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ABSTRACT. Let $R$ be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d$ and

$$
B=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

a Laurent polynomial ring over $R$. If $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$, then we prove the following results:
(i) if $f$ is a monic polynomial, then the Serre dimension of $A$ is $\leq d$. The case $n=0$ is due to Bhatwadekar, without the condition that $f$ is a monic polynomial.
(ii) The $p$ th Euler class group $E^{p}(A)$ of $A$, defined by Bhatwadekar and Sridharan, is trivial for $p \geq \max \{d+1$, $\operatorname{dim} A-p+3\}$. The case $m=n=0$ is due to Mandal and Parker.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we will assume that all rings are commutative Noetherian of finite Krull dimension, all modules are finitely generated and all projective modules are of constant rank. Throughout this paper, $R$ will denote a ring of dimension $d$ and $B$ will denote the Laurent polynomial ring

$$
R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

over $R$.
Let $P$ be a projective $R$-module. An element $p \in P$ is said to be unimodular if there exist $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(P, R)$ such that $\phi(p)=1$. We write $\operatorname{Um}(P)$ for the set of all unimodular elements of $P$. We say that the Serre dimension of $R$ is $\leq t$ if every projective $R$-module of rank $\geq t+1$ has a unimodular element.

A classical result of Serre [22] is that the Serre dimension of $R$ is $\leq d$. Quillen [20] and Suslin [23] proved Serre's conjecture that projective modules over polynomial rings $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ over a field $k$ are free for all $m \geq 1$. In other words, the Serre dimension of $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ is 0 .

[^0]Plumstead [19, Theorem 2] generalized Serre's result by proving that the Serre dimension of $R[Y]$ is $\leq d$. Rao [21, Theorem 1.1] generalized Plumstead's result and proved that, if $C$ is a birational overring of $R[Y]$, i.e.,

$$
R[Y] \subset C \subset S^{-1} R[Y]
$$

where $S$ is the set of all nonzero divisors of $R[Y]$, then the Serre dimension of $C$ is $\leq d$. As a consequence of Rao's result, we obtain the Serre dimension of $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \leq d$ for any nonzero divisor $f \in R[Y]$.

Bhatwadekar and Roy [6, Theorem 3.1] generalized Plumstead's result to polynomial rings in many variables and proved that the Serre dimension of the polynomial ring $R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ is $\leq d$ for any $m \geq 1$. This result was generalized by Bhatwadekar, Lindel and Rao [2, Theorem 4.1] to the Laurent polynomial case. They proved that the Serre dimension of the Laurent polynomial ring

$$
B:=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

is $\leq d$.
Bhatwadekar [1, Theorem 3.5] further generalized Bhatwadekar and Roy's result to polynomial extensions over a birational overring of $R[Y]$. More precisely, he proved that, if $C$ is a birational overring of $R[Y]$, then the Serre dimension of

$$
C\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]
$$

is $\leq d$. As a consequence of this result, we obtain that the Serre dimension of

$$
R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y, f^{-1}\right]
$$

is $\leq d$ for any nonzero divisor $f \in R[Y]$.
It is natural to ask whether an analogue of Bhatwadekar's result [1] is true for Laurent polynomial rings. More precisely, we can ask the following.

Question 1.1. Let $C$ be a birational overring of $R[Y]$. Is the Serre dimension of

$$
C\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right] \leq d ?
$$

We answer this question when $C=R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ with $f \in R[Y]$ a monic polynomial. Note that Lindel [12] gave another proof of [2, Theorem 4.1] mentioned above. Our proof closely follows Lindel's idea. Next, we state our result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[Y]$ is a monic polynomial. Then the Serre dimension of $A$ is $\leq d$.

Assume that $\operatorname{dim} R=d \geq 3$ and $p$ is a positive integer such that $p \geq d-p+3$. Then Bhatwadekar and Sridharan defined the $p$ th Euler class group $E^{p}(R)$ of $R$ which is an additive abelian group. We will not give the explicit definition of $E^{p}(R)$ (see [5, Section 4], for definition). Rather, we will describe the elements of $E^{p}(R)$, since this suffices for our purposes. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ of height $p$ such that the $R / I$ module $I / I^{2}$ is generated by $p$ elements. Let

$$
\phi:(R / I)^{p} \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

be a surjection, giving a set of $p$ generators of $R / I$-module $I / I^{2}$. The surjection $\phi$ induces an element of the $p$ th Euler class group $E^{p}(R)$, denoted by the pair $(I, \phi)$. Furthermore, it follows using the moving lemma and addition principle, that every element of $E^{p}(R)$ is a pair $(I, \phi)$ for some height $p$ ideal $I$ of $R$ and some surjection $\phi:(R / I)^{p} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. Bhatwadekar and Sridharan [5, Theorem 4.2] proved that there exists a surjection

$$
\Phi: R^{p} \rightarrow I
$$

which is a lift of $\phi$, i.e., $\Phi \otimes A / I=\phi$, if and only if the associated element $(I, \phi)$ of the group $E^{p}(R)$ is the trivial element (identity element 0 of $\left.E^{p}(R)\right)$.

It is well known that a projective $R$-module of rank $d$ need not, in general, have a unimodular element. The significance of Euler class group theory is demonstrated by the result of [3], where it was proved that, for a rank $d$ projective $R$-module $P$ with trivial determinant, the precise obstruction for $P$ to have a unimodular element lies in $E^{d}(R)$. More precisely, given a pair $(P, \chi)$, where

$$
\chi: \wedge^{d} P \xrightarrow{\sim} R
$$

is an isomorphism, an element $e(P, \chi)$ was associated with the Euler class group $E^{d}(R)$, and it was proved that $P$ has a unimodular element if and only if $e(P, \chi)$ is the trivial element of $E^{d}(R)$. Such an obstruction theory is not known for projective $R$-modules of rank $d-1$ except for a special class of rings. When $R=S[Y]$ is a polynomial ring in one variable over a subring $S$ of $R$, then Das [7] proved that, for a rank $d-1$ projective $R$-module $Q$ with trivial determinant, the precise obstruction for $Q$ to have a unimodular element lies in $E^{d-1}(R)$.

Let $I$ be an ideal of $R[Y]$ containing a monic polynomial in the variable $Y$. Assume the $R[Y] / I$-module $I / I^{2}$ is generated by $p$ elements, where $p \geq \operatorname{dim}(R[Y] / I)+2$. Mandal [13, Theorem 2.1] proved that any surjection

$$
\phi:(R[Y] / I)^{p} \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Phi: R[Y]^{p} \rightarrow I
$$

Let $P=Q \oplus R$ be a projective $R$-module of rank $p$ and

$$
\psi: P[Y] / I P[Y] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

a surjection. Then Bhatwadekar et al. [5, Proposition 3.3] proved that $\psi$ lifts to a surjection

$$
\Psi: P[Y] \rightarrow I
$$

thus generalizing Mandal's result. If we further assume that height of $I$ is $p$ and $2 p \geq \operatorname{dim} R[Y]+3$, then we can associate an element $(I, \phi) \in E^{p}(R[Y])$ to the surjection $\phi$. Since $\Phi$ is a surjective lift of $\phi$, by [5, Theorem 4.2], we obtain that $(I, \phi)$ is a trivial element of $E^{p}(R[Y])$.

## Let

$$
A=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]
$$

be a polynomial ring over $R$ and $I$ an ideal of $A$ of height $\geq d+1$. Let

$$
p \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim}(A / I)+2, d+1\}
$$

be an integer and

$$
\phi:(A / I)^{p} \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

a surjection. Since the height of $I>d$, by Suslin (2.5), there exists an automorphism $\Theta$ of $A$ such that $\Theta(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in
$X_{m}$ with coefficients from

$$
R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m-1}\right] .
$$

Therefore, replacing $I$ by $\Theta(I)$, we may assume that $I$ contains a monic polynomial in $X_{m}$. By the above-mentioned Mandal [13, Theorem 2.1], $\phi$ can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Phi: A^{p} \rightarrow I .
$$

Therefore, if we further assume that

$$
p \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim} A-p+3, d+1\}
$$

then, by [ $\mathbf{5}$, Theorem 4.2], the associated element $(I, \phi)$ of $E^{p}(A)$ is trivial. Since any element of $E^{p}(A)$ is a pair $(I, \phi)$ for some height $p$ ideal $I$ of $A$, we get the $p$ th Euler class group $E^{p}(A)=0$. In particular,

$$
E^{d+1}(R[Y])=0 \quad \text { for } d \geq 2
$$

This result is generalized by Mandal and Parker [16, Theorem 3.1] where

$$
E^{d+1}\left(R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]\right)=0 \quad \text { for } d \geq 2 \text { and } f \in R[Y]
$$

is proved. We generalize Mandal and Parker's result as follows.
Theorem 1.3. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$, and let $p$ be an integer such that

$$
p \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim} A-p+2, d+1\} .
$$

Let $P=Q \oplus R$ be a projective $R$-module of rank $p$ and $I$ a proper ideal of $A$ of height $\geq d+1$. Assume there is a surjection

$$
\phi: P \otimes A / I(P \otimes A) \rightarrow I / I^{2} .
$$

Then $\phi$ can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Phi: P \otimes A \rightarrow I .
$$

As a consequence, taking $P$ to be free, we obtain that any $p$ generators of $I / I^{2}$ can be lifted to $p$ generators of $I$.

The next result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$, and let $p$ be an integer such that

$$
p \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim} A-p+3, d+1\}
$$

Then the pth Euler class group $E^{p}(A)$ of $A$ is zero.

Let $I$ be an ideal of $R[Y]$ containing a monic polynomial and $P$ a projective $R$-module of rank $p$ with $p \geq \operatorname{dim}(R[Y] / I)+2$. Let

$$
\phi: P[Y] / I P[Y] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta: P \rightarrow I(0):=\{f(0) \mid f \in I\}
$$

be two surjections such that $\phi(0)=\delta \otimes R / I(0)$. Then, Mandal [14, Theorem 2.1] proved that there exists a surjection $\Phi: P[Y] \rightarrow I$ such that

$$
\Phi \otimes R[Y] / I=\phi
$$

and

$$
\Phi(0)=\delta,
$$

thus answering a question of Nori, see [14], on homotopy sections of projective modules, in case the ideal $I$ contains a monic polynomial.

The above result of Mandal on the homotopy section was generalized by Kumar and Mandal [10, Theorem 1.2] to the Laurent polynomial case as follows. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ containing a monic polynomial $f$ in $R[Y]$ with $f(0)=1$. Let $P$ be a projective $R$-module of rank $p$ with

$$
p \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(R\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] / I\right)+2
$$

Let

$$
\phi: P\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] / I P\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta: P \rightarrow I(1):=\{g(Y=1) \mid g \in I\}
$$

be two surjections such that $\phi(1)=\delta \otimes R / I(1)$. Then, there exists a surjection

$$
\Phi: P\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I
$$

such that

$$
\Phi \otimes R\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] / I=\phi \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(1)=\delta
$$

We prove the following analogue of Kumar and Mandal's result.
Theorem 1.5. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[Y]$ is a monic polynomial with $f(1)$ a unit in $R$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ of height $\geq d+1$ and $P$ a projective $B$-module of $\operatorname{rank} \geq \max \{d+1, \operatorname{dim}(A / I)+2)\}$. Let

$$
\phi: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] / I P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta: P \rightarrow I(1)(:=\{g(Y=1) \mid g \in I\})
$$

be two surjections such that $\delta \otimes I(1) / I(1)^{2}=\phi \otimes A /(Y-1)$, where $I(1)$ is an ideal of $B$. Then there exists a surjection

$$
\Psi: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I
$$

such that $\Psi \otimes A / I=\phi$ and $\Psi(1)=\delta$.
2. Preliminaries. In this section, we note some results for later use. For a ring $A$, ht $I$ will denote the height of an ideal $I$ of $A$. We begin by stating a result of Lindel [12, Lemma 1.1].

Proposition 2.1. Let $A$ be a ring, $Q$ an $A$-module and $s \in A$ such that $Q_{s}$ is a free $A_{s}$-module of rank $r$. Then there exist $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r} \in Q$, $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r} \in Q^{*}$ and $t \geq 1$ such that:
(i) $0:_{A} s^{\prime} A=0:_{A} s^{\prime 2} A$, where $s^{\prime}=s^{t}$.
(ii) $s^{\prime} Q \subset F$ and $s^{\prime} Q^{*} \subset G$, where

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A p_{i} \subset Q
$$

and

$$
G=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A \phi_{i} \subset Q^{*}
$$

(iii) $\left(\phi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}=\operatorname{diagonal}\left(s^{\prime}, \ldots, s^{\prime}\right)$. We say $F$ and $G$ are $s^{\prime}$ dual submodules of $Q$ and $Q^{*}$, respectively.

## Definition 2.2.

(i) Let $A$ be a ring, $M$ an $A$-module and $\delta$ an $A$-endomorphism. We say that the maps

$$
\xi: M \longrightarrow M \quad \text { and } \quad \xi^{*}: M^{*} \longrightarrow M^{*}
$$

are $\delta$-semilinear if $\xi$ and $\xi^{*}$ are group homomorphisms with respect to addition operation and

$$
\xi(\alpha m)=\delta(\alpha) \xi(m), \quad \xi^{*}(\alpha \phi)=\delta(\alpha) \xi^{*}(\phi)
$$

for any $m \in M, \phi \in M^{*}$ and $\alpha \in A$.
(ii) Let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ and $s \in A$. An endomorphism $h: A \rightarrow A$ is called $s^{t} I$-analytic, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, if $h(s)=s$ and $h(a)-a \in s^{t} I$ with $0:_{A} s^{t-1}=0:_{A} s^{t}$.

The next result is due to Lindel [12, Lemma 1.4].
Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal in $A$ and $M$ an $A$-module such that $M_{s}$ is free for some $s \in A$. Let

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A p_{i} \subset M \quad \text { and } \quad G=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A \phi_{i} \subset M^{*}
$$

be two submodules as in Proposition 2.1. Assume that an $s^{2 t} I$-analytic endomorphism $h$ of $A$ is given. Then there exist $h$-semilinear maps

$$
\xi: M \longrightarrow M \quad \text { and } \quad \xi^{*}: M^{*} \longrightarrow M^{*}
$$

with the following properties:
(i) $\xi(p)-p \in s^{t} I F, \xi^{*}(\phi)-\phi \in s^{t} I G$ and $\xi^{*}(\phi) \xi(p)=h(\phi(p))$ for all $p \in M$ and $\phi \in M^{*}$.
(ii) If $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are submodules of $F$ and $G$, respectively, such that

$$
F \subset N \subset M \quad \text { and } \quad G \subset N^{\prime} \subset M^{*}
$$

then $\xi(N)=N$ and $\xi^{*}\left(N^{\prime}\right)=N^{\prime}$.

The next result on fiber products is well known. For a reference, see [15, Proposition 2.2.1].

Proposition 2.4. Let $A$ be a ring, and let $f, g \in A$ be such that $f A+g A=A$. Let $M$ and $N$ be two A-modules. Suppose that

$$
\phi: M_{f} \longrightarrow N_{f}
$$

is an $A_{f}$-homomorphism and

$$
\psi: M_{g} \longrightarrow N_{g}
$$

is an $A_{g}$-homomorphism such that $\phi_{g}=\psi_{f}$. Then,
(i) there exists an $A$-homomorphism $\xi: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\xi_{f}=\phi$ and $\xi_{g}=\psi$.
(ii) If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are surjective, then $\xi$ is surjective.

The following is implicit in Suslin's result [24, Lemma 6.2] and is known as Suslin's monic polynomial theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ of height $>d$. Then there exist a positive integer $N$ such that, for any integers $s_{i}>N$, if $\phi$ is the $R\left[X_{m}\right]$-automorphism of $R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ defined by $\phi\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+X_{m}^{s_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, then $\phi(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in $X_{m}$ with coefficients from $R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m-1}\right]$.

The next result is implicit in Mandal's result [13, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.6. Let $I$ be an ideal of $B$ of height $>d$ and $n>0$. Then there exists an $R\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-automorphism $\Theta$ of $B$ such that $\Theta(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y_{n}$ of the form $1+Y_{n} h$ for some

$$
h \in R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}, Y_{n}\right]
$$

The next result is due to Bhatwadekar et al. [2, Theorem 4.1].
Theorem 2.7. Let $P$ be a projective $B$-module of rank $>d$. Then $P$ has a unimodular element.

The next result is due to Bhatwadekar et al. [4, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 2.8. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R[X]$ containing a monic polynomial and $P=Q \oplus A$ a projective $R$-module of rank $r$, where $r \geq \operatorname{dim}(R[X] / I)+2$. Let

$$
\phi: P[X] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

be a surjection. Then, $\phi$ can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Phi: P[X] \rightarrow I .
$$

The next result is due to Dhorajia and Keshari [8, Theorem 3.12]. We will only state the necessary part here.

Theorem 2.9. Let

$$
A=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n},\left(f_{1} \ldots f_{n}\right)^{-1}\right]
$$

with $f_{i} \in R\left[Y_{i}\right]$ and $P$ a projective $A$-module of $\operatorname{rank} r \geq d+1$. Then $P$ is cancellative, i.e.,

$$
P \oplus A^{t} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \oplus A^{t}
$$

for some integer $t>0$ implies $P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$.
Definition 2.10. For an integer $n>0$, a sequence of elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $R$ is said to be a regular sequence of length $n$ if $a_{i}$ is a nonzero divisor in

$$
R /\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}\right) \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$. We say $I$ is set theoretically generated by $n$ elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in R$ if

$$
\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)} .
$$

Assume that the height of $I$ is $n$. Then $I$ is said to be a complete intersection ideal if $I$ is generated by a regular sequence of length $n$. Furthermore, $I$ is said to be a locally complete intersection ideal if $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a complete intersection ideal of height $n$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$ containing $I$.

The next result is due to Mandal and Roy [17, Theorem 2.1]. See also [13, Theorem 6.2.2].

Theorem 2.11. Let $J \subset I$ be two ideals of $R[X]$ such that $I$ contains a monic polynomial. Assume that

$$
I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)+I^{2}
$$

and

$$
J=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)+I^{(n-1)!}
$$

Then $J$ is generated by $n$ elements. As a consequence, since $\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{J}$, $I$ is set-theoretically generated by $n$ elements.

The next result is due to Ferrand and Szpiro. For a proof, see [18, 26].

Theorem 2.12. Let $I$ be a locally complete intersection ideal of $R$ of height $n \geq 2$ with $\operatorname{dim}(R / I) \leq 1$. Then there is a locally complete intersection ideal $J \subset R$ of height $n$ such that:
(i) $\sqrt{I}=\sqrt{J}$ and
(ii) $J / J^{2}$ is a free $R / J$-module of rank $n$.

The next result is easy to prove; hence, we omit the proof.
Lemma 2.13. Let $f \in R[T]-R$. Then
(i) if $I$ is a proper ideal of $R\left[T, f^{-1}\right]$, then

$$
\mathrm{ht} I=\mathrm{ht}(I \cap R[T])
$$

(ii) If $I$ is a proper ideal of $R\left[f, f^{-1}\right]$, then

$$
\text { ht } I=\operatorname{ht}\left(I \cap R\left[f^{-1}\right]\right)
$$

Lemma 2.14. Let $I$ be an ideal of $A=R\left[T, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[T]-R$. If

$$
J=I \cap R\left[f^{-1}\right]
$$

then $\mathrm{ht} J=\mathrm{ht} I$.

Proof. Assume that $I$ is a prime ideal. If we write $\mathfrak{a}=I \cap R$, then

$$
\mathrm{ht} I=\mathrm{ht} I A_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { ht } J=\operatorname{ht} J R_{\mathfrak{a}}\left[f^{-1}\right] .
$$

Hence, we assume that $(R, \mathfrak{a})$ is a local ring. Furthermore, if $I=\mathfrak{a} A$ is an extended ideal, then

$$
\mathrm{ht} I=\mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{a}=\mathrm{ht} J
$$

Hence, assume that $I \neq \mathfrak{a} A$. In this case ht $I=\mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{a}+1$. Since $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is a field, we obtain that

$$
R / \mathfrak{a}\left[f, f^{-1}\right] \longrightarrow R / \mathfrak{a}\left[T, f^{-1}\right]
$$

is an integral extension. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{ht} I / \mathfrak{a}=\mathrm{ht} \widetilde{J} / \mathfrak{a},
$$

where $\widetilde{J}=I \cap R\left[f, f^{-1}\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{ht} I=\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{a}+1=\operatorname{ht} \widetilde{J}=\operatorname{ht} J
$$

by equation (2.13). The general case follows by noting that ht $I=$ ht $\sqrt{I}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{I}=\mathcal{P}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{r} \\
& \sqrt{J}=\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{r}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}_{i} \cap R\left[f^{-1}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \text { ht } \mathcal{P}_{i}=\operatorname{ht} \mathcal{P}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 2.15. Let $R$ be a ring of dimension d,

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right] \\
A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f \in R[Y]$ is a nonconstant polynomial and $I$ an ideal of $A$ of height $>d$. Then, there exists an integer $N>0$ such that, for any set of integers $s_{i}, l_{i}>N$ and the $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism $\phi$ of $A$ defined by

$$
\phi\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi\left(Y_{i}\right)=Y_{i} f^{l_{i}}
$$

such that $\phi(I)$ contains a polynomial of the form $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.

Proof. We induct on $n$. Assume that $n=0$. If $I_{1}=I \cap B\left[f^{-1}\right]$, then by Lemma 2.14,

$$
\text { ht } I_{1}=\mathrm{ht} I>d
$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to the ring

$$
B\left[f^{-1}\right]=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, f^{-1}\right]
$$

we can find a positive integer $N_{1}$ such that, for any integers $s_{i}>N_{1}$, if $\phi_{1}$ is the $R\left[f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $B\left[f^{-1}\right]$ defined by

$$
\phi_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

then $\phi_{1}\left(I_{1}\right)$ contains a monic polynomial, say $F$, of degree $u$, in the variable $f^{-1}$ with coefficients from $B$. Since $\phi_{1}$ naturally extends to an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$, we obtain that $\phi_{1}(I)$ contains $F$, and hence, it contains $f^{u} F$ which is of the form $1+f g$ for some $g \in B[Y]$.

Assume that $n>0$. Define $L_{Y_{n}}(I)$ and $L_{Y_{n}-1}(I)$ as the set of highest degree coefficients and lowest degree coefficients, respectively, of elements in $I$ as a Laurent polynomial in the variable $Y_{n}$. It is easy to see that $L_{Y_{n}}(I)$ and $L_{Y_{n}-1}(I)$ are ideals of $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where

$$
C=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

By [13, Lemma 3.1], we obtain that the height of the ideals $L_{Y_{n}}(I)$ and $L_{Y_{n}-1}(I)$ is $\geq \mathrm{ht} I$.

If we write

$$
L=L_{Y_{n}}(I) \cap L_{Y_{n}-1}(I),
$$

then $L$ is an ideal of $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ of height $\geq \mathrm{ht} I>d$. Hence, by induction on $n$, there exists an integer $N_{2}$ such that, for any set of integers $s_{i}, l_{i}$ all greater than $N_{2}$, if $\theta_{1}$ is an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ defined by

$$
\theta_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{1}\left(Y_{j}\right)=Y_{j} f^{l_{j}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, then $\theta_{1}(L)$ contains a polynomial

$$
\widetilde{h}=1+f h^{\prime} \quad \text { for some } h^{\prime} \in C[Y] .
$$

We extend $\theta_{1}$ to an $R\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$. We can find a polynomial $G$ in $\theta_{1}(I)$ of the form

$$
G=\widetilde{h}+h_{1} Y_{n}+\cdots+h_{t} Y_{n}^{t} \quad \text { for some } t \in \mathbb{N}
$$

$h_{i} \in C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ and $\widetilde{h}$ as above. We can choose an integer

$$
N_{3}=\max \left\{\text { power of } f^{-1} \text { occuring in } G\right\}
$$

such that, for any integer $l_{n}>N_{3}$, if $\theta_{2}$ is an $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by $\theta_{2}\left(Y_{n}\right)=Y_{n} f^{l_{n}}$, then

$$
\theta_{2}(G)=1+f h \quad \text { for some } h \in B[Y] .
$$

We note that $\theta_{2} \theta_{1}$ is an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by

$$
X_{i} \longmapsto X_{i}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{j} \longmapsto Y_{j} f^{l_{j}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Taking $N=\max \left\{N_{2}, N_{3}\right\}$ completes the proof.

Proposition 2.16. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[Y]$ is a monic polynomial and $I$ an ideal of $A$ of height $>d$. Then, there exists an integer $N>0$ such that, for any set of integers $t_{i}, s_{i}, l_{i}$ all greater than $N$, the $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism $\phi$ of $A$ defined by

$$
\phi\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+Y^{t_{i}}+f^{-s_{i}}
$$

and

$$
\phi\left(Y_{i}\right)=Y_{i} f^{l_{i}}
$$

satisfies the following:
(i) $\phi(I)$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y$ with coefficients from $B$, and
(ii) $\phi(I)$ contains a polynomial of the form $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.

Proof. If $n=0$, then

$$
B=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]
$$

If $I_{1}=I \cap B\left[f^{-1}\right]$, then by Lemma 2.14, ht $I_{1}=\operatorname{ht} I>d$. By Lemma 2.15, we can find a positive integer $N_{1}$ such that, for any integers $s_{i}>N_{1}$, if $\phi_{1}$ is the $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $B\left[f^{-1}\right]$ defined by

$$
\phi_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m .
$$

Then $\phi_{1}\left(I_{1}\right)$ contains a polynomial of the form $1+f g$ for some $g \in B[Y]$.

If $I_{2}=\phi_{1}(I) \cap B[Y]$, then by Lemma 2.13,

$$
\text { ht } I_{2}=\mathrm{ht} I>d
$$

Applying Theorem 2.5 to the ring

$$
B[Y]=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y\right]
$$

we can find a positive integer $N_{2}$ such that, for any integers $t_{i}>N_{2}$, if $\phi_{2}$ is the $R[Y]$-automorphism of $B[Y]$ defined by

$$
\phi_{2}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+Y^{t_{i}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

then $\phi_{2}\left(I_{2}\right)$ contains a monic polynomial, say $G$, in the variable $Y$ with coefficients from $B$. Since $\phi_{2}$ naturally extends to an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ automorphism of $A$, we obtain that $\phi_{2} \phi_{1}(I)$ contains
(i) a monic polynomial $G$ in the variable $Y$ with coefficients from $B$, and
(ii) an element $1+f h$, where $h=\phi_{2}(g) \in B[Y]$.

Note that $\phi_{2} \phi_{1}$ is an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by

$$
X_{i} \longmapsto X_{i}+Y^{t_{i}}+f^{-s_{i}} .
$$

This proves the result in case $n=0$ by taking $N=\max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$.
Assume that $n>0$, and use induction on $n$. Defining $L_{Y_{n}}(I)$, $L_{Y_{n}-1}(I)$ and $L$ as in Lemma 2.15, we obtain that $L$ is an ideal of $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ of height $\geq \mathrm{ht} I>d$. Hence, by induction on $n$, there exists an integer $N_{3}$ such that, for any set of integers $t_{i}, s_{i}, l_{i}$ all greater than $N_{3}$, if $\theta_{1}$ is an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ defined by

$$
\theta_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+Y^{t_{i}}+f^{-s_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{1}\left(Y_{j}\right)=Y_{j} f^{l_{j}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, then $\theta_{1}(L)$ contains
(a) a monic polynomial, say $\widetilde{g}$, in $Y$ with coefficients from $C$, and
(b) a polynomial $\widetilde{h}$ of the form $1+f h^{\prime}$ for some $h^{\prime} \in C[Y]$.

We extend $\theta_{1}$ to an $R\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$. We can find polynomials $F$ and $G$ in $\theta_{1}(I)$ of the form

$$
F=\widetilde{g} Y_{n}^{s}+g_{n-1} Y_{n}^{s-1}+\cdots+g_{0}
$$

and

$$
G=\widetilde{h}+h_{1} Y_{n}+\cdots+h_{t} Y_{n}^{t}
$$

for some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}, g_{i}, h_{i} \in C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ and $\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{h}$ as in (a) and (b). We can choose an integer
$N_{4}=\max \left\{\right.$ power of $f^{-1}$ occuring in $G$ and degrees of $\widetilde{g}, g_{i}$ in $\left.Y\right\}$
such that, for any integer $l_{n}>N_{4}$, if $\theta_{2}$ is an $C\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by $\theta_{2}\left(Y_{n}\right)=Y_{n} f^{l_{n}}$, then
(i) $Y_{n}^{-s} \theta_{2}(F)$ is a monic polynomial in $Y$ with coefficients from $C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]=B$ (here we are using $f$ to be monic), and
(ii) $\theta_{2}(G)=1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.

We note that $\theta_{2} \theta_{1}$ is an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by

$$
X_{i} \longmapsto X_{i}+Y^{t_{i}}+f^{-s_{i}}
$$

and

$$
Y_{j} \longmapsto Y_{j} f^{l_{j}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Taking $N=\max \left\{N_{3}, N_{4}\right\}$ completes the proof.
3. Main theorems. In this section, we prove the results stated in the introduction.

Theorem 3.1. Let $R$ be a ring of dimension d,

$$
B=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

and $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[Y]$ is a monic polynomial. Then the Serre dimension of $A$ is $\leq d$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $R$ is reduced. If $m=0$, then replacing $A$ by $A\left[X_{1}\right]$, we will assume that $m>0$. Let
$P$ be a projective $A$-module of $\operatorname{rank} r>d=\operatorname{dim} R$. We need to show that $P$ has a unimodular element. If $S$ denotes the set of all nonzero divisors of $R$, then $S^{-1} R$ is a zero-dimensional ring. Therefore, by [8, Lemma 3.9], we can find some $s \in S$ such that $P_{s}$ is a free $A_{s}$-module of rank $r$. By Proposition 2.1, there exist an integer $t>0$,

$$
p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r} \in P \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r} \in P^{*}
$$

such that the submodules

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A p_{i} \quad \text { of } P \quad \text { and } \quad G=\sum_{i=1}^{r} A \phi_{i} \quad \text { of } P^{*}
$$

satisfy:

$$
s^{t} P \subset F, \quad s^{t} P^{*} \subset G
$$

and the matrix

$$
\left(\phi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(s^{t}, \ldots, s^{t}\right)
$$

Submodules $F$ and $G$ are called $s^{t}$-dual submodules of $P$ and $P^{*}$, respectively. Replacing $s$ by $s^{t}$, we assume that $F$ and $G$ satisfy

$$
s P \subset F, \quad s P^{*} \subset G
$$

and

$$
\left(\phi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag}(s, \ldots, s)
$$

Since

$$
A /(s(Y-1))=\widetilde{R}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

is a Laurent polynomial ring over a $d$ dimensional ring $\widetilde{R}:=R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] /$ $(s(Y-1))$, by Theorem 2.7, $P /(s(Y-1))$ has a unimodular element. Let $p \in P$ be such that its image $\bar{p}$ in $P / s(Y-1) P$ is a unimodular element.

Let us write $\phi_{i}(p)=a_{i} \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and define $b:=$ $(1-Y) \prod_{i=1}^{m} X_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j}$. Then $s b$ is a nonzero divisor in $A$. We can find an integer $l>\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1}\right)$ such that $a_{1}^{\prime}:=a_{1}+s^{2} b^{l}$ is a nonzero divisor in $A$, where $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1}\right)$ is the total degree of $a_{1}$ as a polynomial in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ with coefficients from $R\left[Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y, f^{-1}\right]$. Hence height of the ideal $a_{1}^{\prime} A$ is $\geq 1$.

Since $\bar{p}$ is a unimodular element in $P / s(Y-1) P$ and $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}$ is a basis of the free module $P_{s}^{*}$, we get that

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, s^{2}(Y-1)\right) \in \operatorname{Um}_{r+1}\left(A_{s}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
a_{1}^{\prime} \in a_{1}+s^{2}(Y-1) A,
$$

we obtain

$$
\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}, s^{2}(Y-1)\right) \in \operatorname{Um}_{r+1}\left(A_{s}\right)
$$

Hence, by the prime avoidance argument, we can choose $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}$ in $A$ such that if

$$
a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}+s^{2}(Y-1) c_{i} \quad \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r,
$$

then height of the ideal

$$
\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r}^{\prime}\right) A_{s(Y-1)}
$$

is $\geq r$. Let $l^{\prime}>2 \widetilde{d}$ be an integer, where $\widetilde{d}$ is the maximum of total degrees of $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r}^{\prime}$ as a polynomial in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. If we write

$$
a_{r}^{\prime \prime}:=a_{r}^{\prime}+s^{2}(Y-1)\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{l^{\prime}},
$$

then the degree of $a_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ as a polynomial in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ is $e^{\prime}:=m l l^{\prime}$.
Let

$$
q=c_{2} p_{2}+\cdots+c_{r-1} p_{r-1}+\left(c_{r}+\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{l^{\prime}}\right) p_{r} .
$$

Then

$$
\widetilde{p}:=p+s b^{l} p_{1}+s(Y-1) q
$$

is also a lift of $\bar{p}$. Furthermore, we have $\phi_{i}(\widetilde{p})=a_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $\phi_{r}(\widetilde{p})=a_{r}^{\prime \prime}$. Hence, replacing $p$ by $\widetilde{p}$, we see that height of the ideal

$$
O_{P}(p) A_{s(Y-1)}=\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r-1}^{\prime}, a_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) A_{s(Y-1)}
$$

is $\geq r$.
Since $\bar{p}$ is a unimodular element in $P / s(Y-1) P$ and $p \in P$ is a lift of $\bar{p}$, we get

$$
O_{P}(p)+s(Y-1) A=A .
$$

Furthermore, height of the ideal $O_{P}(p) A_{s(Y-1)}$ is $\geq r$. Therefore, we get that the height of the ideal $O_{P}(p)$ is $\geq r$. By Proposition 2.16,
there exists an integer $N>0$ such that, for any integers $t^{\prime}, s^{\prime}, l^{\prime \prime}$ all greater than $N$, if $\Theta$ is the $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$ defined by

$$
\Theta\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}+Y^{t^{\prime}}+f^{-s^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta\left(Y_{j}\right)=Y_{j} f^{l^{\prime \prime}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, then the following hold:
(a) $\Theta\left(O_{P}(p)\right)$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y$ with coefficients from $B$.
(b) $\Theta\left(O_{P}(p)\right)$ contains a polynomial $g \in B[Y]$ of the form $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.

Furthermore, if we choose $s^{\prime}$ and $l^{\prime \prime}$ in the automorphism $\Theta$ such that $s^{\prime}>n l /(m l-1) l^{\prime \prime}$, then with $e:=\left(m s^{\prime}-n l^{\prime \prime}\right) l l^{\prime}$, the following hold:
(c) $f^{e} \Theta\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in B[Y]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.
(d) $f^{e} \Theta\left(a_{r}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in s^{2 l^{\prime}+2} \prod_{1}^{n} Y_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}+f B[Y]$.

Parts (a) and (b) follow from Proposition 2.16. For (c), recall that $l^{\prime}>$ is the maximum of total degrees of $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r}^{\prime}$; hence, we must only ensure $e>l^{\prime} s^{\prime}$. This is indeed the case because of our choice of $s^{\prime}$. Part (d) is a direct consequence of the choice of $e$ and $s^{\prime}$.

Replacing $A$ by $\Theta(A)$, we assume that:
( $\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right) O_{P}(p)$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y$ with coefficients from $B$.
( $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right) O_{P}(p)$ contains a polynomial $g \in B[Y]$ of the form $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.
(c') $f^{e} a_{i}^{\prime} \in B[Y]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.
$\left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right) f^{e} a_{r}^{\prime \prime} \in s^{2 l^{\prime}+2} \prod_{1}^{n} Y_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}+f B[Y]$.
We have $g=1+f h \in O_{P}(p)$ for some $h \in B[Y]$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=B[Y]+g Y \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to see $(*)$, let $a \in A$. Then $a=b / f^{t}$ for some $b \in B[Y]$. Hence, $f^{t} a=b$, giving $(g-1)^{t} a=b h^{t}$ which implies $a=b^{\prime}+g a^{\prime}$, where $a^{\prime} \in A$, $b^{\prime} \in B[Y]$.

Since $A=O_{P}(p)+s(Y-1) A$, using equation $(*)$, we obtain

$$
A=O_{P}(p)+s(Y-1) B[Y]
$$

Therefore,

$$
B[Y]=A \cap B[Y]=O_{P}(p) \cap B[Y]+s(Y-1) B[Y] .
$$

Using ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ), [11, page 100, Lemma 1.1] and

$$
B[Y]=O_{P}(p) \cap B[Y]+s B[Y],
$$

we get $B=\left(O_{P}(p) \cap B\right)+s B$. Hence, we now obtain:
(i) $O_{P}(p)$ contains an element $1+b^{\prime} s$ for some $b^{\prime} \in B$.
(ii) $O_{P}(p)$ contains an element $1+s(Y-1) a$ for some $a \in B[Y]$.

Let $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ in $P^{*}$ be such that

$$
\psi_{1}^{\prime}(p)=1+b^{\prime} s \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{2}^{\prime}(p)=1+s(Y-1) a
$$

We can choose an integer $l_{0}>0$ such that $f^{l_{0}} \psi_{j}^{\prime}\left(p_{i}\right) \in B[Y]$ for $j=1,2$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$. Write $\phi_{r+j}=f^{l_{0}} \psi_{j}^{\prime} \in P^{*}$ for $j=1,2$ and $p_{r+1}=f^{e} p \in P$.

Consider the $B[Y]$-modules

$$
M:=\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} B[Y] p_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad H:=\sum_{i=1}^{r+2} B[Y] \phi_{i} .
$$

We have $\phi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right) \in B[Y]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r+2$ and $1 \leq j \leq r+1$. Furthermore, we have

$$
M \otimes_{B[Y]} A \subset P \quad \text { and } \quad H \otimes_{B[Y]} A \subset P^{*}
$$

Since $s P \subset F$, we obtain

$$
s p_{r+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_{i} p_{i}
$$

for some $b_{i} \in A$, and hence, $\phi_{i}\left(s p_{r+1}\right)=s b_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $s$ is a nonzero divisor in $A$, we get $b_{i}=\phi_{i}\left(p_{r+1}\right) \in B[Y]$. Therefore,

$$
s p_{r+1}=\sum_{1}^{r} \phi_{i}\left(p_{r+1}\right) p_{i} .
$$

Hence, if we write

$$
F^{\prime}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} B[Y] p_{i},
$$

then we have $s p_{r+1} \in F^{\prime}$. Similarly, if we write

$$
G^{\prime}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} B[Y] \phi_{i},
$$

then we obtain $s \phi_{r+j} \in G^{\prime}$ for $j=1,2$. Therefore, $M_{s}$ and $H_{s}$ are free modules over $B_{s}[Y]$ with $M_{s}=F_{s}^{\prime}$ and $H_{s}=G_{s}^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $F^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ are $s$-dual submodules of $M$ and $M^{*}$, respectively, i.e., $s M \subset F^{\prime}$, $s H \subset G^{\prime}$ and the matrix

$$
\left(\phi_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{diag}(s, \ldots, s)
$$

Let us define a $B$-algebra endomorphism

$$
\delta: B[Y] \longrightarrow B[Y]
$$

by

$$
\delta \mid B=\mathrm{id}
$$

and

$$
\delta(Y)=1+(Y-1)\left(1-b^{\prime 2} s^{2}\right)=Y+s^{2} b^{2}(1-Y),
$$

where $b^{\prime} \in B$ was chosen earlier as

$$
\phi_{r+1}(p)=f^{l_{0}}\left(1+b^{\prime} s\right)
$$

Since $\delta\left(Y^{t}\right)-Y^{t} \in s^{2} B[Y]$ for all integers $t \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$
\delta(\alpha)-\alpha \in s^{2} B[Y]
$$

for any $\alpha \in B[Y]$. Such an endomorphism $\delta$ of $B[Y]$ is called $s^{2}$ analytic, see [12, page 304]. Recall that

$$
M=\sum_{1}^{r+1} B[Y] p_{i}
$$

is a $B[Y]$-module.
Applying Lemma 2.3 to the above data, we obtain $\delta$-semilinear maps

$$
\xi: M \longrightarrow M \quad \text { and } \quad \xi^{*}: M^{*} \longrightarrow M^{*}
$$

such that

$$
\xi^{*}(\phi)(\xi(p))=\delta(\phi(p))
$$

for any $\phi \in M^{*}$ and $p \in M$. Furthermore, $\xi^{*}(H) \subset H$. Therefore,

$$
A \otimes_{B[Y]} \xi^{*}(H) \subset P^{*}
$$

We have the following:
$\left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{r}\left(p_{r+1}\right)=\phi_{r}\left(f^{e} p\right)=s^{2 l^{\prime}+2} \prod_{1}^{n} Y_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}+f \widetilde{b}$ for some $\widetilde{b} \in B[Y]$, using ( $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ ).
(ii') $\phi_{r+1}\left(p_{r+1}\right)=f^{l_{0}} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(f^{e} p\right)=f^{l_{0}+e}\left(1+b^{\prime} s\right)$ for $b^{\prime} \in B$, using (i).
(iii') $\phi_{r+2}\left(p_{r+1}\right)=f^{l_{0}} \psi_{2}^{\prime}\left(f^{e} p\right)=f^{l_{0}+e}(1+s(Y-1) a$ ) for some $a \in B[Y]$, using (ii).

Using the relation

$$
\xi^{*}(\phi)\left(\xi\left(p_{r+1}\right)\right)=\delta\left(\phi\left(p_{r+1}\right)\right),
$$

we see that the $\delta$-images of elements in ( $\left.\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\mathrm{iii}^{\prime}\right)$ belong to $O_{M}\left(\xi\left(p_{r+1}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, using

$$
A \otimes_{B[Y]} \xi(M) \subset P
$$

and

$$
A \otimes_{B[Y]} \xi^{*}(H) \subset P^{*}
$$

we see that $\delta$-images of the above three elements belong to

$$
O_{P}\left(1 \otimes \xi\left(p_{r+1}\right)\right) .
$$

We will show that $1 \otimes \xi\left(p_{r+1}\right)$ is a unimodular element of $P$ by showing that the $\delta$-images of the above three elements generate the unit ideal. Suppose not. Then there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ containing elements

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(s^{2 l^{\prime}+2} \prod_{1}^{n} Y_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}+f \widetilde{b}\right)=s^{2 l^{\prime}+2} \prod_{1}^{n} Y_{i}^{l l^{\prime}}+\delta(f) \delta(\widetilde{b}), \\
\left(\mathrm{ii}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \delta\left(f^{l_{0}+e}\left(1+b^{\prime} s\right)\right)=\delta(f)^{l_{0}+e}\left(1+b^{\prime} s\right), \text { and } \\
\left(\mathrm{iii}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \delta\left(f^{l_{0}+e}(1+s(Y-1) a)\right)=\delta(f)^{l_{0}+e}(1+s \delta(Y-1) \delta(a)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $\delta(f) \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then, by $\left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we obtain that $s \in \mathfrak{m}$ as the $Y_{i}$ 's are units in $A$. Since $\delta(f)-f \in\left(s^{2}\right)$, we get $f \in \mathfrak{m}$. This is a contradiction, since $f$ is a unit in $A$. In the other case, assume
$\delta(f) \notin \mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$
1+s \delta(Y-1) \delta(a) \in \mathfrak{m} \quad \text { and } \quad 1+b^{\prime} s \in \mathfrak{m} .
$$

Since

$$
\delta(Y-1)=(Y-1)\left(1-b^{\prime 2} s^{2}\right) \in\left(1+b^{\prime} s\right) A,
$$

we obtain $\delta(Y-1) \in \mathfrak{m}$. This shows that $1 \in \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Therefore, we get that $1 \otimes \xi\left(p_{r+1}\right)$ is a unimodular element. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$ and $p$ be an integer such that

$$
p \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim} A-p+2, d+1\} .
$$

Let $P=Q \oplus R$ be a projective $R$-module of rank $p$ and $I$ a proper ideal of $A$ of height $\geq d+1$. Assume that there is a surjection $\phi: P \otimes A / I(P \otimes A) \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. Then $\phi$ can be lifted to a surjection $\Phi: P \otimes A \rightarrow I$. As a consequence, taking $P$ to be free, we get that any $p$ generators of $I / I^{2}$ can be lifted to $p$ generators of $I$.

Proof. We assume that $n \geq 1$ because we can always use more variables as well as retraction. If

$$
C:=R\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Y_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}\right],
$$

then

$$
A=C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y, f^{-1}\right] \quad \text { with } f \in R[Y] .
$$

We are given a surjection

$$
\phi: P \otimes(A / I) \rightarrow I / I^{2},
$$

where $P=Q \oplus R$. We want to show that $\phi$ can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Phi: P \otimes A \rightarrow I .
$$

Let

$$
\Phi_{1}: P \otimes A \longrightarrow I
$$

be a lift of $\phi$. We can find an integer $k>0$ such that $f^{k} \Phi_{1}$ maps $P \otimes C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$ into $J:=I \cap C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$. Now, $f^{k} \Phi_{1}$ induces a map

$$
\psi: P \otimes\left(C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right] / J\right) \longrightarrow J / J^{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\psi_{f}=f^{k} \phi: P \otimes(A / I) \rightarrow\left(J / J^{2}\right)_{f}
$$

is a surjection.
Using the height of $I>d$ and applying Lemma 2.15, we obtain an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism $\Theta$ of $A$ such that $\Theta(I)$ contains $1+f h$ for some $h \in C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$. Replacing $A$ by $\Theta(A)$ and $I$ by $\Theta(I)$, we can assume that $1+f h \in I$. Since $1+f h \in J$, we obtain that $\left(J / J^{2}\right)_{1+f h}$ is the zero module. Hence, $\psi_{1+f h}$ is a surjection. Applying Proposition 2.4, we get that

$$
\psi: P \otimes\left(C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right] / J\right) \longrightarrow J / J^{2}
$$

is a surjection. If $\psi$ has a surjective lift

$$
\Psi: P \otimes C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right] \rightarrow J,
$$

then

$$
f^{-k} \Psi_{f}: P \otimes A \rightarrow I
$$

will be our required surjective lift of $\phi$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\psi$ has a surjective lift from $P \otimes C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$ onto $J$.

Note that $C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]=B[Y]$ is a Laurent polynomial ring over $R$ and $J$ is an ideal of $C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$ of height $>d=\operatorname{dim} R$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an $R\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$-automorphism $\Theta$ of $C\left[Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}, Y\right]$ such that $\Theta(J)$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y_{n}$ of the form $1+Y_{n} h^{\prime}$ for some $h^{\prime} \in C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right]$. Replacing $J$ by $\Theta(J)$, we can assume that $J$ contains a monic polynomial $1+Y_{n} h^{\prime}$ in the variable $Y_{n}$.

Lift $\psi$ to a map

$$
\Psi_{1}: P \otimes C\left[Y, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right] \longrightarrow J
$$

If we set $K:=J \cap C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right]$, then $Y_{n}^{l} \Psi_{1}$ will map $P \otimes C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right]$ into $K$ for some integer $l>0$. Now $Y_{n}^{l} \Psi_{1}$ will induce a map

$$
\delta: P \otimes\left(C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right] / K\right) \longrightarrow K / K^{2}
$$

such that $\delta_{Y_{n}}=Y_{n}^{l} \psi$ is a surjection from $P \otimes\left(C\left[Y, Y_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right] / J\right)$ onto $J / J^{2}$. Since $K$ contains a monic polynomial $1+Y_{n} h^{\prime}$ in $Y_{n}$, we get $\left(K / K^{2}\right)_{1+Y_{n} h^{\prime}}=0$. Applying Proposition (2.4), we obtain that

$$
\delta: P \otimes\left(C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right] / K\right) \rightarrow K / K^{2}
$$

is a surjection. Applying Proposition 2.8, we have that $\delta$ can be lifted to a surjection

$$
\Delta: P \otimes C\left[Y, Y_{n}\right] \rightarrow K
$$

Therefore, $Y_{n}^{-l} \Delta$ is a surjective lift of $\psi$. This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.3. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$, where $f \in R[Y]$ is a monic polynomial with $f(1)$ a unit in $R$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ of height $\geq d+1$ and $P$ a projective $B$-module of $\operatorname{rank} \geq \max \{d+1, \operatorname{dim}(A / I)+2)\}$. Let

$$
\phi: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] / I P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta: P \rightarrow I(1)(:=\{g(Y=1) \mid g \in I\})
$$

be two surjections such that $\delta=\phi \otimes A /(Y-1)$, where $I(1)$ is an ideal of $B$. Then there exists a surjection

$$
\Psi: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I
$$

such that $\Psi \otimes A / I=\phi$ and $\Psi(1)=\delta$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f \in R[Y]-R$. Let $\Phi_{1}: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I$ be any lift of $\phi$. Then, $\Phi_{1}(1)=\delta$ modulo $I(1)^{2}$. Hence, $\Phi_{1}(1)-\delta \in I(1)^{2} \operatorname{Hom}(P, B)$. Set

$$
\Phi_{1}(1)-\delta=f_{1}(1) g_{1}(1) \alpha_{1}+\cdots+f_{r}(1) g_{r}(1) \alpha_{r}
$$

for some $f_{i}, g_{i} \in I$ and $\alpha_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}(P, B)$. If we write

$$
\Phi_{2}:=\Phi_{1}-\left(f_{1} g_{1} \widetilde{\alpha}_{1}+\cdots+f_{r} g_{r} \widetilde{\alpha}_{r}\right)
$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}_{i}=\alpha_{i} \otimes i d: P \otimes{ }_{B} A \rightarrow A$, then

$$
\Phi_{2}: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \longrightarrow I
$$

is also a lift of $\phi$ with $\Phi_{2}(1)=\delta$.
Let $J:=I \cap B[Y]$. Then there exists $k>0$ such that $f^{k} \Phi_{2}$ maps $P[Y]$ into $J$. Now $f^{k} \Phi_{2}$ induces a map

$$
\psi: P[Y] / J P[Y] \longrightarrow J / J^{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\psi_{f}=f^{k} \phi: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] / I P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow\left(J / J^{2}\right)_{f}
$$

is a surjection.
Since ht $I>d$, by Proposition 2.16, applying an $R\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$-automorphism of $A$, we may assume that $I$ contains
(i) a monic polynomial $g$ in $Y$ with coefficients from $B$, and
(ii) an element $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$.

Since $1+f h \in J$, we obtain $\left(J / J^{2}\right)_{1+f h}=0$. Therefore, $\psi_{1+f h}$ is the zero map. By equation (2.4), we get that

$$
\psi: P[Y] / J P[Y] \rightarrow J / J^{2}
$$

is a surjection. Furthermore,

$$
f(1)^{k} \delta: P \rightarrow J(1)
$$

is a surjection with $\psi(1)=f(1)^{k} \delta \otimes B / J(1)$. Since the rank of $P \geq \operatorname{dim} B[Y] / J+2$ holds and $J$ contains monic polynomial $g$, using [14, Theorem 2.1], there exists a surjection $\Psi: P[Y] \rightarrow J$ which is a lift of $\psi$ and $\Psi(1)=f(1)^{k} \delta$. Therefore,

$$
\Phi=f^{-k} \Psi_{f}: P\left[Y, f^{-1}\right] \rightarrow I
$$

is a surjection which is a lift of $f^{-k} \psi=\phi$ with $\Phi(1)=\delta$. This completes the proof.
4. Applications. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. If we write $\mu(M)$ for the minimum number of generators of $M$ as an $R$ module, then Föster [9] and Swan [25] proved that

$$
\mu(M) \leq \max \left\{\mu\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)+\operatorname{dim}(R / \mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R), M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\right\}
$$

In particular, if $P$ is a projective $R$-module of rank $r$, then $\mu(P) \leq r+d$. As a consequence of our result (1.2), we prove the next theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some monic polynomial $f \in$ $R[Y]$ and $P$ be a projective $A$-module of rank $r$. Then $\mu(P) \leq r+d$.

Proof. Assume that $P$ is generated by $s$ elements, where $s>r+d$. Then we will show that $P$ is also generated by $s-1$ elements. By Förster and Swan, we have $s \leq \operatorname{dim} A+r=d+m+n+1+r$. Let
$\phi: A^{s} \rightarrow P$ be a surjection. If $Q$ is the kernel of $\phi$, then the rank of $Q$ is $s-r>d$. Hence, by Theorem $1.2, Q$ has a unimodular element, say $q \in \operatorname{Um}(Q)$. Since $A^{s} \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus Q$, we obtain $q \in \operatorname{Um}\left(A^{s}\right)$. Since $\phi(q)=0$, $\phi$ induces a surjection

$$
\bar{\phi}: A^{s} / q A \rightarrow P
$$

Since $s-1>d$, by Theorem $2.9, A^{s-1}$ is cancelative. Hence,

$$
A^{s} / q A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{s-1} .
$$

Therefore, $P$ is generated by $s-1$ elements. This completes the proof.

Proposition 4.2. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$. Let $J \subset I$ be two ideals of $A$ such that

$$
I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)+I^{2}
$$

and

$$
J=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)+I^{(n-1)!}
$$

Assume that I contains
(i) a monic polynomial $F \in C[Y]$ in the variable $Y$, and
(ii) an element of the form $1+$ fh for some $h \in C[Y]$.

Then $J$ is generated by $n$ elements. As a consequence, $I$ is settheoretically generated by $n$ elements.

Proof. Replacing $f_{i}$ by $f^{N} f_{i}$ for an integer $N>0$, we may assume that $f_{i} \in B[Y]$ for all $i$. Let $K=I \cap B[Y]$ be an ideal of $B[Y]$. Let

$$
\phi:(B[Y] / K)^{n} \longrightarrow K / K^{2}
$$

be the map defined by $e_{i} \mapsto \bar{f}_{i}$. Then $\phi_{f}$ is surjective and $\phi_{1+f h}$ is a zero map since $1+f h \in K$. Hence, by Proposition 2.4, $\phi$ is a surjection. Therefore, we obtain

$$
K=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)+K^{2}
$$

If

$$
L:=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)+K^{(n-1)!}
$$

then $L_{f}=J$. Since $K$ contains a monic polynomial $F$, using Theorem 2.11, we get that $L$ is generated by $n$ elements. Therefore, $J$ is generated by $n$ elements.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$. Let $J \subset I$ be two ideals of $A$ such that

$$
I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)+I^{2}
$$

and

$$
J=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)+I^{(n-1)!}
$$

Assume that the height of $I>d$. Then $J$ is generated by $n$ elements. In particular, I is set-theoretically generated by $n$ elements.

Proof. Applying an automorphism as in Lemma 2.15, we may assume that $I$ contains an element $1+f h$ for some $h \in B[Y]$. Now, as in Proposition 4.2, replacing $f_{i}$ by $f^{N} f_{i}$, we may assume that $f_{i} \in B[Y]$. Then, if $K=I \cap B[Y]$, then

$$
K=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)+K^{2}
$$

as in Proposition 4.2. Since the height of $K>d$, using an automorphism of $B[Y]$, we may assume that $K$ contains a monic polynomial in $Y$. Now, if

$$
L=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right)+K^{(n-1)!},
$$

then, by Theorem $2.11, L$ is generated by $n$ elements. Hence, $J=K_{f}$ is generated by $n$ elements.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A=B\left[Y, f^{-1}\right]$ for some $f \in R[Y]$ with the further condition that $m+n \geq 1$. Let $I \subset A$ be a locally complete intersection ideal of height

$$
r \geq \max \{\operatorname{dim} A-1, \operatorname{dim} A-r+2\}
$$

with $\operatorname{dim} A / I \leq 1$. Then, $I$ is set-theoretically generated by $r$ elements.
Proof. By Ferrand and Szpiro's Theorem 2.12, there is a locally complete intersection ideal $J$ of height $r$ such that (i) $\sqrt{J}=\sqrt{I}$ and (ii) $J / J^{2}$ is a free $A / J$-module of rank $r$. Since $m+n \geq 1$, we get $r \geq d+1$. By Theorem 1.3, the $r$ generators of free module $J / J^{2}$ can
be lifted to $r$ generators of $J$. Hence, $I$ is set-theoretically generated by $r$ elements.
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