

Characterization and algebraic deformations of projective space

By

Robert GOREN

(Communicated by Professor Nagata, November 21, 1967)

Let V and V' be complete algebraic varieties of dimension n defined over K and k respectively. Then V' is a specialization of V if there exists a discrete valuation ring \mathfrak{o} with quotient field K and residue field k and a variety W proper and flat over \mathfrak{o} such that $V \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ over K and $V' \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k$ over k . The above notations will be fixed throughout this paper. U and U^* are called deformations of each other if there exist U_1, \dots, U_m with $U \cong U_1$, $U^* \cong U_m$ and $U_i \cong U_{i+1}$ or U_i a specialization of U_{i+1} or the converse. The question considered here is, what are the possible deformations of \mathbf{P}^n ? If $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K , then we will show that $V' \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over k . Conversely, if $V' \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over k and if V admits a K -rational divisor with self-intersection number 1, then $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K . I wish here to thank Professor Matsusaka for his advice, suggestions, and encouragement in this work.

1) The proofs of the above results are based on the following characterization of \mathbf{P}^n :

Let V be a complete variety of dimension n defined over K . Then $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K if and only if there exists a non-degenerate positive Cartier divisor X on V rational over K such that $X^{(n)}$, the self-intersection number of X on V , equals 1 and $l(X) \geq n+1$.

X non-degenerate means that a high multiple of X is ample,

i.e., $H^0(V, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ defines a projective embedding of V for m sufficiently large where \mathcal{L} is the invertible sheaf associated to X . $X^{(n)} = \chi(\mathcal{L}^n)_V$ is defined ([5], p. 296) to be the coefficient of the leading term in the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(V, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \times \dim H^i(V, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$. The above characterization of projective space is proved using the following sequence of lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Suppose X is a positive non-degenerate Cartier divisor on V and $X^{(n)} = 1$. Then X is irreducible.*

Proof. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_r be the irreducible components of X and let \mathcal{L} be the sheaf associated to X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = X^{(n)} &= \chi(\mathcal{L}^n)_V = \chi(\mathcal{L}^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X) \quad ([5], \text{prop. 4, p. 297}) \\ &= \sum_i \chi(\mathcal{L}^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}) \quad ([5], \text{cor. 1 of prop. 5, p. 298}) \\ &\geq r \text{ since } \mathcal{L} \text{ is non-degenerate } \quad ([5], \text{thm. 1, p. 317}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *Under the hypotheses of lemma 1, (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a reduced subscheme of (V, \mathcal{O}_V) and $\chi(\mathcal{L}_X^{n-1})_X = 1$ where $\mathcal{L}_X = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$.*

Proof. Let x be a generic point of X and let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ where $X' = X_{\text{red}}$. Then $\deg(f) = 1/s$ where $s = \text{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \mathcal{O}_{X',x}$ ([5], p. 299, ex. 1). Let $\mathcal{L}_{X'} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X'}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{X'} = \mathcal{L}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X'} = f^* \mathcal{L}_X$. Therefore, $\chi(\mathcal{L}_{X'}^{n-1})_{X'} = \deg(f) \cdot \chi(\mathcal{L}_X^{n-1})_X$ ([5], prop. 6, p. 299). But $\chi(\mathcal{L}_X^{n-1})_X = \chi(\mathcal{L}^{n-1} \cdot X)_V = \chi(\mathcal{L}^n)_V = 1$ ([5], props. 4 and 5, p. 298). Therefore $\chi(\mathcal{L}_{X'}^{n-1})_{X'} = 1/s$. But $\mathcal{L}_{X'}$ is a non-degenerate invertible sheaf on X' so $\chi(\mathcal{L}_{X'}^{n-1})_{X'}$ is an integer. Therefore $s=1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a field so (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced.

Lemma 3. *If in lemma 2 we assume $\dim H^0(V, \mathcal{L}) \geq n+1$, then $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) \geq n$.*

Proof. $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(-X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$ is exact, so, since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_V(X)$ is locally free, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_X \rightarrow 0$ is exact and hence $0 \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{L}_X) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Therefore, $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) = \dim H^0(V, \mathcal{L}) - \dim H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \geq n$.

Theorem 1. *Let V be a complete variety defined over a field K and X a non-degenerate positive Cartier divisor on V rational over K such that $X^{(n)}=1$ and $l(X) \geq n+1$. Then $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K and the isomorphism is determined by X .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be as above. By lemmas 1, 2, and 3 X is a complete variety defined over K with the non-degenerate positive Cartier divisor \mathcal{L}_X on X rational over K and with $\chi(\mathcal{L}_X^{n-1})_X=1$ and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) \geq n$. Therefore, by induction on n , since the theorem is true for $n=1$, $(X, \mathcal{L}_X) \cong (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ over K and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) = n$. Therefore $l(X) = n+1$ and $0 \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_X) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Now let x be any point of V and Y a positive Cartier divisor on V such that $\mathcal{O}_V(Y) \cong \mathcal{O}_V(X)$ and $x \in \text{Supp } Y$. There exists such Y since $l(X) \geq 2$. Then, since Y is a Cartier divisor, there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{Y,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{V,x}/t\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. But, as above, $Y \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ so the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}$ is generated by $n-1$ elements. Therefore, by Nakayama, the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ is generated by n elements and hence x is a simple point of V . Thus V is non-singular.

Since $l(X) = n+1$, to show $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K with the isomorphism determined by X it will suffice to show that X is ample on V . By Weil's criterion for ampleness ([6], ch. IX, §5, thm. 12, p. 288) it will suffice, given $x \in V$, to find n divisors Y_1, \dots, Y_n on V in $\Lambda(X)$, the complete linear system associated to X , intersecting properly such that $Y_1 \cdot Y_2 \cdots Y_n = 1 \cdot x$. Let $Y \in \Lambda(X)$ such that $x \in \bar{Y}$. As above, $Y \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. Then choose $n-1$ hyperplanes of Y whose intersection is just x and pull them back to V . This is possible since $H^0(V, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_Y)$ is onto. Their intersection with Y is now $1 \cdot x$. Q.E.D.

Professor Mumford pointed out that for the above characterization of \mathbf{P}^n it was unnecessary to assume V nonsingular.

2) **Theorem 2.** *Let W be a variety flat and proper over*

\mathcal{O} and let $V \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$, $V' \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k$. If $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K then $V' \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over k and $W \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over \mathfrak{o} . Furthermore, if the divisor X on V corresponds to a hyperplane of \mathbf{P}^n , then $X' = \bar{X} \cdot V'$ and \bar{X} respectively determine these last two isomorphisms where \bar{X} is the closure of X on W .

Assuming V' nonsingular a proof of this result was given in [3], lemma 1.7, while for $k = \mathbf{C}$ and V' a compact Kähler manifold this result was proved in [4]. The proof of the general result will use the following proposition and lemma.

Proposition. *Let W be flat and proper over \mathcal{O} , $V \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$, $V' \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k$. Assume W is nonsingular in codimension 1, $\text{Pic}^0(V) = 0$, and the rank of $G(V)/G_{\mathfrak{o}}(V)$ is one. Then W is projective over \mathfrak{o} and, if X is a non-degenerate Weil divisor on V , the \bar{X} is a non-degenerate Weil divisor on W .*

Proof. We may write $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^t U_i$, U_i open affines over \mathfrak{o} such that $U_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k$ is non-empty. Assume all $U_i \subset \mathbf{A}^N$ as closed subsets and let h_i be the composition of the inclusions $U_i \subset \mathbf{A}^N \subset \mathbf{P}^N$. Let Γ_{h_i} be the graph of h_i , Γ_i be the closure of Γ_{h_i} in $W \times \mathbf{P}^N$, and $X_i = \text{pr}_W(\Gamma_i \cdot W \times H)$ where H is a generic hyperplane of \mathbf{P}^N . Let $Y_i = \text{pr}_{U_i}(\Gamma_{h_i} \cdot U_i \times H)$ and \bar{Y}_i be the closure of Y_i in W . Then $X_i \succ Y_i$ so $X_i \succ \bar{Y}_i$.

Claim: If $Z_i = X_i - \bar{Y}_i$, $|Z_i|$ does not meet U_i .

Proof of claim. Let x be a generic point of a component of $U_i \cap |Z_i|$. Since U_i is open in W , x is a generic point of a component of $|Z_i|$ and hence is simple on W . $\Gamma_{h_i} = \Gamma_i \cap (U_i \times \mathbf{P}^N)$ so $\Gamma_{h_i} \cdot (U_i \times H) = \Gamma_i \cdot (U_i \times H)$. Therefore, Γ_{h_i} regular at x implies Γ_i regular at x so the unique component T of $|X_i|$ containing x appears with multiplicity 1 in X_i and $T \cap U_i$ appears with multiplicity 1 in Y_i . Hence T does not appear in $|Z_i|$.

Proof of proposition. Since $\Lambda(Y_i)$ is ample on U_i , $\Lambda(X_i)$ is

ample on U_i because $\Lambda(X_i) \cap U_i = \Lambda(Y_i)$. Now let r be the order of the torsion part of $G(V)/G_a(V)$. Then $r \cdot G(V)/G_a(V) = r \cdot G(V)/G_a(V) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ by hypothesis. Since $\Lambda(X_i) \cap U_i$ is ample on U_i , $\Lambda(rX_i) \cap U_i$ is ample on U_i and hence $\Lambda(rX_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K) \cap U_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ is ample on $U_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$. Therefore $rX_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ corresponds to a positive element r_i in \mathbf{Z} under the above isomorphism. If X is a non-degenerate divisor on V , let rX correspond to $r_0 > 0$ in \mathbf{Z} . Let s be a common multiple of the r_i and let $a_i = rs/r_i$. Then all $a_i X_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ correspond to the same element of \mathbf{Z} so $a_i X_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K \sim a_i X_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K (\sim a_0 X)$. Let f_i be a function on V such that $(f_i) = a_i X_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K - a_i X_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$. Modifying f_i by a constant if necessary we can assume f_i extends to a function \bar{f}_i such that $(\bar{f}_i) = a_i X_i - a_i X_i$. This is possible since the only subvariety of W of codim 1 wholly contained in V' is V' itself. Similarly, $a_0 \bar{X} \sim a_i X_i$. Thus $\Lambda(a_i X_i) = \Lambda(a_i X_i) (= \Lambda(a_0 \bar{X}))$ is ample on U_i for all i and hence on $\bigcup_{i=1}^t U_i = W$.

Lemma 4. *Let the hypotheses be as in theorem 2. Then \bar{X} is a positive Cartier divisor on W not containing V' in its support.*

Proof (suggested by Dr. W. Fulton of Brandeis University). Let (U_i) be an open affine cover of W such that all U_i meet V' . Suppose $U_i = \text{Spec } B_i$. Let (f_{ij}) be a collection of non-units of B_i generating B_i over \mathfrak{o} . Then the $U_{ij} = (\text{Spec } B_i)_{f_{ij}}$ which intersect V' form a collection of open affine subsets of W covering V' and hence all of W . The $U_{ij} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ form an open affine cover of V . f_{ij} can be viewed as a function on $U_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ and, by taking the closure in V of the divisor of zeroes of f_{ij} , we get a hypersurface H_{ij} of V not containing any point of $U_{ij} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$. If we can show that in $U_{ij} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$ X is given as the divisor of a single function $g_{ij} \in (B_i \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K)_{f_{ij}}$ then, modifying g_{ij} by an element of K and extending g_{ij} to U_{ij} , we can assume that $g_{ij} \in (B_i)_{f_{ij}}$ and $U_{ij} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$

is not a component of (g_{ij}) . Thus, $\bar{X} = (U_{ij}, g_{ij})$ will be a positive Cartier divisor on W not containing V' in its support. Therefore, to complete the proof, it will suffice to show that $B = (B_i \otimes K)_{f_{ij}}$ is a unique factorization domain. $f(U_{ij} \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K) \subset \mathbf{P}^n - f(H_{ij}) \cong \mathbf{A}^n$ so $\text{Spec } B$ may be viewed as an open affine subset of \mathbf{A}^n . Then $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subset B \subset K(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and B is a noetherian integral domain.

Let Q be a minimal prime of B . Then Q induces an irreducible subvariety of codim 1 of $\text{Spec } B$ and hence of \mathbf{A}^n . But $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = K[X]$ is a UFD so there exists $h \in K[X]$ such that $Q \cap K[X] = h \cdot K[X]$. Thus it remains only to show that $Q = h \cdot B$. Let $r(X)/s(X) \in Q$ in lowest terms, $r(X) = \prod r_i(X)$, $s(X) = \prod s_j(X)$. Then $r = s(r/s) \in Q \cap K[X]$ so $r/s = hr^*/s$. Suppose $1/s_j \notin B$. Then $\{x: s_j(x) = 0\} \cap \text{Spec } B$ is an open non-empty subset of $\{x: s_j(x) = 0\}$. Since no $r_i = s_j$, $r(x)$ can not vanish on this set so $r/s \notin B$. Contradiction. Thus $1/s \in B$ so $r/s = hr^*/s \in h \cdot B$.

Proof of theorem 2. Let $d: V' \rightarrow W$ be the closed immersion. Then $X' = d^*(\bar{X})$ is a positive non-degenerate Cartier divisor on V' by the proposition and lemma. Therefore, to show X' induces an isomorphism of V' with \mathbf{P}^n over k , it suffices to show that $l(X') \geq n+1$ and $X'^{(n)} = 1$. But these are immediate consequences of upper semicontinuity and invariance of Euler-Poincaré characteristic ([1], III. 7.7.5 and III. 7.9.4). Thus $V' \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over k and the map is just the isomorphism on V extended. Q.E.D.

3) **Theorem 3.** *Let W be proper and flat over \mathfrak{o} , $V \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} K$, $V' \cong W \times_{\mathfrak{o}} k \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over k . Then V is projective nonsingular and*

a) *there exists a finite separable field extension K_0 of K such that $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K_0 . (Such varieties are classified by the set of isomorphism classes of central simple algebras of dimension $(n+1)^2$ over K . They are isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^n over K if and only if they carry a K -rational point (F. Châtelet, [7]).) If \mathfrak{o} is complete we can take $K_0 = K$.*

b) if V carries a divisor rational over K of self-intersection number 1, then $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K .

Proof. V' nonsingular implies V nonsingular. Let Y be any positive divisor on V and let $Y' = \bar{Y} \cdot V'$. Then Y' is a positive divisor on V' and so is non-degenerate. Hence \bar{Y} and Y are non-degenerate ([1], III. 4.7.1.). (a) is proved in ch. 0 in [4] and in general in [2] exposé III, pp. 19-20. (b): Let $X = Y_1 - Y_2$, $Y_i > 0$ be a K -rational divisor on V such that $X^{(n)} = 1$. Suppose $Y'_i = \bar{Y}_i \cdot V'$, $X' = Y'_1 - Y'_2$. Then $X'^{(n)} = 1$ so $l(X') = n+1$ and $H^i(V', X') = 0$ if $i > 0$. Therefore $H^i(V, X) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $l(X) = \chi(V, X) = \chi(V', X') = l(X') = n+1$. Therefore there exists $X^* \sim X$, positive and rational over K , hence non-degenerate. Also, $X^{*(n)} = 1$ and $l(X^*) = n+1$ so $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ over K . An alternate proof of (b) is given in [3], lemma 1.6.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébriques*, III. Publications Mathématiques Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, Paris, nos. 11 and 17.
- [2] A. Grothendieck. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, 1960-61 exposé III.
- [3] H. Hironaka, *Smoothing of Algebraic Cycles of Lower Dimensions*, to appear.
- [4] F. Hirzebruch and K. Kodaira, "On the Complex Projective Spaces," *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, ser. 9, vol. 36 (1957), pp. 201-216.
- [5] S. Kleiman, "Toward a numerical theory of ampleness," *Ann. of Math.* 84 (1966), pp. 293-344.
- [6] A. Weil, *Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*, AMS Colloquium Publications no. 29 (1962).
- [7] F. Chatelet, *Variations sur un thème de H. Poincaré*. *Annales E. N. S.* 61, 1944, pp. 249-300.