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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will use the results of Sullivan [10], Stasheff [9], and Peterson and Toda [8] concerning the classifying spaces $B S P L$ and $B S F$ to obtain some further results concerning $H^{*}(B S P L)^{2)}$ and the $p$-torsion in $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$, the oriented $P L$-cobordism ring. Since some of these results are computational in nature, we will often only give an outline of the proof.

Let $J_{P L}: B S P L \rightarrow B S F$ be the natural map. Let $q_{i} \in H^{i r}(B S F)$ be the Wu class ( $r=2 p-2$ throughout). Our first main result is that $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{i}\right) \neq 0$ if $i \geq p+1$. (It is easy to show that $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{i}\right)=0$ if $i \leq p$ ). Our other main result is a computation of the $p$-torsion of $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$ in dimensions $\leq p^{2} r$. In particular, we show that there is a $P L$-manifold $M$ of dimension $(2 p+1) r-1$ of order $p^{2}$ in $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$ such that $p M$ is not detected by any ordinary characteristic numbers. Finally, we make a few conjectures concerning $H^{*}(M S P L)$.

## 2. $H^{*}(B S P L)$

Sullivan [10] has proved that $B S P L$ is of the same $\bmod p$ homotopy type as $B S O \times B$ Coker $J$, where $B$ Coker $J$ is a space

[^0]such that $\pi_{*}(B$ Coker $J)=p$-torsion of
$$
\operatorname{Coker}\left(J: \pi_{*}(B S O) \rightarrow \pi_{*}(B S F)\right)
$$

The proof of this has not appeared so we make a few remarks on the proof. Sullivan shows that there exists a map $B S P L \rightarrow B S O$ which is onto $\bmod p . \quad B$ Coker $J$ is defined to be the fibre of this map. It is known (e.g. by Adams, Anderson, Peterson and Sullivan) that $B S O$ is of the same mod $p$ homotopy type as $Y \times Y^{\prime}$, where $\pi_{i}(Y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}Z & i \equiv 0(r) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$ and $Y^{\prime}=\prod_{i-1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}-1} \Omega^{1 i}(Y)$. Also, Sullivan [11] proves that $F / P L_{\tilde{p}} B S O$. Map $B S O \times B$ Coker $J \rightarrow B S P L$ as follows: $B S O \times B$ Coker $J \rightarrow Y \times Y^{\prime} \times B$ Coker $\quad J \rightarrow B S P L \times F / P L \times B$ Coker $\quad J$ $\rightarrow B S P L \times B S P L \times B S P L \rightarrow B S P L$ and the composition is a homotopy equivalence. Note that $B S O \times \mathrm{pt} . \rightarrow B S P L$ is not the usual map of $B S O \rightarrow B S P L$. Also, $H^{*}(B$ Coker $J)$ has a $Z_{p}$-basis, in dimensions $\leq 2 p r-2$ consisting of $\bar{e}_{1}, \beta \bar{e}_{1}, \mathscr{P}^{1} \beta \bar{e}_{1}$ and $\beta \mathscr{P}^{1} \beta \bar{e}_{1}$, by direct computation, where $\bar{e}_{1}$ is the image under $B$ Coker $J \xrightarrow{j} B S P L \xrightarrow{J_{P L}} B S F$ of $e_{1} \in H^{p r-1}(B S F)$.

Theorem 2.1. $j^{*} J_{P L}^{*}\left(q_{p}\right)=\mu \beta \bar{e}_{1}$, where $\mu \not \equiv 0(p)$.
Proof. Let $f: S^{p r-1} \rightarrow B$ Coker $J$ be a map corresponding to $\beta_{1}$ in $\pi_{p r-2}(S)$. Let $g: S^{p r-1} \cup_{p} e^{p r} \rightarrow B$ Coker $J$ be an extension of $f$. Let $\Phi: \operatorname{Ker}\left(2 \mathscr{P}^{2} \beta-\beta \mathcal{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow$ Coker $\mathscr{P}^{p-2}$ be an unstable secondary operation defined on classes of dimenion $r$ by the relation

$$
\mathscr{P}^{p-2}\left(2 \mathcal{P}^{1} \beta-\beta \mathscr{P}^{1}\right)=-2 \mathscr{P}^{p-1} \beta-\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-1}-\binom{p-2}{p-3} \mathscr{P}^{p-1} \beta=-\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-1}
$$

which is zero on classes of dimension $\leq r$. In the first lemma of §6 of 19], Stasheff shows the following relation in $H^{\text {pr }}(B S F)$ : one can choose $\varnothing$ such that $q_{p}=\lambda D\left(q_{1}\right)+\mu \beta e_{1}$, where $\lambda \equiv 0(p)$. Clearly, $g^{*} j^{*} J_{P L}^{*}\left(\Phi\left(q_{1}\right)\right)=0$ with zero indeterminacy. To show $\mu \not \equiv 0(p)$, it is enough to show $g^{*} j^{*} J_{P L L}^{*}\left(q_{p}\right) \neq 0$. Let $X$ be the Thom space of $J_{P L} j g: S^{p r-1} \bigcup_{p} e^{p r} \rightarrow B S F(N)$. Then $\quad X=S^{N} \bigcup_{h} e^{N+p r-1} \cup e^{N+p r}, \quad$ and $[h]=\beta_{1}$ (see proposition 4.5 of [13]). By the main result of [3],
on $H^{N}(Y), \mathscr{P}^{\rho}=\Sigma a_{i} \Phi_{i}+\mu \beta \Psi$, where $\Phi_{i}$ and $\Psi$ are secondary operations, $\mu \not \equiv 0(p), \operatorname{dim} a_{i}>1$, and $\Psi$ detects $\beta_{1}$. Thus, in $H^{*}(X)$, we have $\mathscr{P}^{p}(U)=g^{*} j^{*} J_{P L}^{*}\left(q_{p}\right) \cdot U=\mu \beta \Psi(U)=\mu g^{*}\left(\beta \bar{e}_{1}\right) \cdot U$ which proves the theorem.

Corollary 2.2. $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{p+1}\right) \neq 0$.
Proof. By theorem 2.1, $j^{*} J_{P L}^{*}\left(q_{p}\right)=\bar{e}_{1}$. But $\beta \mathcal{P}^{1} q_{p}=\beta q_{p+1}-\beta q_{1}$. $q_{p}-q_{1} \cdot \beta q_{p}$, hence $j^{*} J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{p+1}\right)=\mu \beta \mathcal{\mathcal { P } ^ { 1 }}{ }^{1} \bar{e}_{1}+0=\mu \beta \mathcal{P}^{1} \beta \bar{e}_{1} \neq 0$ as $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{1}\right)=0$ and so $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{i}\right)=0, i \leq p$ by the relation $\mathcal{P}^{1} \beta q_{i}=i \beta q_{i+1}-q_{1} \beta q_{i}$ (see [9]).

Corollary 2.3. $J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{i}\right) \neq 0$ if $i \geq p+1$.
Proof. Let $\psi: H^{*}(B S P L) \rightarrow H^{*}(B S P L) \otimes H^{*}(B S P L)$ be the diagonal map. Since $\psi\left(q_{i}\right)=\Sigma q_{j} \otimes q_{i-j}$, the corollary follows by induction using corollary 2.2.

In preparation for the next section, we note the following corollary of 2.2. Let $\theta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow H^{*}(M S P L)$ be defined by $\theta(a)=a(U)$. One might conjecture that $\theta\left(Q_{i}\right)=0$, where $Q_{i}$ are the Milnor elements [6]. $\theta\left(Q_{0}\right)=Q_{0}(U)=0$ and $\theta\left(Q_{1}\right)=J_{P L}^{*}\left(\beta q_{1}\right) \cdot U=0$.

Corollary 2.4. $\quad \theta\left(Q_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
Proof. By proposition 3.1 of $[8], \theta\left(Q_{2}\right)=J_{P L}^{*}\left(\lambda \beta q_{p+1}\right) \cdot U$ with $\lambda \not \equiv 0$. Now apply corollary 2.2.

## 3. $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{*}^{P L}$

In this section we state our results on $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$. We first note that corollary 2.2 shows that the first lemma on p. 32 of [12] is incorrect, so the calculations of the 3 -torsion of $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$ in [12] are incorrect. However, the answers in [12] are correct.

Peterson and Toda [8] have proved $H^{*}(B S F) \approx Z_{p}\left[q_{i}\right] \otimes E\left(\beta q_{i}\right)$ $\otimes C$, where $C$ is ( $p r-2$ )-connected. In the range we will work in it is not difficult to show that $H^{*}(B$ Coker $J) \approx C$ as an algebra over $\mathcal{A}$. In fact, one conjectures that this is true in all dimensions.
(Note however that theorem 2.1 shows that the map $J_{P L}^{*}: Z_{p}\left[q_{i}\right]$ $\otimes E\left(\beta q_{i}\right) \otimes C \rightarrow H^{*}(B S O) \times H^{*}(B$ Coker $J)$ is not as simple as one might expect.) Furthermore, Stasheff [9] has computed $C$ explicitly in dimensions $<\left(p^{2}+1\right) r-1$ and has shown the following result. ${ }^{3)}$

Theorem. 3. 1. In dim. $<\left(p^{2}+1\right) r-1, C$ is a free commutative algebra with truncation of height $p$ on generators $\left\{a\left(e_{1}\right)\right\}$, where
 and $e_{2}, \beta e_{2}$, and $\beta_{2}\left(e_{1} \cdot\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)$, where $e_{2} \in C^{p 2 r-1}$ and $e^{r} \in C^{p r-1}$.

Sullivan [10] shows that the spliting $B S P L_{\tilde{p}} B S O \times B$ Coker $J$ respects the universal bundle and hence $M S P L_{p} M S O \wedge M$ Coker $J$. (This is not hard when $p=3$, but more difficult if $p>3$.) Hence, $H^{*}(M S P L) \approx H^{*}(M S O) \otimes H^{*}(M$ Coker $J)$. To compute $\pi_{*}(M S P L)$ $\approx \Omega_{*}^{P L}$ (by Williamson [12]), we wish to compute $H^{*}(M S P L)$ as a module over $\mathcal{A}$ and apply the Adams spectral sequence. Now $H^{*}(M S O)=\Sigma^{\prime} \mathcal{A}($ see $[1])$, where ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{A} \bar{E}$, and $E=E\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right.$, $\cdots$ ) is the exterior algebra on the Milnor elements $Q_{1} \in \mathcal{A}^{(p i-1+\cdots+p+1) r+1}$. The results of [1] show that the $\mathcal{A}$-module structure of ' $\mathcal{A} \otimes N$ depends only on the $E$-module structure of $N$ and further that $\operatorname{Ext} \mathcal{A}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes N, Z_{p}\right) \approx \operatorname{Ext}_{E}\left(N, Z_{p}\right)$. Hence we must compute $H^{*}(M$ Coker $J$ ) as an $E$-module. Corollary 2.4 shows that $Q_{2}(U)=\mu \beta \mathcal{P}^{1} \beta \bar{e}_{1}$ $\cdot U$, with $\mu \not \equiv 0(p)$. Using this result, theorem 3.1, and direct computation, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. In dimensions $<\left(p^{2}+1\right) r-1$, as module over $\mathcal{A}, H^{*}(M S P L)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of a module $M$ plus copies of $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{A} Q_{0}$ plus a free module, where $M$ has four generators, $\quad \operatorname{dim} X_{0}=0, \quad \operatorname{dim} X_{1}=p r-1, \quad \operatorname{dim} X_{2}=2 p r-1 \quad \operatorname{dim} X_{3}$ $=(2 p+1) r-1, \quad$ with relations $\quad Q_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=0, \quad Q_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)=0, \quad Q_{2}\left(X_{0}\right)$ $=Q_{0} Q_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, and $Q_{0}\left(X_{3}\right)+Q_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)+Q_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)=0$. Let $p=3$ for convenience in stating specific results. Let $\left\{y_{\alpha} \cdot U\right\}$ be an 'A-basis for $H^{*}(M S O)$. Then the generators for the modules $M$ are

[^1]quadruples $\left(X_{0}=y_{\alpha} \cdot U, X_{1}=y_{\alpha} \cdot e_{1} \cdot U, X_{2}=y_{\alpha} \cdot\left(e_{1} \cdot \beta e^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1}\right) \cdot U, X_{3}\right.$ $\left.=y_{\alpha} \cdot\left(\mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1}-e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{1} \beta e_{1}\right) \cdot U\right)$, the generators for copies of $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{A} Q_{0}$ are $e_{1}\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{2} \cdot U$ and $\beta_{2}\left(e_{1} \cdot\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{2} \cdot U\right)$, and generators for copies of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1} \cdot U, \mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1} \cdot U, e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1} \cdot U, e_{2} \cdot U, e_{1} \cdot \beta \mathcal{P}^{3} e_{1} \cdot U$, and $e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1} \cdot U$.

It is not difficult to construct Ext $\mathcal{A}\left(H^{*}(M S P L), Z_{p}\right)$ and to note that all differentials must be zero for dimensional reasons in the range of dimensions under discussion except a differential from $t-s=36$ to $t-s=35$. From this we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. In dimensions $<\left(p^{2}+1\right) r-1$, we have that ( $\Omega_{*}^{P L} /$ torsion) $\otimes Z_{p}$ is a polynomial ring. Let $p=3$ as above. In dimensions $<39$, the 3 -torsion of $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$ is given by the following table:

| Generators | Dimension | Order | Detected by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $M_{\alpha} \times M^{11}$ | $11+\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}$ | 3 | $y_{\alpha} \cdot e_{1}$ |
| $M_{\alpha} \times M_{1}^{23}$ | $23+\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}$ | 3 | $y_{\alpha} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1}$ |
| $M_{\alpha} \times M_{2}^{28}$ | $23+\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}$ | 3 | $y_{\alpha} \cdot e_{1} \cdot \beta e_{1}$ |
| $M_{\alpha} \times M_{1}^{27}$ | $27+\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}$ | 9 | $y_{\alpha} \cdot\left(\mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1}-e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{1} \beta e_{1}\right)$ |
| $M_{\alpha} \times M_{2}^{27}$ | $27+\operatorname{dim} M_{\alpha}$ | 3 | $y_{\alpha} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1}$ |
| $M^{8^{4}}$ | 34 | 3 | $e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1}$ |
| $M_{1}^{35}$ | 35 | 3 | $e_{2}$ |
| $M_{2}^{35}$ | 35 | 3 | $e_{1} \cdot \beta \mathscr{P}^{3} e_{1}$ |
| $M_{3}^{35}$ | 35 | 9 | $e_{1} \cdot\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{2}$ |
| $M^{38}$ | 38 | 3 | $e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1}$ |

Here $M_{\alpha}$ are elements in $\Omega_{*}^{s o}$ detected by $y_{x}$. The dimensions such $M_{\alpha}$ appear in are $0,8,12,16,20,24,24,24$ in the range under discussion.

Easy computation shows that $e_{1} \cdot\left(\beta e_{1}\right)^{2}$ gives an element of order 9 in $H^{35}\left(M S P L ; Z_{q}\right)$ which detects all multiples of $M_{3}^{35}$. However $\mathscr{P}^{4} e_{1}-e_{1} \cdot \mathscr{P}^{1} \beta e_{1}$ is only of order 3 and we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. In dimensions $\leq 26$, all elements in $\Omega_{*}^{P L}$ are detected by ordinary characteristic classes. There is an $M_{1}^{27}$ of
order 9 such that $3 M_{1}^{27}$ is not detected by an ordinary characteristic class.

Proof. Since all elements of 2 -torsion are detected by ordinary characteristic classes [2], just look at the above table.
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