On the Riemann's relation on open Riemann surfaces

By

Akira Kobori and Yoshikazu Sainouchi

(Received August 1, 1962)

1. F being an arbitrary open Riemann surface, we consider an exhaustion $\{F_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, \dots)$ of F by regular regions satisfying the conditions:

i) for each n, F_n is a domain in F whose boundary Γ_n consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves in F,

ii) for each $n, \overline{F}_n = F_n \cup \Gamma_n \subset F_{n+1}$,

iii) $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = F$

and

iv) for each n, any connected component of $F-F_n$ is non-compact in F.

Then there exists a canonical homology basis $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_{k(n)}, B_{k(n)}, \dots$ such that $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_{k(n)}, B_{k(n)}$ form a canonical homology basis of $F_n \pmod{\partial F_n}$ and $A_i \times B_j = \delta_{ij}, A_i \times A_j = B_i \times B_j = 0^{11}$ (Ahlfors [1], Ahlfors-Sario [2]).

We denote by Γ_h the class of all square integrable harmonic differentials defined on F. The relation which expresses the inner product (ω, σ^*) for two differentials $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_h$ (or subclass of Γ_h) in terms of periods of ω, σ is called the *Riemann's bilinear relation*, where σ^* denotes the conjugate differential to σ . Some conditions which insure the validity of the Riemann's bilinear relation are found by some suthors (Ahlfors [1], Pfruger [3], [4], Kusunoki

¹⁾ We note, throughout this paper, the intersection number of two cycles A, B is taken such that $A \times B$ has the positive sign when A crosses B from right to left as in [2]. Hence it has the opposite sign to that in [1].

[5], Accola [6]). In this paper we shall give some metric criteria which insure the validity of the Riemann's bilinear relation.

2. Let $F_n^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m(n))$ be components of $F_{n+1} - \overline{F}_n$. The boundary of $F_n^{(i)}$ consists of closed analytic curves contained in $\Gamma_n \cup \Gamma_{n+1}$. We denote by $\alpha_n^{(i)}$ the part of the boundary of $F_n^{(i)}$ on Γ_n and by $\beta_n^{(i)}$ that on Γ_{n+1} . Let $u_n^{(i)}(p)$ be a harmonic function in $F_n^{(i)}$ which vanishes on $\alpha_n^{(i)}$ and is equal to $\mu_n^{(i)}$ on $\beta_n^{(i)}$ having a conjugate harmonic function $v_n^{(i)}(p)$ which has the variation 2π on $\beta_n^{(i)}$, that is,

$$\int_{oldsymbol{eta}_n^{(i)}} dv_n^{\scriptscriptstyle (i)} = 2\pi$$

where the integral is taken in the positive sense with respect to $F_n^{(i)}$. The quantity $\mu_n^{(i)}$ is called *the harmonic modulus of the domain* $F_n^{(i)}$. If we choose an additive constant of $v_n^{(i)}(p)$ suitably, the function $u_n^{(i)}(p) + iv_n^{(i)}(p)$ maps conformally $F_n^{(i)}$ with a finite number of slits onto a slit rectangle $0 < u_n^{(i)} < \mu_n^{(i)}, 0 < v_n^{(i)} < 2\pi$. Similarly, the harmonic modulus of the open set $F_{n+1} - \overline{F}_n$ is defined as follows. Let $u_n(p)$ be the harmonic function in $F_{n+1} - \overline{F}_n$ which is equal to zero on Γ_n and to μ_n on Γ_{n+1} , and its conjugate harmonic $v_n(p)$ has the variation 2π on Γ_{n+1} , that is,

$$\int_{\Gamma_{n+1}} dv_n = 2\pi .$$

The quantity μ_n is the harmonic modulus of the open set $F_{n+1} - \bar{F}_n$. If we choose adequately an additive constant of $v_n(p)$, the function $u_n(p) + iv_n(p)$ maps conformally $F_n^{(i)}$ with a finite number of slits onto a slit rectangle $0 < u_n < \mu_n$, $b_i < v_n < a_i + b_i$, where a_i and b_i are constants satisfying the following conditions

$$a_i = 2\pi \, rac{\mu_n}{\mu_n^{(i)}} \, , \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 2\pi$$

and

$$b_1 = 0$$
, $b_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_k$ $(1 < i < m)$.

The function $u_n(p) + iv_n(p)$ maps conformally $F_{n-1} - \overline{F}_n$ with a finite

number of slits onto a slit rectangle $0 < u_n < \mu_n$, $0 < v_n < 2\pi$. The function u(p) + iv(p) defined by $u_n(p) + iv_n(p) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j$ for each $F_{n+1} - \overline{F}_n$ $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$ maps $F - \overline{F}_1$ with at most an enumerable number of suitable slits onto a strip domain $0 < u < R = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j$, $0 < v < 2\pi$ with at most an enumerable number of slits one to one and conformally. This strip domain thus obtained is the graph of F associated with the exhaustion $\{F_n\}$ in Noshiro's sense (Noshiro [7], Kuroda [8]).

3. Let us consider an open Riemann surface F and its exhaustion $\{F_n\}$, and we shall construct the graph 0 < u < R, $0 < v < 2\pi$ of F associated with this exhaustion. For any r (0 < r < R), the locus γ of points of F satisfying u(p) = r consists of a finite number of closed analytic curves $\gamma_r^{(i)}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m(r))$. Let $\omega_i = a_i dx + b_i dy$ (i = 1, 2) be two square integrable harmonic differentials. We consider the following integral on the level curve $\gamma_r^{(i)}$

$$L_i(r) = \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |artilde{\omega}_1| \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |arturele_2|$$

and put

$$L(r)=\sum_{i=1}^m L_i(r),$$

Further, when $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j \leq r < \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j$, we put

$$\Lambda(r) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} dv = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} dv_n.$$

Then we obtain the following

4

LEMMA 1. If the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent, then there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$ of level curves γ_n ; $u(p)=r_n$ tending to the ideal boundary of F such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}L(r_n)=0.$$

-

-

~

Proof. When $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu_j \leqslant r < \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j$, by the Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$egin{aligned} L_i(r) &= \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |\omega_1| \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |\omega_2| = \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_1| \, dv_n \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_2| \, dv_n \ &\leqslant \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} dv_n \Bigl(\int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_1|^2 dv_n \Bigr)^{1/2} \Bigl(\int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_2|^2 dv_n \Bigr)^{1/2} \ &\leqslant \Lambda(r) \left(\int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_1|^2 dv_n \Bigr)^{1/2} \Bigl(\int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} |b_2|^2 dv_n \Bigr)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up from i=1 to i=m(r), we obtain

$$L(r) \leq \Lambda(r) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} |b_{1}|^{2} dv_{n} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} |b_{2}|^{2} dv_{n} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \Lambda(r) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} |b_{1}|^{2} dv_{n} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} |b_{2}|^{2} dv_{n} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \Lambda(r) \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{1}|^{2} dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{2}|^{2} dv \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \frac{L(r)}{\Lambda(r)} dr &= \int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \left\{ \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{1}|^{2} dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{2}|^{2} dv \right)^{1/2} \right\} dr \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{1}|^{2} dv du \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |b_{2}|^{2} dv du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (|a_{1}|^{2} + |b_{1}|^{2}) dv du \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\substack{n=1\\j=1}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_{j}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (|a_{2}|^{2} + |b_{2}|^{2}) dv du \right)^{1/2} \\ &= ||\omega_{1}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}} ||\omega_{2}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have

14

$$\int_{0}^{R} \frac{L(r)}{\Lambda(r)} dr \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||\omega_{1}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}} ||\omega_{2}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}} \\ \leqslant \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||\omega_{1}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||\omega_{2}||_{F_{n+1}-F_{n}}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\ \leqslant ||\omega_{1}|| ||\omega_{2}|| < \infty .$$

Since the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent by our assumption, we obtain $\lim_{r \to R} L(r) = 0$. Therefore, we obtained the above-mentioned result.

Since

$$\int_{\substack{n=1\\\sum_{j=1}^{j=1}\mu_{j}}}^{\sum_{j=1}^{j}\mu_{j}} \frac{1}{\max_{i} \int_{\gamma_{r}^{(i)}} dv} dr = \mu_{n} \cdot \min_{i} \frac{\mu_{u}^{(i)}}{2\pi \mu_{n}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \min_{i} \mu_{u}^{(i)},$$

we have

$$2\pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)} \ge 2\pi \int_{0}^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\min_{i} \mu_{j}^{(t)})$$

for any r satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j \leqslant r < \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j$. Thus we can say that the result of lemma 1 hold, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\min_i \mu_n^{(i)})$ is divergent.

Next, we suppose that the exhaustion $\{F_n\}$ is canonical, that is, each contour $\Gamma_n^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \cdots, m(n))$ of Γ_n is a dividing cycle. Let $D_n^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \cdots, m)$ be annuli each of which includes a contour $\Gamma_n^{(i)}$ and are disjoint each other. We put $D_n = \bigvee_{i=1}^m D_n^{(i)}$ and assume that D_n $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$ are disjoint each other. We construct the graph of $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ associated with the sequence $\{D_n\}$ of open sets D_n and denote the harmonic modulus of $D_n^{(i)}(D_n)$ by $\nu_n^{(i)}(\nu_n)$. Also we denote the function which maps $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ onto the strip domain $0 < u < R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n, 0 < v < 2\pi$ by u(p) + iv(p). When $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \nu_j \leq r < \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_j$, we put

$$\Lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(r) = \max_i \int_{\gamma_r^{(i)}} dv \, .$$

We point out that in this case each component of the level curve is a dividing cycle. Then, by the same way as we did in the proof of lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 2. If the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda_{0}(r)}$ is divergent, then there exists a sequence of level curves tending to ideal boundary of F such that each component of the curves is a dividing cycle and $\lim_{n \to \infty} L(r_{n}) = 0$.

In the same way as the remark in lemma 1, we can conclude that the result of lemma 2 holds, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\min \nu_n^{(i)})$ is divergent.

4. Let us denote by Γ_{hse} (Γ_{ase}) the class of semi-exact harmonic (analytic) differentials in Γ_h and by Γ_{he} the class of exact harmonic differentials in Γ_h and further by Γ_{ho} the orthogonal complement in Γ_h of Γ_{he}^* . Then $\Gamma_{ho} \subset \Gamma_{hse}$. Now let c be a cycle, then there exists a harmonic differential $\sigma(c)$ so that $\int_c \omega = (\omega, \sigma(c)^*)$ for $\omega \in \Gamma_h$. Such a $\sigma(c)$ is unique, real, of class Γ_{ho} . If c and c' are two cycles, then $(\sigma(c'), \sigma(c)^*)$ is an integer, that is, the intersection number $c' \times c$ of c' and c (Ahlfors-Sario [2]).

LEMMA 3. Suppose $\overline{\Omega}$ is a compact bordered surface and ω and σ are in $\Gamma_{hse}(\overline{\Omega})$. Let $\{A_i, B_i\}$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, k)$ b ea canonical homology basis of $\Omega \pmod{\partial \Omega}$. Then

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{A_i} \omega \int_{B_i} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_i} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_i} \omega \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} u \bar{\sigma} ,$$

where u(p) is a function defined separately on each contour of $\partial \Omega$. If α is a contour of $\partial \Omega$, then $u(p) = \int_{p_0}^{p} \omega$ where p_0 is a fixed point on α and the integration is in the positive sence of α .

Proof. Let $a_i = \int_{A_i} \omega$ and $b_i = \int_{B_i} \omega$. Let $\omega' = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i \sigma(A_i) - a_i \sigma(B_i))$, then ω' has the same periods as ω and ω' belongs to $\Gamma_{h^0}(\Omega)$. Since $\omega - \omega'$ has no periods, we have $\omega - \omega' = du$, where u is a harmonic function. By the Green's formula we have

$$(\omega - \omega', \sigma^*) = (du, \sigma^*) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} u\bar{\sigma}.$$

Therefore

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = (\omega', \sigma^*) - \int_{\partial \Omega} u\bar{\sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{A_i} \omega \int_{B_i} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_i} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_i} \omega \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega} u\bar{\sigma}$$

THEOREM I. If the integral $\int_0^R \frac{dr}{\Lambda_0(r)}$ is divergent for a canonical exhaustion, then for a corresponding canonical homology basis the Riemann's bilinear relation

(1)
$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \lim_{n' \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{p(n')} \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right)$$

holds for two differentials $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$.

Proof. We shall take the sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ of level curves satisfying lemma 2 for two differentials ω and σ . Since each component $\gamma_n^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ of γ_n is a dividing cycle, if $\gamma_n \subset D_{n'}$, we may suppose that $F_{n'}$ and the relatively compact domain Ω_n bounded by level curve γ_n have the same homology basis $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_{p(n')}, B_{p(n')}$. By the application of lemma 3 to Ω_n , we have

$$(\omega, \sigma^*)_{\Omega_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{p(n')} \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right) - \int_{\partial \Omega_n} u \bar{\sigma}$$

Since $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$, we have $\int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} \bar{\sigma} = 0$. Hence for a fixed point $p_0 \in \gamma_n^{(i)}$

$$\left|\int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} u\overline{\sigma}\right| = \left|\int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} (u(p) - u(p_0))\overline{\sigma}\right| \leqslant \int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} |\omega| \int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} |\sigma|,$$

therefore

$$\left|\int_{\partial\Omega_n} u\bar{\sigma}\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} |\omega| \int_{\gamma_n^{(i)}} |\sigma| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty) \,.$$

Thus the proof is completed.

By the remark in 3, we get the following

COROLLARY. If
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\min_{i} \nu_{n}^{(i)})$$
 is divergent, the Riemann's bilinear

relation (1) holds for two differentials $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$.

Thus we know that on such a surface every $\omega \in \Gamma_{ase}$ is determined uniquely by its A-periods.

By the definition of the modulus we have

$$\frac{1}{\nu_n} = \frac{1}{\nu_n^{(i)}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\nu_n^{(m)}},$$

hence $\nu_n \leqslant \min_i \nu_n^{(i)}$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n$ is divergent, F belongs to O_G and so $\Gamma_{hse} = \Gamma_h$. Thus we have

COROLLARY (Kusunoki [5]). If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n$ is divergent, then the Riemann's bilinear relation (1) holds for two $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_h$.

5. Next we choose annuli $R_n^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ in canonical region F_n so that $\prod_n^{(i)} \subset \overline{R}_n^{(i)}$, $R_n^{(i)} \cap R_n^{(j)} = \phi(i \neq j)$. Let $R_n = \bigvee_{i=1}^m R_n^{(i)}$ and $\mu(R_n)$ and $\mu(R_n^{(i)})$ be the harmonic modulis of R_n and $R_n^{(i)}$, respectively.

Define μ_{F_n} to be the supremum of $\mu(R_n)$ as R_n ranges over all possible choices. Accola [6] has given the following sufficient condition for the validity of the Riemann's bilinear relation:

If $\mu_{F_n} \ge M \ge 0$ for $n \to \infty$ (M; constant), then

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right)$$

holds for $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$ and for all $\omega \in \Gamma_{h0}$.

We shall remark that the above sufficient condition can be extended to the following form:

If $\sup_{R_n} (\min_i \mu(R_n^{(i)})) \ge M \ge 0$ for $n \to \infty$, then the bilinear relation holds for $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$ and for $\omega \in \Gamma_{ho}$.

This can be proved, with a slight modification, by the same way as in [6] and so we shall omit its proof.

In [6], Accola has constructed a Riemann surface for which the bilinear relation holds. His example is the symmetric hyperelliptic Riemann surface. Let $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive number such that $a_k \to \infty$ $(k \to \infty)$. Denote by α_n the segment between a_{2n-1} and a_{2n} . Cut the plane along the slits. Take two copies of slits plane π_+ and π_- and cross along the slits in usual way. The surface thus obtained is of infinite genus and parabolic. We exhaust it by the portion F_n lying over the open disk with center at zero and radius a_{2n} . Let R_n be the ring domain lying above the annulus $a_{2n-1} < |z| < a_{2n}$. Then the harmonic modulus of R_n is $\frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{a_{2n}}{a_{2n-1}}$. According to Accola's condition, for the validity of the bilinear relation it needs that $\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{2n-1}} > \rho > 1$ for a subquence a_n 's. But, according to the corollary to theorem 1, we know that, for the validity of the bilinear relation, it is sufficient to hold $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{a_{2n-1}} = \infty$.

6. Ahlfors [1] has constructed a canonical homology basis with respect to an exhaustion $\{F_n\}$ of F such that the cycles on ∂F_n are *weakly* homologous to a linear combination of only A-cycles and if the index n of ∂F_n is large, each of index of corresponding A-cycle is large. In following we shall use such a canonical homology basis.

Now let $\{F_n\}$ be an exhaustion of F by regular regions and for each n, $\Gamma_n(t_j)$ be a set of finite number of level curves; $u(p) = t_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_k = t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_j < \cdots < t_{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k\right)$ such that at least one critical point of u(p) is contained in $\Gamma_n(t_j)$ $(j \neq 1, \nu)$, where u(p) is the function defined in 2. We shall consider the relatively compact regions bounded by $\Gamma_n(t_j)$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots, j = 1, 2, \cdots, \nu(n))$, then we may suppose that those regions construct an exhaustion $\{\Omega_{nj}\}$. Let us introduce a canonical homology basis with respect to this exhaustion, then the region bounded by $\Gamma_n(t)$ $(t_i \leq t < t_{i+1})$ has the same canonical homology basis as that of the region bounded by $\Gamma_n(t_i)$ (cf. Ahlfors [1], Hilfssatz 5). For such a canonical homology basis we have the following

THEOREM II. If the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent for an exhaustion $\{F_n\}$, then there exist an exhaustion and a corresponding canonical homology basis such that the Riemann's bilinear relation

(2)
$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \sum_k \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right)$$
 (a finite sum)

holds for two $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_{hse}$ having only a finite number of non-vanishing A-periods.

Proof. We consider the relatively compact subregion Ω_n which are bounded by the level curves which were constructed in lemma 1. ω and σ have only a finite number of non-vanishing *A*-periods, hence also have vanishing periods on each contour of $\partial \Omega_m$ for sufficiently large *m*. Therefore ω and σ belong to $\Gamma_{hse}(\overline{\Omega}_m)$, because any dividing cycles in Ω_m are homologous to a linear combination of cycles on $\partial \Omega_m$. Let $\alpha_m^{(j)}$ $(j=1, 2, \dots, l(m))$ be contours of $\partial \Omega_m$. Since $\int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} \overline{\sigma} = 0$, We have anologously in theorem I

$$\left|\int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} u\bar{\sigma}\right| \leqslant \int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} |\omega| \int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} |\sigma|.$$

Hence

$$\left|\int_{\partial\Omega_m} u\bar{\sigma}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^l \int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} |\omega| \int_{\alpha_m^{(j)}} |\sigma| \to 0 \quad (m \to \infty)$$

Thus the proof is completed.

COROLLARY. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\min_{i} \mu_{n}^{(i)})$ is divergent for an exhaustion $\{F_{n}\}$, then the Riemann's bilinear relation (2) holds.

For such a canonical homology basis, on such surface every $\omega \in \Gamma_{ase}$ is determined uniquely by its A-periods. Thus we have

COROLLARY. (Sario [9]). If the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent, then Riemann surface belongs to O_{AD} .

Since $\min_{i} \mu_{n}^{(i)} \ge \mu_{n}$, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} = \infty$, then theorem II holds. If F belongs to O_{G} , then there exists an regular exhausion such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} = \infty$ (Noshiro [7]), hence we have the following

COROLLARY (Ahlfors [1]). If F belongs to O_G , then there exist an exhaustion and the corresponding canonical homology basis such that

20

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \sum_k \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right)$$
 (a finite sum).

holds for two $\omega, \sigma \in \Gamma_h$ having only a finite number of non-vanishing A-periods.

7. Let G be any region on F whose relative boundary c consists of at most an enumerable number of analytic curves, compact or non-compact and clusters nowhere in F. If there exists no non-constant, single valued, analytic function f(p) which has the finite Dirichlet integral over G and its real part vanishes continuously at every point of c, then G is called the subregion of the class SO_{AD} . We now suppose that the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent for an exhausion $\{F_n\}$ of F by regular regions. We consider the subset G_r of G;

$$G_r = G \cap \{ p \colon u(p) \leq r \ (0 \leq r < R) \}$$

where u(p) is the function defined in 2. If some components of $G-\bar{G}_r$ are relatively compact, we consider the union of these components and G_r . For simplicity, we denote it by G_r again. Let f(p) = U(p) + iV(p) be a single valued analytic function in G whose real part U(p) vanishes at every point of the relative boundary c of G. Then two differentials dU and dV belong to $\Gamma_{he}(\bar{G})$ and dU vanishes along c. Thus we have by lemma 3 and U(p)=0 $(p \in c)$

$$||dU||_{G_r}^2 = (dU, \, dU)_{G_r} = -(dU, \, dU^{**})_{G_r} = -(dU, \, dV^*)_{G_r} = \int_{\partial G_r \cap G} U dV$$

We set $\theta_r = \partial G_r \cap G$ and denote components of θ_r by $\theta_r^{(i)}$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, l(r))$. Then, by the same way as in the case of the proof of lemma 1, we can conclude that there exists a sequence $\{\theta_{r_n}\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dU| \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dV| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

In such G_{r_n} , we have

$$||dU||_{G_{r_n}}^2 = \int_{\theta_{r_n}} UdV = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{\theta_{r_n}} UdV.$$

If $\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}$ is a closed curve, as $\int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} dV = 0$, we have

$$\left|\int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} U dV\right| \leqslant \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dU| \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dV|.$$

If $\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}$ is a cross cut, let $p' \in c$ be a end point of $\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}$, then U(p')=0, hence

$$\left|\int_{\theta_{r_{n}}^{(i)}} UdV\right| = \left|\int_{\theta_{r_{n}}^{(i)}} (U(p) - U(p'))dV\right| \leqslant \int_{\theta_{r_{n}}^{(i)}} |dU| \int_{\theta_{r_{n}}^{(i)}} |dV|.$$

Consequently,

$$\left|\int_{\theta_{r_n}} U dV\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dU| \int_{\theta_{r_n}^{(i)}} |dV| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

Hence, G belongs to SO_{AD} . If we denote by O_{AD}^{0} the class of Riemann surfaces each of which has no subregion not belonging to SO_{AD} , we have the following theorem proved by Kuroda [8]: if the integral $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{1}{\Lambda(r)} dr$ is divergent, then F belongs to O_{AD}^{0} .

Since $O_{AD}^{0} \subseteq O_{AD}$ (Kuroda [8]), this is an improvement of the Sario's sufficient condition. Moreover, by the same way as above, we can generalize the above theorem in the following form.

THEOREM III. If the integral $\int_0^R \frac{dr}{\Lambda(r)}$ is divergent for an exhaustion of F by regular regions, then

$$(\omega, \sigma^*)_G = 0$$
,

where $\sigma \in \Gamma_{he}(\overline{G})$ and ω belongs to $\Gamma_{he}(\overline{G})$, that is, $\omega = df$ and the harmonic function f(p) vanishes at every point of the relative boundary of Γ .

8. The special bilinear relation is said to hold on F if the following is true (Accola [6]): if $\omega \in \Gamma_{h_0}$, $\sigma \in \Gamma_{h_{se}}$ and ω has a finite number of non-vanishing A and B-periods, then

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \sum_{k} \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right), \quad \text{(a finite sum)}.$$

Let Γ_{hm} be the orthogonal complement in Γ_h of Γ_{hse} . In [6] the following theorem is proved : validity of the special bilinear rela-

tion on *F* is equivalent to $\Gamma_{hm} = \Gamma_{h0} \cap \Gamma_{he}$. Also a surface on which $\Gamma_{he} \cap \Gamma_{h0} \supseteq \Gamma_{hm} = \phi$ holds is constructed. The surface evidently does not belong to O_{HD} . Since $(\omega, \sigma^*) = \overline{(\sigma^*, \omega)} = \overline{(\sigma^{**}, \omega^*)} = -\overline{(\sigma, \omega^*)}$, if $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda_0(r)} = \infty$ and $\omega \in \Gamma_{h0}$ has a finite number of non-vanishing *A*-and *B*-periods, then by theorem I we have

$$(\omega, \sigma^*) = \sum_k \left(\int_{A_k} \omega \int_{B_k} \bar{\sigma} - \int_{A_k} \bar{\sigma} \int_{B_k} \omega \right),$$
 (a finite sum).

Therefore we know that if $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{\Lambda_{0}(r)} = \infty$, then $\Gamma_{hm} = \Gamma_{he} \cap \Gamma_{h0}$.

Kyoto University and Kyoto Technical University

REFERENCES

- Ahlfors, L.; Normalintegral auf offenen Riemannschen Fläche. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. 1. 35 (1947).
- [2] Ahlfors, L. and Sario, L; Riemann surfaces. Princeton. (1960).
- [3] Pfruger, A.; Theorie der Riemannschen Flächen. Berlin. (1957).
- [4] Pfruger, A.; Uber die Riemannsche Periodenrelation auf transzendenten hyperelliptischen Flächen. Comm. Math. Helv. vol. 30 (1956) 998-106.
- [5] Kusunoki, Y.; On Riemann's period relations on open Riemann surfaces. Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. Vol. 30 (1956) 1-22.
- [6] Accola, R.; The bilinear relation on open Riemann surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 96 (1960) 143-161.
- [7] Noshiro, K.; Cluster sets. Berlin (1960).
- [8] Kuroda, T.; On some theorems of Sario. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Math. Phys. de la R.p.R. Tom 2 (50) n 4, (1958) 411-417.
- [9] Sario, L.; Uber Riemannschen Flächen mit hebbarem Rand. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. 1 50 (1948).