

On Hartshorne's conjecture

By

Shigefumi MORI* and Hideyasu SUMIHIRO

(Received Aug. 23, 1977)

§0. Introduction

After studying ample vector bundles on algebraic varieties, R. Hartshorne has posed the following problem in [5] and now it is known as the conjecture of Hartshorne's.

(H- n) If X is an n -dimensional non-singular projective algebraic variety with ample tangent vector bundle defined over an algebraically closed field k , then X is (algebraically) isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^n over k .

In the case $k = \mathbf{C}$ (the complex number field), it is known that this conjecture is deeply connected with the following famous conjecture of Frankel's in complex differential geometry.

(F- n) A compact Kaehler manifold X of dimension n with positive sectional curvature is biholomorphic to the complex projective space $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$.

From now on, we assume that the characteristic of k is 0. (H-1) and (F-1) are obvious. Using classification of algebraic surfaces, (H-2) and (F-2) are solved affirmatively by R. Hartshorne [5] and by Frankel and Andreotti [3] respectively. Recently, T. Mabuchi has succeeded in proving (H-3) under the assumption that the second Betti number of X is equal to 1 [9]. In this paper, we will prove that (H-3) holds true without the assumption on the second Betti number. The keys to our proof of (H-3) are the following.

(1) A criterion for $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$: Let X be a non-singular projective algebraic variety with ample anti-canonical divisor $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$. Then the Picard number $\rho(X)$ of X is equal to 1 if and only if every effective divisor on X is ample (Theorem 3). Using this criterion, we prove that if the tangent vector bundle T_X of X is ample, then the Picard number $\rho(X)$ of X is equal to 1 (Theorem 4).

(2) A characterization of projective spaces: If a non-singular projective algebraic variety X has a non-zero global vector field vanishing on an ample irreducible effective divisor D on X , then X is isomorphic to a projective space \mathbf{P}^n and D cor-

* The first author is partially supported by Sakkokai Foundation.

responds to a hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^n (Theorem 8).

(3) Bialynicki-Birula's results on G_m -actions [2] and T. Mabuchi's argument: We use T. Mabuchi's argument in simplified form on vector fields.

Finally we note that the conjecture (H-2) is proved by our method without using the classification of algebraic surfaces and it seems that our method might work in higher dimensional cases.

Notations

T_X : the tangent vector bundle of a non-singular algebraic variety X , i.e., a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf with rank = dim X .

$c_1 = c_1(T_X)$: the anti-canonical divisor of X , i.e., the first Chern class of T_X .

K_X : the canonical divisor of X , i.e., $K_X = -c_1$.

$H^i(X, F)$: i -th cohomology group for a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf F .

$h^i(X, F)$, $h^i(X, D) = h^i(D)$: $h^i(X, F) = \dim H^i(X, F)$, $h^i(X, D) = h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ for a divisor D on X .

$\chi(F)$: the Euler-Poincare characteristic of a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf F , i.e., $\chi(F) = \sum (-1)^i h^i(X, F)$.

$\text{Pic}(X)$: the Picard group of X .

$(D \cdot C)$: intersection number of a divisor D and a curve C in a non-singular projective algebraic variety.

$\text{Aut}(X)$, $\text{Aut}(X)^0$: the automorphism group of an algebraic variety X and the connected component of $\text{Aut}(X)$ containing the unit element.

X^G : G -fixed points scheme with reduced structure of an algebraic variety X on which a linear algebraic group G acts.

$V_+(\mathfrak{A})$, $D_+(F)$: the closed subscheme defined by a homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{A}(\subset R)$ in $\text{Proj}(R)$ (R being a graded ring) and the open subscheme defined by a homogeneous element F in $\text{Proj}(R)$.

§1. A criterion for $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$

Let X be a non-singular projective algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. In this section, we will give a criterion for $\text{Pic}(X)$ to be isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} when the anti-canonical divisor $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$ of X is ample and using it, we will prove that the ampleness of the tangent vector bundle T_X of X implies $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$.

Before stating our criterion, we shall begin with the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let D be an ample divisor on X ($n = \dim X$). Then $h^0(mD - c_1) \neq 0$ for some integer m with $1 \leq m \leq n + 1$.*

Proof. For every integer m , we put $P(m) = \chi(mD - c_1) = \chi(mD + K_X)$. Since D is ample, $P(m) = \frac{D^n}{n!} m^n + \dots$ is a numerical polynomial of degree n in m by the Riemann-Roch theorem and hence $P(m) = 0$ has only n roots. We have $h^i(mD +$

$K_X = h^{n-i}(-mD) = 0$ for $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $m(\geq 1)$ by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing theorem. Hence $P(m) = h^0(mD - c_1)$ ($m \geq 1$) and $h^0(mD - c_1) \neq 0$ for some m ($1 \leq m \leq n + 1$). q. e. d.

For a divisor D on X , we write $D > 0$ if D is ample and $D \geq 0$ if D is numerically effective, i.e., $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ for every effective curve C in X .

Lemma 2. *Assume that the anti-canonical divisor $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$ is ample. Then we get the following:*

- (1) *linear equivalence = numerical equivalence for divisors on X .*
- (2) *For a divisor $D \geq 0$ on X , there is a positive integer m such that $h^0(mD) \geq 1$.*

Proof. (2) Let D be a numerically effective divisor on X . Let $P(x)$ be the polynomial such that $P(m) = \chi(mD)$ for every integer m . Since c_1 is ample, $P(0) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and $P(m) = \frac{D^n}{n!} m^n + \dots + 1$. $h^i(mD) = h^{n-i}(-mD + K_X) = h^{n-i}(-(mD + c_1)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ because $mD + c_1$ is ample for every $m(\geq 0)$. Hence $P(m) = h^0(mD) \geq 0$ for $m(\geq 0)$ and $h^0(mD) \geq 1$ for some integer $m(\geq 1)$. (1) Let D be a divisor which is numerically equivalent to 0. Then we see easily that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 1$ because $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$ is ample. Hence D is linearly equivalent to 0. q. e. d.

Let $A^1(X) = N(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ where $N(X)$ is the Neron-Severi group of X and let ρ be the Picard number of X , i.e., $\rho = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} A^1(X)$ ([7]). Now we shall give a theorem which implies $\rho = 1$ under some condition.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a non-singular projective algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let the anti-canonical divisor $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$ be ample. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\rho = 1$
- (2) *Every effective divisor on X is ample.*

Proof. We have only to prove (2) \rightarrow (1). Assuming that there is an ample divisor D on X so that $D \notin \mathbb{R}c_1$ in $A^1(X)$, we will get a contradiction. By virtue of Lemma 1 and our assumption, we have $(n + 1)D - c_1 \geq 0$ and $(n + 1)D - c_1 > 0$ because $D \notin \mathbb{R}c_1$. Let $(n + 1)D = c_1 + D_1$ in $A^1(X)$, D_1 being an ample divisor on X . Then $D_1 \notin \mathbb{R}c_1$. Applying the same process to D_1 , we get $(n + 1)D_1 = c_1 + D_2$, D_2 being an ample divisor on X . Repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)D &= c_1 + D_1 \\ (n + 1)D_1 &= c_1 + D_2 \\ &\vdots \\ (n + 1)D_{m-1} &= c_1 + D_m \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $D = \frac{1 - (1/(n + 1))^{m+1}}{n} c_1 + (1/(n + 1))^{m+1} D_m$ where D_m is an ample divisor

on X . Taking $m \rightarrow \infty$, $D \geq \frac{c_1}{n}$, i.e., $nD - c_1 \geq 0$. By virtue of Lemma 2 and our assumption, $nD - c_1 > 0$ because $D \notin \mathbf{R}c_1$. Hence, $nD - c_1 > 0$ for any ample divisor D which is not contained in $\mathbf{R}c_1$. Applying the above argument to this situation again, we get $(n-1)D - c_1 > 0$. Repeating this argument, we finally get that $D - c_1 > 0$ if D is an ample divisor and $D \notin \mathbf{R}c_1$. Now we have $D = c_1 + D_1$, $D_1 = c_1 + D_2, \dots$ (D_m is an ample divisor for every m). Then $D = mc_1 + D_m$. Since c_1 is ample, $D_m = D - mc_1$ is not ample for a sufficiently large m , which is a contradiction.

q. e. d.

Now we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a non-singular projective algebraic variety with ample tangent vector bundle T_X defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$.*

Before giving the proof, we shall show three lemmas and fix some notation. The following lemma is well-known and hence we omit the proof.

Lemma 5. *Let D be an irreducible divisor on X . Then D is ample if and only if $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D$ is ample and $(D \cdot C) > 0$ for every curve C in X .*

Let $A_1(X) = (\mathbf{Z}_1(X)/\text{Num. equiv.}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ where $\mathbf{Z}_1(X)$ is the group generated by cycles of codimension $(n-1)$, i.e., curves in X . Then $A_1(X)$ is the dual space of $A^1(X)$ by the intersection pairing: $A^1(X) \otimes A_1(X) \ni (D, C) \rightarrow (D \cdot C) \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\dim A_1(X) = \rho$, ρ being the Picard number of X . We define a norm $\| \cdot \|$ in $A_1(X)$ by $\|C\| = \sqrt{\sum x_i^2}$ for $C = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} x_i C_i$, where $\{C_1, \dots, C_{\rho}\}$ is a fixed basis of $A_1(X)$.

S. Kleiman gave a useful criterion for a divisor D on X to be ample, i.e., D is ample if and only if there exists a positive number ε such that $(D \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon \|C\|$ for every effective curve C in X ([7]). C. Barton extended the criterion to vector bundles on X ([1]).

Lemma 6 (Barton). *Let E be a vector bundle on X . The following are equivalent to each other.*

- (1) E is ample.
- (2) There exists a positive number ε such that $d(f^*(E)) \geq \varepsilon \|f_*(C)\|$ for every finite morphism $f: C \rightarrow X$, C being a non-singular projective curve, where $d(f^*(E))$ denotes the minimum of degrees of quotient line bundles of $f^*(E)$ on C .

The following lemma is obvious and we omit the proof.

Lemma 7. *Let A be a commutative noetherian ring, I a prime ideal in A and let D be a derivation on A . Then, $D(I^{(m)}) \subseteq I^{(m-1)}$ where $I^{(m)}$ are the m -th symbolic powers of I ($m=1, 2, \dots$) and the induced homomorphism $I^{(m)}/I^{(m+1)} \rightarrow I^{(m-1)}/I^{(m)}$ is A/I -linear.*

Proof of Theorem 4. Since $c_1 = c_1(T_X)$ is ample, we have only to prove that every irreducible divisor D on X is ample by virtue of Theorem 3. Using Lemma

5, we will check the following two facts (i) and (ii).

(i) $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D$ is ample: We prove that there exists a positive number ε such that $(D \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon m(C)$ for every irreducible (reduced) curve C in D , $m(C) = \max_{P \in C} \text{mult}_P(C)$ ([5], Seshadri's criterion for ampleness of divisors). Let I_C, I_D be the sheaves of defining ideals of C, D in X respectively and let m be a natural number such that $I_C^{(m)} \supset I_D, I_C^{(m+1)} \not\supset I_D$, where $I_C^{(l)}$ are the l -th symbolic powers of I_C ($l=1, 2, \dots$). Then, $m \leq \text{mult}_P(D)$ for a general point P in C . The natural homomorphism $I_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_C = I_D/I_C I_D \rightarrow I_C^{(m)}/I_C^{(m+1)}$ induces a non-zero map at the generic point of C and the induced homomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(I_C^{(m)}/I_C^{(m+1)}, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(I_D/I_C I_D, \mathcal{O}_C) = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ is also non-zero at the generic point of C . By virtue of Lemma 7, we have an \mathcal{O}_C -homomorphism $\beta: S^m(T_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \ni D_1 \otimes \dots \otimes D_m \rightarrow [g \rightarrow D_1(\dots(D_m(g))\dots)] \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(I_C^{(m)}/I_C^{(m+1)}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta: S^m(T_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ is non-zero at the generic point of C . Let $f: C' \rightarrow C$ be a desingularization of C . Since $f^*(S^m(T_X)) \rightarrow f^*(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$ is a non-zero homomorphism and $S^m(T_X)$ is ample, there is a positive number ε' such that $(D \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon' \|C\|$ by virtue of Lemma 6. ε' may depend on the integer m . However, considering the Samuel function on D , we see that these integers m are bounded. Since $m(C) \leq \lambda \|C\|$ ($\lambda > 0$) for every curve C in X , we get a positive number ε such that $(D \cdot C) \geq \varepsilon m(C)$ for every irreducible curve C in D .

(ii) $(D \cdot C) > 0$ for every curve C in X : Since $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D$ is ample, $\mathcal{O}_X(lD)$ is generated by global sections for a sufficiently large integer l . Let C be an irreducible curve in X . Let $lD \sim \sum_i r_i D_i$ (\sim denotes linear equivalence), D_i being irreducible divisor so that $D_i \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for some i . If $D_i \supset C$, then $(D_i \cdot C) > 0$ by virtue of (1). Hence, we get $(D \cdot C) > 0$. q. e. d.

§2. A characterization of \mathbf{P}^n

In this section, we will give a characterization for a non-singular algebraic variety X to be isomorphic to a projective space by using global vector fields on X .

Theorem 8. *Let X be an n -dimensional, non-singular projective algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. If there is a non-zero global vector field on X vanishing on an ample irreducible* effective divisor D in X , i.e., $H^0(X, T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \neq 0$, then X is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^n and D is a hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^n .*

Proof. Let $G = \text{Aut}(X)^0$ and let $G' = \{g \in G \mid \text{every point of } D \text{ is fixed by } g\}$. Then, G' is a linear algebraic subgroup of G and the tangent space of G' at the unit element $= H^0(X, T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$. Therefore, we consider the following two cases, (I) and (II).

(I) G_m acts non-trivially on X and $D \subset X^{G_m}$: Since $\text{Pic}(G_m) = 0$, there is a G_m -linearization on $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and we fix this linearization on $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{v \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(vD))$, $R_v = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(vD))$. Then R is a finitely generated graded ring over k and

* The irreducibility can be omitted. We have only to assume that $H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) \cong k$.

each R_v , the homogeneous part of degree v in $R(v \in \mathbb{Z}, v \geq 0)$, is a rational G_m -module. Now let $\{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ be a minimal set of G_m -semi-invariant homogeneous generators of R over k , $F_0 (\in R_1)$ being the element corresponding to D . For a semi-invariant element $F (\neq 0)$, we denote the weight of F by $\chi(F)$, i.e., $\tau(t)F = t^{\chi(F)}F$ ($t \in G_m$). We prove that $R = k[F_0, \dots, F_r]$ is a polynomial ring over k , $r = n$, $\deg F_i = 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and $\chi(F_1)/\deg F_1 = \dots = \chi(F_n)/\deg F_n$, $\chi(F_0)/\deg F_0 \neq \chi(F_1)/\deg F_1$.

Lemma 9. $\chi(F_1)/\deg F_1 = \dots = \chi(F_r)/\deg F_r$, $\chi(F_0)/\deg F_0 \neq \chi(F_1)/\deg F_1$.

Proof. $\{\bar{F}_1, \dots, \bar{F}_r\}$ is a minimal set of semi-invariant homogeneous generators of the quotient ring $R/(F_0)$ where \bar{F}_i is the image of F_i in $R/(F_0)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Let (Y, L) be a polarized algebraic scheme over k with a G_m -action such that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = k$ and L has a G_m -linearization. Then the action of G_m on Y is trivial if and only if there are characters χ_v of G_m such that the action of G_m on $H^0(Y, L^{\otimes v})$ is a multiplication by χ_v for every $H^0(Y, L^{\otimes v}) \neq 0$ ($v \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that all the χ_v/v are equal to each other. Therefore, $\chi(F_1)/\deg F_1 = \dots = \chi(F_r)/\deg F_r$ because the action of G_m on $D \cong \text{Proj}(R/(F_0))$ is trivial and $\chi(F_0)/\deg(F_0) \neq \chi(F_1)/\deg F_1$ because the action of G_m on X is non-trivial. q. e. d.

Hence, F_0 is transcendental over $\{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$.

Lemma 10. $r = n$ and $\{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ is algebraically independent over k .

Proof. Since F_0 is transcendental over $\{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$, $V_+(F_1, \dots, F_r) = \{P\}$ where P is a closed point in $X \cong \text{Proj} R$ and $P \in D_+(F_0) = \text{Spec } k[F'_1, \dots, F'_r]$ ($F'_i = \bar{F}_i/F_0^{\deg F_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$). Now let $k[F'_1, \dots, F'_r] = k[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]/I$, where $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_r\}$ is algebraically independent over k and let M be the maximal ideal in $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$ generated by (Y_1, \dots, Y_r) . Then the regular local ring $(\mathcal{O}_{X,P}, m_P)$ is equal to $(k[Y]_M / I k[Y]_M, M k[Y]_M / I k[Y]_M)$. We claim that $r = n$ and $I = 0$. Indeed if $I \not\subset M^2$, then we may assume that there is a non-trivial, G_m -semi-invariant relation such that

$$F'_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i F'_i + f(F'_1, \dots, F'_r) = 0 \quad (\deg f(Y_1, \dots, Y_r) \geq 2).$$

By virtue of Lemma 9, we can easily prove that $f(Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$ does not contain the monomial which is divisible by Y_1 and the relation

$$F_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} a_i F_i + f(F_2, \dots, F_r) = 0$$

holds. This contradicts to the fact that $\{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ is a minimal set of semi-invariant homogeneous generators of R . Hence $I \subset M^2$. Then $\dim_k(m_P/m_P^2) = \dim_k(M k[Y]_M / M^2 k[Y]_M) = n$ implies that $r = n$ and $I = 0$. Therefore, $\{F_0, \dots, F_r\}$ is algebraically independent over k . q. e. d.

Lemma 11. $\deg F_i = 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$).

Proof. For every i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), put D_i to be the divisor defined by F_i in X . Then D_i is linearly equivalent to $\deg F_i D$. Let C_i be the curve defined by $(F_1, \dots, \widehat{F_i}, \dots, F_n)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then $(D_i \cdot C_i) = 1$ and $\deg F_i = 1$. q. e. d.

By the above results, we have completed the proof of the assertion in case (I). We consider the other case.

(II) G_a acts non-trivially on X and $D \subset X^{G_a}$: Since $\text{Pic}(G_a) = 0$, there is a G_a -linearization on $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and we fix this linearization on $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ and as in the case (I), we consider the finitely generated graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{v \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(vD))$, $R_v = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(vD))$. Let $\{F_0, F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ be a minimal set of homogeneous generators of R , $F_0 (\in R_1)$ being the element corresponding to D . Since G_a acts on $D \cong \text{Proj}(R/(F_0))$ trivially, the action of G_a on the quotient ring $R/(F_0)$ is trivial, i.e., $\tau(t)F - F \in (F_0)$ ($t \in G_a$) for every homogeneous element F in R . Now we define $\Delta(F) = (\tau(1)F - F)/F_0 (\in R)$ and $\chi(F) = \deg_t [\tau(t)F]$ for every homogeneous element F . Since F_0 is G_a -invariant, $\tau(t)(\Delta(F)) = (\tau(t+1)F - \tau(t)F)/F_0$ and $\chi(\Delta(F)) = \chi(F) - 1$ if $\chi(F) \neq 0$. By the induction on the degree of F , we see that $\deg F \geq \chi(F)$ in general.

Lemma 12. $\max \{\chi(F_i)/\deg F_i\} = 1$.

Proof. Assuming that $\max \{\chi(F_i)/\deg F_i\} = b/a \leq 1$, (a, b) = 1, $a > 1$, we will get a contradiction. For a general point P in X , $\tau(\infty)(P) \in V_+(F_i | \chi(F_i)/\deg F_i < b/a)$. Since a and b are coprime, $\deg F_i$ is divisible by a if $\chi(F_i)/\deg F_i = b/a$. Hence $\tau(\infty)(P) \in V_+(R_{Na+1})$ for every $N > 0$. Since D is ample, $\sqrt{R_N R}$ is an irrelevant prime for every sufficiently large integer N and this is a contradiction. q. e. d.

Operating Δ if necessary, we may assume that there is an element $F (\neq 0)$ with $\deg F = 1$ and $\chi(F) = 1$. Hence, after changing generators appropriately, we may furthermore assume that $\tau(t)F_1 = F_1 + tF_0$ ($t \in G_a$) and $\deg F_1 = 1$.

Lemma 13. For every homogeneous element F , there exists a unique set of G_a -invariant homogeneous elements $\{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ such that

$$F = \sum_{v=0}^m \frac{G_v}{v!} F_1 \{F_1 - F_0\} \cdots \{F_1 - (v-1)F_0\} \quad (m = \chi(F)).$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by the induction on $\chi(F)$. If $\chi(F) = 0$, i.e., F is G_a -invariant, it is obvious. Applying the induction hypothesis on $\Delta(F)$, we have a unique set $\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ (G_i : G_a -invariant and homogeneous) such that $\Delta(F) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \frac{G_{v+1}}{v!} F_1 \cdots \{F_1 - (v-1)F_0\} = \Delta \left[\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{G_v}{v!} F_1 \cdots \{F_1 - (v-1)F_0\} \right]$. Hence $G_0 = F - \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{G_v}{v!} F_1 \cdots \{F_1 - (v-1)F_0\}$. q. e. d.

For every homogeneous element F , we denote by $\alpha(F)$ the element G_0 given in Lemma 13. Then Lemma 13 implies that $F - \alpha(F) \in (R_+^2)_v + k[F_0, F_1]_v$ ($v = \deg F$), where R_+ = the ideal (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_r) in R . Applying the above relation, we can take a good minimal set of homogeneous generators of R .

Lemma 14. *There is a minimal set of homogeneous generators $\{F_0, F_1, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$ of R such that $\{F_0, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$ are G_a -invariant and $\tau(t)F_1 = F_1 + tF_0$ ($t \in G_a$).*

Proof. Let $\tilde{F}_0 = F_0$, $\tilde{F}_1 = F_1$ and $\tilde{F}_i = \alpha(F_i)$, $\deg F_i \leq \deg F_{i+1}$ ($i \geq 2$). Then F_i

$\in k\tilde{F}_i + (R_+^2)_v + k[F_0, F_1]_v$ ($v = \deg F_i$). Therefore, we can prove that $k[\tilde{F}_0, \tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_i] = k[F_0, F_1, \dots, F_i]$ for every i ($0 \leq i \leq r$) by the induction on i and $\{\tilde{F}_0, \tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_r\}$ is the desired minimal set of homogeneous generators of R . q. e. d.

By virtue of Lemma 14, F_1 is transcendental over $\{F_0, F_2, \dots, F_r\}$ and $V_+(F_0, F_2, \dots, F_r) = \{P\}$ (P is a closed point in X). By the same argument used in the case (I), we can prove that $r = n$, $\{F_0, \dots, F_r\}$ is algebraically independent over k and $\deg F_i = 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$). Therefore, X is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^n and D corresponds to a hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^n . q. e. d.

§3. Application

T. Mabuchi has succeeded in proving that the conjecture (H-3) holds true under the assumption that the second Betti number = 1 [9]. Our Theorem 4 implies that the second Betti number = 1, if the tangent vector bundle T_X of X is ample. Combining his result with ours, we can now prove that the conjecture (H-3) is true. In this section, applying our previous results, we shall give another proof which is simpler than Mabuchi's [9]. It seems that ours might work in higher dimensional cases. The keys to our proof are the results of Bialynicki-Birula's on G_m -actions [2] and the arguments of Mabuchi's.

Theorem 15. *If X is a 3-dimensional, non-singular projective algebraic variety with ample tangent vector bundle T_X defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, then X is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^3 .*

Proof. Let $P = P(T_X)$ be the projective fiber bundle of T_X over X and let L be the tautological line bundle of T_X . Then L is ample because T_X is ample and the canonical line bundle of P is isomorphic to $L^{\otimes -3}$. $H^i(X, T_X) = H^i(P, L) = H^{5-i}(P, L^{\otimes -4}) = 0$ for i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) by Serre's duality and Kodaira's vanishing theorem. Hence $\dim H^0(X, T_X) = \chi(X, T_X) = \frac{1}{2}(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_3) + 5$, c_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) being the i -th Chern class of T_X , by the Riemann-Roch theorem. $c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2 + c_3$ is a positive integer ([3]). Hence, $\dim H^0(X, T_X) \geq 6$. Now let $G = \text{Aut}^0(X)$. Since the irregularity of $X (= h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is 0, G is a linear algebraic group and $\dim G = \dim H^0(X, T_X) \geq 6$. We consider the following two cases.

(I) $G \supset G_m$

We use the useful results of Bialynicki-Birula's on G_m -actions [2]. As for the definitions of (+)-decomposition (resp. (-)-decomposition) of X and G_m -fibrations $\gamma_i^+ : X_i^+ \rightarrow X_i^{G_m}$ (resp. $\gamma_i^- : X_i^- \rightarrow X_i^{G_m}$), we refer to his paper. Let X^{G_m} be the fixed point scheme of X and let $X^{G_m} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i^{G_m}$ be the decomposition of connected components. Then every component $X_i^{G_m}$ is smooth [6]. Following the Bialynicki-Birula's results ([2], Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 1), let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i^+$ (resp. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i^-$), $(X_i^+)^{G_m} = X_i^{G_m}$ (resp. $(X_i^-)^{G_m} = X_i^{G_m}$) be the unique (+)-decomposition of X (resp. (-)-decomposition of X), $\gamma_i^+ : X_i^+ \rightarrow X_i^{G_m}$ (resp. $\gamma_i^- : X_i^- \rightarrow X_i^{G_m}$) a G_m -fibration and

let $U = X_1^\dagger$ be the dense G_m -invariant locally closed subscheme of X . For simplicity, we put $Y = X_1^{G_m} = U^{G_m}$ and denote the G_m -fibration by $\gamma: U \rightarrow Y$. Since γ is a smooth morphism, we have a surjective homomorphism: $T_U = T_X|_U \rightarrow \gamma^*(T_Y)$ (U being an open subscheme of X). Restricting these vector bundles to Y , we see that there is a surjective homomorphism: $T_X|_Y \rightarrow T_Y$ and hence T_Y is ample. Since the action of G_m is non-trivial, $\dim Y = 0, 1$ or 2 .

(i) $\dim Y = 2$. By virtue of our Theorem 8, $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$ and $Y \simeq$ a hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^3 .

(ii) $\dim Y = 1$. Y is a non-singular curve with the ample tangent bundle. Hence, $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$. Let H be the closure of $\gamma^{-1}(P)$ for a point P in Y . Then, the intersection number $(H \cdot Y) = 1$ and so H is the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. Theorem 4). Put $c_1 = \alpha H$ (α being a positive integer). We see that $\alpha \geq 4$ by considering the exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow T_Y \rightarrow T_X|_Y \rightarrow N_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0$ and the fact that $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$. By virtue of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem ([8], Corollaries to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.1), $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$.

(iii) $\dim Y = 0$. In this case, $U \simeq \mathbf{A}^3$ (3-dimensional affine space) and the action of G_m on U is positive definite [2], i.e., $\tau(t)X_1 = t^a X_1$, $\tau(t)X_2 = t^b X_2$, $\tau(t)X_3 = t^c X_3$ ($t \in G_m$; a, b, c being positive integers) for an affine coordinate system $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ of \mathbf{A}^3 . Let P_0 be the origin $(0, 0, 0)$ of $\mathbf{A}^3 = U$ and let $H = X - U$. Since $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ and $U \simeq \mathbf{A}^3$, H is irreducible and is the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. Now let us consider the G_m -invariant locally closed (+)-strata of X contained in H . Assume that X_2^\dagger is the (+)-stratum which is open in H . Let $Z = (X_2^\dagger)^{G_m}$, W the (-)-stratum such that $W^{G_m} = Z$ and $\gamma': W \rightarrow Z$ the G_m -fibration. Let P be a point in Z and let C be the closure of $\gamma'^{-1}(P)$ in X . Then C is a rational curve such that $(C \cdot H) = 1$. For a closed subscheme V in X , let us denote by $T_Q(V)$ the tangent space of V at a non-singular point Q in V . Now let $T_P(X) = T_P(X)^0 \oplus T_P(X)^+ \oplus T_P(X)^-$ be the decomposition of $T_P(X)$ into the eigenspaces with respect to the action of G_m on $T_P(X)$ (See [2]). Then, $T_P(Z) = T_P(X)^0$, $T_P(H) = T_P(X)^0 \oplus T_P(X)^-$, $T_P(W) = T_P(X)^+ \oplus T_P(X)^0$ and $T_P(C) = T_P(X)^-$. Since $\dim_k T_P(H) = 2$, we see that $\dim_k T_P(C) = 1$ and C is a rational curve such that $(C \cdot H) = 1$ because C and H meet transversally at P . Let $f: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the desingularization of C and let $c_1 = \alpha H$ (α being a positive integer). Since $\tilde{C} \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$, $f^*(T_X)$ decomposes into three ample line bundles and so $\alpha \geq 3$. Thus, we see that $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$ by virtue of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem.

(II) $G =$ a unipotent algebraic group.

In this case, we prove that X is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^3 . This is a contradiction because $G = PGL(3)$. Therefore, case (II) does not occur. First, we state an easy lemma on unipotent algebraic groups.

Lemma 16. *Let G be a connected unipotent algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let K be a connected closed subgroup of G . Then, we get the following*

(i) *If $\text{codim}_G K = 1$, then K is normal in G and $K \supset [G, G]$.*

(ii) *If $\text{codim}_G K = 2$, then $N(K)$ (= the normalizer of K in G) is normal in G and $K \supset [N(K), N(K)]$.*

Proof. Since G is nilpotent as an abstract group, $N(K) \cong K$ for every subgroup K of G . Using this fact, one can prove the lemma easily.

Let H be an ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$. We see $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 0$ for every $i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing theorem. Thus $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 + c_2)H + \frac{1}{4}c_1H^2 + \frac{1}{6}H^3$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem, and hence $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) \geq 2$. Therefore, we may assume that H is effective, irreducible and G -invariant. For each point y in H , we denote by G_y the stabilizer group of y . First, we will get a contradiction assuming that G does not contain commutative 5-dimensional closed subgroup: Let $m = \max_{y \in H} \{\dim O(y)\}$, $O(y)$ being the G -orbit of y . Then, $m = 0, 1$ or 2 .

(i) $m = 0$. Since every point in H is G -invariant, $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ by virtue of Theorem 8.

(ii) $m = 1$. Every $G_y (y \in H)$ is normal in G and G_y contains $[G, G]$ by virtue of Lemma 16. Since $[G, G] \neq e$, and $[G, G]$ fixes every point in H , $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$.

(iii) $m = 2$. Let $y (\in H)$ be a point such that $\dim O(y) = 2$. By virtue of Lemma 16, $N(G_y)$ is normal and $G_y \supseteq [N(G_y), N(G_y)]$. Since $[N(G_y), N(G_y)] \neq e (\dim N(G_y) \geq 5)$ and $[N(G_y), N(G_y)]$ fixes every point in H , $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$.

Thus, G contains a 5-dimensional commutative closed subgroup K . Now let $n = \max_{x \in X} \{\dim O(x)\}$, $O(x)$ being the K -orbit of x and K_x the stabilizer group of x . Then, $n = 1, 2$ or 3 .

(i) $n = 3$. Let x be a point of X such that $\dim O(x) = 3$. Then $K_x (\dim K_x \geq 2)$ acts on X trivially because K is commutative. This is a contradiction.

(ii) $n = 2$. Let x be a point of X such that $\dim O(x) = 2$. Then $K_x (\dim K_x \geq 3)$ acts on the closure $\overline{O(x)}$ of $O(x)$ in X trivially. Hence $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ by virtue of Theorem 8.

(iii) $n = 1$. Let $X_i (1 \leq i \leq 5)$ be the linearly independent global vector fields on X corresponding to the subgroup K of G and let $Y = \text{zero locus of } X_1$. We claim that $\dim Y = 2$. Put $U = X - Y$. By our assumption, $X_2 = fX_1$ on U where f is a regular function on U . If $\dim Y \leq 1$, then f is a regular function on X and f is a non-zero constant. Since X_1 and X_2 are linearly independent, this is a contradiction. Therefore G_a acts on Y trivially and $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$. q. e. d.

Finally, we give a theorem which might work for Hartshorne conjecture in higher dimensional case. Indeed, we can generalize the proof of the case (I) in Theorem 15 by using the Bialynicki-Birula's result cited above, and we get the following:

Theorem 17. *Let X be an n -dimensional non-singular projective algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Assume the conjecture $(H - m) (1 \leq m \leq n - 2)$ is true and that X has a non-trivial G_m -action. Then, X is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^n .*

AND

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS

KONAN UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] C. Barton, Tensor products of ample vector bundles in characteristic p , *Amer. Jour. of Math.*, Vol. 93, 429–438, 1971.
- [2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. 98, 480–497, 1973.
- [3] T. Frankel, Manifolds with positive curvature, *Pacific Jour.*, Vol. 11, 165–174, 1961.
- [4] D. Gieseker, P -ample bundles and their Chern classes, *Nagoya Math. Jour.*, Vol. 43, 91–116, 1971.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [6] B. Iversen, A fixed point formula for action of tori on algebraic varieties, *Inventiones Math.*, Vol. 16, 229–236, 1972.
- [7] S. Kleiman, Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. 84, 293–344, 1966.
- [8] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics, *Jour. of Math. Kyoto Univ.*, Vol. 13, 31–47, 1973.
- [9] T. Mabuchi, C^3 -actions and algebraic threefolds with ample tangent bundle, Thesis (to appear).
- [10] S. Mori, On a generalization of complete intersections, *Jour. of Math. Kyoto Univ.*, Vol. 15, 619–646, 1975.
- [11] H. Sumihiro, Equivariant completion, *Jour. of Math. Kyoto Univ.*, Vol. 14, 1–28, 1974.