

## Some remarks on high order derivations III

By

Teppei KIKUCHI

(Communicated by Prof. Nagata, Feb. 12, 1982)

By the theorem of Jacobson-Bourbaki correspondence, the following proposition is obvious.

*If  $K$  is an extension field of finite degree over a field  $k$ , then all subrings of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  which contain  $K (= K \cdot \text{id}_K)$  are simple.*

In this short paper, we show that this proposition remains true even if we remove the finiteness condition for extension  $K/k$  and replace  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$  with  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  denotes the derivation algebra of  $K$  over  $k$ . That is, we prove the following proposition.

*Let  $K$  be an arbitrary field extension of a field  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  be its derivation algebra. Then each subring of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$  must be simple.*

If  $K$  is purely inseparable over  $k$ , this is a generalization of the above, for in the case of finite purely inseparable extension  $K/k$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(K/k) = \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ . (Nakai-Kosaki-Ishibashi [2]).

As an application of this property, we shall give another rapid proof of a part of our theorem of previous paper [3]. That is, we shall show that the above proposition yields at once the following result.

*If  $K$  is a field extension of a field  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(K/k) = \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ , then  $[K:k]$  is finite.*

**Notation and terminology.** We adopt the notation and terminology in [1] and [2]. All rings are assumed to be commutative and have identities. When  $k$  is a ring and  $K$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra, a  $q$ -th order derivation of  $K/k$  (or  $k$ -derivation of  $K$ ) is, by definition, a  $k$ -homomorphism  $D: K \rightarrow K$  satisfying the following identity:

$$D(x_0 x_1 \cdots x_q) = \sum_{s=1}^q (-1)^{s-1} \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} D(x_0 \cdots \hat{x}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{i_s} \cdots x_q)$$

for any set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q\}$  of  $(q+1)$ -elements in  $K$ .  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$  denotes the totality of  $q$ -th order  $k$ -derivations of  $K$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  denotes the union  $\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$ ,

which is a  $K$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  denotes the sum (necessarily a direct sum) of  $K$ -submodules  $K$  and  $\mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  in  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ , which has a natural structure of  $k$ -subalgebra of  $\text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ .  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is called the derivation algebra of  $K$  over  $k$ . For any  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0(K/k)$  and  $a \in K$ , we set  $[D, a] = Da - aD - D(a)$  i.e.  $[D, a](x) = D(ax) - aD(x) - D(a)x$ .  $D$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$  if and only if  $[D, a]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_0^{(q-1)}(K/k)$  for all  $a \in K$ . (Nakai [1], Ch. 1, Prop. 3)

### Simplicity of derivation subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be an arbitrary field extension of a field  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  be its derivation algebra. Then each subring of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  containing  $K$  is simple. (i.e.  $(0)$  and ring itself are the only two-sided ideals.)*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a subring of  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  such that  $A \supset K$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be any non-zero two-sided ideal of  $A$ , and let  $f$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $f$  is uniquely written as follows:  $f = a + D$ , where  $a \in K$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(q)}(K/k)$  for some  $q$ . If  $D = 0$ , we are through. If  $D \neq 0$ , we shall show that  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains an element of the form  $a' + D'$ , where  $a' \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $D' \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(q-1)}(K/k)$ . Indeed there exists an element  $x \in K$  such that  $D(x) \neq 0$ , and since we have  $D(x) + [D, x] = Dx - xD = (a + D)x - x(a + D) = fx - xf$ , it follows that  $D(x) + [D, x]$  is in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Thus  $a' = D(x)$  and  $D' = [D, x]$  satisfy desired condition.

Hence, by induction, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains a non-zero element of  $K$ , because 0-th order derivation is 0 (the null map). Thus  $\mathfrak{a}$  must coincide with  $A$  itself. q. e. d.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $K$  be any field extension of a field  $k$ , then the derivation algebra  $\mathcal{D}(K/k)$  is a simple ring.*

### An application.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $K$  is a field extension of a field  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(K/k) = \text{Hom}_k(K, K)$ , then  $[K : k]$  must be finite.*

This is obvious by the above Corollary 1 and the next lemma.

**Lemma.** (Jacobson [4], Th. 5, p. 258) *Let  $V$  be an infinite dimensional vector space over a field  $k$ . For each infinite cardinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha \leq \dim V$ , set*

$$\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = \{f \in \text{Hom}_k(V, V) \mid \dim \text{Im}(f) < \alpha\}.$$

*Then  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$  is a proper two-sided ideal of  $\text{Hom}_k(V, V)$ , and conversely any proper two-sided ideal coincides with one of the  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$ .*

**References**

- [ 1 ] Y. Nakai, High order derivations, *Osaka J. Math.*, **7** (1970), 1–21.
- [ 2 ] Y. Nakai, K. Kosaki and Y. Ishibashi, High order derivations II, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A-1*, **34** (1970), 17–27.
- [ 3 ] T. Kikuchi, Some remarks on high order derivations, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **11** (1971), 71–87.
- [ 4 ] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra, Vol. II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.