p^{*}$ and for any $f \in \tilde{M}(R)$ the required constant $c_{f}$ is $f\left(p^{*}\right)$. Indeed, since $f-c_{f} \mid \Delta=0$, the theorem implies that $\left(f-c_{f}\right)(\gamma)=0$ for almost every $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$. If $\operatorname{dim} H D(R)>1$, then there is a nonconstant bounded function $h \in H D(R)$ which may be normalized to satisfy $h(R)=(0,1)$ (cf., e.g., [4]). By the technique in the proof of Lemma 6 we can produce an $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{1} \subset \mathscr{G}$ with mod $\mathscr{H}_{1}>0$ and $h(\gamma)>\alpha$ for every $\gamma \in \mathscr{H}_{1}$, as well as a subfamily $\mathscr{H}_{2} \subset \mathscr{G}$ with $\bmod \mathscr{H}_{2}>0$ and $h(\gamma)<\alpha$ for every $\gamma \in \mathscr{H}_{2}$. Thus for $h$ there is no constant $c_{h}$ satisfying the condition of the corollary.

As an illustration consider $\mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geqq 3$. Uspenskiii [13] showed that a smooth function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $|\nabla f| \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<n$, has the same limit along all rays except for a collection of rays piercing the unit sphere in a set of $(n-1)$-dimensional measure zero. It is easily seen that $\operatorname{dim} H D\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=1$ (cf., e.g., [1]). Thus the corollary contains the case $p=2$ of Uspenskii's result. Indeed, by the corollary there is a subset $\mathscr{G}_{f}$ of $\mathscr{G}$ with modulus zero and a constant $c_{f}$ such that $f(\gamma)=c_{f}$ for every $\gamma \in$ $\mathscr{G} \backslash \mathscr{G}_{f}$. The argument of No. 4 shows that a collection of radial lines piercing the unit sphere in a set of positive $(n-1)$-dimensional outer measure has positive modulus and thus is not contained in the exceptional set $\mathscr{G}_{f}$.
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