

## A NONSTANDARD PROOF OF THE MARTINGALE CONVERGENCE THEOREM

LESTER L. HELMS\* AND PETER A. LOEB\*

In this note we use A. Robinson's [5] nonstandard analysis to give an elementary proof of the almost everywhere convergence of an  $L^1$ -bounded submartingale. Here, the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  is a countable subset of the real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ ; we assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  contains the natural numbers  $\mathbf{N}$ , but any cofinal subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  will do. The continuous parameter martingale convergence theorem usually reduces to the case considered here. Our proof does not use the notion of a stopping time. It does employ a nonstandard criterion for almost everywhere convergence and demonstrates the usefulness of that criterion. It also produces the limit function.

We shall use the notation from [4] to which we refer the reader for further details about nonstandard analysis in general. We assume that we are working with a fixed  $\aleph_1$ -saturated, nonstandard extension of a standard structure. Of course,  ${}^*\mathbf{R}$  and  ${}^*\mathbf{N}$  denote the nonstandard extensions of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$ , and  $a \cong b$  means that  $a - b$  is infinitesimal in  ${}^*\mathbf{R}$ . If  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is an internal measure space and  $g: X \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  is internal and  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, then (following K. Stroyan) we shall say that  $g \cong 0$  nearly surely (n.s.) when the following holds: For some infinitesimal  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu(|g| > \varepsilon) \cong 0$ . Clearly,  $g \cong 0$  n.s. if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mu(|g| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ .

We now establish a nonstandard criterion for almost everywhere convergence. Here, as later,  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes a countable subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $\mathbf{N} \subset \mathcal{I}$ . The ordering on  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ordering inherited from  $\mathbf{R}$ . We shall use  $n, m$ , and  $k$  to denote natural numbers, while  $i$  and  $j$  will denote elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  or  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$ . Moreover,  $\{i: n \leq i \leq m\}$  will denote the set of indices in just  $\mathcal{I}$  with  $n \leq i \leq m$ , while if  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  are in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ , then  $\{i: \gamma \leq i \leq \eta\}$  will denote the set of indices in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$  with  $\gamma \leq i \leq \eta$ . Given  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \geq n} A_i$  will denote  $\bigcup \{A_i: i \in \mathcal{I}, i \geq n\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a standard measure space with  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ , and for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , let  $g_i$  be an extended real-valued,  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function on  $X$ .*

---

\*This research was supported in part by a grant from the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF MCS 76-07471).

Received by the editors on July 11, 1980, and in revised form on October 14, 1980.

Copyright © 1982 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

i) If  $g_i \rightarrow 0$  a.e., then for each  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  with  $\gamma \leq \eta$ ,

$$\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |g_i| \cong 0 \text{ n.s.}$$

ii) Conversely,  $g_i \rightarrow 0$  a.e. if there is an  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  such that for all  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |g_i| \cong 0 \text{ n.s.}$$

iii) Assume  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{N}$ . Then there is a null set  $A \subset X$  such that  $g_i(x)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{R}$  for each  $x \in X - A$  if and only if the following holds: For some  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  and all  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\max_{\gamma \leq i \leq j \leq \eta} |g_i - g_j| \cong 0 \text{ n.s.}$$

PROOF. (i) If  $g_i \rightarrow 0$  a.e., then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  and setting  $A_i^\varepsilon = \{|g_i| > \varepsilon\}$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i \geq k} A_i^\varepsilon \right) = 0,$$

whence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_{i \geq k} A_i^\varepsilon) = 0$ . It follows that for  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ ,  ${}^*\mu(\bigcup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} A_i^\varepsilon) \cong 0$ , and so  ${}^*\mu(\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |g_i| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ . Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |g_i| \cong 0$  n.s.

(ii) If there is an  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  such that for each  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  and each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  we have  ${}^*\mu(\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |g_i| > \varepsilon) \cong 0$  whence  ${}^*\mu(\bigcup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} A_i^\varepsilon) \cong 0$ , then it follows that for any  $\delta > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  there is a  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $\mu(\bigcup_{i \geq k} A_i^\delta) \leq \delta$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i \geq k} A_i^\delta) = 0$ . Let

$$B = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i \geq k} A_i^{1/m}.$$

Then  $\mu(B) = 0$  and  $g_i(x) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \in X - B$ .

(iii) The proof is left to the reader; we shall not need this result.

The above criterion for almost everywhere convergence was suggested by Egorov's Theorem. A sufficient condition based on the Borel-Cantelli Lemma was used by Hersh and Greenwood [3] to consider the convergence of  $L^2$ -bounded martingales. A "maximal" condition similar to the one used here does appear in the body of their proof; further use of this maximal condition seems to be needed to carry out their proof.

We now fix an increasing family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  in a standard set  $X$ . We let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing each  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_i) = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n)$ . Let  $P$  be a fixed probability measure on  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a finite or infinite subset of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Recall that a family  $\{Y_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$  of extended real-valued functions on  $X$  is said to be adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$  if  $Y_j$  is  $\mathcal{F}_j$ -measurable for each  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ . If, moreover,

$\int_A Y_{i_0} dP \leq \int_A Y_{j_0} dP$  (or, respectively,  $\int_A Y_{i_0} dP = \int_A Y_{j_0} dP$ ) for each pair  $i_0 < j_0$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  and each  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$ , then  $\{Y_j: j \in \mathcal{J}\}$  is called a submartingale (or, respectively, a martingale). For completeness, we prove the following inequalities of Doob.

**THEOREM 2 (DOOB [2, p. 314]).** *Given  $n < m$  in  $\mathbf{N}$ , let  $\{Y_i: n \leq i \leq m\}$  be a submartingale adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_i: n \leq i \leq m\}$ . Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Let  $\bar{M} = \{\sup_{n \leq i \leq m} Y_i > \lambda\} - A$  and let  $\underline{M} = \{\inf_{n \leq i \leq m} Y_i < \lambda\} - A$ . Then*

$$(i) \lambda P(\bar{M}) \leq \int_{\bar{M}} Y_m dP,$$

$$(ii) \int_{X-A} Y_n dP - \lambda P(\underline{M}) \leq \int_{(X-A)-\underline{M}} Y_m dP.$$

**PROOF.** We assume that  $\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{N}$ . The general case follows by taking appropriate limits with respect to increasing finite subsets of  $\mathcal{J}$ ; the order on the family of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{J}$  is given by containment.

(i) Define  $B_i$  by induction so that  $B_n = \{Y_n > \lambda\} - A$  and for  $n < i \leq m$ ,  $B_i = [\{Y_i > \lambda\} - \bigcup_{j=n}^{i-1} B_j] - A$ . Then, using the submartingale property on  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{M}} Y_m dP &= \int_{\bigcup_{i=n}^m B_i} Y_m dP \geq \sum_{i=n}^m \int_{B_i} Y_i dP \\ &\geq \sum_{i=n}^m \lambda P(B_i) = \lambda P(\bar{M}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Define  $C_i$  and  $D_i$  by induction so that  $C_n = \{Y_n < \lambda\} - A$ ,  $D_n = \{Y_n \geq \lambda\} - A$ , and for  $n < i \leq m$ ,  $C_i = \{x \in D_{i-1}: Y_i < \lambda\}$  and  $D_i = D_{i-1} - C_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X-A} Y_n dP &\leq \lambda P(C_n) + \int_{D_n} Y_n dP \\ &\leq \lambda P(C_n) + \int_{D_n} Y_{n+1} dP \\ &\leq \lambda P(C_n) + \lambda P(C_{n+1}) + \int_{D_{n+1}} Y_{n+1} dP \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \lambda P(\underline{M}) + \int_{D_m} Y_m dP. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for  $Y \in L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,  $E[Y | \mathcal{F}_i] \in L^1(X, \mathcal{F}_i, P)$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the measure obtained by integrating  $Y$  over sets in  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . The following result is proved here using a simplification of a proof by Alda [1].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $Y$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable and integrable on  $X$ . Then  $E[Y|\mathcal{F}_i] \rightarrow Y$  a.e.*

**PROOF.** Fix  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  with  $\gamma < \eta$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n)$ , there is for any standard set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and an  $E \in \mathcal{F}_n$  such that  $P(A \Delta E) < \varepsilon$ , and so there is an  $E_A \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$  with  ${}^*P({}^*A \Delta E_A) \cong 0$ . It follows that there is an internal  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$ -measurable function  $Y_0$  on  ${}^*X$  such that  $\int_{{}^*X} |{}^*Y - Y_0| d{}^*P \cong 0$ , whence  $|{}^*Y - Y_0| \cong 0$  n.s. Let  $Y_i = E[{}^*Y|\mathcal{F}_i]$  for  $\gamma \leq i \leq \eta$ . Then  $\{|Y_i - Y_0| : \gamma \leq i \leq \eta\}$  is an internal submartingale and this is also true if  $|{}^*Y - Y_0|$  is adjoined as a last element. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  and setting  $B_\varepsilon = \{\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} Y_i - Y_0 > \varepsilon\}$ , we have by (i) of Theorem 2,

$$\varepsilon {}^*P(B_\varepsilon) \leq \int_{B_\varepsilon} |Y_\eta - Y_0| d{}^*P \leq \int_{B_\varepsilon} |{}^*Y - Y_0| d{}^*P \cong 0.$$

Therefore,  $P(B_\varepsilon) \cong 0$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and so  $\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |Y_i - Y_0| \cong 0$  n.s. Since  $|Y_0 - {}^*Y| \cong 0$  n.s.,  $\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |Y_i - {}^*Y| \cong 0$  n.s., whence  $|Y_i - Y| \rightarrow 0$  a.e.

We now consider a fixed  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ -adapted submartingale  $\{Y_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  such that  $Y_i \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $L = \sup_i \int_X Y_i dP < +\infty$ . Since  $\int_X Y_i dP \leq \int_X Y_j dP$  when  $i < j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $L = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X Y_i dP$ . Thus  $L = \int_{{}^*X} Y_i d{}^*P$  for each infinite  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}$ . Given any infinite  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}$ , we let

$$S_i = L - \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ m \in \mathbf{N}}} \int_{{}^*X} (Y_i \wedge m) d{}^*P.$$

We call  $S_i$  the singular part of the integral of  $Y_i$ . One can find an  $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  such that  $S_i = \int_{\{Y_i \geq \alpha\}} Y_i d{}^*P$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *There is an  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  such that for each infinite  $i \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$ ,  $S_i = S_\eta$ .*

**PROOF.** Given an infinite  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}$ , choose  $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  so that  $S_i = \int_{\{Y_i \geq \alpha\}} Y_i d{}^*P$ . The set  $D_i = \{Y_i \geq \alpha\}$  has infinitesimal measure, so for  $j \geq i$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\int_{D_i} (Y_j \wedge m) d{}^*P = 0$ , whence

$$S_i = \int_{D_i} Y_i d{}^*P \leq \int_{D_i} Y_j d{}^*P \leq S_j.$$

Let  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a decreasing sequence in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\gamma_n} = \inf\{S_i : i \text{ infinite in } {}^*\mathcal{I}\}$ . By  $\aleph_1$ -saturation, there exists an  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  so that  $\eta \leq \gamma_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Clearly  $S_i = S_\eta$  for each infinite  $i \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$ .

We now fix  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$  so that  $S_i = S_\eta$  for any infinite  $i \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $S = S_\eta$ . If  $S = 0$ , we set  $A_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}$ . If  $S > 0$  and  $i \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*\mathcal{I}$ , we let  $\alpha_i$  be the largest element  $\rho \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\int_{(Y_i \geq \rho)} Y_i d^*P \geq S - S/\rho,$$

and we let  $A_i = \{Y_i \geq \alpha_i\}$ . The proof of Proposition 1 shows that for each infinite  $i \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*N - N$ ,  $\int_{A_i} Y_i d^*P \cong \int_{A_i} Y_\eta d^*P \cong S$ ,  ${}^*P(A_i) \cong 0$ , and  $\int_{A_\eta - A_i} Y_\eta d^*P \cong 0$ .

Given any set  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a set  $E_B \in \mathcal{F}_\eta$  such that  ${}^*P(*B \Delta E_B) \cong 0$ . Let  $\nu(B) = \int_{E_B - A_\eta} Y_\eta d^*P$ . Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(Y_\eta \geq m) - A_\eta} Y_\eta d^*P = 0,$$

there exists for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  a  $\delta > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\nu(B) < \varepsilon$  when  $P(B) < \delta$ . Thus  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{F}$  and absolutely continuous with respect to  $P$ . Let  $Z$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\nu/dP$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The nonnegative submartingale  $Y_i \rightarrow Z$  a.e.*

**PROOF.** For each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , let  $Z_i = E[Z|\mathcal{F}_i]$ . By Theorem 3,  $Z_i \rightarrow Z$  a.e.; we will show that  $Y_i - Z_i \rightarrow 0$  a.e. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , it follows from the properties of the  $A_i$ 's that there exists an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $P(A_n) < \varepsilon/2$  and  $\int_{A_\eta - A_n} Y_\eta d^*P < \varepsilon^2/2$ . For each  $m \geq n$  in  $\mathbf{N}$ , set  $B_m = \{\sup_{n \leq i \leq m} (Y_i - Z_i) > \varepsilon\} - A_n$ . Since  $Y_i - Z_i$  is a submartingale and  ${}^*B_m \in {}^*\mathcal{F}_m \subset \mathcal{F}_\eta$ , it follows from the definition of  $\nu$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon P(B_m) &\leq \int_{B_m} (Y_m - Z_m) dP \leq \int_{B_m} Y_\eta d^*P - \int_{B_m} Z dP \\ &\leq \int_{A_\eta - A_n} Y_\eta d^*P + \nu(B_m) - \int_{B_m} Z dP < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $m \geq n$  in  $\mathbf{N}$ ,  $P(\sup_{n \leq i \leq m} (Y_i - Z_i) > \varepsilon) \leq P(B_m) + P(A_n) < \varepsilon$ . It follows that for  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*N - N$ ,  ${}^*P(\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} (Y_i - Z_i) > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  and thus for some positive  $\varepsilon \cong 0$  in  ${}^*\mathbf{R}$ . On the other hand, if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  we set  $M_\varepsilon = \{\inf_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} (Y_i - Z_i) < -\varepsilon\} - A_\gamma$ , then

$$\int_{X - A_\gamma} (Y_\gamma - Z_\gamma) d^*P + \varepsilon {}^*P(M_\varepsilon) \leq \int_{(X - A_\gamma) - M_\varepsilon} (Y_\gamma - Z_\gamma) d^*P.$$

Here,  $\int_{X - A_\gamma} (Y_\gamma - Z_\gamma) d^*P \cong \nu(X) - \int_X Z dP = 0$ . Moreover, we have just shown that  $Y_\gamma - Z_\gamma$  is either negative or infinitesimal except on a set  $E$  of infinitesimal measure. Since  $\int_{E - A_\gamma} Y_\eta d^*P \cong 0$ ,

$$\int_{(X - A_\gamma - M_\varepsilon)} (Y_\gamma - Z_\gamma) d^*P \leq 0.$$

Therefore,  ${}^*P(M_\varepsilon) \cong 0$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . It now follows that for any  $\gamma \leq \eta$  in  ${}^*N - N$ ,  $\sup_{\gamma \leq i \leq \eta} |Y_i - Z_i| \cong 0$  n.s., whence  $Y_i - Z_i \rightarrow 0$  a.e.

It now follows from Proposition 2 that  $S_i = S$  for every infinite  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}$ . Moreover, when  $S = 0$ , the above proof shows that  $P(\exists i \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $Y_i > Z_i) = 0$ . The nonnegative submartingale  $\{Y_i\}$  is called uniformly integrable if  $S = 0$  (see [4, Page 131]). We now prove the convergence theorem for  $L^1$ -bounded submartingales.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\{Y_i: i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be an  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ -adapted submartingale with  $\sup_i \int_X |Y_i| dP < +\infty$ . Then  $Y_i$  converges a.e.*

**PROOF.** For each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\{Y_i \vee -m\}$  is a submartingale as is  $\{(Y_i \vee -m) + m\}$ . By Proposition 2,  $Y_i \vee -m$  converges a.e. for each  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Given  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $D_m = \{\inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Y_i < -m\}$ . For almost all  $x \in X - \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m$ ,  $Y_i(x)$  converges. We will show that  $P(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D_m) = 0$ . Assume not. Then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $P(D_m) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and thus for all  $m \in {}^*\mathbf{N}$ . Fix  $m_0 \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ . For each  $\eta \in {}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ , let  $M_\eta = \{\inf_{1 \leq i \leq \eta} Y_i < -m_0\}$ . Then

$$\int_{{}^*X} {}^*Y_1 d^*P + m_0 {}^*P(M_\eta) \leq \int_{{}^*X - M_\eta} Y_\eta d^*P.$$

Since both integrals are finite and  $m_0$  is infinite,  ${}^*P(M_\eta) \cong 0$ . Since  $\eta$  is arbitrary in  ${}^*\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{N}$ ,  ${}^*P(\inf_{i \in {}^*\mathcal{I}} Y_i < -m_0) \cong 0$ , a contradiction.

Assume  $\{Y_i\}$  is an  $L^1$ -bounded martingale, i.e., both  $\{Y_i\}$  and  $\{-Y_i\}$  are submartingales, and assume the submartingale  $\{|Y_i|\}$  is uniformly integrable. Then it is a well-known and now easily obtained fact that for  $Z = \lim Y_i$ , we have  $Y_i = E[Z | \mathcal{F}_i]$  a.e. for each  $i$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. V. Alda, *On conditional expectations*, *Czech. Math. J.* **5** (1955), 503–505.
2. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
3. R. Hersh and P. Greenwood, *Stochastic differential and quasi-standard random variables*, in *Conference on Probabilistic Methods and Differential Equations*, E. A. Pinsky, ed., *Lecture Notes in Math.* No 451. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, (1975), 35–61.
4. P. A. Loeb, *An introduction to nonstandard analysis and hyperfinite probability theory*, in *Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics*, Vol. 2, A. T. Bharucha-Reid, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1979, 105–142.
5. A. Robinson, *Non-standard Analysis*, North-Holland Publ., Amsterdam, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801