

## Lie algebra of the infinitesimal automorphisms on $S^3$ and its central extension

By

Tosiaki KORI

### 0. Introduction

In this paper we shall deal with a central extension of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms on  $S^3$ . Such a central extension on the circle is famous in the name of Virasoro algebra. The Lie algebra  $Vect(S^1)$  of infinitesimal automorphisms on the circle is generated by (the restriction on  $S^1$ ) of

$$L_m = z^m \left( z \frac{d}{dz} \right), \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \dots,$$

where we look  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\}$ , with the commutation relation

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{m+n}.$$

A two cocycle on  $Vect(S^1)$  is given by the formula

$$(0-1) \quad c(L_m, L_n) = -\frac{1}{12}n(n^2 - 1)\delta_{n+m,0}.$$

Virasoro algebra is the central extension associated with this two cocycle. A highest weight representation of the Virasoro algebra is generated by a highest weight representation of the affine Lie algebra  $S^1g$  (Sugawara construction) [K]. Though we have not a satisfactory theory on the highest weight representation of the (abelian) extension of  $S^3g$  [M-R] and do not know about the action of  $Vect(S^3)$  on the representation space of current algebra the author thinks it is worth trying to have a central extension of  $Vect(S^3)$ .

In [K-K] it was shown that the two cocycle (0-1) is derived from the non-commutative residue on the cotangent bundle of  $S^1$ , that is,

$$c(X, Y) = \int_{|z|=1} \text{res} [\ln|\zeta|^2, \text{ymb } X] \cdot \text{ymb } Y.$$

Here  $\text{ymb } X$  is the pseudodifferential symbol and  $\zeta$  denotes fiber coordinate. (Actually their derivation of (0-1) should be corrected a little. See the

discussion in section 5.) We shall extend this method to have our central extension of  $Vect(S^3)$ .

In the above explanation  $z^m$ 's are spherical functions for a highest weight representation of Lie group  $U(1)$  acting on  $S^1$ ;  $z \frac{d}{dz} = mz^m$ . These weight functions enjoy the property that they are closed under products. In sections 1 to 3 we shall give a class of spherical functions for a highest weight representation of  $SU(2)$  acting on  $S^3$  such that the product is expressed by their linear combination. Such a property has been investigated in [Ru, V] earlier and we present a new (dual pair of) basis of the space of spherical functions. (The author thinks this is the only new point through sections 1 to 3.) These spherical functions are very comode to describe the Lie algebra  $Vect(S^3)$  and to construct a two-cocycle on it. The Lie algebra  $Vect(S^3)$  is introduced in 4.2 and the commutation relations are given in Proposition 4.2, The draft of this work was distributed in 1992 as volume No.92-12 of Report of Science and Engineering Research Laboratory of Waseda University.

## 1. Harmonic polynomials on $C^2$

**1. 1.** We introduce first the following vector fields that form a frame on  $C^2 - \{0\}$ :

$$(1-1-1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu &= z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, & \bar{\nu} &= \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}, \\ \varepsilon &= -\bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, & \bar{\varepsilon} &= -z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{2}(\nu + \bar{\nu}), \quad \theta_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(\nu - \bar{\nu}), \quad \theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon}), \quad \theta_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(\varepsilon - \bar{\varepsilon}).$$

$\mathbf{n}$  is the normal to the sphere  $\{|z| = const\}$  and  $\{\theta_0, \varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}\}$  form a basis for the induced tangential Cauchy-Riemann structure on the sphere.

There is another quartet of frame on  $C^2 - \{0\}$ ,

$$(1-1-2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu &= z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, & \bar{\mu} &= \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \\ \delta &= \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, & \bar{\delta} &= z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \bar{z}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \end{aligned}$$

These vector fields give also a frame on  $C^2 - \{0\}$ . We have  $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \bar{\mu})$ . Put

$$\tau_0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(\mu - \bar{\mu}), \quad \tau_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \bar{\delta}), \quad \tau_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}(\delta - \bar{\delta}).$$

On the unit sphere  $S^3 = \{|z| = 1\}$  we have the following commutation rela-

tions:

$$(1-1-3) \quad [\theta_0, \varepsilon] = \sqrt{-1}\varepsilon, \quad [\theta_0, \bar{\varepsilon}] = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\varepsilon}, \quad [\varepsilon, \bar{\varepsilon}] = 2\sqrt{-1}\theta_0.$$

$$[\tau_0, \delta] = \sqrt{-1}\delta, \quad [\tau_0, \bar{\delta}] = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\delta}, \quad [\delta, \bar{\delta}] = 2\sqrt{-1}\tau_0.$$

$$(1-1-4) \quad [\varepsilon, \delta] = [\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\delta}] = [\varepsilon, \bar{\delta}] = [\bar{\varepsilon}, \delta] = [\theta_0, \tau_0] = 0.$$

**1.2** On  $\mathbb{C}^2$  we consider the natural metric  $dz_1 \otimes d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \otimes d\bar{z}_2$ , and on the sphere  $S^3 = \{|z|=1\}$  we consider the induced metric. With respect to this metric  $\{\sqrt{2}\theta_0, \sqrt{2}\theta_1, \sqrt{2}\theta_2\}$  form an orthonormal frame on  $S^3$ . Similarly  $\{\sqrt{2}\tau_0, \sqrt{2}\tau_1, \sqrt{2}\tau_2\}$  also give an orthonormal frame for the same metric.  $\sqrt{2}\mathbf{n}$  is the unit normal to the sphere. Laplacian on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is given by  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial \bar{z}_2}$ .

The Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$  is given by  $\Delta_1 = (\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) = (\tau_0^2 + \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2)$ . We have the decomposition;

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{|z|^2}(\mathbf{n}^2 + \mathbf{n} + \Delta_1).$$

The separation of variable method to obtain the spherical expansion of harmonic functions by the eigenvectors of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the boundary is well known. We note that we have two candidates depending on which frame of vector fields  $\theta_i$  or  $\tau_i$  we use.

Let  $\Delta_1$  be Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere  $S^3 = \{|z|=1\}$ .  $-\Delta_1$  being a second order elliptic differential operator, the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_1$  are nonnegative with only accumulation point at infinity and the eigenfunctions form a complete system in  $L^2(S^3, d\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  is the normalized surface measure. Let  $\{\phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \geq 0}$  be the set of eigenfunctions of  $\Delta_1$  on the unit sphere;  $\Delta_1 \phi_\lambda = \lambda \phi_\lambda$ . Then every harmonic function  $h$  in a unit ball  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$  with  $L^2$ -boundary value on  $S^3$  has the expansion of the form;

$$(1-2-1) \quad h(z) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}(|z|) \phi_{\lambda}\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right),$$

where  $a_{\lambda}(t) = t^{\sqrt{4\lambda+1}-1}$ .

### 1.3

**a.** A polynomial  $P$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is said to be of type  $(p, q)$  if

$$(1-3-1) \quad P(az_1, az_2, b\bar{z}_1, b\bar{z}_2) = a^p b^q P(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2).$$

Let  $\widehat{S}^{p,q}$  be the set of polynomials of type  $(p, q)$ .

Similarly a polynomial that satisfies

$$(1-3-2) \quad P(az_1, bz_2, b\bar{z}_1, a\bar{z}_2) = a^k b^l P(z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$$

is called of class  $(k, l)$ . The set of polynomials of class  $(k, l)$  is denoted by  $S_{k,l}$ .

Let  $H$  be the set of harmonic polynomials on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and put

$$\widehat{H}^{p,q} = H \cap \widehat{S}^{p,q}, \quad H_{k,l} = H \cap S_{k,l}.$$

The following facts are proved routinely [T].

**Proposition 1. 1.**

(1)

$$\widehat{S}^{p,q} = \widehat{H}^{p,q} \oplus |z|^2 \widehat{S}^{p-1,q-1}, \quad S_{p,q} = H_{p,q} \oplus |z|^2 S_{p-1,q-1}.$$

(2)

$$\dim \widehat{H}^{p,q} = \dim H_{p,q} = p + q + 1.$$

We have the following decomposition of  $H$  to direct sums:

$$(1-3-3) \quad H = \sum_{p,q} \widehat{H}^{p,q}, \quad H = \sum_{k,l} H_{k,l}.$$

We shall see in the next section that these are decompositions of  $H$  as irreducible representation spaces of  $SU(2)$ .

**b.** In the sequel we shall use the multiindices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $\alpha_i$ 's being non-negative integers, and the notation  $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}$  for  $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . The meaning of the notations  $S_\alpha$ ,  $H_\alpha$  or  $\widehat{H}^\alpha$  will be obvious from **a**. We shall also write  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ .

Put

$$(1-3-4) \quad h_\alpha^q(z) = \varepsilon^q(z^\alpha), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq q \leq |\alpha|.$$

**Proposition 1. 2.** For each  $\alpha$ ,  $h_\alpha^q$ ;  $q=0, 1, \dots, |\alpha|$ , give a basis of  $H_\alpha$

There is on the other hand a series of polynomials generated by the operation of  $\delta$  that constitute a basis of  $\widehat{H}^\alpha$ .

Put

$$(1-3-5) \quad \widehat{h}_q^\alpha(z) = \delta^q(\bar{z}_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}).$$

We see that  $\widehat{h}_q^\alpha(z)$  is a harmonic polynomial.

**Proposition 1. 3.** For every  $\alpha$ ,  $\widehat{h}_q^\alpha$ ;  $q=0, 1, \dots, |\alpha|$ , give a basis of  $\widehat{H}^\alpha$ .

We have the following relations;

**Lemma 1. 4.**

$$(-1)^{b+q} (a+b-q)! \overline{h_{(a,b)}^q} = q! h_{(b,a)}^{a+b-q}, \quad (-1)^{a,b}! \widehat{h}_a^{(a+b-q,q)} = q! h_{(a,b)}^{q+b-q}.$$

**Proposition 1. 5.**

(1)

$$\sum_{k=0}^r H_{k,r-k} = \sum_{k=0}^r \widehat{H}^{r-k,k}.$$

(2)

$$H_{k,r-k} \cap \widehat{H}^{s-q,q} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq r \\ Ch_{(k,r-k)}^q & \text{if } s = r \end{cases}$$

The proposition follows from Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 1.4.

#### 1. 4.

**a.** We shall describe the operations of  $\theta_0$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , etc. on the space of harmonic polynomials  $H$ . These will give an infinitesimal representation of  $su(2)$  as we shall see in the next section.

#### Lemma 1. 6.

(1)

$$\theta_0 \varepsilon^q = \varepsilon^q \theta_0 + \sqrt{-1} q \varepsilon^q, \quad \bar{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^q = \varepsilon^q \bar{\varepsilon} - 2q \sqrt{-1} \varepsilon^{q-1} \theta_0 + q(q-1) \varepsilon^{q-1}.$$

(2)

$$\tau_0 \delta^q = \delta^q \tau_0 + \sqrt{-1} q \delta^q, \quad \bar{\delta} \delta^q = \delta^q \bar{\delta} - 2q \sqrt{-1} \delta^{q-1} \tau_0 + q(q-1) \delta^{q-1}.$$

The lemma follows from the commutation relations (1-1-4). This lemma implies the following calculation.

#### Proposition 1. 7.

$$(1) \sqrt{-1} \theta_0 h_\alpha^q = \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{2} - q \right) h_\alpha^q \quad \text{for } q = 0, 1, \dots, |\alpha|.$$

$$(2) \varepsilon h_\alpha^q = h_\alpha^{q+1}.$$

$$(3) \bar{\varepsilon} h_\alpha^q = -q(|\alpha| - q + 1) h_\alpha^{q-1}.$$

Similarly we have;

#### Proposition 1. 8.

$$(1) \sqrt{-1} \tau_0 \widehat{h}_q^\alpha = \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{2} - q \right) \widehat{h}_q^\alpha \quad \text{for } q = 0, 1, \dots, |\alpha|.$$

$$(2) \delta \widehat{h}_q^\alpha = \widehat{h}_{q+1}^\alpha.$$

$$(3) \bar{\delta} \widehat{h}_q^\alpha = -q(|\alpha| - q + 1) \widehat{h}_{q-1}^{\alpha|-k,k}.$$

#### Proposition 1. 9.

$$\Delta_1 \widehat{h}_q^\alpha = -\frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|+2)}{4} \widehat{h}_q^\alpha.$$

$$\Delta_1 h_\alpha^q = -\frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|+2)}{4} h_\alpha^q.$$

These follow from 1.2 and the above lemmas.

(1-2-1) and Proposition 1.9 yield that every harmonic function  $h$  with  $L^2$ -boundary values on  $\{|z| < 1\}$  has the expansion

$$(1-4-1) \quad h(z) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_\alpha^\beta h_\alpha^\beta(z),$$

which converges compact uniformly.

**b.** As is shown in the following the decomposition (1-3-3) is orthogonal with respect to the spherical measure on  $S^3$ . The 3-form which gives the spherical measure  $\sigma(dz)$  is defined by  $i_n(dz \wedge d\bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{4}\theta_0^* \wedge \theta_1^* \wedge \theta_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\theta_0^* \wedge \varepsilon^* \wedge \bar{\varepsilon}^*$ , where  $i$  indicates the inner derivation and  $\theta_0^*$  etc. are dual 1-forms of  $\theta_0$  etc.. The inner product of two functions on  $S^3$  is

$$(f, g) = \int_{\{|z|=1\}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \sigma(dz).$$

We see that the adjoint operator of  $\varepsilon$  is  $-\bar{\varepsilon}$  and  $\theta_0$  is selfadjoint.

(1) **Proposition 1. 10.**

$$(h_\alpha^p, h_\beta^q) = \delta_{p,q} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\alpha!}{(|\alpha|+1)!} \frac{p!}{(|\alpha|-p)!}$$

(2)

$$(\widehat{h}_p^\alpha, \widehat{h}_q^\beta) = \delta_{p,q} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\alpha!}{(|\alpha|+1)!} \frac{p!}{(|\alpha|-p)!}$$

where  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2!$ .

We have used the formula

$$\int_B |z_1^a z_2^b|^2 d\sigma = \frac{a! b!}{(a+b+1)!}.$$

## 2. Infinitesimal representation of $SU(2)$

**2. 1.** Let  $SU(2)$  be the special unitary group and  $su(2)$  be its Lie algebra. We regard often  $z \in S^3$  as the element of  $SU(2)$  given by

$$(2-1-1) \quad \dot{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -\bar{z}_2 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The left action of  $SU(2)$  on  $S^3$  is defined for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $z = (z_1, z_2)$  by

$$(2-1-2) \quad g \cdot z = (az_1 - \bar{b}z_2, bz_1 + \bar{a}z_2).$$

Similarly the right action is defined by

$$(2-1-3) \quad z \cdot g = (\bar{a}z_1 + b\bar{z}_2, \bar{a}z_2 - b\bar{z}_1).$$

Both actions are free and transitive.

For a continuous function on  $S^3$  we put

$$(2-1-4) \quad L_g f(z) = f(g^{-1} \cdot z), \quad R_g f(z) = f(z \cdot g).$$

$L_g$  (resp.  $R_g$ ) is extended to a unitary operator on  $L^2(S^3, d\sigma)$  and give a unit-

any representation of  $SU(2)$ .

We take a basis of the Lie algebra  $su(2)$  given as follows;

(2-1-5)

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 2. 1.**

$$dR(e_0) = \theta_0, \quad dR(e_1) = \theta_1, \quad dR(e_2) = \theta_2.$$

**Proposition 2. 2.**

$$dL(e_0) = -\tau_0, \quad dL(e_1) = -\tau_1, \quad dL(e_2) = -\tau_2.$$

Propositions 1.7 and 2.1 yield, for each  $r$  and  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha|=r$ , the following  $(r+1)$ -dimensional representation  $(dR, H_\alpha)$  of Lie algebra  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$  with highest

weight  $\frac{r}{2}$ :

$$(2-1-6) \quad dR(e_0)h_\alpha^q = -\sqrt{-1} \binom{r}{2-q} h_\alpha^q \text{ for } q=0,1,\dots,r,$$

$$(2-1-7) \quad dR(e_-)h_\alpha^q = -h_\alpha^{q+1}, \quad dR(e_-)h_\alpha^r = 0,$$

$$(2-1-8) \quad dR(e_+)h_\alpha^q = q(r-q+1)h_\alpha^{q-1}, \quad dR(e_+)h_\alpha^0 = 0,$$

where

$$e_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad e_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and  $dR$  is extended to  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ . All weights are half odd integers.

Similar formula for the representation  $(dL, \widehat{H}^\alpha)$ ,  $|\alpha|=r$ , holds.

**Theorem 2. 3.** (1) *The space  $H$  of harmonic polynomials on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is decomposed by the action  $R$  of  $SU(2)$  into*

$$H = \sum_r \sum_{|\alpha|=r} H_\alpha.$$

*Each induced representation  $R_\alpha = (R, H_\alpha)$ , with  $|\alpha|=r$ , is an irreducible representation with highest weight  $\frac{r}{2}$ .*

(2) *The decomposition of  $H$  by the action  $L$  of  $SU(2)$  is given by*

$$H = \sum_r \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \widehat{H}^\alpha.$$

*Each induced representation  $L^\alpha = (L, \widehat{H}^\alpha)$ , with  $|\alpha|=r$ , is an irreducible representation with highest weight  $\frac{r}{2}$ .*

Let  $C$  be the Casimir operator of  $su(2)$ ;

$$(2-1-9) \quad C = \frac{1}{2}e_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}\{e_+e_- + e_-e_+\}.$$

Then we have the following;

**Proposition 2. 4.**

$$dR_\alpha(C) = dL^\alpha(C) = \frac{|\alpha|(|\alpha|+2)}{8}I.$$

**3. Representation of  $SO(4)$**

**3. 1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two elements of  $SU(2)$  and consider the application

$$(3-1-1) \quad \ddot{z} \longrightarrow A^{-1}\ddot{z}B,$$

where

$$\ddot{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -\bar{z}_2 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$$

which we regard as a point  $z$  on  $S^3$ , (2-1-1). This establishes a homomorphism  $A^\#$  from  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  into  $O(4)$ . The kernel of the homomorphism consists only of the pairs  $(I, I)$  and  $(-I, -I)$ . It can be observed that the diagonal subgroup  $K$  (subgroup for which  $A=B$ ) leaves the point  $(1,0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  invariant and generates the subgroup of rotations in the 3-dimensional space perpendicular to  $(1,0)$ . From this we can show that  $A^\#$  is a homomorphism onto the connected component of the identity in  $O(4)$ . Thus we have established the isomorphism

$$(3-1-2) \quad A^\#: G = \frac{SU(2) \times SU(2)}{\pm(I, I)} \longrightarrow SO(4).$$

As was remarked in the above the isotropy subgroup of  $(1,0)$  by the action (3-1-2) is isomorphic to

$$K \simeq \frac{SU(2)}{\pm I} \simeq SO(3)$$

and we have

$$(3-1-3) \quad G/K \simeq SO(4)/SO(3) \simeq S^3.$$

Every finite dimensional representation  $\sigma$  of  $SO(4)$  is realized by the finite dimensional representation  $\rho$  of  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  whose kernel contains  $(\pm(I, I))$ ;

$$\rho(g) = \sigma(A^\#(g)).$$

Let  $R_\alpha = (R, H_\alpha)$  and  $L^\beta = (L, \widehat{H}^\beta)$  be the representation of  $SU(2)$  described in



Theorem 2.3. The tensor product  $L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  on the space

$$F_\alpha^\beta = \widehat{H}^\beta \otimes H_\alpha$$

given by

$$(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)_{(g, g')} f(z, z') = f(g \cdot z, z' \cdot g'), \quad \text{for } f \in F_\alpha^\beta.$$

We have

$$\dim F_\alpha^\beta = (|\alpha| + 1)(|\beta| + 1),$$

and

$$\widehat{h}_p^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q; \quad p=0, 1, \dots, |\beta|, q=0, 1, \dots, |\alpha|$$

form a basis of  $F_\alpha^\beta$ . The weights of representation are integers or half odd integers according to either  $\frac{|\alpha| + |\beta|}{2}$  is integer or half odd integer.

Let  $g = \exp(\nu e_0) = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{n} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $n = e^{\frac{i}{2}\nu}$ , and  $g' = \exp(\mu e_0) = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{m} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $m = e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu}$ .

We have

$$(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)_{(g, g')} (\widehat{h}_p^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q) = n^{2p-|\beta|} m^{2q-|\alpha|} \widehat{h}_p^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q.$$

In particular, if  $\nu = \mu = 2\pi$  we have  $(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)(-I, -I)(\widehat{h}_p^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q) = (-1)^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \widehat{h}_p^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q$ . Hence, for  $(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)$  to be a representation of  $O(4)$  it is necessary that  $|\alpha| + |\beta|$  is an even number. In this case all weights are integers. The converse is true and, for each pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $|\alpha| + |\beta|$  is an even number, we have a representation  $\sigma_\alpha^\beta$  of  $SO(4)$  such that

$$(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha) = \sigma_\alpha^\beta \circ A^\#.$$

The characteristic function of the representation  $(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)$  being

$$(3-1-4) \quad \chi_{\beta, \alpha}((e^{i\nu}, e^{i\mu})) = \frac{\sin(|\beta| + 1)\nu}{\sin\nu} \cdot \frac{\sin(|\alpha| + 1)\mu}{\sin\mu},$$

the representation  $(L^\beta \otimes R_\alpha)$  is irreducible and for  $|\alpha| + |\beta|$  even the representation  $\sigma_\alpha^\beta$  is irreducible.

Thus we have;

**Theorem 3.1.** (1) For every  $r, s$  such that  $r + s$  is an even number and for every indices  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $|\alpha| = r, |\beta| = s$ ,

$$(F_\alpha^\beta, \sigma_\alpha^\beta)$$

gives the irreducible representation of  $SO(4)$  of highest weight  $\frac{r+s}{2}$ .

(2) The polynomials  $\widehat{h}_\beta^\beta \otimes h_\alpha^q$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq s$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq r$ , form a basis of weight vectors for  $\sigma_\alpha^\beta$

#### 4. Algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms on $S^3$

**4.1.** For indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  we shall put  $\alpha \pm \beta = (\alpha_1 \pm \beta_1, \alpha_2 \pm \beta_2)$ .

$\mathbf{1}$  denotes the index  $(1,1)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**

$$(4-1-1) \quad h_\alpha^p \cdot h_\beta^q = \sum_{k=0}^{p+q} C_k |z|^{2k} h_{\alpha+\beta-k\mathbf{1}}^{p+q-k}$$

for some rational numbers  $C_k = C_k(\alpha, p; \beta, q)$ ;  $k=0, \dots, p+q$ , where, for terms with a negative index,  $C_k=0$ .

In fact,  $h_\alpha^p \cdot h_\beta^q \in S_{\alpha+\beta} \cap \widehat{S}^{(p+q, |\alpha|+|\beta|-p-q)}$ . Repeated applications of Propositions 1.1 and 1.4 yield the assertion.

To have the constants  $C_k(\alpha, p; \beta, q)$  is very cumbersome. We must solve linear equations:

$$(1-4-2) \quad \sum_k L(n, k) C_k = R(n) \quad n=1, \dots, p+q,$$

with the coefficients

$$(4-1-3) \quad R(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n p! q! \binom{\alpha_1}{i} \binom{\alpha_2}{p-i} \binom{\beta_1}{n-i} \binom{\beta_2}{q-n+i}$$

$$L(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i (p+q-k)! \binom{k}{i} \binom{\alpha_1+\beta_1-k}{n-i} \binom{\alpha_2+\beta_2-k}{\alpha_2+\beta_2-p-q+n-i}.$$

Evidently  $C_0(\alpha, 0; \beta, 0) = 1$ . Integrating both sides of (4-1-1) we have from Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 1.10

$$(4-1-4) \quad C_{|\alpha|}(\alpha, q; \widehat{\alpha}, |\alpha|-p) = (-1)^{\alpha_2+p} \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|+1}.$$

**Example.**

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,0}^0 \cdot h_{1,1}^1 &= \frac{2}{3} h_{2,1}^1 + \frac{1}{3} |z|^2 h_{1,0}^0 \\ h_{2,0}^2 \cdot h_{2,1}^2 &= \frac{1}{10} h_{4,1}^4 - \frac{4}{15} |z|^2 h_{3,0}^3 \\ h_{2,0}^1 \cdot h_{0,2}^1 &= \frac{1}{3} h_{2,2}^2 - \frac{2}{3} |z|^4 h_{0,0}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The equations to obtain the coefficients in the last example are

$$2C_0 + C_1 + C_2 = 0, \quad 8C_0 - 2C_2 = 4, \quad 2C_0 - C_1 + C_2 = 0.$$

There are some recurrent formulas among the numbers  $C_k(\alpha, p; \beta, q)$  but here we do not write down them.

The multiplication of two harmonic polynomials on  $C^2$  is not harmonic but its restriction on  $B = \{|z|=1\}$  is again the restriction of some harmonic polynomial. We have given in (4-1-1) the formula of this multiplication;

$$(4-1-5) \quad h_\alpha^p \cdot h_\beta^q = \sum_{k=0}^{p+q} C_k h_{\alpha+\beta-k}^{p+q-k} \text{ on } B.$$

The same investigations on  $C^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  have already appeared in [Ru].

On  $B = \{|z|=1\}$  we consider the following graded algebra of (the restrictions on  $B$  of) harmonic polynomials;

$$H(n) = \sum_{r=0}^n g_r H$$

$$g_r H = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} H_\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \widehat{H}^\alpha.$$

Then we have

$$(4-1-6) \quad H(r) \cdot H(s) \subset H(r+s).$$

**4. 2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}(S^3)$  denote the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $B = \{|z|=1\}$ . Every  $X \in \mathcal{V}(S^3)$  is written in the form

$$X(z) = f_0(z) \theta_0(z) + f_1(z) \theta_1(z) + f_2(z) \theta_2(z), \quad z \in B,$$

or

$$(4-2-1) \quad X(z) = f_0(z) \theta_0(z) + f_+(z) \varepsilon(z) + f_-(z) \bar{\varepsilon}(z),$$

with smooth functions as coefficients. The topology of  $\mathcal{V}(S^3)$  is given by the uniform convergence of the coefficients. Since the polynomials  $\{h_\alpha^q\}$  form a dense set, by a theorem of Weierstrass, every vector field is expanded in

$$(4-2-2) \quad X = \sum_{\alpha, p} h_\alpha^p \{a_0(\alpha, p) \theta_0 + a_+(\alpha, p) \varepsilon + a_-(\alpha, p) \bar{\varepsilon}\}.$$

Put

$$(4-2-3) \quad L_\alpha^p = h_\alpha^p \theta_0 \quad E_\alpha^p = h_\alpha^p \varepsilon \quad F_\alpha^p = h_\alpha^p \bar{\varepsilon}.$$

Let  $Vect(S^3) \subset \mathcal{V}(S^3)$  be the Lie subalgebra generated by  $L_\alpha^p, E_\alpha^p$  and  $F_\alpha^p$ . Here are the commutation relations between the generators  $L_\alpha^p, E_\alpha^p, F_\alpha^p$ , that give the structure constants of Lie algebra  $Vect(S^3)$ .

**Proposition 4. 2.**

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_\alpha^p, L_\beta^q] &= \sqrt{-1} \left( q - p + \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha| - |\beta|) \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q} C_\mu(p, \alpha; q, \beta) L_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-\mu} \\
[E_\alpha^p, E_\beta^q] &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q+1} (C_\mu(p, \alpha; q+1, \beta) - C_\mu(p+1, \alpha; q, \beta)) E_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q+1-\mu} \\
[F_\alpha^p, F_\beta^q] &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q-1} (p(|\alpha| - p + 1) C_\mu(p-1, \alpha; q, \beta) \\
&\quad - q(|\beta| - q + 1) C_\mu(p, \alpha; q-1, \beta)) F_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-1-\mu} \\
[L_\alpha^p, E_\beta^q] &= \sqrt{-1} \left( q - \frac{1}{2} |\beta| + 1 \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q} C_\mu(p, \alpha; q, \beta) E_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-\mu} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q+1} C_\mu(p+1, \alpha; q, \beta) L_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q+1-\mu} \\
[L_\alpha^p, F_\beta^q] &= \sqrt{-1} \left( q - \frac{1}{2} |\beta| - 1 \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q} C_\mu(p, \alpha; q, \beta) F_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-\mu} \\
&\quad + p(|\alpha| - p + 1) \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q-1} C_\mu(p-1, \alpha; q, \beta) L_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-1-\mu} \\
[E_\alpha^p, F_\beta^q] &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q+1} C_\mu(p, \alpha; q+1, \beta) F_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q+1-\mu} \\
&\quad + p(|\alpha| - p + 1) \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q-1} C_\mu(p-1, \alpha; q, \beta) E_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-1-\mu} \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{\mu=0}^{p+q} C_\mu(p, \alpha; q, \beta) L_{\alpha+\beta-\mu \cdot 1}^{p+q-\mu},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha + \beta - \mu \cdot 1 = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - \mu, \alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \mu).$$

Let

$$V(r) = \{X \in \text{Vect}(S^3); \text{the coefficients of } X \text{ are in } H(r)\}.$$

**Proposition 4. 3.**  $[V(r), V(s)] \subset V(r+s+1).$ 

We have from Proposition 1.9(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{L}_\alpha^p &= (-1)^{\alpha_2+p} \frac{p!}{(|\alpha|-p)!} L_{\bar{\alpha}}^{|\alpha|-p} \\
 \overline{E}_\alpha^p &= (-1)^{\alpha_2+p} \frac{p!}{(|\alpha|-p)!} F_{\bar{\alpha}}^{|\alpha|-p} \\
 \overline{F}_\alpha^p &= (-1)^{\alpha_2+p} \frac{p!}{(|\alpha|-p)!} E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{|\alpha|-p}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4-2-4}$$

Thus  $V(r)$  is closed under complex conjugation.

### 5. Radul-Kravchenko-Khesin cocycle on $Vect(S^3)$

**5.1.** A. O. Radul [R] introduced after Kravchenko-Khesin the following formula for the cocycle on the ring of classical pseudodifferential operators on a manifold.

Let

$$CL(M^n) = \{ a = \sum_{-\infty < k \leq d} a_k(x, \xi) \}$$

be the ring of formal pseudodifferential symbols on a riemannian manifold  $M^n$ . Here  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are local coordinates,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  is a non-zero covector,  $a_k(x, \xi)$  are functions on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  with zero section removed that satisfy the homogeneity condition  $a_k(x, t\xi) = t^k a_k(x, \xi)$ ,  $t > 0$ . The multiplication in  $CL(M)$  is defined by

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a \partial_x^{\alpha} b,
 \tag{5-1-1}$$

where  $\alpha$  denotes a multiindex. Let  $\alpha$  be the canonical 1-form on  $T^*M$ ;  $\alpha = \sum \xi_i dx_i$ , and let  $\omega = d\alpha$ . The noncommutative residue of M. Wodzicki [W] of a symbol  $a \in CL(M)$  is defined by the formula

$$Res a = \int_{S_z^*M} a_{-n}(z, \xi) \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1},
 \tag{5-1-2}$$

which is a differential  $n$ -form on  $M$  and where  $S_z^*M$  is the fiber over  $z$  of unit cosphere bundle  $S^*M$ . Integrating  $Res a$  on  $M$  we obtain the trace formula on  $CL(M)$ :

$$Tr a = \int_M Res a.
 \tag{5-1-3}$$

We have  $Tr[a, b] = 0$ . Let  $S$  be an elliptic differential operator of order  $m$  on  $M$  with the leading symbol  $s_m(x, \xi) > 0$  for  $\xi \neq 0$ . Then the formula

$$c(a, b) = Tr([\ln s_m, a] \cdot b) \quad a, b \in CL(M)
 \tag{5-1-4}$$

gives a 2-cocycle [R].

Here we note that, though  $\ln s_m(x, \xi) \notin CL(M)$ , we have  $[\ln s_m(x, \xi), CL(M)] \subset CL(M)$ . The cocycle properties are proved by the following fact:

$$\text{Tr} [\ln s_m, a] = 0.$$

Now we shall change the definition of Wodzicki's residue to have a concordant result with Kravchenko-Khesin's explanation of Virasoro term, that is, the cocycle for the central extension of  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ . The Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is generated by

$$L_m = z^{m+1} \frac{d}{dz}, \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \dots,$$

where we look  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z|=1\}$ . The symbol of  $L_m$  is  $z^{m+1}\zeta$  while the symbol of the square root of Laplacian is  $|\zeta|$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_m [\ln|\zeta|, L_n] &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (n+1) \cdots (n-k+2)}{2k} z^{n+m+2-k} \zeta^{2-k} \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} (n+1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{2k} z^{n+m+1-k} \zeta^{1-k}, \end{aligned}$$

The homogeneity order  $(-1)$  term is  $-\frac{1}{12}n(n^2-1)z^{n+m-1}$ . If we use here Wodzicki's formula we must integrate it on  $S_z^*S^1 = \{\pm 1\}$ , which leads to 0. So we change the definition (5-1-2) to have a correct result. Let  $P(T^*M)$  be the projective cotangent bundle whose fiber over a point  $z \in M$  is the projective space  $P(T_z^*M)$ . We revise our definition of  $\text{Res } \alpha$  by

$$(5-1-5) \quad \text{Res } a = \int_{P(T_z^*M)} a_{-n}(z, \xi) \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

We note especially that  $P(T_z^*S^1)$  is one point. The 2-cocycle becomes

$$c(L_n, L_m) = \int_{|z|=1} \text{Res}_\zeta (L_m [\ln|\zeta|, L_n]) dz = -\frac{1}{12}n(n^2-1) \delta_{n+m,0}.$$

Thus we get the Kravchenko-Khesin's formula.

Now we shall investigate the cocycle on  $\text{Vect}(S^3)$ . We shall continue to denote  $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2; |z|=1\} \cong S^3$ .

Any covector is written by  $\xi_0\theta_0^* + \xi_1\theta_1^* + \xi_2\theta_2^*$  or equivalently by  $\eta\theta_0^* + \zeta\varepsilon^* + \bar{\zeta}\bar{\varepsilon}^*$ , where  $\bar{\varepsilon}^*$  is the dual 1-form of  $\bar{\varepsilon}$ . We take  $\eta, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}$  as the coordinates on  $T_z^*B$ . Then the canonical 1-form  $\alpha$  becomes  $\alpha = \eta\theta_1^* + \zeta\varepsilon^* + \bar{\zeta}\bar{\varepsilon}^*$ . Let  $\omega = d\alpha$ . The 5-form  $\alpha \wedge \omega^2$  restricted on the local coordinate  $U_0 = \{(z, [\eta, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}]); \eta \neq 0\} \subset P(T^*B)$  is given by

$$\alpha \wedge \omega^2 = \frac{1}{\eta} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} \wedge dV, \quad dV = \theta_0^* \wedge \theta_1^* \wedge \theta_2^*,$$

where  $\eta^2 + |\zeta|^2 = 1$ . By the polar coordinates  $\eta = \cos\phi$ ,  $\zeta = \sin\phi e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\bar{\zeta} = \sin\phi e^{-i\theta}$ , we have  $\alpha \wedge \omega^2|_B = \sin\phi d\phi d\theta \wedge dV$ ,  $0 \leq \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ . The symbol of the first order differential operators  $L_\alpha^p$ ,  $E_\alpha^p$ ,  $F_\alpha^p$  are respectively  $h_\alpha^p \eta$ ,  $h_\alpha^p \zeta$ ,  $h_\alpha^p \bar{\zeta}$ , and the symbol of Laplace-Beltrami operator is  $\eta^2 + |\zeta|^2$ .

For  $X, Y \in \text{Vect}(B)$  we put

$$(5-1-6) \quad R(X, Y)dV = \text{Res}\{(\text{symp}Y) \cdot [\ln(\eta^2 + |\zeta|^2), (\text{symp}X)]\}.$$

Then the formula

$$(5-1-7) \quad c(X, Y) = \int_{S^3} R(X, Y)dV$$

defines a 2-cocycle on  $\text{Vect}(S^3)$  and we have the central extension of  $\text{Vect}(S^3)$  associated with this 2-cocycle.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $R(X, Y)$  for every  $X, Y$  in  $\text{Vect}(S^3)$  is written by a linear combination of Beta functions

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin\phi)^{2u-1} (\cos\phi)^{2v-1} d\phi,$$

with its coefficients polynomials in  $z, \bar{z}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Vect}(S^3)$  is the linear hull of  $\{L_\alpha^p, E_\alpha^p, F_\alpha^p\}$  it is enough to give calculation of  $\text{Res}$  for these vector fields. We shall look  $R(L_\beta^p, L_\alpha^p)$ . The others are obtained by the same calculation. Put  $r = (\eta^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\ln r, f(z)\eta] \\ &= \sum_{p+p'+q \geq 1} \sum_k^{\min(p, p')} C_{p, p', k} \zeta^{p-k} \bar{\zeta}^{p'-k} \sum_{q, j}^{j \leq [q/2]} D_{q, j} \eta^{\delta_{q, j} + 1} P \begin{pmatrix} p & p' & q \\ \varepsilon & \bar{\varepsilon} & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} f(z) r^{-2(p+p'-k-q-\delta_{q, j})} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_{p, p', k}$  and  $D_{q, j}$  are some constants and  $\delta_{q, j} = 2j$  or  $2j + 1$  according to  $q$  is even or odd.  $P \begin{pmatrix} p & p' & q \\ \varepsilon & \bar{\varepsilon} & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix}$  denotes the sum of all differentiations that are  $p$  (resp.  $p'$ ,  $q$ ) times with respect to  $\varepsilon$  (resp.  $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\theta_0$ ). The term of homogeneity order  $-3$  of  $g(z)\eta \cdot [\ln r, f(z)\eta] \in \text{CL}(B)$ , where  $\eta = \text{symp}\theta_0$ , is given on  $B$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p+p'+q=5} \sum_k C_{p, p', k} \zeta^{p-k} \bar{\zeta}^{p'-k} \sum_{j=0,1,2} D_{q, j} \eta^{2j+3} g(z) P \begin{pmatrix} p & p' & q \\ \varepsilon & \bar{\varepsilon} & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} f(z) \\ & + \sum_{p+p'+q=4} \sum_k C_{p, p', k} \zeta^{p-k} \bar{\zeta}^{p'-k} \sum_{j=0,1,2} D_{q, j} \eta^{2j+1} g(z) \theta_0 P \begin{pmatrix} p & p' & q \\ \varepsilon & \bar{\varepsilon} & \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} f(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to consider only those terms with  $p = p'$ , for the other terms vanish after the integration by  $d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}$ . Then  $q$  becomes necessarily odd and the in-

tegration on the fiber  $P(T_z^*B)$  becomes a linear combination of the following type of integrals with polynomial coefficients;

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin\phi)^{2p-2k+1} (\cos\phi)^{2j+1} d\phi$$

**Remark.** *If we took in the definition of Res the integration on  $S_z^*B$  instead of the projective cotangent bundle  $P(T^*B)$  we would have  $R(X, Y) = 0$ .*

WASEDA UNIVERSITY

### References

- [K] V. Kac, Bombay Lectures on Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, World Sci., 1987.
- [K. K] S. Kravchenko and B. A. Khesin, A non-trivial central extension of the Lie algebra of pseudo-differential symbols on the circle, Functional analysis and its applications, **23** (1989), 78-79.
- [M-R] J. Mickelsson and S. Rajaev, Current algebras and determinant bundles over infinite-dimensional Grassmannians, Comm. Math. Phys, **116** (1988), 365.
- [R] A. O. Radul, Lie algebras of differential operators, their central extensions and W-algebras, Functional analysis and its applications, **25** (1990), 25-39.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of  $C^n$ , Chap. 12, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [T] M. Takeuchi, Gendai no Kyuukansuu (in Japanese), Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1971.
- [TI] J. M. Talman, Special Functions, a group theoretical approach, Benjamin Inc., New-York, 1968.
- [V] N. Ya. Vilenkin, Special functions and representation theory of Lie groups, Academic, 1965.
- [W] M. Wodzicki, Noncommutative residue, Lecture Notes in Math., ed. by Yu. I. Manin **1289** (1986), Springer-Verlag.