

## Some types of derivations and their applications to field theory

By

Satoshi SUZUKI

(Received Jan. 24, 1980)

Dedicated to Professor Y. Nakai for his sixtieth birthday

Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be elements in an over-field of  $k$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i}$  have meaning. We consider derivations of  $k(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  of the forms

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} + \frac{1}{X_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} + \frac{1}{X_2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{X_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n} \quad (1)$$

and

$$d = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} + \frac{1}{X_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} + \frac{1}{X_1 X_2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{X_1 \dots X_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n} \quad (2)$$

Using (1) or (2) in the case  $\text{ch}(k)=0$  and (2) in the case  $\text{ch}(k)=p \neq 0$ , we prove the only if part of the following theorem. The if part is well-known (e.g. Heerema-Deveney [1]).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K/k$  be a finitely generated field extension. Then  $K/k$  is regular if and only if there exists a derivation of  $K$  whose field of constants is  $k$  when  $\text{ch}(k)=0$  and there exists a higher derivation of infinite rank of  $K$  whose field of constants is  $k$  when  $\text{ch}(k)=p \neq 0$ .*

Next, using (2), we give an alternative proof of the only if part of the following Weisfeld's theorem in [4].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K/k$  be a purely inseparable field extension of finite exponent  $r$  where  $\text{ch}(k)=p \neq 0$ . Then,  $K/k$  is modular if and only if there exists a higher derivation of rank  $m$  with  $p^{r-1} < m \leq p^r$ , whose field of constants is  $k$ .*

Weisfeld defined and used the notion of non-integrable elements for higher derivations but in our method, we only use non-integrable elements of ordinary derivations. Our proof is much simpler than Weisfeld's one.

Finally we give a proof of the only if part of the following theorem, using Miyanishi's idea in [2] and Zerla's result in [5].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $K/k$  be a finitely generated field extension where  $\text{ch}(k) = p \neq 0$ . Then,  $K/k$  is regular if and only if there exists an iterative higher derivation of infinite rank, whose field of constants is  $k$ .*

Although the only if part of Theorem 3 is stronger than that of Theorem 1 for  $\text{ch}(k) = p \neq 0$ , our method used in the latter is direct and common for other purposes (Theorem 1 for  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$  and Theorem 2).

In working on these problems, Prof. Nakai, himself, gave the author precious advices, to whom the author would like to express his thanks.

### 1. Preliminaries.

In this section,  $k$ ,  $K$  and  $L$  denote fields.  $k$  is a subfield of  $K$  and  $L$  is an overfield of  $K$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L/K$  be separably algebraic. Let  $D = \{D^i\}_{1 \leq i < m}$  be a higher derivation of  $K$  where  $m$  may be  $\infty$  and let  $k$  be the field of constants of  $D$ . Assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $L$ . Denote by  $D'$  the unique extension of  $D$  to  $L$ . Then  $k$  is the field of constants of  $D'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in L$  be such that  $D'^i y = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < m$ . Since

$$D'^i y^l = \sum D'^{i_1} y D'^{i_2} y \cdots D'^{i_l} y \quad (i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_l = i, i_k \geq 0),$$

we have  $D'^i y^l = 0$  and therefore  $D'^i(\alpha y^l) = (D^i \alpha) y^l$  for  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $1 \leq i < m$ ,  $0 \leq l$ . Let  $f(Y) = Y^n + \alpha_1 Y^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_n$  ( $\alpha_j \in K$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) be a minimal polynomial of  $y$  over  $K$ . Then we have

$$0 = D'^i(f(y)) = (D^i \alpha_1) y^{n-1} + (D^i \alpha_2) y^{n-2} + \cdots + D^i \alpha_n.$$

Hence  $D^i \alpha_1 = D^i \alpha_2 = \cdots = D^i \alpha_n = 0$  ( $1 \leq i < m$ ) and we have  $\alpha_j \in k$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Hence  $y$  is algebraic over  $k$  and  $y \in k$  by our assumption.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $K$ . Let  $k$  be the field of constants of  $d$ . Let  $H$  be an overfield of  $k$  contained in  $L$ , such that  $K$  and  $H$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ . We denote by  $\bar{d}$  an extension of  $d$  to  $K \cdot H$  such that  $\bar{d}a = 0$  for  $a \in H$ . Then*

- 1)  $k \cdot H$  is a field of constants of  $\bar{d}$ .
- 2) If  $\lambda \in K$  and  $\lambda \in d(K)$ , we have  $\lambda \in \bar{d}(K \cdot H)$ .

*Proof.* If  $H$  is algebraic over  $k$ , our assertions follow from linear disjointness, directly. If  $H = k(x)$ , a purely transcendental extension, we easily obtain 1) and 2) embedding  $H$  in  $k((x))$ . Our proof is reduced to these two cases.

**Lemma 3.** *Assume that  $\text{ch}(K) = 0$  and that  $L/K$  is algebraic. Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $K$  and let  $d'$  be the unique extension of  $d$  to  $L$ . Then, if  $\lambda \in K$  and  $\lambda \in d(K)$ , we have  $\lambda \in d'(L)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $y \in L$  with  $d'y = \lambda$ . Let  $f(Y) = Y^n + \alpha_1 Y^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n$  be the minimal polynomial of  $y$  over  $K$ . Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = d'f(y) &= \{(d\alpha_1)y^{n-1} + (d\alpha_2)y^{n-2} + \dots + d\alpha_n\} \\ &\quad + \{ny^{n-1} + (n-1)\alpha_1 y^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}\} \lambda \\ &= (d\alpha_1 + n\lambda)y^{n-1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d\alpha_1 + n\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = d\left(\frac{-\alpha_1}{n}\right) \in d(K)$ , a contradiction.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1 for  $\text{ch}(K) = 0$ .**

We can reduce our assertion to the case where  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , a purely transcendental extension of  $k$  with indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , by Lemma 1. Therefore, our assertion follows from the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $x$  be an algebraically independent element over a field  $L$  of characteristic 0. Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $L$  whose field of constants is  $k$ . Let  $\bar{d}$  be an extension of  $d$  to  $L(x)$  such that  $\bar{d}x = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a derivation of  $L(x)$  over  $L$  such that  $\varepsilon(x) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda \in L$  and  $\lambda \in d(L)$ . We put  $d' = \bar{d} + \lambda\varepsilon$ . Then, the field of constants of  $d'$  is  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u(x) \in L(x)$  such that  $d'u(x) = 0$ . Then,

$$0 = d'u(x) = \bar{d}u(x) + \lambda u'(x) \quad \dots (a).$$

We put  $u(x) = \frac{h(x)}{g(x)}$  with  $g(x), h(x) \in L[x]$  and  $(g(x), h(x)) = 1$ . If  $u = u(x) \in L$ , we have  $du = 0$  and  $u \in k$ . Therefore we assume that  $u(x) \notin L$ . Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_i$  be roots of the equation  $u'(x) = 0$  in the algebraic closure  $\bar{L}$  of  $L$  which are not roots of  $g(x) = 0$ . We put  $c_i = u(\xi_i)$  and take  $c \in k$  such that  $c \neq c_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Since  $u(x) \notin L$ , there exists at least a solution  $\xi \in \bar{L}$  of the equation  $h(x) = cg(x)$ . Then  $g(\xi) \neq 0$ , because  $(g(x), h(x)) = 1$ . Let  $d^*$  be the unique extension of  $d$  to  $L(\xi)$ . Then it holds that

$$0 = d^*(u(\xi)) = (\bar{d}u(x))_{x=\xi} + (u'(x))_{x=\xi} d^*\xi \quad \dots (b).$$

By (a) and (b) we have  $d^*\xi = \lambda$  which contradicts Lemma 3.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be algebraically independent elements over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Put  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $d$  be a derivation of the form (1) or (2) with  $X_i = x_i$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{x_n} \in d(K) \text{ in case (1) and } \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \in d(K) \text{ in case (2).}$$

*Proof.* We give a proof for case (1). Case (2) can be proved in the same

way. We put  $K'=k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and  $d=d_1+\frac{1}{x_{n-1}}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ . Then  $K=K'(x_n)$  and,  $d$  and  $d_1$  map  $K'[x_n]$  into  $K'[x_n]$ . Let  $u \in K$  such that  $du = \frac{1}{x_n}$ . We put  $u = \frac{h}{g}$  with  $g, h \in K'[x_n]$  and  $(g, h) = 1$ . Since  $du = \frac{(dh)g - hdg}{g^2} = \frac{1}{x_n}$ , we have  $x_n | g$ . Hence there exists a positive integer  $l$  such that  $g = x_n^l \bar{g}$  where  $\bar{g} \in K'[x_n]$  and  $\bar{g}(0) \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} du &= \frac{1}{x_n^{2l} \bar{g}^2} \{x_n^l (d_1 h \cdot \bar{g} - h d_1 \bar{g}) + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} (h_{x_n} x_n^l \bar{g} - h x_n^l \bar{g}_{x_n} - l h x_n^{l-1} \bar{g})\} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_n^{l+1} \bar{g}^2} \left[ x_n \{(d_1 h \cdot g - h d_1 g) + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} (h_{x_n} \bar{g} - h \bar{g}_{x_n})\} - \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} l h \bar{g} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $l+1 \geq 2$ , it holds that  $x_n | l h \bar{g}$ , a contradiction.

**3. Proofs of Theorem 1 for  $\text{ch}(k) = p \neq 0$  and Theorem 2.**

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $L/k$  be a field extension of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $x$  be an element of an overfield of  $L$ . Assume that  $x$  is not separably algebraic over  $k$ , and  $L$  and  $k(x)$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ . Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $L$  whose field of constants is  $k$ , therefore  $k \supset L^p$ . We take  $\bar{d}, \varepsilon, \lambda$  and  $d'$  as in Lemma 4. Then the field of constants of  $d'$  is  $k(x^p)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $d'f(x) = 0$  for  $f(x) \in L(x)$ . We express  $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + \dots + f_{p-2} x^{p-2} + f_{p-1} x^{p-1}$ , where  $f_i \in L(x^p)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ ). We denote by  $d$  itself the extension of  $d$  to  $L(x^p)$  such that  $dx^p = 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = d'u(x) &= df_0 + (df_1)x + \dots + (df_{p-2})x^{p-2} + (df_{p-1})x^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \lambda(f_1 + 2f_2x + \dots + \overline{p-1}f_{p-1}x^{p-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $df_{p-1} = 0, df_{p-2} + \overline{\lambda p-1}f_{p-1} = 0, \dots, df_0 + \lambda f_1 = 0$ . From  $df_{p-1} = 0$  and by Lemma 2, 1) we have  $f_{p-1} \in k(x^p)$ . If  $f_{p-1} \neq 0, d(-f_{p-2}/\overline{\lambda p-1}f_{p-1}) = \lambda$  contradicts Lemma 2, 2). Therefore  $f_{p-1} = 0$ . Using the same reasoning and by induction we prove  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_{p-1} = 0$  and finally  $f_0 \in k(x^p)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $L/k$  be a field extension of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be elements in an overfield of  $L$  such that the  $x_i$  are not separably algebraic over  $k$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). We put  $x_0 = 1$ . Let  $d$  be a derivation of  $L$  over  $k$ . Assume that  $L(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  and  $k(x_i)$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $1 \in d(L)$ . Then for the derivation*

$$d' = d + \frac{1}{x_0} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{x_0 x_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \dots x_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

of  $L(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n} \in d'(L(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ . The assertion is true for  $n=0$  by our assumption. Assume  $n>0$ . We put  $L'=L(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and  $d'=d_1 + \frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ . Let  $u \in L(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be such that  $d'u = \frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n}$ . We write

$$u = u_0 + u_1 x_n + \cdots + u_{p-1} x_n^{p-1}, \quad u_i \in L'(x_n^p).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d'u &= d_1 u_0 + (d_1 u_1) x_n + \cdots + (d_1 u_{p-2}) x_n^{p-2} + (d_1 u_{p-1}) x_n^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{x_0 \cdots x_n} (u_1 + 2u_2 x_n + \cdots + \overline{p-1} u_{p-1} x_n^{p-2}) \\ &= \frac{x_n^{p-1}}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \cdot x_n^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d_1 u_{p-1} = \frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \cdot x_n^p}$  and  $d_1(x_n^p u_{p-1}) = \frac{1}{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}}$  which contradicts the induction assumption by Lemma 2, 2).

Hereafter we write as  $p(r)$  instead of writing as  $p^r$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1 for  $\text{ch}(k)=p \neq 0$ .* We can reduce our assertion to the case where  $K=k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , a purely transcendental extension of  $k$  with indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , by Lemma 1. We define a higher derivation  $D = \{D^i\}_{1 \leq i < \infty}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} D^1 x_1 &= 1, \quad D^2 x_1 = D^3 x_1 = \cdots = 0 \\ D^1 x_2 &= \frac{1}{x_1}, \quad D^2 x_2 = D^3 x_2 = \cdots = 0 \\ D^1 x_3 &= \frac{1}{x_1 x_2}, \quad D^2 x_3 = D^3 x_3 = \cdots = 0 \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ D^1 x_n &= \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}}, \quad D^2 x_n = \cdots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$D$  is determined by an embedding  $\phi$  of  $K$  in the power series ring  $K[[T]]$  such that  $\phi(x_1) = x_1 + T$ ,  $\phi(x_2) = x_2 + \frac{1}{x_1} T$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\phi(x_n) = x_n + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}} T$ , that is, for an arbitrary  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K$ ,  $f + (D^1 f)T + (D^2 f)T^2 + \dots$  is the  $T$ -adic expansion of  $f(x_1 + T, x_2 + \frac{1}{x_1} T, \dots, x_n + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}} T)$ . In order to prove our assertion, we have only to show that the field of constants of  $\{D^1, D^2, \dots, D^{p(r)-1}\}$  is  $kK^{p(r)}$  for every  $r \geq 0$ . We use induction on  $r$ . It is trivial for  $r=0$ . Assume that it is

true for  $r$ . Then  $D^1, D^2, \dots, D^{p(r)-1}$  are zero maps on  $kK^{p(r)}$  and  $D^{p(r)}$  maps  $kK^{p(r)}$  into itself, because  $\phi(f(x_1^{p(r)}, x_2^{p(r)}, \dots, x_n^{p(r)})) = f(x_1^{p(r)} + T^{p(r)}, x_2^{p(r)} + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r)}} T^{p(r)}, \dots, x_n^{p(r)} + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r)} \dots x_{n-1}^{p(r)}} T^{p(r)}) \in kK^{p(r)}[[T^{p(r)}]]$ . Therefore the restriction of  $D^{p(r)}$  in  $kK^{p(r)}$  is a derivation and equals

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(x_1^{p(r)})} + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r)}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial(x_2^{p(r)})} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r)} \dots x_{n-1}^{p(r)}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial(x_n^{p(r)})}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, the field of constants of  $D^{p(r)}$  in  $kK^{p(r)}$  is  $kK^{p(r+1)}$ . For  $f(x_1^{p(r+1)}, x_2^{p(r+1)}, \dots, x_n^{p(r+1)}) \in kK^{p(r+1)}$ ,  $\phi(f) = f(x_1^{p(r+1)} + T^{p(r+1)}, x_2^{p(r+1)} + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r+1)}} T^{p(r+1)}, \dots, x_n^{p(r+1)} + \frac{1}{x_1^{p(r+1)} \dots x_{n-1}^{p(r+1)}} T^{p(r+1)}) \in kK^{p(r+1)}[[T^{p(r+1)}]]$ .  $D^1 = D^2 = \dots = D^{p(r+1)-1} = 0$  in  $kK^{p(r+1)}$ . Hence we are done.

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 2, we give

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $I$  be a well-ordered set without maximal element. We denote by  $\iota+1$  the successor of  $\iota \in I$ . Let  $L/k$  be a purely inseparable extension of  $k$  and  $L = \bigotimes_{\iota \in I} k(\mathcal{U}_\iota)$  where  $\mathcal{U}_\iota \in k$ . We define a derivation of  $L$  over  $k$  such that  $d\mathcal{U}_\iota = \mathcal{U}_\iota \mathcal{U}_{\iota+1}$ . Then the constant field of  $d$  is  $k(L^p) = \bigotimes_{\iota \in I} k(\mathcal{U}_\iota^p)$  and  $1 \notin d(L)$ .*

Proof is easy. Hence we omit it.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $A$  be a subbasis of  $K$ . As in Weisfeld [3], we split  $A$  into  $B = \{u_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$  and  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $\exp u_\iota < \exp x_i$  for every  $\iota \in I$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and there are infinitely many elements of exponent  $q = \max_{\iota \in I} \exp u_\iota$  if  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Then well-order  $I$  so that this ordering keeps the orders of the  $\exp u_\iota$ . We put  $e_\iota = \exp u_\iota$  and  $e_i = \exp x_i$  ( $\iota \in I, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ). We may assume that  $r = e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_n$ . We consider a higher derivation  $D = \{D^i\}_{1 \leq i < m}$  which is determined by the embedding  $\phi$  of  $K$  into  $K[[T]]/(T^m)$ , a power series ring over  $K$  modulo  $(T^m)$ , such that

$$\phi(x_i) = x_i + \frac{1}{x_0^{p(e_0 - e_i)} x_1^{p(e_1 - e_i)} \dots x_{i-1}^{p(e_{i-1} - e_i)}} T^{p(r - e_i)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

$$\phi(u_\iota) = u_\iota + u_\iota u_{\iota+1}^{p(e_{\iota+1} - e_\iota)} T^{p(r - e_\iota)} \quad (\iota \in I),$$

where we put  $x_0 = 1$  and  $e_0 = r + 1$ . Our assertion follows from the following fact. For every non-negative integer  $l \leq r$  the field of constants of  $\{D^1, D^2, \dots, D^{p(l)-1}\}$  is

$$K_l = k(\{u_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}, x_n, \dots, x_j) (x_j^{p(e_j - j - 1 - \overline{r-l})}, \dots, x_0^{p(e_0 - \overline{r-l})})$$

if there exists  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) such that  $e_j < r - l \leq e_{j-1}$  and otherwise

$$K_l = k(\{u_i\}_{i \in I_1}, \{u_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}}\}_{i \in I_2}, x_n^{p^{(e_n - \overline{r-l})}}, \dots, x_0^{p^{(e_0 - \overline{r-l})}}),$$

where  $I_1$  is a subset of  $I$  consisting of elements  $i$  such that  $e_i < r-l$  and  $I_2$  is the complements of  $I_1$  in  $I$ , provided that  $K_l$  is the field of constants of  $\{D^1, D^2, \dots, D^m\}$  when  $l=r$ .

We prove this fact by induction on  $l$ . It is trivial when  $l=0$ . Assume that it is true for an  $l$  ( $0 \leq l \leq r$ ). Then

$$\phi(x_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}}) = x_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}} + \frac{1}{x_0^{p^{(e_0 - \overline{r-l})}} \dots x_{i-1}^{p^{(e_{i-1} - \overline{r-l})}}} T^{p^{(l)}},$$

where  $0 \leq i \leq j-1$  in the first case and  $0 \leq i \leq n$  in the second case and

$$\phi(u_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}}) = u_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}} + u_i^{p^{(e_i - \overline{r-l})}} u_{i+1}^{p^{(e_{i+1} - \overline{r-l})}} T^{p^{(l)}}$$

for  $i \in I_2$  in the second case and

$$\phi(x_i) = x_i + \frac{1}{x_0^{p^{(e_0 - e_i)}} \dots x_{i-1}^{p^{(e_{i-1} + e_i)}} T^{p^{(r - e_i)}}, \quad r - e_i > l$$

and

$$\phi(u_i) = u_i + u_i u_{i+1}^{e_{i+1} - e_i} T^{p^{(r - e_i)}}, \quad r - e_i > l$$

for other  $i$ 's and  $i$ 's. Therefore  $D^{p^{(l)}}$  induces a derivation of  $K_l$  over  $k(\{u_i\}_{i \in I}, x_n, \dots, x_j)$  in the first case and over  $k(\{u_i\}_{i \in I_1})$  in the second case. We can apply Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 to this induced derivation and it is easily seen that the field of constants of this derivation is  $K_{l+1}$  and  $D^{p^{(l)}}, \dots, D^{p^{(l+1)-1}} (D^{p^{(l)}}, \dots, D^m$  in case  $l=r-1$ ) are zero maps on  $K_{l+1}$ .

**Remark.** In this section, we used derivations of the form (2) but we cannot replace it by those of the form (1), which will be shown by the following counter example.

**Example.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ .  $x, y, z$ , are independent variables over  $k$ .

For the quation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{y},$$

we have solutions  $f \in k(x, y)$  such that

$$f = c + \frac{(p-1)!}{y^p} x + \frac{(p-2)!}{y^p} xy + \dots + \frac{1!}{y^p} xy^{p-2} + \frac{0!}{y^p} xy^{p-1}$$

with  $c \in k$ . And the  $u = f - z \in k(x, y, z)$  are solutions for

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0.$$

#### 4. Proof of theorem 3.

Zerla proved in [5] our assertion when the cardinality of  $k$  is countable. Therefore we may assume that  $k$  contains infinitely many algebraically independent elements  $\{\alpha_{ij} | 2 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < \infty\}$  over the prime field, where  $n = \text{trans. deg}_k K$ . Our assertion is also reduced to the case where  $K$  is a purely transcendental extension  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  over  $k$  (e.g. [5], Lemma 5). We use Miyanishi's idea in [2]. In the quotient  $k((x))$  of the formal power series ring over  $k$ ,  $n$  elements  $y_1 = x, y_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} x^{p^j} (2 \leq i \leq n)$  are algebraically independent over  $k$ . Let  $\chi$  be an embedding of  $K$  in  $k((x))$  such that  $\chi(x_i) = y_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ . We consider the standard iterative higher derivation  $D$  of  $k((x))$ , which is determined by the embedding  $\phi$  of  $k((x))$  in the formal power series ring  $k((x))[[T]]$  such that  $\phi(c) = c (c \in k)$  and  $\phi(x) = x + T$ . Then the field of constants of  $D$  is  $k$ .  $D$  induces an iterative higher derivation of  $K$  through  $\chi$  whose field of constants is  $k$ .

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
YOSHIDA COLLEGE KYOTO UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] N. Heerema and J. Deveney, Galois theory for fields  $K/k$  finitely generated, *Trans. A. M. S.*, **189** (1974), 263-274.
- [2] M. Miyanishi, A remark on an iterative infinite higher derivation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 8-3 (1968), 411-415.
- [3] A. Weil, *Foundations of algebraic geometry*, A. M. S. Colloq. publ., vol. 29 (1964).
- [4] M. Weisfeld, Purely inseparable extensions and higher derivations, *Trans. A. M. S.*, **116-4** (1965), 435-449.
- [5] F. Zerla, Iterative higher derivations in fields of prime characteristic, *Michigan Math. J.*, **15** (1968), 407-415.