

On the homogeneous space E_8/E_7

By

Ichiro YOKOTA, Takao Imai and Osami YASUKURA

(Communicated by Prof. Toda May 6, 1982)

In [I-Y], Imai and Yokota showed that the group

$$E_8 = \{ \alpha \in \text{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{e}_8^C, \mathfrak{e}_8^C) \mid \alpha[R_1, R_2] = [\alpha R_1, \alpha R_2], \langle \alpha R_1, \alpha R_2 \rangle = \langle R_1, R_2 \rangle \}$$

is a simply connected compact simple Lie group of type E_8 and it contains a subgroup

$$E_7 = \{ \beta \in E_8 \mid \beta \underline{1} = \underline{1} \}$$

which is a simply connected compact simple Lie group of type E_7 . In the present paper, we consider the homogeneous space E_8/E_7 . The result is

$$E_8/E_7 = \mathfrak{B}_1 = \{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C \mid R \times R = 0, \langle R, R \rangle = 4 \}.$$

This paper is a continuation of [I-Y] and we use the same notations as [I-Y]. So the numbering of sections and Theorems of this paper starts from 7 and 29 respectively. The authors wish to thank Prof. Tetsuo Ishihara for his advices.

7. The manifold \mathfrak{B}^C .

For $R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C$, Freudenthal defined in [F] a linear transformation $R \times R$ of \mathfrak{e}_8^C by

$$(R \times R)R_1 = (\text{ad}R)^2 R_1 + \frac{1}{30} B(R, R_1)R, \quad R_1 \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C$$

(where B is the Killing form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_8^C) and considered a subspace \mathfrak{B}^C of \mathfrak{e}_8^C :

$$\mathfrak{B}^C = \{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C \mid R \times R = 0, R \neq 0 \}.$$

By the use of [I-Y] Theorem 28 (and Proposition 27, $E_7 : (2)$), we have immediately the following

Proposition 29. For $R = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C$, $R \neq 0$, R belongs to \mathfrak{B}^C if and only if R satisfies

- | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (1) $2s\Phi - P \times P = 0$ | (2) $2t\Phi + Q \times Q = 0$ | (3) $2r\Phi + P \times Q = 0$ |
| (4) $\Phi P - 3rP - 3sQ = 0$ | (5) $\Phi Q + 3rQ - 3tP = 0$ | (6) $\{P, Q\} - 16(st + r^2) = 0$ |
| (7) $2(\Phi P \times Q_1 + 2P \times \Phi Q_1 - rP \times Q_1 - sQ \times Q_1) - \{P, Q_1\} \Phi = 0$ | | |
| (8) $2(\Phi Q \times P_1 + 2Q \times \Phi P_1 + rQ \times P_1 - tP \times P_1) - \{Q, P_1\} \Phi = 0$ | | |
| (9) $8((P \times Q_1)Q - stQ_1 - r^2Q_1 - \Phi^2 Q_1 + 2r\Phi Q_1) + 5\{P, Q_1\}Q - 2\{Q, Q_1\}P = 0$ | | |
| (10) $8((Q \times P_1)P + stP_1 + r^2P_1 + \Phi^2 P_1 + 2r\Phi P_1) + 5\{Q, P_1\}P - 2\{P, P_1\}Q = 0$ | | |

$$(11) \quad 18((\text{ad}\Phi)^2\Phi_1 + Q \times \Phi_1 P - P \times \Phi_1 Q) + B_7(\Phi, \Phi_1)\Phi = 0$$

$$(12) \quad 18(\Phi_1\Phi P - 2\Phi\Phi_1 P - r\Phi_1 P - s\Phi_1 Q) + B_7(\Phi, \Phi_1)P = 0$$

$$(13) \quad 18(\Phi_1\Phi Q - 2\Phi\Phi_1 Q + r\Phi_1 Q - t\Phi_1 P) + B_7(\Phi, \Phi_1)Q = 0$$

(where B_7 is the Killing form of the Lie algebra e_7^c) for any $\Phi_1 \in e_7^c$, $P_1, Q_1 \in \mathfrak{P}^c$.

Theorem 30. *The group E_8^c acts transitively on \mathfrak{B}^c (which is connected) and the isotropy subgroup $(E_8^c)_1$ of E_8^c at $1 \in \mathfrak{B}^c$ is $\exp(\mathfrak{P}^c)\exp(\mathcal{C})E_7^c$ (where $\exp(\mathfrak{P}^c)\exp(\mathcal{C}) = \{\exp(\theta(0, 0, Q, 0, 0, t)) \mid P \in \mathfrak{P}^c, t \in \mathcal{C}\}$, $E_7^c = \{\beta \in E_8^c \mid \beta \bar{1} = \bar{1}, \beta 1 = 1, \beta \underline{1} = \underline{1}\}$). Therefore we have the following homeomorphism:*

$$E_8^c / (\exp(\mathfrak{P}^c)\exp(\mathcal{C})E_7^c) \simeq \mathfrak{B}^c.$$

In particular, \mathfrak{B}^c is a 56 dimensional connected complex manifold.

Proof. Obviously the group E_8^c acts on \mathfrak{B}^c . Since $\underline{1} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) \in \mathfrak{B}^c$, in order to prove the transitivity of E_8^c , it suffices to show that any element $R \in \mathfrak{B}^c$ can be transformed to $\underline{1}$ by a certain element $\alpha \in E_8^c$.

Case (1) $R = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)$, $t \neq 0$. In this case, from (2), (5), (6) of Proposition 29, we have

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{2t}Q \times Q, \quad P = \frac{r}{t}Q - \frac{1}{6t^2}(Q \times Q)Q, \quad s = -\frac{r^2}{t} + \frac{1}{96t^3}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\}.$$

Now, for $\Theta = \theta(0, P_1, 0, r_1, s_1, 0) \in \text{ad}e_8^c$, we shall calculate $(\exp\Theta)\underline{1}$.

$$\Theta \underline{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -P_1 & r_1 & s_1 & -P_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r_1 & 0 & 0 & -P_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{8}P_1 & 0 & 0 & s_1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4}P_1 & 0 & -2s_1 & 2r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2r_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -P_1 \\ s_1 \\ 0 \\ -2r_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Theta^2 \underline{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -P_1 \times P_1 \\ -2s_1 P_1 \\ 3r_1 P_1 \\ -2r_1 s_1 \\ -2s_1^2 \\ 4r_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta^3 \underline{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3r_1 P_1 \times P_1 \\ 3r_1 s_1 P_1 + (P_1 \times P_1)P_1 \\ -7r_1^2 P_1 \\ 4r_1^2 s_1 \\ 0 \\ -8r_1^3 \end{pmatrix}, \dots,$$

in general ($n \geq 4$)

$$\Theta^n \underline{1} = \begin{pmatrix} ((-2)^{n-1} + (-1)^n)r_1^{n-2}P_1 \times P_1 \\ ((-2)^{n-1} - \frac{1 + (-1)^{n-1}}{2})r_1^{n-2}s_1P_1 + \left(\frac{1 - (-2)^n}{6} + \frac{(-1)^n}{2}\right)r_1^{n-3}(P_1 \times P_1)P_1 \\ ((-2)^n + (-1)^{n+1})r_1^{n-1}P_1 \\ (-2)^{n-1}r_1^{n-1}s_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} -((-2)^{n-2} + 2^{n-2}) r_1^{n-2} s_1^2 + \frac{2^{n-2} + (-2)^{n-2} - (-1)^n - 1}{24} r_1^{n-4} \{P_1, (P_1 \times P_1) P_1\} \\ (-2)^n r_1^n \end{array} \right)$$

Hence, by simple calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\Theta(0, P_1, 0, r_1, s_1, 0)) \underline{1} &= (\exp \Theta) \underline{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \Theta^n \underline{1} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2r_1^2} (e^{-2r_1} - 2e^{-r_1} + 1) P_1 \times P_1 \\ \frac{s_1}{2r_1^2} (-e^{-2r_1} - e^{r_1} + e^{-r_1} + 1) P_1 + \frac{1}{6r_1^3} (-e^{-2r_1} + e^{r_1} + 3e^{-r_1} - 3) (P_1 \times P_1) P_1 \\ \frac{1}{r_1} (e^{-2r_1} - e^{-r_1}) P_1 \\ \frac{s_1}{2r_1} (1 - e^{-2r_1}) \\ -\frac{s_1^2}{4r_1^2} (e^{-2r_1} + e^{2r_1} - 2) + \frac{1}{96r_1^4} (e^{2r_1} + e^{-2r_1} - 4e^{r_1} - 4e^{-r_1} + 6) \{P_1, (P_1 \times P_1) P_1\} \\ e^{-2r_1} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(if $r_1=0$, $\frac{f(r_1)}{r_1^k}$ means $\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(r_1)}{r_1^k}$). Find out $P_1 \in \mathfrak{P}c$, $r_1, s_1 \in C$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{r_1} (e^{-2r_1} - e^{-r_1}) P_1 = Q, \quad \frac{s_1}{2r_1} (1 - e^{-2r_1}) = r, \quad e^{-2r_1} = t.$$

Then we have

$$(\exp \Theta) \underline{1} = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2t} Q \times Q \\ \frac{r}{t} Q - \frac{1}{6t^2} (Q \times Q) Q \\ Q \\ r \\ -\frac{r^2}{t} + \frac{1}{96t^3} \{Q, (Q \times Q) Q\} \\ t \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Phi \\ P \\ Q \\ r \\ s \\ t \end{array} \right) = R.$$

Thus R is transformed to $\underline{1}$ by $\exp(-\Theta) \in E_8^C$.

Case (2) $R = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t)$, $s \neq 0$. Similarly as (1), we see that $R = (\exp \Theta) \underline{1}$ for some $\Theta = \Theta(0, 0, Q_1, r_1, 0, t_1) \in \text{ad}e_8^C$, where $\bar{1} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$. On the other hand, $\bar{1}$ can be transformed to $-\underline{1}$ by

$$\omega = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \exp\left(\Theta\left(0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \in E_8^C.$$

Thus R is transformed to $-\underline{1}$ by $\omega \exp(-\Theta) \in E_8^C$. So this case can be reduced to the case (1).

Case (3) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, r, 0, 0)$, $r \neq 0$. In this case, from (2), (5), (6) of Proposition 29, we have

$$Q \times Q = 0, \quad \Phi Q = -3rQ, \quad \{P, Q\} = 16r^2.$$

Now, for $\Theta = \Theta(0, Q, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \text{ad} \mathfrak{e}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\Theta R = (Q \times Q, -\Phi Q - rQ, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}\{Q, P\}, 0) = (0, 2rQ, 0, 0, -4r^2, 0)$, $\Theta^2 R = 0$. Hence we have

$$(\exp \Theta)R = (\Phi, P + 2rQ, Q, r, -4r^2, 0), \quad -4r^2 \neq 0.$$

So we can reduce to the case (2).

Case (4) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, 0, 0, 0)$, $Q \neq 0$. For $\Theta = \Theta(0, P_1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \text{ad} \mathfrak{e}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have

$$(\exp \Theta)R = \left(*, *, *, -\frac{1}{8}\{P_1, Q\}, *, 0 \right).$$

Choose $P_1 \in \mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\{P_1, Q\} \neq 0$. Then we can reduce to the case (3).

Case (5) $R=(\Phi, P, Q, 0, 0, 0)$, $P \neq 0$. This is similar to the case (4).

Case (6) $R=(\Phi, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\Phi \neq 0$. In this case, from (10) of Proposition 29, we have $\Phi^2 = 0$. Now, for $\Theta = \Theta(\Phi, P_1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \text{ad} \mathfrak{e}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\Theta R = (0, -\Phi P_1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\Theta^3 R = (0, -\Phi^2 P_1, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{4}\{P_1, \Phi P_1\}, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}\{\Phi P_1, P_1\}, 0)$, $\Theta^3 R = 0$. Hence we have

$$(\exp \Theta)R = \left(\Phi, -\Phi P_1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{8}\{\Phi P_1, P_1\}, 0 \right).$$

So, if we choose $P_1 \in \mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\Phi P_1 \neq 0$, then we can reduce to the case (5). Thus the transitivity of $E_8^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is proved, so we see also the connectedness of $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Next we shall determine the isotropy subgroup

$$(E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_1 = \{a \in E_8^{\mathbb{C}} \mid a \underline{1} = \underline{1}\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}} = \{Q + \underline{t} = (0, 0, Q, 0, 0, t) \mid Q \in \mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}}, t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $[Q, \underline{t}] = 0$, $\exp(Q) = \exp(\Theta(0, 0, Q, 0, 0, 0))$, $\exp(\underline{t}) = \exp(\Theta(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, t))$ commute with each other and $\exp(\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{\mathbb{C}}) = \exp(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}))$ is a connected subgroup of $E_8^{\mathbb{C}}$. Now, let $a \in (E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_1$ and put

$$a \underline{1} = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), \quad a \bar{1} = (\Phi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1)$$

where $\underline{1} = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. Then, from the relations $[\underline{1}, \underline{1}] = -2\underline{1}$, $[\bar{1}, \underline{1}] = 1$, $[\underline{1}, \bar{1}] = 2\bar{1}$, that is, $[a \underline{1}, \underline{1}] = -2\underline{1}$, $[a \bar{1}, \underline{1}] = a \underline{1}$, $[a \underline{1}, a \bar{1}] = 2a \bar{1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 0, & s &= 0, & r &= 1, \\ \Phi &= 0, & P_1 &= -Q, & s_1 &= 1, & r_1 &= -\frac{t}{2}, \\ \Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2}Q \times Q, & Q_1 &= -\frac{t}{2}Q - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_1 Q, & t_1 &= -\frac{t^2}{4} - \frac{1}{16}\{Q, Q_1\} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. So a has the form

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 & \frac{1}{2}Q \times Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & -Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & Q & -\frac{t}{2}Q - \frac{1}{6}(Q \times Q)Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 & -\frac{t}{2} & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & t & -\frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{1}{96}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\exp(Q)\bar{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}Q \times Q \\ -Q \\ -\frac{t}{2}Q - \frac{1}{6}(Q \times Q)Q \\ -\frac{t}{2} \\ 1 \\ -\frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{1}{96}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\} \end{pmatrix} = a\bar{1}$$

and also we have

$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\exp(Q)1 = a1, \quad \exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\exp(Q)\underline{1} = a\underline{1}.$$

Therefore $\exp(-Q)\exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right)a \in E_7^{\mathbb{C}} = \{\beta \in E_8^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \beta 1 = 1, \beta \bar{1} = \bar{1}, \beta \underline{1} = \underline{1}\} \cong \{\beta \in \text{Isoc}(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \beta(P \times Q)^{-1} = \beta P \times \beta Q\}$ (which is a simply connected complex Lie group of type E_7). Hence

$$(E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_{\underline{1}} = \exp(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{C})E_7^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Furthermore, for $\beta \in E_7^{\mathbb{C}}$, it is easy to see that

$$\beta(\exp(Q))\beta^{-1} = \exp(\beta Q), \quad \beta(\exp(t))\beta^{-1} = \exp(t).$$

This shows that $\exp(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{C})$ is a normal subgroup of $(E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_{\underline{1}}$. Hence we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \exp(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{C}) \longrightarrow (E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_{\underline{1}} \longrightarrow E_7^{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Therefore $(E_8^{\mathbb{C}})_{\underline{1}}$ is the semi-direct product of $\exp(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{C})$ and $E_7^{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus we have the homeomorphism

$$E_8^{\mathbb{C}}/(\exp(\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}})\exp(\underline{C}))E_7^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a $248 - (56 + 1 + 133) = 56$ dimensional complex manifold.

Remark. Theorem 30 gives another proof of the connectedness of the group E_8^C (see [I-Y] Theorem 18). In fact, the proof of Theorem 30 shows that the connected component $(E_8^C)_0$ of E_8^C containing the identity acts transitively on \mathfrak{B}^C , so \mathfrak{B}^C is connected, and from the homeomorphism $E_8^C/(\exp(\mathfrak{B}^C)\exp(\bar{C}))E_7^C \simeq \mathfrak{B}^C$ we see that the group E_8^C is also connected.

Proposition 31. \mathfrak{B}^C is a complex submanifold of e_8^C .

Proof. Consider a subset U of \mathfrak{B}^C :

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= \{(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{B}^C \mid t \neq 0\} \\
 &= \left\{ (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in e_8^C \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi = -\frac{1}{2t}Q \times Q \\ P = \frac{r}{t}Q - \frac{1}{6t^2}(Q \times Q)Q \\ s = -\frac{t}{r^2} + \frac{1}{96t^3}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\} \end{array} \right. \right\} \\
 &= \exp(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{B}^C \oplus C \oplus \bar{C})) \perp \quad (\text{see Theorem 30, Proof of Case (1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then U is an open set of \mathfrak{B}^C and also a submanifold of e_8^C . Now, for an open set $V = \{(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in e_8^C \mid t \neq 0\}$ of e_8^C , we have

$$U = V \cap \mathfrak{B}^C$$

(in general, ${}_a U = {}_a V \cap \mathfrak{B}^C$ and $\mathfrak{B}^C = \bigcup_{a \in E_8^C} {}_a U$). This implies that \mathfrak{B}^C is a (regular) submanifold of e_8^C .

8. The manifold \mathfrak{B}_1 .

We define a space \mathfrak{B}_1 in e_8^C by

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{R \in \mathfrak{B}^C \mid \langle R, R \rangle = 4\}.$$

In order to prove that \mathfrak{B}_1 is a 115 dimensional manifold, we use the following well-known

Lemma 32. *Let M be a differentiable manifold, $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a differentiable mapping and $N = \{p \in M \mid f(p) = 0\}$. Suppose $\text{rank}(df)_p \neq 0$ for all $p \in N$, then N is a submanifold of M with codimension 1.*

Proposition 33. \mathfrak{B}_1 is a 115 dimensional connected compact manifold.

Proof. Define a mapping $f : \mathfrak{B}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f(R) = \langle R, R \rangle - 4$. Then f is obviously differentiable because \mathfrak{B}^C is a submanifold of $e_8^C = \mathbf{C}^{248} = \mathbf{R}^{496}$ (Proposition 31). We shall show that $(df)_R \neq 0$ for $R \in f^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{B}_1$. Consider a curve λR , $0 < \lambda < \infty$, in \mathfrak{B}^C through $R \in \mathfrak{B}^C$. Then it is a differentiable curve with respect to λ from Proposition 31. Now, for $R \in \mathfrak{B}_1$,

$$(df)_R \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)_R = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} (\lambda R) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\langle \lambda R, \lambda R \rangle - 4) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} 4\lambda^2 \Big|_{\lambda=1} = 8 \neq 0.$$

Hence $\text{rank}(df)_R = 1$ for $R \in \mathfrak{B}_1$. Therefore \mathfrak{B}_1 is a $\dim \mathfrak{B}^C - 1 = 116 - 1 = 115$ dimen-

sional submanifold of \mathfrak{B}^C from Lemma 32. Clearly \mathfrak{B}_1 is compact. \mathfrak{B}_1 is connected, since \mathfrak{B}_1 is the image of \mathfrak{B}^C (which is connected) by a continuous mapping $h: \mathfrak{B}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_1$, $h(R) = \frac{2R}{\langle R, R \rangle}$.

Theorem 34. *The homogeneous space E_8/E_7 is homeomorphic to the manifold \mathfrak{B}_1 :*

$$E_8/E_7 \simeq \mathfrak{B}_1 = \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C \mid R \times R = 0, \langle R, R \rangle = 4\}.$$

Proof. Obviously the group E_8 acts on \mathfrak{B}_1 and the isotropy subgroup at $\underline{1}$ is E_7 ([I-Y] Theorem 26). Therefore the orbit $E_8 \underline{1}$ (which is homeomorphic to E_8/E_7) through $\underline{1}$ is a $248 - 133 = 115$ dimensional submanifold of \mathfrak{B}_1 , because E_8 is a compact Lie group. Since $E_8 \underline{1}$ and \mathfrak{B}_1 are both connected manifolds, have the same dimension 115 and $E_8 \underline{1}$ is a compact submanifold of \mathfrak{B}_1 , they must coincide: $E_8 \underline{1} = \mathfrak{B}_1$. Thus we have $E_8/E_7 \simeq E_8 \underline{1} = \mathfrak{B}_1$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
SHINSHU UNIVERSITY

References

- [F] H. Freudenthal, Beziehung der E_7 und E_8 zur Oktavenebene, X, Nederl. Akad. Weten. Proc., Ser. A, 66=Indag. Math., **25** (1963), 457-471.
[I-Y] T. Imai and I. Yokota, Simply connected compact simple Lie group $E_{8(-248)}$ of type E_8 , Jour. Math., Kyoto Univ., **21** (1981), 741-762.