

INDICES OF LINDELÖF FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

AMY C. KING AND S. M. SHAH¹

1. **Introduction.** A transcendental entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of bounded index if there exists an integer N , independent of z , such that

$$(1.1) \quad \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{k!} \right\} \cong \frac{|f^{(j)}(z)|}{j!}$$

holds for all z and j . The least such integer N is called the index of f (cf. [3], [8]). It is known [11] that a function of bounded index is at most exponential type but all functions of exponential type need not be of bounded index (see [11], [13]). Lee and Shah [6], [7] have shown that if $\{a_n\}$ is any sequence of positive numbers such that $a_{n+1}/a_n \cong \gamma > 1$, and a and b are any complex numbers, then

$$F(z) = e^{az+b} \prod_1^{\infty} \{1 - z/a_n\}$$

and all successive derivatives $F^{(k)}(z)$ are of bounded index. Further if $\{a_n\}$ is any sequence of complex numbers such that $|a_{n+1}| \cong 5^n |a_n|$, $|a_1| \cong 5$, then $\psi(z) = \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - z/a_n)$ and all derivatives $\psi^{(k)}(z)$ are of bounded index [10]. (The first author has proved this result with "5" replaced by "4" in her doctoral dissertation.)

In this paper we investigate the index of the Lindelöf function, f , [9], [4] defined by

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/n^{\alpha}), \quad \alpha > 1.$$

Pugh (cf. [10, p. 192]) has shown that if $\alpha \cong 8$, then f is of bounded index. We prove here

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z) = f(z, \alpha) = \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - z/n^{\alpha})$, $\alpha > 1$; then $f(z)$ is of bounded index. It is of index one if $\alpha \cong 3$.*

Received by the editors August 9, 1970 and, in revised form, February 15, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A64, 30A66; Secondary 26A84.

¹The research work of this author is supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-19533.

In general the derivative of a function of bounded index need not be of bounded index [14]. However for the Lindelöf function we have

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z) = f(z, \alpha)$, $\alpha > 1$, be the function defined in Theorem 1; then all successive derivatives $f^{(k)}(z)$, $k > 1$, are of bounded index.*

REMARK. In Theorems 1 and 2, $\alpha > 1$ is a fixed number and index N will depend on α . If $\alpha = 2$, then $f(z, 2) = (\sin \pi \sqrt{z})/\pi \sqrt{z}$ is of bounded index. (For another proof see [11].) However, a direct computation shows that

$$|f''(1/16)|/2! \not\leq \max \{|f(1/16)|, |f'(1/16)|\},$$

so that $f(z, 2)$ is of index $N > 1$.

2. Lemmas. We require several lemmas. The first gives information about the location of the zeros $\{b_n\}$ of f' . Here, and in what follows, we define $\{a_n\}$ as the zeros n^α of f . It is known that b_n are all real [2, pp. 23-24], and $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\alpha > 1$, $k_1 = 3(\alpha + 1)/(\alpha - 1) + 1$, $k_2 = 2^{\alpha-2} + \alpha + 2$, then for $n \geq n_0 = n_0(\alpha) \geq 3$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{k_1 n^\alpha + (n + 1)^\alpha}{k_1 + 1} < b_n < \frac{n^\alpha + k_2(n + 1)^\alpha}{k_2 + 1}.$$

PROOF. Taking logarithmic derivatives we note that

$$g(x) \equiv \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x - j^\alpha}.$$

Thus $g(x) = 1/(x - n^\alpha) + \sum_{j \neq n} 1/(x - j^\alpha)$, and for $n^\alpha < x < (n + 1)^\alpha$, $g'(x) < 0$, i.e., $g(x)$ is a decreasing function in this interval. Therefore if $f'(x)/f(x) > 0$, then $b_n > x$, and likewise if $f'(x)/f(x) < 0$, then $b_n < x$.

Write $d = (n^\alpha + k_2(n + 1)^\alpha)/(k_2 + 1)$. We will prove that, for all sufficiently large n ,

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{f'(d)}{f(d)} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d - j^\alpha} < 0;$$

and this will give the inequality on the right-hand side of (2.1). We use Euler's summation formula [1, pp. 201-202] to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &\equiv \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{d - j^\alpha} \\ &= \int_1^{n-1} \frac{dx}{d - x^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{d - 1^\alpha} + \frac{1}{d - (n-1)^\alpha} \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_1^{n-1} \left(x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\alpha x^{\alpha-1}}{(d - x^\alpha)^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x . Note that $-\frac{1}{2} \leq x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$, and that if we substitute $d - x^\alpha = t$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_1^{n-1} \left(x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\alpha x^{\alpha-1}}{(d - x^\alpha)^2} dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{d - (n-1)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{d - 1^\alpha} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_1^{n-1} \frac{dx}{d - x^\alpha} + \frac{1}{d - 1^\alpha} < \Sigma_1 < \int_1^{n-1} \frac{dx}{d - x^\alpha} + \frac{1}{d - (n-1)^\alpha}.$$

Now

$$n^\alpha < d < (n + 1)^\alpha \quad \text{and so}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_1^{n/2} \frac{dx}{d - x^\alpha} < \frac{(n/2) - 1}{d - (n/2)^\alpha} < \frac{2^\alpha}{2(2^\alpha - 1)n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

By using the binomial expansion in the definition of d , we see that

$$(2.5) \quad d = n^\alpha + \frac{\alpha k_2 + o(1)}{k_2 + 1} n^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d - (n-1)^\alpha} = \frac{k_2 + 1 + o(1)}{\alpha(2k_2 + 1)n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

The inequality on the right of (2.3) now gives

$$(2.6) \quad \Sigma_1 < \int_{n/2}^{n-1} \frac{dx}{d - x^\alpha} + \frac{2^\alpha}{2(2^\alpha - 1)n^{\alpha-1}} + \frac{k_2 + 1 + o(1)}{\alpha(2k_2 + 1)n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Further putting in the value for d and simplifying we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \Sigma_2 \equiv \left(\frac{1}{d - n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{d - (n+1)^\alpha} \right) = - \frac{(k_2^2 - 1)}{k_2} \frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha - n^\alpha}.$$

In addition, with the help of the integral test we may verify that

$$(2.8) \quad \Sigma_3 \equiv \sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d-j^\alpha} < - \sum_{j=n+2}^{3n+1} \frac{1}{j^\alpha-d} < - \int_{n+2}^{3n} \frac{dx}{x^\alpha-d}.$$

We shall denote by I the integral in (2.6) and by J the integral in (2.8). Let $t = d^{1/\alpha}$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad I - J = \int_{n/2}^{n-1} \frac{dx}{t^\alpha - x^\alpha} - \int_{n+2}^{3n} \frac{dx}{x^\alpha - t^\alpha}.$$

Putting $y = x/t$ in the first integral and $y = t/x$ in the second integral, we get

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} I - J &= \frac{1}{t^\alpha - 1} \left\{ \int_{n/2t}^{(n-1)/t} \frac{dy}{1 - y^\alpha} - \int_{t/3n}^{t/(n+2)} \frac{y^{\alpha-2} dy}{1 - y^\alpha} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \int_{n/2t}^{(n-1)/t} \frac{1 - y^{\alpha-2}}{1 - y^\alpha} dy - \int_{t/3n}^{t/(n+2)} \frac{y^{\alpha-2} dy}{1 - y^\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{(n-1)/t}^{t/(n+2)} \frac{y^{\alpha-2} dy}{1 - y^\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5) we have $t \equiv d^{1/\alpha} > n$ and

$$(2.11) \quad t = n + \frac{k_2 + o(1)}{k_2 + 1}.$$

Hence there exists n_1 such that for $n \geq n_1$, we have $t > n$ and $t/3n < n/2t < (n-1)/t < t/(n+2) < 1$. Consequently,

$$(2.12) \quad I - J < \frac{1}{t^{\alpha-1}} \int_{n/2t}^{(n-1)/t} \frac{1 - y^{\alpha-2}}{1 - y^\alpha} dy.$$

Thus if $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then $I - J \leq 0$. If $2 < \alpha$, then the integrand in (2.12) is less than 1, and so

$$I - J < \frac{1}{t^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{n-1}{t} - \frac{n}{2t} \right\} < \frac{n}{2t^\alpha} < \frac{n}{2n^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha) &= 0, & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}, & 2 < \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), (2.3), and (2.6) – (2.12) we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{f'(d)}{f(d)} < \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{2^\alpha}{2(2^\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(k_2 + 1)}{\alpha(2k_2 + 1)} - \frac{(k_2^2 - 1)}{\alpha k_2} + h(\alpha) + o(1) \right\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left[\frac{(k_2 + 1)}{\alpha(2k_2 + 1)} - \frac{(k_2^2 - 1)}{\alpha k_2} \right] \\ &= \frac{(k_2 + 1)[2k_2^2 - 2k_2 - 1]}{\alpha k_2(2k_2 + 1)} > \frac{1}{2\alpha k_2} (2k_2^2 - 2k_2 - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(k_2 - 1 - \frac{1}{2k_2} \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(2^{\alpha-2} + \alpha + 1 - \frac{1}{2k_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\frac{2^\alpha}{2(2^\alpha - 1)} + h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(2^\alpha - 1)} + h(\alpha) < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} + h(\alpha).$$

It is easy to verify that in both cases

$$2^{\alpha-2}/\alpha + \frac{1}{2} + 1/(2\alpha) > h(\alpha) + 1/(2\alpha k_2).$$

Hence the expression on the right side of (2.13) is negative provided $n \geq n_2(\alpha)$; and so the inequality on the right side of (2.1) follows if we take $n_0 \geq \max(n_1, n_2)$.

The proof of the remaining part of (2.1) follows in a somewhat analogous manner. We write

$$D = \frac{k_1 n^\alpha + (n + 1)^\alpha}{k_1 + 1}.$$

Then $n < D^{1/\alpha} \equiv p < n + 1$ and

$$(2.14) \quad D = n^\alpha + \frac{\alpha + o(1)}{k_1 + 1} n^{\alpha-1}, \quad D^{1/\alpha} = n + \frac{1 + o(1)}{k_1 + 1}.$$

As in (2.3) we have, for $n \geq 3$,

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{D - j^\alpha} > \int_{n/2}^{n-1} \frac{dx}{D - x^\alpha}.$$

Also

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{D - a_n} + \frac{1}{D - a_{n+1}} = \frac{k_1^2 - 1 + o(1)}{\alpha k_1 n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Further

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{j=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_j - D} < \frac{1}{a_{n+2} - D} + \int_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^\alpha - D}.$$

Denote the integral in (2.15) by I^* and the integral in (2.17) by J^* . Then (cf. (2.9)-(2.10))

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} I^* - J^* &= \int_{n/2}^{n-1} \frac{dx}{p^\alpha - x^\alpha} - \int_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^\alpha - p^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{p}{D} \left\{ \int_{n/2p}^{(n-1)/p} \frac{dy}{1 - y^\alpha} - \int_{(n+2)/p}^{2^{1/\alpha}} \frac{dy}{y^\alpha - 1} - \int_{2^{1/\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^\alpha - 1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{p}{D} \{I_1 - I_2 - I_3\}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

In I_2 we take $y = 1/x$ and, in I_3 , we use the inequality $y^\alpha - 1 \geq y^{\alpha/2}$. Hence

$$I_2 = \int_{2^{-1/\alpha}}^{p/(n+2)} \frac{x^{\alpha-2}}{1 - x^\alpha} dx, \quad I_3 < \int_{2^{1/\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{2 dy}{y^\alpha} = \frac{2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1}.$$

From (2.14) we see that, for $n \geq n_3(\alpha)$,

$$\frac{n}{2p} < 2^{-1/\alpha} < \frac{p}{n+2} < \frac{n-1}{p} < 1;$$

and, from (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned} I^* - J^* &> \frac{p}{D} \left[\int_{n/2p}^{2^{-1/\alpha}} \frac{dy}{1 - y^\alpha} + \int_{2^{-1/\alpha}}^{p/(n+2)} \frac{1 - y^{\alpha-2}}{1 - y^\alpha} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{p/(n+2)}^{(n-1)/p} \frac{dy}{1 - y^\alpha} - \frac{2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \geq 2$ then

$$I^* - J^* > \frac{-2^{1/\alpha}}{(\alpha - 1)} \frac{p}{D} = \frac{-2^{1/\alpha} + o(1)}{(\alpha - 1)n^{\alpha-1}}.$$

If $1 < \alpha < 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^* - J^* &> \frac{p}{D} \left[\frac{-2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} - \int_{2^{-1/\alpha}}^{p/(n+2)} \frac{y^{\alpha-2} - 1}{1 - y^\alpha} dy \right] \\
 &= \frac{1 + o(1)}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{-2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} - I_4 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_4 = \int_{2^{-1/\alpha}}^{p/(n+2)} \frac{y^{\alpha-2} - 1}{1 - y^\alpha} dy.$$

Since the integrand, in I_4 , is less than $((2 - \alpha)/\alpha)y^{-2}$ [5, p. 39] we have, for $1 < \alpha < 2$,

$$I_4 < \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha} \left(2^{1/\alpha} - \frac{n + 2}{p} \right) = \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha} (2^{1/\alpha} - 1 + o(1)).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(\alpha) &= \frac{-2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha \geq 2, \\
 &= \frac{-2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} - \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha} (2^{1/\alpha} - 1), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $p/D = n^{1-\alpha}(1 + o(1))$,

$$(2.19) \quad I^* - J^* > \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \{h(\alpha) + o(1)\}.$$

Further

$$(2.20) \quad (a_{n+2} - D)^{-1} = \frac{k_1 + 1 + o(1)}{\alpha(2k_1 + 1)n^{\alpha-1}};$$

and we have, from (2.15)-(2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{D - j^\alpha} &> \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{k_1^2 - 1}{\alpha k_1} - \frac{k_1 + 1}{\alpha(2k_1 + 1)} + h(\alpha) + o(1) \right\} \\
 (2.21) \quad &> \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(k_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{2k_1} \right) + h(\alpha) + o(1) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{3}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{2\alpha k_1} + h(\alpha) + o(1) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha \geq 2$ then we show that

$$(2.22a) \quad \frac{3}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{2\alpha k_1} - \frac{2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} > 0,$$

that is,

$$\alpha \left(3 - 2^{1/\alpha} - \frac{1}{2k_1} \right) + 3 + \frac{1}{2k_1} > 0.$$

But $k_1 > 4$ and $2^{1/\alpha} \leq 2^{1/2}$. Hence the expression on the left is positive. If $1 < \alpha < 2$ then we show that

$$(2.22b) \quad 3(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha - 1)/2k_1 > \alpha 2^{1/\alpha} + (\alpha - 1)(2 - \alpha)(2^{1/\alpha} - 1),$$

that is,

$$6\alpha + 1 - \alpha^2 > (4\alpha - \alpha^2 - 2)2^{1/\alpha} + (\alpha - 1)/2k_1 .$$

The expression on the left is greater than 6, $k_1 > 4$, $(\alpha - 1)/2k_1 < 1/8$ and

$$(4\alpha - \alpha^2 - 2)2^{1/\alpha} \leq \max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2} (4\alpha - \alpha^2 - 2) \max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq 2} 2^{1/\alpha} = 4.$$

This proves (2.22b) when $1 < \alpha < 2$ and so the sum on the left of (2.21) is positive for $\alpha > 1$ and n sufficiently large. The proof of the lemma is complete.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\alpha \geq 3$. Then*

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{3.6 + 2^\alpha}{3} < b_1 < \frac{1 + 2^{\alpha+1}}{4} ,$$

$$(2.24) \quad 1 + 2^{\alpha+1} < b_2 < \frac{2^\alpha + 3^{\alpha+1}}{4} ,$$

and, for $n \geq 3$,

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{n^\alpha(n + 1) + (n + 1)^\alpha}{(n + 2)} < b_n < \frac{n^\alpha + (n + 1)^{\alpha+1}}{(n + 2)} .$$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1 and is omitted.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\alpha > 1$ and $|z - a_j| \geq 3/2$ for all j . Then there exists a number $R = R(\alpha) > 0$ such that for $|z| \geq R$, $|z - a_j| \geq 3/2$ ($j \geq 1$),*

$$(2.26) \quad S(z) \equiv \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{|z - a_j|} < 0.9.$$

PROOF. We shall first prove the following: Let $x > 0$, $|x - a_j| \geq 3/2$ for all j . Then there exists an integer $N_0 = N_0(\alpha)$ such that, for $n \geq N_0$, $a_n < x < a_{n+1}$ and $|x - a_j| \geq 3/2$,

$$(2.26a) \quad S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|x - a_j|} < 0.9.$$

PROOF. Let $N_1(\alpha)$ be such that all $j \geq N_1$, $a_j - a_{j-1} > 4$. Suppose $n > N_1$ and consider

$$\Sigma_1 \equiv \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{x - j^\alpha}.$$

Then

$$\Sigma_1 < \int_1^{n-2} \frac{dt}{x - t^\alpha} + \frac{1}{x - (n-2)^\alpha} + \frac{1}{x - (n-1)^\alpha}.$$

Since

$$(2.27) \quad a_n + \frac{3}{2} \leq x \leq a_{n+1} - \frac{3}{2},$$

the sum of the last two terms on the right is $(3 + o(1))/(2\alpha n^{\alpha-1})$. The integral is less than

$$\frac{(n/2) - 1}{x - (n/2)^\alpha} + \int_{n/2}^{n-2} \frac{dt}{x - t^\alpha}.$$

We now use the inequality $x - t^\alpha > \alpha t^{\alpha-1}(x^{1/\alpha} - t)$ [5, p. 39] and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_{n/2}^{n-2} \frac{dt}{x - t^\alpha} < \int_{n/2}^{n-2} \frac{dt}{\alpha t^{\alpha-1}(x^{1/\alpha} - t)} \\ &< \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha n^{\alpha-1}} \log \frac{x^{1/\alpha} - (n/2)}{x^{1/\alpha} - (n-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.27) we have

$$(2.28) \quad n + \frac{3 + o(1)}{2\alpha n^{\alpha-1}} \leq x^{1/\alpha} \leq n + 1 - \frac{3 + o(1)}{2\alpha n^{\alpha-1}}$$

and consequently

$$I < \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha n^{\alpha-1}} (\log n + o(1)), \quad \frac{(n/2) - 1}{x - (n/2)^\alpha} < \frac{n/2}{n^\alpha - (n/2)^\alpha},$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad \Sigma_1 < \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{2^{\alpha-1}(\log n + o(1))}{\alpha} + \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{2^\alpha - 1} + \frac{3 + o(1)}{2\alpha} \right\}.$$

Further

$$\max\{|x - a_n|, |x - a_{n+1}|\} \geq \frac{|x - a_n| + |x - a_{n+1}|}{2} \geq \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{2},$$

and $|x - a_j| \geq \frac{3}{2}$ for every j . Hence

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{1}{|x - a_n|} + \frac{1}{|x - a_{n+1}|} \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{a_{n+1} - a_n} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2(1 + o(1))}{\alpha n^{\alpha-1}}$$

and

$$(2.31) \quad \sum_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^\alpha - x} < \frac{1}{(n+2)^\alpha - x} + \int_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^\alpha - x} .$$

Let J denote the last integral and write $p = x^{1/\alpha}$. Taking $t = py$ we get

$$J = \frac{p}{x} \int_{(n+2)/p}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^\alpha - 1} .$$

We now split the interval of integration from $(n+2)/p$ to $2^{1/\alpha}$ and $2^{1/\alpha}$ to ∞ , and note that $n < p < n+1$ and $(n+2)/p < 2^{1/\alpha}$ for $n \geq N_2(\alpha) = 2/(2^{1/\alpha} - 1)$. Let $n > N_2(\alpha)$. In the first integral, we note $y^\alpha - 1 > \alpha(y - 1)$ and in the second integral $y^\alpha - 1 \geq y^\alpha/2$. Thus

$$J < \frac{p}{x} \left[\int_{(n+2)/p}^{2^{1/\alpha}} \frac{dy}{\alpha(y - 1)} + \int_{2^{1/\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{2dy}{y^\alpha} \right] .$$

Integrating and using $p < n+1$, $p/x < 1/n^{\alpha-1}$, we obtain

$$(2.32) \quad J < \frac{1}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(2^{1/\alpha} - 1) + \frac{2^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} + \log(n+1) \right\} .$$

From (2.27) we have

$$(n+2)^\alpha - x > \alpha n^{\alpha-1}(1 + o(1)) .$$

The inequalities (2.29)-(2.32) now show that we can choose $N_0 > \max(N_1, N_2)$ such that, for $n \geq N_0$,

$$S(x) < \frac{2}{3} + \left(\frac{9}{10} - \frac{2}{3} \right) = \frac{9}{10} .$$

This proves (2.26a).

We now consider $S(z)$. Let $R = 2 + a_{N_0}$. Then $|R - a_j| > 3/2$ for every j and so, by (2.26a),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|R - a_j|} < 0.9 .$$

Let $|z| \geq R$ and $|z - a_j| \geq 3/2$. Then if $x = \operatorname{Re} z$, and $x \leq R$ we have $|z - a_j|^2 \geq R^2 + a_j^2 - 2xa_j \geq |R - a_j|^2$, and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - a_j|} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|R - a_j|} < 0.9.$$

When $x > R$ we estimate $S(z)$ directly. Let $a_n \leq x \leq a_{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, $x > R$. Then $a_k - a_{k-1} > 4$ for $k \geq n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{|z - a_j|} + \left(\frac{1}{|z - a_n|} + \frac{1}{|z - a_{n+1}|} \right) + \sum_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - a_j|} \\ &\leq \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{|x - a_j|} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{a_{n+1} - a_n} + \sum_{n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x - a_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

By the argument for $S(x)$, we see that the last expression is less than 0.9. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let $|z - b_j| \geq 3/2$ for all j . Then there exists a number $R_1 = R_1(\alpha) > 0$ such that, for $|z| \geq R_1$, $|z - b_j| \geq 3/2$,*

$$(2.33) \quad S_1(z) \equiv \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - b_j|} < 0.9.$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3 and is omitted.

LEMMA 5. *Let $\alpha \geq 3$,*

$$D_n(\rho, z) = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} \{z : |z - a_j| \leq \rho\}, \quad D_n'(\rho, z) = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} \{z : |z - b_j| \leq \rho\},$$

$$S(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - a_j|}, \quad z \neq a_j, \quad S_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - b_j|}, \quad z \neq b_j.$$

Then $S(z) < 1$ in each of the following cases:

- (a) $e_1 = \{z : 1^\alpha + 1.7 \leq |z| < 2^\alpha - 1.7\}$,
- (b) $e_2 = \{z : 2^\alpha + 1.7 \leq |z| < 3^\alpha - 1.7\}$,
- (c) $e_3 = \{z : |z| > 3^\alpha, z \notin D_3(3.6, z)\}$.

Also $S_1(z) < 1$ in each of the following cases:

- (d) $e_{11} = \{z : 0 \leq |z| < 1^\alpha + 1.7\}$,
- (e) $e_{12} = \{z : 2^\alpha - 1.7 \leq |z| < 2^\alpha + 1.7\}$,
- (f) $e_{13} = \{z : 3^\alpha - 1.7 \leq |z| < 3^\alpha + 1.7\}$,
- (g) $e_{14} = \{z : |z| > 3^\alpha, z \notin D_3'(3.6, z)\}$.

PROOF. We shall prove part (a). The remaining parts can be similarly proved. For parts (d)–(g) we utilize the inequalities for b_1 , b_2 , and b_n ($n \geq 3$), of Lemma 2.

(a) Either z satisfies $1^\alpha + 1.7 \leq |z| \leq 2^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{2}$ or $2^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{2} < |z| < 2^\alpha - 1.7$. In both cases we have

$$\frac{1}{|z - 1^\alpha|} + \frac{1}{|z - 2^\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{1.7} + \frac{2}{2^\alpha - 1}.$$

Now $n^\alpha - 2^\alpha \uparrow$ as $\alpha \uparrow$, provided $n > 2$. Hence

$$S(z) < \frac{1}{1.7} + \frac{2}{2^3 - 1} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3 - (2^3 - 1.7)} < 1,$$

and (a) is proved.

Note that for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.34) \quad b_n - a_n &> \frac{n^\alpha(n+1) + (n+1)^\alpha}{(n+2)} - n^\alpha \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^\alpha - n^\alpha}{(n+2)} > 2\rho, \quad \rho = 3.6, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.35) \quad a_{n+1} - b_n > \frac{(n+1)^\alpha - n^\alpha}{(n+2)} > 2\rho, \quad \rho = 3.6.$$

These inequalities together with (a)–(g) show that, for all z , either $S(z) < 1$ or $S_1(z) < 1$.

LEMMA 6 (SHAH [12]). *Let $f(z) \not\equiv 0$ be an entire function and T a given positive number. Then there exists an integer P such that for every z , $|z| \leq T$,*

$$\max_{0 \leq k \leq P} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{k!} \right\} \geq \frac{|f^{(j)}(z)|}{j!}, \quad j = P+1, P+2, \dots$$

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** (i) We prove first that $f(z, \alpha)$, $\alpha > 1$, is of bounded index. By Lemmas 1, 3, and 4 we can choose a number $T = T(\alpha) > 0$ such that

- (1) $S(z) < 1$ for $|z| \geq T$, $|z - a_j| \geq 3/2$ ($j \geq 1$);
- (2) $S_1(z) < 1$ for $|z| \geq T$, $|z - b_j| \geq 3/2$ ($j \geq 1$);
- (3) $\{|z| = T\} \cap \{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} |z - a_j| \leq 3/2\} = \emptyset$;
- (4) $\{|z| = T\} \cap \{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} |z - b_j| \leq 3/2\} = \emptyset$;

and if $a_m > T$,

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} |z - a_j| \leq 3/2 \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} |z - b_j| \leq 3/2 \right\} = \emptyset.$$

This relation (5) is possible for $b_n - a_n > \alpha n^{\alpha-1}/(k_1 + 1) \rightarrow \infty$, $a_{n+1} - b_n > \alpha n^{\alpha-1}/(k_2 + 1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider now the set of points

$$E = \{z : |z| \geq T, |z - a_j| \geq 3/2, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

and write

$$(3.1) \quad G(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - a_j}, \quad z \neq a_j; \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - b_j}, \quad z \neq b_j.$$

Then for $z \in E$,

$$|G(z)| < 1, \quad |G'(z)| = \left| \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z - a_j)^2} \right| < S^2 < 1,$$

and in general

$$(3.2) \quad |G^{(n)}(z)| < n! S^{n+1} < n!.$$

Now $f'/f = G$ and so for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, z \in E$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(z)}{(n+1)!} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{G^{(j)}(z)}{j!} \frac{f^{(n-j)}(z)}{(n-j)!} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|f^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{|G^{(j)}(z)|}{j!} \right\} \\ &< \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|f^{(i)}(z)|}{i!}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the set of points $E_1 = \{z : |z| \geq T, |z - b_j| \geq 3/2, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, we write $f' = \psi$. Then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - b_j} = \frac{\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} = g(z),$$

and for $n \geq 0, z \in E_1, |g^{(n)}(z)| < n!$. Hence, for $n \geq 0$, and $z \in E_1$,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|\psi^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} &= \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{g^{(j)}(z)}{j!} \frac{\psi^{(n-j)}(z)}{(n-j)!} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{|g^{(j)}(z)|}{j!} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|\psi^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} < \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|\psi^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently for $n \geq 0, z \in E_1,$

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{|f^{(n+2)}(z)|}{(n+2)!} < \frac{1}{n+2} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(i+1)}(z)|}{(i+1)!} (i+1) \right\} < \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|f^{(i+1)}(z)|}{(i+1)!},$$

that is, for $n \geq 1, z \in E_1,$

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{|f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} < \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right\}.$$

Since $E \cup E_1 = \{z : |z| \geq T\},$ we have for $n \geq 1$ and $|z| \geq T,$

$$\frac{|f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} < \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right\}.$$

Hence, by induction on $n,$ we have for $j \geq 2$ and $|z| \geq T,$

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{|f^{(j)}(z)|}{j!} < \max \{|f(z)|, |f'(z)|\}.$$

Lemma 6 and (3.8) show that $f(z, \alpha), \alpha > 1,$ is of bounded index.

(ii) We now show that $f(z, \alpha)$ is of index one if $\alpha \geq 3.$ Let $\alpha \geq 3$ and $E = e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3.$ Then for $z \in E, n \geq 0,$

$$|G^{(n)}(z)| < n!.$$

Hence we have, as in (3.3),

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{|f^{(n+1)}(z)|}{(n+1)!} < \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right\}, \quad z \in E.$$

Let $E_1 = e_{11} \cup e_{12} \cup e_{13} \cup e_{14}.$ Then for $z \in E_1, n \geq 0,$

$$|g^{(n)}(z)| < n!,$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{|f^{(n+2)}(z)|}{(n+2)!} < \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{|f^{(i+1)}(z)|}{(i+1)!} \right\}.$$

Since every $z \in E \cup E_1,$ we see from (3.9) and (3.10), that for all z and $j \geq 2,$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{|f^{(j)}(z)|}{j!} < \max \{|f(z)|, |f'(z)|\}.$$

Since f has zeros, its index N is greater than or equal to one. This with (3.11) completes the proof.

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We note that the argument given in Lemmas 1, 3, 4 and Theorem 1, first part, can be used to prove Theorem 2. The details are similar and omitted.

Finally the authors thank the referee for helpful suggestions improving the clarity of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical analysis: a modern approach to advanced calculus*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1957. MR 19, 398.
2. R. P. Boas, Jr., *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954. MR 16, 914.
3. Fred Cross, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 974-980. MR 36 #1649.
4. G. H. Hardy, *On the function $P_p(x)$* , Quart. J. Math. 37 (1906), 146-172.
5. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1952. MR 13, 727.
6. B. S. Lee and S. M. Shah, *On the growth of entire functions of bounded index*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1970/71), 81-87. MR 41 #3766.
7. ———, *Inequalities satisfied by entire functions and their derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 109-117. MR 41 #2006.
8. B. Lepsom, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1968, pp. 298-307. MR 38 #6069.
9. E. Lindelöf, *Memoire sur la théorie des fonctions entières de genre fini*, Acta. Soc. Sci. Fennicae 31 (1902), no. 1.
10. W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah, *On the growth of entire functions of bounded index*, Pacific J. Math. 33 (1970), 191-201. MR 41 #3767.
11. S. M. Shah, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1017-1022. MR 38 #6070.
12. ———, *Entire functions satisfying a linear differential equation*, J. Math. Mech. 18 (1968/69), 131-136. MR 37 #2994.
13. ———, *Entire functions of unbounded index and having simple zeros*, Math. Z. 118 (1970), 193-196.
14. ———, *On entire functions of bounded index whose derivatives are of unbounded index*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 4 (1971), 127-139.

EASTERN KENTUCKY UNIVERSITY, RICHMOND, KENTUCKY 40475

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506

