

## On Galois correspondence between intermediate fields and closed derivation subalgebras

By

Tepei KIKUCHI

(Communicated by Prof. Nagata, Feb. 25, 1982)

Nakai-Kosaki-Ishibashi [2] has proved that if  $K$  is a purely inseparable field extension of finite exponent of a field  $k$ , then there exists a bijective correspondence between intermediate fields of  $K/k$  and closed subrings of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$  such that the corresponding field  $E$  and closed subring  $\mathfrak{a}$  are related by the formulae  $E=Z(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{a}=\mathcal{D}(K/E)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  denotes the derivation algebra of  $K$  over  $k$ ,  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  denotes the center of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is regarded as a topological space by the topology induced by the Krull topology of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .

This is a generalization of the theorem of Jacobson-Bourbaki correspondence in the case of purely inseparable finite extension.

In this paper we shall prove that a similar theorem of Galois correspondence still holds if the Krull topology is replaced by the finite topology and  $K$  is replaced by any field extension satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions (0), (1), (2) and (3).

(0)  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .

(1)  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))=k$ .

(2) If  $x$  is an element of  $K \setminus k$ , then there exists a high order derivation  $D$  of some order such that  $D(x) \neq 0$ .

(3)  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = (0)$ , where  $I_{K/k} = \text{Ker}(\text{multiplication } K \otimes_k K \rightarrow K)$ .

Not only purely inseparable extension  $K/k$  of finite exponent but also purely transcendental extension  $K/k$  satisfy the conditions above. And there exists an example of a purely inseparable extension, not of finite exponent, satisfying the above conditions.\*)

**Notation and terminology.** We adopt the notation and terminology in [1] and [2]. All rings are assumed to be commutative and have identities. When  $k$  is a ring and  $K$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra, a  $q$ -th order derivation of  $K/k$  (or  $k$ -derivation of  $K$ ) is, by definition, a  $k$ -homomorphism  $D: K \rightarrow K$  satisfying the following identity:

---

\*) Moreover,  $K/k$  is purely inseparable of finite exponent if and only if, for every intermediate field  $E$  of  $K/k$ ,  $K/E$  satisfies the above conditions. (cf. Mordeson-Vinograd [5])

$$D(x_0x_1\cdots x_q) = \sum_{s=1}^q (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_0 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_q)$$

for any set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q\}$  of  $(q+1)$ -elements in  $K$ .  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$  denotes the totality of  $q$ -th order  $k$ -derivations of  $K$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  denotes the union  $\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$ , which is a  $K$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  denotes the sum (necessarily a direct sum) of  $K$ -submodules  $K$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ , which has a natural structure of  $k$ -subalgebra of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is called the derivation algebra of  $K$  over  $k$ .

For any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  and  $a \in K$ , we set  $[D, a] = Da - aD - D(a)$  i.e.  $[D, a](x) = D(ax) - aD(x) - D(a)x$ .  $D$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$  if and only if  $[D, a]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q-1)}(K/k)$  for all  $a \in K$ .

Always  $\otimes$  means  $\otimes_k$  (tensoring over  $k$ ).  $I_{K/k}$  denotes the kernel of the multiplication map  $\mu: K \otimes K \rightarrow K$ . We regard  $K \otimes K$  as a left  $K$ -module by the  $K$ -operation  $a(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes y$ .

**§1. Preliminaries on the finite topology on  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$**

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $k$ . For any pair of finite ordered sets  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset V$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \subset W$ , we set  $U_k(v_1, \dots, v_m; w_1, \dots, w_m) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_k(V, W) \mid f(v_i) = w_i \text{ for all } i\}$ . The whole of the subsets of  $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$  of  $U_k(v_i; w_i)$  type forms a basis for a topology on  $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$  which is called the finite topology on  $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ . (cf. Jacobson [3], Ch. IX, §6) This is nothing but a topology with the fundamental system of neighborhood of zero consisting of all the subsets of the form  $U_k(E) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_k(V, W) \mid f|_E = 0\}$ , where  $E$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $V$ . This topology is discrete if and only if  $\dim_k V < \infty$ . If  $V = W$  and  $V$  is an algebraic extension field of  $k$ , the finite topology is identical with the Krull topology. (cf. Nakai-Kosaki-Ishibashi [2])

By definition, next lemma is obvious, which means that the basic open sets  $U_k(v_i; w_i)$ 's are also closed.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $k$ ,  $\alpha$  be a subset of  $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  be its closure in  $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$  with respect to the finite topology. Let  $v$  and  $w$  be elements of  $V$  and  $W$  respectively such that  $f(v) = w$  for every  $f \in \alpha$ . Then we have  $g(v) = w$  for every  $g \in \bar{\alpha}$ .*

Now let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$ . When  $M$  is a  $K$ -submodule of the left  $K$ -module  $K \otimes K$ , we identify  $\text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K)$  with a subset of  $\text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$  consisting of the elements  $f$  such that  $f|_M = 0$ . Then, from the above lemma, we obtain immediately the following.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$  and  $M$  be any  $K$ -submodule of  $K \otimes K$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$  with respect to the finite topology.*

Next we show the following

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$ . Then the mapping  $\varphi: \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  defined by  $\varphi(f)(x) = f(1 \otimes x)$  is a homeomorphism with respect to the finite topology.*

*Proof.* If we define  $\psi: \text{Hom}_k(K, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$  by the formula  $\psi(g) (\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i) = \sum_i x_i g(y_i)$ , it is clear that  $\psi \circ \varphi = id$  and  $\varphi \circ \psi = id$ , hence  $\psi$  is the inverse of  $\varphi$ . Consequently it is obvious that  $\varphi^{-1}(U_k(x_i; y_i)) = \psi(U_K(x_i; y_i)) = U_K(1 \otimes x_i; y_i)$  for any  $(x_i), (y_i) \in K$ , which shows that  $\varphi$  is continuous. On the other hand, if  $U_K(\xi; 0)$  is any basic open neighborhood of zero in  $\text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$  where  $\xi = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i = \sum_i x_i(1 \otimes y_i)$  ( $x_i, y_i \in K$ ) and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are linearly independent over  $k$ , then we see easily that  $\psi^{-1}(U_K(\xi; 0)) \subset U_k(y_1, \dots, y_n; 0, \dots, 0)$ . Hence  $\psi$  is also continuous. q. e. d.

**Remark 4.** (Nakai-Kosaki-Ishibashi [2]) Let  $k, K$  and  $\varphi$  be as in Lemma 3, and let  $I = I_{K/k}$ . Then we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K/k)) \subset \text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K) / \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n, K)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  denotes the derivation algebra of  $K$  over  $k$ . (However  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K/k)) \not\cong \text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K) / \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n, K)$  in general.)

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$ , and  $E$  be an intermediate field between  $k$  and  $K$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_E(K, K)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  with respect to the finite topology.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [2], Prop. 8. Let  $f$  be any element of the closure of  $\text{Hom}_E(K, K)$  and let  $x \in K$  and  $a \in E$ . Since the neighborhood  $U_k(x, ax; f(x), f(ax))$  of  $f$  contains an element of  $\text{Hom}_E(K, K)$ , there exists an element  $g \in \text{Hom}_E(K, K)$  such that  $g(x) = f(x)$  and  $g(ax) = f(ax)$ . Then we have  $f(ax) = g(ax) = ag(x) = af(x)$ , which shows that  $f \in \text{Hom}_E(K, K)$ . q. e. d.

Next we characterize the dense subrings of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  containing  $K (= K \cdot id)$  as follows.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $K$  be a field extension of a field  $k$ , and  $\alpha$  be a subring of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  containing  $K$ . Then  $\alpha$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  with respect to the finite topology if and only if  $Z(\alpha) = k$ , where  $Z(\alpha)$  denotes the center of  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of the if part is the same as that of [2], Th. 7. That is, regarding  $K$  as a left  $\alpha$ -module,  $K$  is a simple  $\alpha$ -module. And the commutant of  $\alpha$ -module  $K$  is nothing but  $\text{Hom}_\alpha(K, K)$ . However, since  $Z(\alpha) = k$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_\alpha(K, K) = k$ . Hence the bicommutant of  $\alpha$ -module  $K$  is  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ . Therefore by the density theorem (Bourbaki [4], ch. 8, §4,  $n^\circ 2.$ ),  $\alpha$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ . Conversely suppose  $\alpha$  be dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ . If  $f \in Z(\alpha)$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  and  $x \in K$ , there exists an element  $\alpha \in \alpha$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \varphi(x)$  and  $\alpha(f(x)) = \varphi(f(x))$  i.e.  $\alpha \in U_k(x, f(x); \varphi(x), \varphi(f(x)))$ . Then we have  $(\varphi f)(x) = \varphi(f(x)) = \alpha(f(x)) = f(\alpha(x)) = f(\varphi(x)) = (f\varphi)(x)$  i.e.  $\varphi f = f\varphi$ . This shows that  $Z(\alpha) \subset Z(\text{Hom}_k(K, K))$ . On the other hand it is clear that  $Z(\text{Hom}_k(K, K)) = k$ . Hence we have  $Z(\alpha) = k$ . q. e. d.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $K$  be a field extension of a field  $k$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  if and only if  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))=k$ .*

## §2. Galois correspondence

First we shall investigate the condition for  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))=k$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $K$  be a field extension of a field  $k$ , and  $x \in K$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $x \in Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))$ .
- (2) For any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$ , we have  $Dx = xD$ .
- (3) For any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$ , we have  $D(x) = 0$ .
- (4)  $\delta(x) = 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1$  belongs to  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) is obvious, we have only to prove (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose  $D(x) = 0$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$ , and let  $y$  be any element in  $K$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [D, y](x) = D(yx) - yD(x) - D(y)x \\ &= D(xy) - xD(y) = (Dx - xD)y, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $Dx = xD$ .

q. e. d.

From this lemma and results in the last section we obtain the following

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1°)  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))=k$ .
- (2°) If  $x$  is an element of  $K \setminus k$ , then there exists a derivation  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  of some order such that  $D(x) \neq 0$ .
- (3°)  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^m = (0)$

*Proof.* Since it is obvious that  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k)) \subset K$ , the implications (3°) $\Rightarrow$ (1°) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2°) are clear from the above lemma. Hence we have only to prove (1°) $\Rightarrow$ (3°). (The following proof is essentially the same as that of [2], Prop. 11.) Suppose that  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/k))=k$  and set  $M = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n$ . Let  $\varphi: \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  be the homeomorphism in Lemma 3. Then, by Remark 4, we have  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K/k)) \subset \text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K) \subset \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$ . Thus, since  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  by Cor. 7,  $\text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K)$  must be dense in  $\text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K)$  is closed in  $\text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$  by Cor. 2. Hence we have  $\text{Hom}_K((K \otimes K)/M, K) = \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes K, K)$ , which shows that  $M = (0)$ .

q. e. d.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$  such that  $K/k$  satisfies (one of) the equivalent conditions of Theorem 9, and let  $E$  be an intermediate field between  $k$  and  $K$ . Then the extension  $E/k$  still satisfies the same conditions of Theorem 9. ( $K/E$  does not, in general.)*

*Proof.* Since we have  $I_{E/k} \subset I_{K/k}$ , the condition  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = (0)$  implies  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{E/k}^n = (0)$ .

Now we can establish a Galois correspondence between special intermediate fields of  $K/k$  and closed derivation subalgebras of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  provided that  $K/k$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9, in a similar way as [2].

**Definition.** An intermediate field  $E$  of  $K/k$  is called allowable if  $K/E$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$  such that  $K/k$  satisfies (one of) the conditions of Theorem 9, and endow  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  with the induced topology from the finite topology of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .

(1) Let  $E$  be any allowable intermediate field of  $K/k$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(K/E)$  is a closed subring of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$ , and we have  $Z(\mathcal{D}(K/E)) = E$ .

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a closed subring of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$ . Then the center  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an allowable intermediate field of  $K/k$ , and we have  $\mathcal{D}(K/Z(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathfrak{a}$ .

Thus there exists a bijective correspondence between allowable intermediate fields of  $K/k$  and closed subrings of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$  such that the corresponding field  $E$  and closed subring  $\mathfrak{a}$  are related by the formulae  $E = Z(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{D}(K/E)$ .

*Proof.* (1) is obvious from the fact  $\mathcal{D}(K/E) = \mathcal{D}(K/k) \cap \text{Hom}_E(K, K)$  and Prop. 5.

(2) Since  $\mathfrak{a} \supset K$ , we must have  $Z(\mathfrak{a}) \subset K$ , from which we can easily show that  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  is an intermediate field between  $k$  and  $K$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{D}(K/Z(\mathfrak{a}))$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is dense in  $\text{Hom}_{Z(\mathfrak{a})}(K, K)$  by Prop. 6,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is dense and closed in  $\mathcal{D}(K/Z(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathcal{D}(K/k) \cap \text{Hom}_{Z(\mathfrak{a})}(K, K)$ . Hence we must have  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{D}(K/Z(\mathfrak{a}))$ .

q. e. d.

**Corollary 12.** Let  $K$  be a field extension of a field  $k$  such that  $K/k$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9. Let  $\{E_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any collection of allowable intermediate fields of  $K/k$  and  $\{\mathfrak{a}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be any collection of closed subrings of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$ . Then we have the following formulae, where  $\bigcup_\lambda$  denotes the generated object.

- (1)  $\mathcal{D}(K/\bigcup_\lambda E_\lambda) = \bigcap_\lambda \mathcal{D}(K/E_\lambda)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}(K/\bigcap_\lambda E_\lambda) =$  the closure of  $\bigcup_\lambda \mathcal{D}(K/E_\lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$ .
- (3)  $Z(\bigcap_\lambda \mathfrak{a}_\lambda) =$  the smallest allowable subfield containing  $\bigcup_\lambda Z(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)$ .
- (4)  $Z(\bigcup_\lambda \mathfrak{a}_\lambda) = \bigcap_\lambda Z(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda)$ .

**Proposition 13.** If  $K$  is a field extension of a field  $k$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9, then we have the following.

(1)  $k$  is separably closed in  $K$ . That is, no element of  $K \setminus k$  is separably algebraic over  $k$ .

(2) If  $K/k$  is algebraic, then  $K/k$  is purely inseparable.

*Proof.* If an element  $x \in K/k$  is separably algebraic over  $k$ , we have  $I_{k[x]/k}^2 = I_{k[x]/k}$ . Hence we have

$$(0) \quad \cong I_{k[x]/k} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{k[x]/k}^n \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n,$$

which proves (1). (2) follows from (1). q. e. d.

**Proposition 14.** (1) *In the following cases,  $K/k$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9.*

- (i)  $K/k$  is a purely inseparable extension of finite exponent.
  - (ii)  $K/k$  is a purely transcendental field extension.
- (2) *In the case of (i), every intermediate field of  $K/k$  is allowable.\*)*

*Proof.* (i) has been already proved in [2] (Th. 2, Th. 3 or Prop. 11) (ii) Let  $K$  be the quotient field of a polynomial ring  $A = k[X_\lambda, \lambda \in A]$  where  $X_\lambda$ 's are indeterminates. Then by the arguments of [1], Ch. 2, §2 we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A/k}^n = (0)$ . On the other hand, if we denote  $\{s \otimes s \mid s \in A, s \neq 0\}$  by  $S$ , then we have  $K \otimes K = (A \otimes A)_S$  and  $I_{K/k} = I_{A/k}(K \otimes K)$ ,  $I_{A/k}^n$  is  $I_{A/k}$ -primary. Hence  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A/k}^n(K \otimes K) = (0)$ . q. e. d.

**Examples**

(1) An example of purely inseparable extension  $K/k$ , not of finite exponent, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9.

Let  $k_0$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , set  $k = k_0(x_1^p, x_2^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^{p^n}, \dots)$  where  $x_i$ 's are independent variables over  $k_0$  and set  $K = k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ .

Then we have  $I_{K/k} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  (direct sum of  $K$ -modules) where  $I_n$  denotes the  $K$ -submodule with a basis  $\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta(x_i)^{n_i} \mid 0 \leq n_i \leq p-1, \sum_i n_i = n \}$  ( $\delta(x_i) = 1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1$ ). Therefore we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = (0)$  and exponent  $(K/k) = \infty$ .

(2) An example of imperfect purely inseparable extension  $K/k$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = I_{K/k} \cong (0)$ .

Let  $k_0$  be a perfect field and  $x$  and  $y$  be independent variables over  $k_0$ . Set  $k = k_0(x, y)$  and  $K = k(y^{p^{-1}}, y^{p^{-2}}, \dots, y^{p^{-n}}, \dots) = k_0(x) k_0(y)^{p^{-\infty}}$ . Then we have  $K^{p^\infty} = k_0(y)^{p^{-\infty}}$  and  $k(K^{p^\infty}) = K$ , therefore  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{K/k}^n = I_{K/k}$ .

NARA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

---

\*) By the THEOREM of Mordeson-Vinograde [5],  $K/k$  is purely inseparable of finite exponent if and only if every intermediate field of  $K/k$  is allowable.

**References**

- [ 1 ] Y. Nakai, High order derivations I, *Osaka J. Math.*, **7** (1970), 1–21.
- [ 2 ] Y. Nakai, K. Kosaki and Y. Ishibashi, High order derivations II, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser A-1*, **34** (1970), 17–27.
- [ 3 ] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra, Vol. II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [ 4 ] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Hermann, Paris.
- [ 5 ] J. N. Mordeson and B. Vinograd, On high order derivations of fields, *Proc. A. M. S.*, **32** (1972), 421–422.