

UNIFORM  $L^1$  BEHAVIOR IN CLASSES  
OF INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
WITH CONVEX KERNELS

RICHARD NOREN

**Introduction.** We consider families  $\mathcal{R}$  of functions such that each  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^1 a(s)ds < \infty,$$

$a$  is nonconstant, nonnegative, nonincreasing, convex, and  $-a'$  is convex.

We will show, for certain such families, that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} |u(t; a)| dt < \infty,$$

where  $u(t) = u(t; a)$  is the solution of the scalar problem

$$(1.3) \quad u'(t) + \int_0^t a(t - \tau)u(\tau)d\tau = 0, \quad u(0) = 1, \quad t \geq 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}.$$

When  $\mathcal{R} = \{\lambda a_0(t) : 0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda < \infty\}$ , (1.2) is true. These and similar results were proved in [1, 2, 4, 5, 10 and 11]. The technique of proof relies on the methods of Shea and Wainger [13].

The estimate (1.2) was used in [1, 4, 5 and 11] to estimate the resolvent kernel

$$U(t) = \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty u(t; \lambda a_0) dE_\lambda,$$

of the problem

$$(1.4) \quad y'(t) + \int_0^t a_0(t - s) Ly(s)ds = f(t), \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The operator  $L$  is a densely defined self-adjoint linear operator with spectrum contained in  $[\lambda_0, \infty)$  ( $\lambda_0 > 0$ ),  $y_0$  and

$f(t)$  are prescribed elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\{E_\lambda\}$  is the spectral family corresponding to  $L$ .

Since (1.2) implies that

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^\infty \|U(t)\| dt < \infty,$$

the resolvent formula

$$(1.6) \quad y(t) = U(t)y_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)f(s)ds,$$

for (1.4) gives information about the asymptotic behavior of  $y(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

For more general classes  $\mathcal{R} = \{a(t; \lambda) : -\infty < \lambda < \infty\}$ , (1.2) implies that (1.5) holds for the resolvent

$$(1.7) \quad U(t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty u(t; a(\cdot, \lambda)) dE_\lambda$$

for the problem

$$(1.8) \quad y'(t) + \int_0^t L(t-s)y(s)ds = f(t), \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with

$$(1.9) \quad L(t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty a(t; \lambda) dE_\lambda,$$

where  $\{E_\lambda\}$  is a fixed resolution of the identity in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Our results for (1.8) include some operators of the form

$$(1.10) \quad L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(t)L_k,$$

and generalizes some of the results in [7]. The requirement that the  $L_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , have spectral decompositions with respect to a common resolution of the identity  $\{E_\lambda\}$  greatly restricts the applicability of

the result (1.5) with  $L$  as in (1.10), but see [7] for applications, including a linear model for heat flow in a rectangular, orthotropic material with memory in which the axes of orthotropy are parallel to the edges of the rectangle.

For families  $\mathcal{R} = \{a_0(t) + c : 0 \leq c \leq 1\}$ , where  $a_0$  is a fixed function satisfying (1.1), Hannsgen and Wheeler show in [6] that  $a_0 \notin L^1(1, \infty)$  is necessary for (1.2) to hold. They also show that (1.2) does not even hold for  $a_0(t) = (1 - e^{-t})/t$  (which behaves like  $1/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ). In [12], it is shown that the condition

$$(1.11) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\log u}{u A_{a_0}(u)} du < \infty,$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad A_{a_0}(u) \equiv \int_0^u a_0(s) ds, \quad u > 0,$$

along with (1.1) implies (1.2). In [6], (1.2) is shown to follow when  $a_0$  is completely nonotonic ( $(-1)^n a_0^{(n)}(t) \geq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, t \geq 0$ ) and satisfies a growth condition at  $\infty$  that is similar to (1.11). Thus (1.11) and the condition used in [6] both allow functions  $a_0$  that behave like  $(\log^p t)/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $p > 1$  and rule out functions  $a_0$  that behave like  $(\log^p t)/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $0 < p \leq 1$ . As a corollary to our main result, Theorem 1, we show that (1.2) holds if  $a_0$  satisfies (1.1) and

$$(1.13) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{u A_{a_0}(u)} du < \infty.$$

This improvement of the growth condition at  $\infty$  allows functions  $a_0$  that behave like  $(\log^p t)/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , even for  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

The conditions on the family  $\mathcal{R}$  that we will use are

$$(1.14) \quad \int_1^\infty \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{u A_a(u)} du < \infty,$$

there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$(1.15) \quad \inf_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \int_0^L ta(t) dt \geq 10,$$

and a condition stated in terms of the Fourier transform. Each  $a$  satisfying (1.1) has a Fourier transform

$$\hat{a}(\tau) = \frac{a(\infty)}{i\tau} + \int_0^\infty [a(t) - a(\infty)]e^{-i\tau t} dt, \quad \tau \text{ real}, \tau \neq 0,$$

which we separate into real and imaginary parts as

$$(1.16) \quad \hat{a}(\tau) = \phi_a(\tau) - i\tau\theta_a(\tau).$$

By [1, Lemma 4.1], each  $\theta_a(\tau)$  is nonnegative, continuous and strictly decreasing, with

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{1}{5}A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}) \leq \theta_a(\tau) \leq 12A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

where

$$(1.18) \quad A_{1a}(u) = \int_0^u sa(s)ds, \quad u > 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Note that (1.17) was originally proved for  $a(t)$  with  $a(\infty) = 0$ . To see that (1.17) holds even with  $a(\infty) > 0$ , define  $b(t) = a(t) - a(\infty)$ . Then  $\theta_a(\tau) = \theta_b(\tau) + a(\infty)\tau^{-2}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_a(\tau) &\leq 12A_{1b}(\tau^{-1}) + a(\infty)\tau^{-2} \leq 12A_{1b}(\tau^{-1}) + 6a(\infty)\tau^{-2} \\ &= 12A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}) \\ \theta_a(\tau) &\geq \frac{1}{5}A_{1b}(\tau^{-1}) + a(\infty)\tau^{-2} \geq \frac{1}{5}A_{1b}(\tau^{-1}) + \frac{1}{10}a(\infty)\tau^{-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{5}A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , we define  $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(a)$  by  $\theta_a(\tilde{\omega}) = 1$ . Since (1.14) implies that for each  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\int_1^\infty a(t)dt = \infty$ , it follows that  $\int_0^\infty ta(t)dt = \infty$ . Thus (1.17) shows that  $\theta_a(0+) = \infty$  and  $\theta_a(\infty) = 0$ , so  $\tilde{\omega}$  is well defined. Now define  $\omega = \omega(a)$  by  $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$  for  $\tilde{\omega} \geq 2\epsilon$  and  $\omega = 2\epsilon$  otherwise, where  $\epsilon$  is the positive constant given in (2.9) below.

Our last condition on the family  $\mathcal{R}$  can now be given as

$$(1.19) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{\phi_a(\omega(a))} < \infty.$$

A similar assumption is also used by Hannsgen and Wheeler [7, (2.6)]. Both (1.19) and [7, (2.6)] rule out the family  $\mathcal{R} = \{a_0(t) + c : 1 \leq c < \infty\}$ , where  $a_0$  satisfies (1.1). That (1.2) does not hold for such families is shown in [7].

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a family of functions satisfying (1.14), (1.15) and (1.19), where each  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies (1.1), then (1.2) holds.*

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\mathcal{R} = \{a_0(t) + c : 0 \leq c \leq 1\}$ , where  $a_0$  satisfies (1.1) and (1.13), then (1.2) holds.*

We give the proofs in §2.

In [7] it is shown that, for certain families  $\mathcal{R}$  of completely monotonic functions,

$$(1.20) \quad \int_0^\infty \rho(t) \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} |u(t; a)| dt < \infty$$

where  $\rho$  is a weight function. Theorem 1 generalizes and improves their results for  $\rho(t) \equiv 1$ . In particular the growth condition that they use [7, (2.5)] rules out functions  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  that behave like  $(\log^p t)/t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

The condition (1.15) is used to obtain (2.11) below. In its place, Hannsgen and Wheeler use a similar type of condition [7, (2.10)] ( $\rho \equiv 1$ ). Although (1.15) allows for example the function  $a(t) = 11/(t + 1)^2$  and (1.14) rules it out, (1.15) does not in general follow from (1.14). For example, let  $\mathcal{R} = \{a_T(t) : T \geq 1\}$ , where

$$a_T(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(t+1)^2}, & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ b_T(t), & T \leq t \leq T + 3, \\ \frac{1}{(T+2)^2}, & T + 3 \leq t, \end{cases}$$

and  $b_T$  is chosen arbitrarily except that it is required that each  $a_T$  satisfies (1.1). Then (1.15) holds as long as  $L$  is chosen so large that  $\log(L + 1) + 1/(L + 1) \geq 11$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \int_0^L ta(t)dt &= \inf_{T \geq 1} \int_0^L ta_T(t)dt = \int_0^L \frac{t}{(t+1)^2} dt \\ &= \log(L + 1) + \frac{1}{L + 1} - 1 \geq 10. \end{aligned}$$

But an easy calculation shows that, for each  $u \geq 1$

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{uA_{a_T}(u)} \geq \frac{1}{uA_{a_u}(u)} = \frac{u+1}{u^2},$$

so (1.14) does not hold. I do not know if (1.2) holds for this family.

For families of the form

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i a_i(t) : \lambda_i \geq 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

where all  $a_i(t)$  satisfy (1.1), it is not clear that the assumptions (1.14) and (1.15) are needed to prove (1.2). Also when  $n = 0$ , as already mentioned, if  $a_0$  satisfies (1.1), then (1.2) holds (note that (1.1) implies (1.19) in this case.). Thus we finish the introduction with a conjecture.

CONJECTURE. *Even for  $n > 0$ , if all  $a_i$  satisfy (1.1), then (1.2) holds.*

**2. a. Proof of Theorem 1.** Throughout this paper we will use  $M$  to denote a constant that is independent of the functions in  $\mathcal{R}$ , but whose value may change each time that it appears. To prove that (1.2) holds, we will find a constant  $k > 0$  and a function  $h(t)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad |u(t; a)| \leq h(t), \quad t \geq k, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \int_k^\infty h(t) dt < \infty.$$

To do this we will use the representation

$$(2.3) \quad \pi u(t; a) = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{D(\tau; a)} \right\} d\tau, \quad t > 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{R},$$

(See (4.29) of [1]) where  $D(\tau; a) \equiv \hat{a}(\tau) + i\tau$ . Then (1.2) will follow by the estimate

$$(2.4) \quad |u(t; a)| \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{R},$$

which is due to Levin [9]. (See [3, Theorem 2]. The number  $\sqrt{2}$  appears in [3, Theorem 2], instead of the number 1 because of an error.) In our proof we will need the estimates

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}A_a(\tau^{-1}) \leq |\hat{a}(\tau)| \leq 4A_a(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

( $A_a(u)$  is defined in (1.12)) and

$$(2.6) \quad |\hat{a}'(\tau)| \leq 40A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

from [13, Lemma 1], as well as the estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{5}B_a(\tau^{-1}) \leq \phi_a(\tau) \leq 12 B_a(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau > 0$$

from [8, p. 236], where

$$(2.8) \quad B_a(u) = \int_0^u -sa'(s) ds, \quad u > 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Note that (2.5) and (2.6) originally were shown for  $a(t)$  with  $a(\infty) = 0$ . An easy check shows that the proofs of (2.5) and (2.6) still are valid when  $a(\infty) > 0$ .

We define  $\epsilon$  by

$$(2.9) \quad \epsilon = 1/L.$$

Then, for  $0 < \tau \leq \epsilon$ , we use (1.17) and (1.15) to obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \theta_a(\tau) \geq \frac{1}{5}A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}) \geq \frac{1}{5}A_{1a}(\epsilon^{-1}) \geq 2.$$

This gives us the first inequality in the estimate

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |D(\tau; a)|^2 &= |\hat{a}(\tau) + i\tau|^2 = \phi_a^2(\tau) + \tau^2(\theta_a(\tau) - 1)^2 \\ &\geq \phi_a^2(\tau) + \frac{1}{4}\tau^2\theta_a(\tau)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|\hat{a}(\tau)|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{32}A_a^2(\tau^{-1}), \quad 0 < \tau \leq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.5).

Now, for  $t \geq 1/\epsilon$ , we use (2.11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad \left| \int_0^{1/t} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{D(\tau; a)} \right\} d\tau \right| &\leq \int_0^{1/t} \frac{d\tau}{|D(\tau; a)|} \leq 4\sqrt{2} \int_0^{1/t} \frac{d\tau}{A_a(\tau^{-1})} \\
 &= 4\sqrt{2} \int_t^\infty \frac{du}{u^2 A_a(u)} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{t A_a(t)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_{1/t}^\infty \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{D(\tau; a)} &= \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \frac{-e^i}{D(t^{-1}; a)} + \int_{t^{-1}}^\infty \frac{e^{i\tau t} D_\tau(\tau; a)}{D^2(\tau; a)} d\tau \right\} \\
 &\equiv \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{t} \{B_1 + I_1\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) we have

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \frac{1}{t} B_1 \right| \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{t A_a(t)}.$$

Combining (2.12)-(2.14) with (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \pi |u(t; a)| \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{t A_a(t)} + \frac{|\operatorname{Im} I_1|}{t}.$$

To estimate  $|\operatorname{Im} t^{-1} I_1|$ , we first integrate by parts. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad \operatorname{Im} t^{-1} I_1 &= \operatorname{Re} t^{-2} \left( \frac{e^i D_\tau(t^{-1}; a)}{D^2(t^{-1}; a)} + \int_{t^{-1}}^\infty e^{i\tau t} \left[ \frac{\hat{a}''(\tau)}{D^2(\tau; a)} + \frac{2D_\tau^2(\tau; a)}{D^3(\tau; a)} \right] d\tau \right) \\
 &\equiv \operatorname{Re} t^{-2} (B_2 + \int_{t^{-1}}^\infty J d\tau)
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), (2.6), (2.10) and the inequalities  $t \geq 1/\epsilon$  and  $A_{1a}(t) \leq t A_a(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad |t^{-2} B_2| &\leq \frac{32(40A_{1a}(t) + 1)}{t^2 A_a^2(t)} \leq \frac{1280}{t A_a(t)} + \frac{32}{t A_a(t) A_{1a}(t)} \\
 &< \frac{1284}{t A_a(t)}.
 \end{aligned}$$



To estimate the integral term in (2.16) we begin with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| t^{-2} \int_{t-1}^\epsilon J d\tau \right| &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{t-1}^\epsilon \left[ \frac{\tau^{-1} A_{1a}(\tau^{-1})}{A_a^2(\tau^{-1})} + \frac{(1 + A_{1a}^2(\tau^{-1}))}{A_a^3(\tau^{-1})} \right] d\tau \\
 (2.18) \qquad &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{t-1}^\epsilon \frac{\tau^{-1} A_{1a}(\tau^{-1})}{A_a^2(\tau^{-1})} d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{t-1}^\epsilon \frac{\tau^{-2}}{A_a(\tau^{-1})} d\tau = \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{1/\epsilon}^t \frac{du}{A_a(u)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (2.11), (2.6) and the inequality

$$(2.19) \quad |\hat{a}''(\tau)| \leq 6000 \int_0^{1/\tau} r^2 a(r) d\tau \leq 6000 \tau^{-1} A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

(See [1, Lemma 5.1]; (2.19) holds even when  $a(\infty) < 0$ ), the next two inequalities use  $A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}) \leq \tau^{-1} A_a(\tau^{-1})$  and (2.10), and the equality follows by a change of variables. Note that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{t^2} \int_{1/\epsilon}^t \frac{du}{A_a(u)} \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \int_{1/\epsilon}^t \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{A_a(u)} du,$$

therefore, by the Fubini theorem (1.14), and (2.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \left| t^{-2} \int_{t-1}^\epsilon J d\tau \right| dt &\leq M \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \left[ t^{-2} \int_{1/\epsilon}^t \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \left[ \frac{1}{A_a(u)} \right] du \right] dt \\
 &= \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \left[ \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{A_a(u)} \int_u^\infty t^{-2} dt \right] du \\
 &\leq \int_1^\infty \sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{u A_a(u)} du < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

We will need the inequalities

$$(2.21) \quad 24,000 |D(\tau; a)| \geq \tau A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}), \quad \epsilon \leq \tau \leq \frac{\omega}{2}, \quad a \in \mathcal{R},$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad 144,000 |D(\tau; a)| \geq |\tau - \omega|, \quad \frac{\omega}{2} \leq \tau, \quad a \in \mathcal{R}.$$

These are essentially the inequalities in [1; Lemma 5.2]. The proof, except for very minor changes is identical with the one given in [1] (see also §8 on [2] for the correction of an error in part of the proof of [1; Lemma 5.2]), and we will omit it. The main point is that we were able to choose the constants (24,000 and 144,000) in (2.21) and (2.22) independently of  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

We use (2.19), (2.6), (2.21), (1.17) and the definition of  $\omega$  to obtain (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-2} \left| \int_{\epsilon}^{\omega/2} J d\tau \right| &\leq Mt^{-2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\omega/2} \left[ \frac{\tau^{-1}A_{1a}(\tau^{-1})}{(\tau A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}))^2} + \frac{A_{1a}^2(\tau^{-1}) + 1}{(\tau A_{1a}(\tau^{-1}))^3} \right] d\tau \\ &\leq Mt^{-2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\omega/2} \tau^{-3} d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{A_{1a}(\omega^{-1})} + \frac{1}{A_{1a}^3(\omega^{-1})} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} (a \in \mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that if  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  is such that  $\epsilon = \omega/2$ , then clearly  $t^{-2} \left| \int_{\epsilon}^{\omega/2} J d\tau \right|$

$$\leq M/t^2).$$

Next we use (2.22), (2.19), (2.6), (1.17) and the definition of  $\omega$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.24) \quad &t^{-2} \left| \left[ \int_{\omega/2}^{\omega-\epsilon} + \int_{\omega+\epsilon}^{\infty} \right] J d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \left[ \int_{\omega/2}^{\omega-\epsilon} + \int_{\omega+\epsilon}^{\infty} \right] \left[ \frac{\tau^{-1}A_{1a}(\tau^{-1})}{|\tau - \omega|^2} + \frac{A_{1a}^2(\tau^{-1}) + 1}{|\tau - \omega|^3} \right] d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\epsilon t^2} A_{1a} \left( \frac{2}{\omega} \right) \left[ \int_{\omega/2}^{\omega-\epsilon} + \int_{\omega+\epsilon}^{\infty} \right] \frac{1}{|\tau - \omega|^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + Mt^{-2} \left[ A_{1a}^2 \left[ \frac{2}{\omega} \right] + 1 \right] \left[ \int_{\omega/2}^{\omega-\epsilon} + \int_{\omega+\epsilon}^{\infty} \right] \frac{1}{|\tau - \omega|^3} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \tau^{-2} + \tau^{-3} d\tau \leq \frac{M}{t^2}, \quad a \text{ in } \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $A_{1a}(2x) \leq 4A_{1a}(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $a$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , since  $\int_x^{2x} sa(s) ds \leq a(x) \int_x^{2x} s ds = 3a(x) \int_0^x s ds \leq 3A_1(x)$ .)

Next we use (2.6), (2.19),  $\operatorname{Re} D(\tau; a) = \phi_a(\tau)$ , (1.17), the definition of  $\omega$  and (2.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.25) \quad \left| t^{-2} \int_{\omega-\epsilon}^{\omega+\epsilon} J d\tau \right| &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \int_{\omega-\epsilon}^{\omega+\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\tau^{-1} A_{1a}(\tau^{-1})}{\phi_a^2(\tau)} + \frac{A_{1a}^2(\tau^{-1}) + 1}{\phi_a^3(\tau)} \right] d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \left[ \frac{1}{B_a(\omega^{-1})^2} + \frac{1}{B_a(\omega^{-1})^3} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{M}{t^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\phi_a(\omega)^2} + \frac{1}{\phi_a(\omega)^3} \right] \leq \frac{M}{t^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.25), (2.24), (2.23), (2.18), (see (2.20)), (2.17), (2.16), (2.15) and (2.4) prove that (1.2) holds.

Finally, to see that the corollary follows from Theorem 1, let  $\mathcal{R} = \{a_0(t) + c : 0 \leq c \leq 1\}$  where  $a_0$  satisfies (1.1) and (1.13). Then clearly

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{uA_a(u)} = \frac{1}{uA_{a_0}(u)},$$

so (1.13) implies (1.14). The assumption (1.15) is used in the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain inequality (2.11). However (2.11) holds for this family  $\mathcal{R}$ , without the additional assumption (1.15) as is proved in [12]. Finally, to show (1.19), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{a}(\tau) &= \phi_a(\tau) - i\tau\theta_a(\tau) = \hat{a}_0(\tau) + \frac{c}{i\tau} \\
 &= \phi_{a_0}(\tau) - i\tau\theta_{a_0}(\tau) - i\tau^{-1}c.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that

$$1 = \theta_a(\tilde{\omega}) = \theta_{a_0}(\tilde{\omega}) + c\tilde{\omega}^{-2},$$

or

$$c = \tilde{\omega}^2(1 - \theta_{a_0}(\tilde{\omega})).$$

Since  $\theta_{a_0}(\infty) = 0$ , by (1.17), and  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ , clearly  $\tilde{\omega}$  is bounded from above, thus so is  $\omega$  (say  $\omega = \omega(a) \leq M_1$ ). Then, by (2.7) and the fact that  $a'(t) = a'_0(t)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi_a(\omega(a))} \leq \frac{5}{B_a(\omega^{-1})} \leq \frac{5}{B_a(M_1^{-1})} = \frac{5}{B_{a_0}(M_1^{-1})} \equiv M < \infty.$$

The corollary now follows by applying Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

1. R.W. Carr and K.B. Hannsgen, *A nonhomogeneous integrodifferential equation in Hilbert space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **10** (1979), 961-984.
2. ———, *Resolvent formulas for a Volterra equation in Hilbert space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 459-483.
3. K.B. Hannsgen, *A Volterra equation with parameter*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **4** (1973), 22-30.
4. ———, *The resolvent kernel of an integrodifferential equation in Hilbert space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **7** (1976), 481-490.
5. ———, *A linear integrodifferential equation for viscoelastic rods and plates*, Quart. Appl. Math. **41** (1983), 75-84.
6. K.B. Hannsgen and R.L. Wheeler, *A singular limit problem for an integrodifferential equation*, J. Integral Equations **5** (1983), 199-209.
7. ———, *Uniform  $L^1$  behavior in classes of integrodifferential equations with completely monotonic kernels*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15** (1984), 579-594.
8. ———, *Behavior of the solution of a Volterra equation as a parameter tends to infinity*, J. Integral Equations **7** (1984), 229-237.
9. J.J. Levin, *The asymptotic behavior of the solution of a Volterra equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 534-541.
10. R.D. Noren, *Uniform  $L^1$  behavior for the solution of a Volterra equation with a parameter*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **19** (1988), 270-286.
11. ———, *A linear Volterra integrodifferential equation for viscoelastic rods and plates*, Quart. Appl. Math. **45** (1987), 503-514.
12. ———, *A singular limit problem for a Volterra equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **19** (1988).
13. D.F. Shea and S. Wainger, *Variants of the Wiener-Levy theorem, with applications to stability problems for some Volterra integral equations*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 312-343.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY,  
NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23529-0077