

On the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations and the regularity of solutions

By

Shin-ichi DOI

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we consider evolution equations for second order differential operators with skew-symmetric principal parts

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + a^w(x, D))u = f \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^d) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Here we assume

$$(A0) \quad a = ia_2 + a_1 + a_0, a_j \in S_{1,0}^j (j=0, 1, 2) \text{ and } a_2 \text{ is real.}$$

Especially we have in mind the following simple equations:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\partial_t + i\frac{1}{2}|D_x|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^d b_j(x)D_j + c(x) \right) u = f \text{ in } \mathfrak{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^d) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $b_j(x), c(x) \in \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The aim of this paper is to give a sufficient condition for the Cauchy problem (1.1), especially (1.2), to be H^s (or H^∞) well posed, and under that condition we will show the additional regularity of the solutions.

More precisely we consider the following conditions:

$$(A1) \quad \text{There exists } e \in S_{1,0}^1 \text{ such that } e(x, \xi) \geq \delta \langle \xi \rangle \text{ with some } \delta > 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{that } \{e, a_2\} \in S_{1,0}^1. \text{ Here } \langle \xi \rangle = (10 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$(A2) \quad \text{There exist } p \in S_{1,0}^0 \text{ of real value and } C > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$(1.3) \quad H_{a_2} p + \text{Re } a \geq -C.$$

$$(A3) \quad \text{There exist } p \in S(\log \langle \xi \rangle, |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} |d\xi|^2), \text{ of real value, } K > 0 \text{ and} \\ C > 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$(1.4) \quad H_{a_2} p + \text{Re } a_1 \geq -K \log \langle \xi \rangle - C.$$

$$\text{Here } H_{pq} = \{p, q\} = \sum_{j=1}^d (\partial_{\xi_j} p \partial_{x_j} q - \partial_{x_j} p \partial_{\xi_j} q).$$

Remark. If a_2 is uniformly elliptic or independent of x , then (A1) is satisfied with $e = (1 + a_2^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ or $\langle \xi \rangle$ respectively.

Theorem 1.1. Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and suppose (A0), (A1) and (A2). Then for any $u_0 \in H^s$ and $f \in L^1([0, T]; H^s)$ there exists a solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^s)$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad \|u(t)\|_s \leq C_1 (\|u(0)\|_s + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_s d\tau), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

and it is unique in $C([0, T]; H^{-\infty})$. Moreover if $f \in L^2([0, T]; H^s)$, then $u \in L^2([0, T]; X^s)$ and also satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{X^s}^2 d\tau \leq C_2 (\|u(0)\|_s^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_s^2 d\tau), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Here X^s is a Hilbert space whose norm is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \|u\|_{X^s}^2 = ((H_{a_2} p + \operatorname{Re} a_1)^w(x, D) \langle D \rangle^s u, \langle D \rangle^s u) + C_s \|u\|_s^2$$

with a large constant $C_s > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose (A0), (A1) and (A3). Then for any $u_0 \in H^s$ and $f \in L^1([0, T]; H^s)$ there exists a solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s-\gamma})$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad \|u(t)\|_{s-\gamma} \leq C_1 (\|u(0)\|_s + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_s d\tau), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and it is unique in $C([0, T]; H^{-\infty})$. Here $\gamma, C > 0$ and γ is independent of s .

Remark. The proofs of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 contain more information: if $u_0 \in H^s$ and $\langle D \rangle^{-m} f \in L^1([0, T]; H^s)$, then $\langle D \rangle^{-M-m} u \in C([0, T]; H^s)$ and the following estimate holds with $m, M, C > 0$;

$$(1.9) \quad \|u(t)\|_{s-M-m} \leq C (\|u(0)\|_s + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{s-m} d\tau), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Here we can choose $M = M_2 - M_1$ if $M_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_1 \leq p(x, \xi) \leq M_2 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_2$ with $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and m as any real number satisfying $H_{a_2 + i m a_1} p + \operatorname{Re} a_1 + m \log \langle \xi \rangle \geq -C$ with some $C > 0$.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose (A0), (A1) and (A3). Then for any $u_0 \in H^\infty$ and $f \in L^1([0, T]; H^\infty)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^\infty)$ of (1.1).

Corollary 1.4. Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and put $\operatorname{Re} b(x) = (\operatorname{Re} b_1(x), \dots, \operatorname{Re} b_d(x))$. Suppose $\lambda(t)$ is a positive non-increasing function in $C([0, \infty)) \cap L^1(0, \infty)$. If

$$(1.10) \quad |\operatorname{Re} b(x)| \leq \lambda(|x|),$$

then (1. 2) satisfies (A1) and (A2) with

$$(1.11) \quad \|u\|_{\dot{X}^s}^2 = (\lambda(|x|)D)^{s+\frac{1}{2}}u, \langle D \rangle^{s+\frac{1}{2}}u + C_s \|u\|_s^2.$$

Therefore Theorem 1.1 is applicable.

Corollary 1.5. Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and suppose $\lambda(t)$ is a positive non-increasing function in $C([0, \infty))$ satisfying $\int_0^t \lambda(\tau) d\tau \leq L \log(t+1) + C$ with $L, C > 0$. If

$$(1.10) \quad |\operatorname{Re} b(x)| \leq \lambda(|x|),$$

then (1. 2) satisfies (A1) and (A3). Therefore Theorem 1.2 is applicable.

Remark 1.6. We can take $\gamma = 2L$ if $|\operatorname{Re} b(x)| \leq L \langle x \rangle^{-1}$ and $|\operatorname{Im} \partial_j b_k(x)| \leq C(\log \langle x \rangle)^{-1}$ with $C > 0$. In this case p can be chosen as follows:

$$p(x, \xi) = \frac{Mx \cdot \xi}{\langle x \rangle \langle \xi \rangle} + Lf\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi}{\langle x \rangle \langle \xi \rangle}\right) \log \frac{|x \cdot \xi|}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2 + |\xi|^2}}.$$

Here $M > 0$ is a large constant and f is a real valued function in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $f(t) = 0$ ($|t| < 1 - 2\varepsilon$), $= 1$ ($t > 1 - \varepsilon$), -1 ($t < -1 + \varepsilon$) and $f'(t) \geq 0$ with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

On the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations there seems to be a gap between the necessity and sufficiency.

For the necessity there are works such as [Mi 1, 2], [Ichi 3, 4] in the L^2 case, and [Ichi 2], [Ta 2], [Ha] in the H^∞ case. We quote the necessary condition from [Ichi 3] in a little changed form to make the comparison with (A2) easy.

Theorem (W. Ichinose). Let $a_2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k$, $a_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d b_j(x) \xi_j$ and $a_0 = c(x)$, where $a_{jk}, b_j, c \in \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $a_{jk} = a_{kj} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $C_1 |\xi|^2 \leq |a_2(x, \xi)| \leq C_2 |\xi|^2$ with $C_j > 0$. If (1. 1) is L^2 well posed on $[0, T]$ (see [Ichi 3] for the precise definition), then

$$(1.12) \quad \inf_{(t, y, \eta) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t a_1(X(\tau, y, \eta), \Xi(\tau, y, \eta)) d\tau > -\infty.$$

Here $(X(t, y, \eta), \Xi(t, y, \eta))$ are the integral curve of the Hamilton vector field

$$H_{a_2} = \sum_{j=1}^d (\partial_{\xi_j} a_2 \partial_{x_j} - \partial_{x_j} a_2 \partial_{\xi_j})$$

passing through (y, η) at $t=0$.

The simplest condition to assure (1.12) is the existence of a bounded real valued function $p(x, \xi)$ of class C^1 such that $H_{a_2} p + \operatorname{Re} a_1 \geq -C$ with some $C > 0$, which is the origin of (A2). In general we can not hope that $p \in S_{1,0}^0$. Even so this is the case of (1.2) (see Corollary 1.4). Similarly (A3) origin-

ates in the necessary condition for the H^∞ well-posedness.

For the sufficiency of the well-posedness of (1.2) there are works such as [Mi 2], [Ichi 1], [Ta 3] and [Ba]. These works all rely on the method given in [Mi 2], based on the $S_{0,0}$ calculus. So they can not help to assume some conditions on $\text{Im } b(x)$ in addition to $b(x) \in \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$. In contrast the author uses rather simple energy method, which is based on good symbol classes $S_{1,0}$ or $S(m, (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^2 |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^2 |d\xi|^2)$, and formulates the sufficient condition in a stable way. The defect of this approach is that he can not handle the delicate case treated in [Mi 2], [Ichi 1] and [Ta 3].

Acknowledgement. At the preliminary stage of this paper, I proved Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.4: however. I proved Corollary 1.5 under the conditions $|\text{Re } b_j(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-1}$, $|\text{Im } \partial_k b_j(x)| \leq C (\log \langle x \rangle)^{-1}$, $1 \leq j, k \leq d$ with $C > 0$ (see Remark 1.6).

Soon after I informed Mr. Baba of this approach, he suggested that to add the linearly time-dependent term to p in (A3) might eliminate the second condition. I thank him for this advice.

Notation. For general notation, especially concerning the Weyl calculus, see [Hö, chapter 18].

$$\langle \xi \rangle = (10 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d). \quad L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), \quad (\cdot, \cdot) = (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}, \quad \|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2}.$$

$$H^s = H^s(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{u \in S'(\mathbf{R}^d) : \langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}(\xi) \in L^2\}, \quad \|u\|_s = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}(\xi)\|.$$

$$H^\infty = \bigcap_{s \in \mathbf{R}} H^s, \quad H^{-\infty} = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} H^s, \quad C([0, T]; H^{-\infty}) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} C([0, T]; H^s).$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^\infty = \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d) : \partial^\alpha f \in L^\infty \text{ for all } \alpha\}.$$

For $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ and $S(m, g)$, see [Hö, chapter 18]. $C([0, T]; w-S(m, g))$ is the set of all $\phi \in C([0, T]; C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d))$ such that $\{\phi(t, \cdot, \cdot)\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is bounded in $S(m, g)$.

For $p \in S(m, g)$, $u \in S$,

$$(p^w(x, D)u)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \int e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} p\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) dy d\xi;$$

$$\sigma(p^w(x, D)) = p(x, \xi).$$

2. Proofs

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow the a priori estimates in Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3 respectively with standard argument (see, for examples, [Hö, the proof of theorem 23.1.2, p.387]).

In this section we abbreviate $S(m, |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} |d\xi|^2)$ to $S(m)$ and $p^w(x, D)$ to $p(x, D)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\phi \in S(\log \langle \xi \rangle)$ and suppose there exist real numbers m_1, m_2, C_1 and C_2 such that

$$m_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_1 \leq \phi(x, \xi) \leq m_2 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_2.$$

Then

- (1) $e^\phi \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{m_2}, g)$, $g = (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^2 |dx|^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^2 |d\xi|^2$.
- (2) There exists $q \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} k_1(x, D)k(x, D) = I_d + r(x, D) \\ k(x, D)k_1(x, D) = I_d + r_1(x, D) \end{cases}$$

where $k = e^\phi$, $k_1 = e^{-\phi}(1+q)$ and $r, r_1 \in S^{-\infty}$.

- (3) There exist $C, C' > 0$ such that for all $u \in H^\infty$

$$\|u\|_{m_1} \leq C\|k(x, D)u\| + \|r(x, D)u\|_{m_1} \leq C'\|u\|_{m_2}$$

Proof. (1) is verified by simple calculation.

- (2) Since $\sigma(e^{-\phi}(x, D)e^\phi(x, D)) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j$ with

$$\begin{aligned} p_j &= \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{i(D_\xi \cdot D_y - D_y \cdot D_x)}{2} \right)^j e^{-\phi}(x, \xi) e^\phi(y, \eta) |_{(y, \eta) = (x, \xi)} \\ &\in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^2)^j, j = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$e^{-\phi}(x, D)e^\phi(x, D) = 1 - p(x, D), \quad p \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4).$$

With $q' \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma(p(x, D)^j)$ and $q = \sigma(q'(x, D)e^{-\phi}(x, D))e^\phi \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4)$

we obtain

$$((1+q)e^{-\phi})(x, D)e^\phi(x, D) = 1 + r(x, D), \quad r \in S^{-\infty}.$$

Similarly for some $q_1 \in S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4)$

$$e^\phi(x, D)((1+q_1)e^{-\phi})(x, D) = 1 + r_1(x, D), \quad r_1 \in S^{-\infty}.$$

From these we get $((q - q_1)e^{-\phi})(x, D) \in \text{Op } S^{-\infty}$, that is, $q - q_1 \in S^{-\infty}$. This proves (2).

- (3) By (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{m_1} &\leq \|k_1(x, D)k(x, D)u\|_{m_1} + \|r(x, D)u\|_{m_1} \\ &\leq C\|k(x, D)u\| + \|r(x, D)u\|_{m_1} \leq C'\|u\|_{m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If $\phi \in C([0, T]: w - S(\log \langle \xi \rangle))$, then $e^\phi \in C([0, T]: w - S$

$(\langle \xi \rangle^{m_2}, g)$.

Moreover we can take $q \in C([0, T]: w - S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2}(\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4))$, $r, r_1 \in C([0, T]: S^{-\infty})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let a satisfy (A0) and let $\phi \in C^1([0, T]: w - S(\log \langle \xi \rangle))$. Suppose*

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t \phi + H_{a_2 + \text{Im } a_1} \phi - \text{Re } a_1 \leq C_0, \quad (t, x, \xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$$

$$(2.2) \quad m_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_1 \leq \phi(t, x, \xi) \leq m_2 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C_2, \quad (t, x, \xi) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$$

with $C_0, C_1, C_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$(2.3) \quad N(u(t)) \leq C \left(N(u(0)) + \int_0^t N(f(\tau)) d\tau \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^t \tilde{N}(u(t))^2 d\tau \leq C' \left((N(u(0)))^2 + \int_0^t N(f(\tau))^2 d\tau \right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

for $u \in C^1([0, T]; H^\infty)$. Here $f(t) = (\partial_t + a(x, D))u(t)$, $K = K(t) = e^\phi(t, x, D)$, and

$$N(u(t))^2 = \|K(t)u(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_{m_1-1}^2$$

$$\tilde{N}(u(t))^2 = ((-\phi_t - \{a_2 + \text{Im } a_1, \phi\} + \text{Re } a_1)(t, x, D)Ku, Ku) + C'' \|Ku\|^2$$

with a constant $C'' > 0$ large enough to ensure $\tilde{N}(u(t)) \geq \|K(t)u(t)\|$.

Proof. Let $u \in C^1([0, T]; H^\infty)$ and set $f = (\partial_t + a(x, D))u$. Put $k(t, x, \xi) = \exp(\phi(t, x, \xi)) \in C^1([0, T]: w - S(\langle \xi \rangle^{m_2}, g))$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists $q \in C([0, T]: w - S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-2}(\log \langle \xi \rangle)^4))$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{k}(t, x, D)k(t, x, D) = \text{Id} + r_1(t, x, D) \\ k(t, x, D)\tilde{k}(t, x, D) = \text{Id} + r_2(t, x, D) \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{k} = e^{-\phi}(1+q) \in C([0, T]: w - S(\langle \xi \rangle^{-m_1}, g))$ and $r_1, r_2 \in C([0, T]: S^{-\infty})$. For simplicity we denote pseudo-differential operators $p(t, x, D)$ by the corresponding capital letter $P = P(t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|K(t)u(t)\|^2 &= 2\text{Re}(K_t(t)u(t) + K(t)(-Au(t) + f(t)), K(t)u(t)) \\ &= 2\text{Re}((K_t + [A, K] - AK)u, Ku) + 2\text{Re}(Kf, Ku) \\ &= 2\text{Re}(((K_t + [A, K])\tilde{K} - A)Ku, Ku) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re}(R_3u, Ku) + 2\text{Re}(Kf, Ku). \end{aligned}$$

Here $r_3 \in C([0, T]: S^{-\infty})$. Since

$$\sigma(K_t \tilde{K}) \equiv \phi_t,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma([A, K])k^{-1} &\equiv \frac{1}{i} \{a, \phi\}, \\ \sigma([A, K]\tilde{K}) &\equiv \frac{1}{i} \{a, \phi\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\{a, \phi\}, \phi\}, \\ \operatorname{Re} \sigma([A, K]\tilde{K}) &\equiv \{a_2 + \operatorname{Im} a_1, \phi\} \end{aligned}$$

modulo $C([0, T]: w - S(1, g))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|Ku(t)\|^2 &\leq 2((\phi_t + \{a_2 + \operatorname{Im} a_1, \phi\} - \operatorname{Re} a_1)(t, x, D)Ku, Ku) \\ &\quad + 2\|R_3u\| \cdot \|Ku\| + 2C_1\|Ku\|^2 + 2\|Kf\| \cdot \|Ku\| \end{aligned}$$

with some $C_1 > 0$. Similarly we have a rougher estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{m_1-1}^2 &\leq 2(C_2\|u\|_{m_1} + \|f\|_{m_1-1})\|u\|_{m_1-1} \\ &\leq 2(C_3\|Ku\| + C_3\|u\|_{m_1-1} + \|f\|_{m_1-1})\|u\|_{m_1-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we use Lemma 2.1 (3). By adding the both estimates we obtain with $\delta > 0$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} N(u(t)) \leq C_4 N(u(t)) + N(f(t)),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} N(u(t))^2 \leq -\delta \tilde{N}(u(t))^2 + C_5 N(u(t))^2 + N(f(t))^2.$$

From (2.6)

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{-C_5 t} N(u(t))^2 + \delta e^{-C_5 t} \tilde{N}(u(t))^2 \leq e^{-C_5 t} N(f(t))^2.$$

By Integrating the both sides from 0 to t ,

$$e^{-C_5 t} N(u(t))^2 + \delta \int_0^t e^{-C_5 \tau} \tilde{N}(u(\tau))^2 d\tau \leq N(u(0))^2 + \int_0^t e^{-C_5 \tau} N(f(\tau))^2 d\tau$$

wich implies (2.4). Similarly (2.5) leads to (2.3).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and assume (A0), (A1) and (A3). Then there exist $\gamma, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|u(t)\|_{s-\gamma} \leq C_1 (\|u(0)\|_s + \int_0^t \|(\partial_t + a(x, D))u(\tau)\|_s d\tau),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|u(t)\|_{s-\gamma} \leq C_2 (\|u(T)\|_s + \int_0^T \|(\partial_t + a(x, D)^*)u(\tau)\|_s d\tau),$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $u \in C^1([0, T]; H^\infty)$. Here $\gamma > 0$ is independent of s .

Proof. Take $m \geq 0$ satisfying

$$H_{a_2 + \operatorname{Im} a_1} p + \operatorname{Re} a_1 + m \log \langle \xi \rangle \geq -C$$

with a constant $C > 0$. Put

$$\psi(t, x, \xi) = -p(x, \xi) + (s - mt) \log e(x, \xi).$$

Then ψ satisfies (2.1), (2.2) with $C_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $m_1 = s - M_2 - mT$, $m_2 = s - M_1$ if

$$M_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C' \leq p(x, \xi) \leq M_2 \log \langle \xi \rangle + C''.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 (3)

$$\|u(t)\|_{m_1} \leq C_5 (\|u(0)\|_{m_2} + \int_0^t \|(\partial_t + a(x, D))u(\tau)\|_{m_2} d\tau)$$

with $C_5 > 0$, which implies (2.7) with $\gamma = M_2 - M_1 + mT$. If we replace t by $T - t$, (2.8) is reduced to (2.7) since (A0), (A1) and (A3) are valid for $\partial_t + A^*$ with p replaced by $-p$.

We can prove the next lemma similarly as Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and assume (A0), (A1) and (A2). Then the following a priori estimates hold:*

$$(2.9) \quad \|u(t)\|_s \leq C_1 (\|u(0)\|_s + \int_0^t \|(\partial_t + a(x, D))u(\tau)\|_s d\tau),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|u(t)\|_s \leq C_2 (\|u(T)\|_s + \int_t^T \|(\partial_t - a(x, D)^*)u(\tau)\|_s d\tau),$$

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^t ((H_{a_2} p + \text{Re } a_1)(x, D) \langle D \rangle^s u(\tau), \langle D \rangle^s u(\tau)) d\tau \\ \leq C_3 (\|u(0)\|_s^2 + \int_0^t \|(\partial_t + a(x, D))u(\tau)\|_s^2 d\tau),$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $u \in C([0, T]; H^{s+2}) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^s)$. Here $C_j = C_j(s, T)$.

Lemma 2.5. (1) *If $\lambda(t)$ is a positive non-increasing function in $C([0, \infty)) \cap L^1([0, \infty))$, then there exists $\phi(x) = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d)$, $\phi_j \in \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of real value, such that*

$$\phi'_{symm}(x) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_j \phi_i + \partial_i \phi_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \geq \lambda(|x|) \text{Id} > 0$$

as positive definite matrices.

(2) *If $\lambda(t)$ is a positive non-increasing function in $C([0, \infty))$ satisfying $\int_0^t \lambda(\tau) d\tau \leq L \log(t+1) + C$ with $L, C > 0$, then there exists $\phi(x) = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d)$ $\phi_j \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of real value, such that $\partial_i \phi_j \in \mathfrak{B}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $|\phi_j(x)| \leq L \log \langle x \rangle + C'$ with $C' > 0$ and*

$$\phi'_{symm}(x) \geq \lambda(|x|) \text{Id} > 0$$

as positive definite matrices.

Proof. Take $\alpha \in C_0^\infty((0, 2))$ such that $\int \alpha(t) dt = 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and set $\tilde{\lambda}$

$(t) = \int \alpha(\tau) \lambda(t - \tau) d\tau$, where $\lambda(t) = \lambda(0)$ if $t < 0$. Then $\lambda(t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}(t)$ and $\int_0^t \lambda(\tau) d\tau + C \geq \int_0^t \tilde{\lambda}(\tau) d\tau$ with $C > 0$ if $t \geq 0$. Put $\phi(x) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_d))$ with $f(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{\lambda}(|\tau|) d\tau$. Then

$$\phi'_{symm}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}(|x_1|) & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \tilde{\lambda}(|x_d|) & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \geq \lambda(|x|) \text{Id.}$$

Proof of Corollary 1. 4. Take $\phi(x)$ satisfying Lemma 2.5 (1) for $\lambda(t)$ and set $p(x, \xi) = \phi(x) \cdot \xi \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\{|\xi|^2, p\} = 2\xi \cdot \nabla_x p(x, \xi) = 2\phi'_{symm}(x) \xi \cdot \xi \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \geq 2\lambda(|x|) |\xi|^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$$

which leads to (A2) if $|\text{Re } b(x)| \leq \lambda(|x|)$.

Proof of Corollary 1. 5. Let $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\alpha = 1$ ($|x| < 1$), $\alpha = 0$ ($|x| > 2$), $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and put $\chi(x, \xi) = \alpha\left(\frac{x}{\langle \xi \rangle}\right) \in S(1, (1 + |x|^2 + |\xi|^2)^{-1}(|dx|^2 + |d\xi|^2))$. Take $\phi(x)$ satisfying Lemma 2.5 (2) for $\lambda(t)$ and set $p(x, \xi) = \phi(x) \cdot \xi \langle \xi \rangle \chi(x, \xi)$.

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{|\xi|^2, p\} &= 2(\phi'_{symm}(x) \xi, \xi) \chi(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} + 2\phi(x) \cdot \xi \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} (\xi \cdot \nabla_x \chi)(x, \xi) \\ &\geq 2(\phi'_{symm}(x) \xi, \xi) \chi(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} - C_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle - C_2 \\ &\geq 2\lambda(|x|) \chi(x, \xi) |\xi| - C_1 \log \langle \xi \rangle - C_3 \\ &\geq 2\lambda(|x|) |\xi| - C_4 \log \langle \xi \rangle - C_5. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $\lambda(t) = O\left(\frac{\log t}{t}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This leads to (A3) if $|\text{Re } b(x)| \leq \lambda(|x|)$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

References

- [Ba] A. Baba, *The H_∞ -wellposed Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations*, preprint.
- [Ha] S. Hara, *A necessary condition for H^∞ -wellposed Cauchy problem of Schrödinger type equations with variable coefficients*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **32** (1992), 287-305.
- [Hö] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [Ich1] W. Ichinose, *Sufficient condition on H^∞ well posedness for Schrödinger type equations*, Comm. P. D. E. **9** (1984), 33-48.
- [Ich2] W. Ichinose, *Some remarks on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations*, Osaka J. Math., **21** (1984), 565-581.

- [Ichi3] W. Ichinose, *The Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations with variable coefficients*, Osaka J. Math., **24** (1987), 853-886.
- [Ichi4] W. Ichinose, *On L^2 well posedness of the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations on the Riemannian manifold and the Maslov theory*, Duke Math J., **56** (1988), 549-588.
- [Mi1] S. Mizohata, *On some Schrödinger type equations*, Proc. Japan Acad., **57** Ser A (1981), 81-84.
- [Mi2] S. Mizohata, *On the Cauchy problem*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [Ta1] J. Takeuchi, *A necessary condition for the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a certain class of evolution equations*, Proc. Japan Acad., **50** (1974), 133-137.
- [Ta2] J. Takeuchi, *A necessary condition for H^∞ -wellposedness of the Cauchy problem for linear partial differential operators of Schrödinger type*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **25** (1985), 459-472.
- [Ta2] J. Takeuchi, *Le problème de Cauchy pour certaines équations aux dérivées partielles du type de Schrödinger*, III, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **312**, Série I (1991), 341-344; *Le problème de Cauchy...*, VI, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **313**, Série I (1991), 761-764; *Le problème de Cauchy...*, VIII, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **315**, Série I (1992), 1055-1058.