

**A QUASI-LOCAL HALF-FACTORIAL DOMAIN WITH
AN ATOMIC NON-HALF-FACTORIAL
INTEGRAL CLOSURE**

MOSHE ROITMAN

ABSTRACT. We construct a *half-factorial* quasi-local domain R , so that its integral closure $\overline{R} = R[t]$, where $t^2, t^3 \in R$, is *atomic but not half-factorial*; \overline{R} equals the seminormalization of R . Moreover, \overline{R} is a quasi-local domain of bounded factorization, and every element in \overline{R} of zero R -boundary is a unit in \overline{R} .

0. Preliminaries. For background on half-factoriality see [2]. A *half-factorial monoid* M is an atomic monoid in which every two decompositions into atoms of a non-unit in M have the same length. A *half-factorial domain* is a domain R so that the monoid (R^\bullet, \cdot) is half-factorial.

It is well known that, unlike factorial domains, a half-factorial domain is not necessarily integrally closed (see [3]). Thus Valentina Barucci asked the following question [2]:

Is the integral closure \overline{R} of a half-factorial domain R necessarily half-factorial?

The answer is negative as shown by Coykendall [4], who constructed a half-factorial domain so that its integral closure is not atomic. Thus Coykendall raised the question whether \overline{R} is half-factorial if the domain R is half-factorial and \overline{R} is atomic.

In this note we answer this question in the negative. For an integral domain A that is contained in a DVR, we extend A to a half-factorial domain $\text{Hf}(A)$ (Section 1). We show that if A satisfies certain properties, then $\overline{\text{Hf}(A)}$ is not half-factorial. In Section 2 we construct an integral domain A satisfying the desired properties.

Part of this work was prepared at Università di Roma Tre, during a visit under the invitation of Stefania Gabelli. The main result of this note was presented at the Mainz Joint Meeting of AMS, DMV and OeMG (June 2005).

Received by the editors on July 10, 2009, and in revised form on June 2, 2010.

DOI:10.1216/JCA-2011-3-3-431 Copyright ©2011 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

1. The functor Hf. Let A be a subring of a DVR with a \mathbb{Z} -valued valuation v and with field of fractions K .

Let $Z_{a,i}$ be independent indeterminates over A , where a runs over all nonzero elements of A so that $v(a) \geq 2$, and $1 \leq i < v(a)$. We adjoin to A the indeterminates $Z_{a,i}$ and the rational functions $\frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^{v(a)-1} Z_{a,i}}$, thus obtaining a domain $F(A)$.

Hence $a = Z_{a,1} \cdots Z_{a,k-1} \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^{v(a)-1} Z_{a,i}}$, where $k = v(a)$. We extend the valuation v to the unique valuation of the field

$$K(Z_{a,i} : a \in A \text{ with } v(a) \geq 2, 1 \leq i < v(a))$$

satisfying $v(Z_{a,i}) = 1$ for all the indeterminates $Z_{a,i}$. We will still denote this extension by v , and call $v(\alpha)$ the *value* of α for an element α in $K(Z_{a,i} : a \in A \text{ with } v(a) \geq 2, 1 \leq i < v(a))$. We use this terminology and notation also in the sequel for further extensions of v .

Let $F^0(A) = A$, and let $F^{n+1}(A) = F(F^n(A))$ for $n \geq 0$. Set $F^\infty(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F^n(A)$. We denote the set of the adjoined indeterminates $Z_{r,i}$ by \mathbf{Z} .

Let $S = \{u \in F^\infty(A) \mid v(u) = 0\}$ and $\text{Hf}(A) = F^\infty(A)_S$ be the localization at S . Thus $S = F^\infty(A) \cap U(V)$, where $U(V)$ is the set of units in the valuation domain V defined by v . Hence $\text{Hf}(A)$ is a quasi-local domain. Clearly Hf can be defined as an endofunctor of the category of integral domains A with a given \mathbb{Z} -valued valuation v on $\text{Frac}(A)$, so that $v(A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$, and with valuation preserving ring homomorphisms as morphisms (these homomorphisms are necessarily monomorphisms).

As stated in Proposition 1.1 below, for $a \in A$, the ring

$$A \left[Z_{a,1}, \dots, Z_{a,k-1}, \frac{a}{\prod_{i=1}^{v(a)-1} Z_{a,i}} \right]$$

can be obtained by using iteratively extended Rees algebras with respect to principal ideals: we use the notation $\tilde{R}(A, a; Z) = A[Z, \frac{a}{Z}]$ for an integral domain A , an element $a \in A$, and an indeterminate Z over A . This notation differs from the usual one: Z and $1/Z$ are interchanged. For extended Rees algebras see, e.g., [5, Chapter 5] or [1].

The next proposition is obvious.

Proposition 1.1. *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be independent indeterminates over a domain A , and let $a \in A$. Then*

$$A \left[Z_1, \frac{a}{Z_1} \right] = \tilde{R}(A, a; Z_1),$$

and for $n > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A \left[Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \frac{a}{Z_1 \dots Z_n} \right] \\ &= \tilde{R} \left(A \left[Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}, \frac{a}{Z_1 \dots Z_{n-1}} \right], \frac{a}{Z_1 \dots Z_{n-1}}; Z_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, and let L be a finite subset of $F^n(A)$. Then there exists a sequence*

$$(*) \quad A = B_0 \subseteq B_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_m$$

of A -subalgebras of $F^n(A)$ so that $L \subseteq B_m$ and for $0 \leq k < m$: $B_{k+1} = B_k[X_k, (b_k/X_k)]$, where $X_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b_k \in B_k$, X_k is transcendental over $\text{Frac}(B_k)$, and $v(b_k) \geq 2$.

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that n is finite. We use induction on n , starting with $n = 0$. Let $n > 0$. By construction, there exist elements $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F^{n-1}(A)$ so that $v(c_i) \geq 2$ for all i and so that

$$(**) \quad L \subseteq F^{n-1}(A) \left[Z_{c_j, i} (1 \leq j \leq k, 1 \leq i < v(c_k)), \right. \\ \left. \frac{c_1}{\prod_{i=1}^{v(c_1)-1} Z_{c_1, i}}, \dots, \frac{c_k}{\prod_{i=1}^{v(c_k)-1} Z_{c_k, i}} \right]$$

Moreover, in (**), we may replace $F^{n-1}(A)$ by a finitely generated A -subalgebra C of $F^{n-1}(A)$. By the inductive assumption, C is contained in an A -subalgebra D of $F^{n-1}(A)$ that can be obtained from A as in

(*). Since all the indeterminates $Z_{c_j, i}$ are algebraically independent over $\text{Frac}(D)$, we obtain by induction on k , and using Proposition 1.1 that B can be obtained from D , so also from A as in (*). \square

The next proposition follows immediately from the construction of $\text{Hf}(A)$ using that v is nonnegative on $\text{Hf}(A)$.

Proposition 1.3. (1) *Each element r in $\text{Hf}(A)$ of positive value is a product of $v(r)$ elements in $\text{Hf}(A)$ of value 1;*

(2) *An element of $\text{Hf}(A)$ is an atom if and only if its value is 1;*

(3) *An element of $\text{Hf}(A)$ is a unit if and only if its value is 0;*

(4) *The domain $\text{Hf}(A)$ is quasi-local, atomic and half-factorial.*

We recall that if R is a half-factorial domain, then the R -boundary is the unique homomorphism from the multiplicative group $\text{Frac}(R)^\bullet$ to the additive group of rational integers so that, for a nonzero element $r \in R$, it coincides with the length of an atomic factorization of r (see [4]).

Proposition 1.4. *Let $R = \text{Hf}(A)$. We have:*

(1) *The R -boundary map coincides with v ;*

(2) *An element of \overline{R} is a unit in \overline{R} if and only if it is of R -boundary 0;*

(3) *\overline{R} is quasi-local;*

(4) *\overline{R} is atomic of bounded factorization.*

Proof. (1) is clear.

(2) Let M be the maximal ideal of R of the elements of value > 0 , including 0. Let u be an element of \overline{R} so that $v(u) = 0$. Since u is integral over R , in an obvious notation, \tilde{u} is algebraic over $\tilde{R} = R/M$. Let $f(X)$ be a polynomial in $R[X]$ so that \tilde{f} is the minimal polynomial of \tilde{u} in the ring $\tilde{R}[X]$. Since $\tilde{f}(0) \neq 0$, we obtain that $v(f(0)) = 0$; thus, $f(0)$ is a unit in R . Since u divides $f(0)$ in \overline{R} , it follows that u is a unit in \overline{R} .

On the other hand, since v is nonnegative on R , the ring R is contained in the valuation ring of v . Hence v is nonnegative also on \overline{R} . Thus the units in \overline{R} are of value 0.

(3) Since v is nonnegative on \overline{R} , and since all the elements in \overline{R} of value 0 are invertible, it follows that \overline{R} is quasi-local.

(4) If $s = s_1 \cdots s_k$, where s_1, \dots, s_k are nonunits in \overline{R} , then $k \leq v(s)$. Hence \overline{R} is atomic of bounded factorization. \square

Proposition 1.5.

$$\overline{\text{Hf}(A)} = \overline{A}[\text{Hf}(A)]$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\overline{A}[\text{Hf}(A)]$ is integrally closed, so it suffices to prove that $\overline{A}[F^\infty(A)]$ is integrally closed. By Proposition 1.2, $F^\infty(A)$ is a directed union of A -subalgebras that are obtained from A by using finitely many iterations of extended Rees algebras with respect to principal ideals. Since an extended Rees algebra of an integrally closed domain with respect to a principal ideal is integrally closed [5, Propositions 1.5.2 and 5.2.1], the proposition follows. \square

Proposition 1.6. *Let S be the set of elements of value 0 in A . Then $\text{Hf}(A) \cap K = A_S$.*

Proof. Let c be an element in $\text{Hf}(A) \cap K$. Let $c = r/u$, where $r, u \in F^\infty(A)$, and $v(u) = 0$. By Proposition 1.2, there exists a sequence $A = B_0 \subseteq B_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq B_m$ of A -subalgebras of $F^\infty(A)$ so that $r, u \in B_m$ and for $0 \leq k < m$: $B_{k+1} = B_k[X_k, (b_k/X_k)]$, where $X_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ is transcendental over B_k , $b_k \in B_k$, and $v(b_k) \geq 2$. We prove that $c \in A_S$ by induction on m , starting with $m = 0$. Let $m > 0$. Let $X = X_m$ and $b = b_m$. Let $r = \sum_{i \geq 0} e_i X^i + \sum_{i < 0} f_i (b^i/X^i)$, and $u = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_i X^i + \sum_{i < 0} h_i (b^i/X^i)$, where all the sums are finite, and $e_i, f_i, g_i, h_i \in B_{m-1}$. We have $r = cu$, that is,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} e_i X^i + \sum_{i < 0} f_i \frac{b^i}{X^i} = c \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} g_i X^i + \sum_{i < 0} h_i \frac{b^i}{X^i} \right).$$

Since $v(u) = 0$, we see that $v(g_0) = 0$. Also $e_0 = cg_0$, where both

elements e_0 and g_0 are in B_{m-1} . By the inductive assumption we conclude that $c \in A_S$. Hence $\text{Hf}(A) \cap K = A_S$. \square

Corollary 1.7. *Let t be an element in $K \setminus A$ so that $v(t) > 0$. Then $t \notin \text{Hf}(A)$.*

Lemma 1.8. *Let R be an integral domain contained in a DVR V with a \mathbb{Z} -valued valuation v . Assume that every element in R of value 0 is invertible in R . Let t be an element of $\text{Frac}(R) \setminus R$ satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (1) $t^2 \in R$;
- (2) $v(t) > 0$.

Then an element of $R[t]$ is a unit if and only if it is of value 0, the element t is an atom in $R[t]$, and t is associated in $R[t]$ with no element of R .

Proof. Clearly, the elements of value > 0 in $R[t]$ are not invertible. We now show that an element $u \in R[t]$ of value 0 is a unit in $R[t]$. Since $t^2 \in R$, we have $u = a + bt$ for some elements $a, b \in R$. Since $v(u) = 0$ and $v(t) > 0$, we have $v(a) = 0$, so a is a unit in R . We see that $(a + bt)(a - bt) = a^2 - bt^2$ is a unit in R since $v(a^2 - bt^2) = 0$. Thus u is a unit in $R[t]$ as claimed.

Assume that t is associated with an element of R , thus $ut \in R$, for some element $u \in R[t]$ so that $v(u) = 0$. Let $u = u_1 + u_2t$, where $u_1, u_2 \in R$. Thus $u_1t = ut - u_2t^2 \in R$, implying that $t \in R$, a contradiction.

In particular, t is not a unit in $R[t]$. Let t be a product of two nonunits in $R[t]$: $t = (a_1 + b_1t)(a_2 + b_2t)$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in R$. Hence a_1 and a_2 are elements of value > 0 . We have $t(1 - s) = a_1a_2$ for $s = a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + b_1b_2t$. Thus $v(s) > 0$, and $1 - s$ is a unit in $R[t]$, contradicting that t is associated with no element of R . We conclude that t is an atom in R . \square

Proposition 1.9. *Let A be an integral domain that is contained in a DVR with a \mathbb{Z} -valued valuation v so that $\overline{A} = A[t]$. Assume that $t \notin A$, but $t^2 \in A$, and that $v(t) \geq 2$.*

Let $R = \text{Hf}(A)$. Then $\overline{R} = R[t]$ is not half-factorial, but is atomic of bounded factorization.

Proof. By Corollary 1.7, we have $t \notin R$. By Proposition 1.6, we have $\overline{R} = R[t]$. Hence, by Lemma 1.8 applied to R , we see that t is an atom in \overline{R} , so t^2 is a product of two (equal) atoms in \overline{R} . On the other hand, $m := v(t^2) = (v(t))^2 \geq 4$. Since $t^2 \in A$, we see that t^2 is a product of m atoms (of value 1) in R , where $m \geq 4$. These elements are nonunits (and also atoms) in $\overline{R} = \overline{\text{Hf}(A)}$, so \overline{R} is not half-factorial. By Proposition 1.4, \overline{R} is atomic of bounded factorization. \square

2. An example. In this section we construct a domain A satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 1.9.

Let $k[t]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k . Set

$$A = k[t^2, t^3],$$

so $A = k[t^n \mid n \geq 2]$. Let v be the unique valuation on $\text{Frac}(A)$ that is trivial on k and so that $v(t) = 2$. Clearly, $\overline{A} = A[t]$, $t \in k(t) \setminus A$, and $t^2 \in A$, so A satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.9.

Set $K = \text{Frac } A = k(t)$ and $R = \text{Hf}(A)$. By Propositions 1.3–1.9, we obtain:

Example 2.1. The domain R is quasi-local and half-factorial (in particular, R is atomic). We have $\overline{R} = R[t]$, where $t \in \overline{R}$ and $t^2 \in R$. Thus \overline{R} is equal to the seminormalization of R . The domain \overline{R} is quasi-local and atomic of bounded factorization, but not half-factorial. Each element of zero R -boundary in \overline{R} is a unit in \overline{R} . We have $R \cap K = A$.

This example disproves the following conjecture in [4]: If R is an HFD and S is an overring with the property that there are no non-units in S of boundary 0, then S is an HFD.

It seems plausible that one can start with a seminormal domain A in order to obtain a seminormal domain $\text{Hf}(A)$ so that $\overline{\text{Hf}(A)}$ is not half-factorial.

We leave open the following questions:

(1) If A is an HFD, and the integral closure \bar{A} is Noetherian, is \bar{A} an HFD?

(2) Suppose both A and \bar{A} are Noetherian, and A is an HFD. Is \bar{A} an HFD? In particular, if A is an affine HFD, is \bar{A} an HFD?

(cf. [2]).

Acknowledgments. I thank Scott Chapman (Trinity University) for suggesting this problem to me.

REFERENCES

1. P. Brumatti, A. Simis and W.V. Vasconcelos, *Normal Rees algebras*, J. Algebra **112** (1988), 26–48.
2. Scott T. Chapman and Jim Coykendall, *Half-factorial domains, A survey*, in *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory*, Scott T. Chapman and Sarah Glaz, eds., Math. Appl. **520**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
3. Jim Coykendall, *A characterization of polynomial rings with the half-factorial property*, in *Factorization in integral domains*, D.D. Anderson, ed., Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **189**, Dekker, New York, 1997.
4. ———, *On the integral closure of a half-factorial domain*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **180** (2003), 25–34.
5. Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **336**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006 (available online at <http://people.reed.edu/~iswanson/book/index.html>).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL, HAIFA 31905, ISRAEL

Email address: mroitman@math.haifa.ac.il