J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (JMKYAZ) 47-3 (2007), 621–629

Murre's conjectures for certain product varieties

By

Kenichiro KIMURA

Abstract

We consider Murre's conjectures on Chow groups for a fourfold which is a product of two curves and a surface. We give a result which concerns Conjecture D:the kernel of a certain projector is equal to the homologically trivial part of the Chow group. We also give a proof of Conjecture B for a product of two surfaces.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension d. Let $\Delta \subset X \times X$ be the diagonal. There is a cohomology class $cl(\Delta) \in H^{2d}(X \times X)$.

In this paper we use Betti cohomology with rational coefficients. There is the Künneth decomposition

$$H^{2d}(X \times X) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} H^{2d-i}(X) \otimes H^i(X).$$

We write $cl(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} \pi_i^{hom}$ according to this decomposition. Here $\pi_i^{hom} \in H^{2d-i}(X) \otimes H^i(X)$. If the Künneth conjecture is true, then each π_i^{hom} is an algebraic cycle.

Murre ([Mu], [Mu2]) formulated the following conjecture. For an abelian group M, we write $M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. (A) The π_i^{hom} lift to a set of orthogonal projectors π_i in $CH^d(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

(A) The π_i^{hom} lift to a set of orthogonal projectors π_i in $CH^d(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which satisfy the equality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2d} \pi_i = \Delta.$$

(B) The correspondences $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{j-1}, \pi_{2j+1}, \dots, \pi_{2d}$ act as zero on $CH^j(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(C) Let $F^{\nu}CH^{j}(X) = Ker\pi_{2j} \cap Ker\pi_{2j-1} \cdots \cap Ker\pi_{2j-\nu+1}$. Then the filtration F^{\cdot} is independent of the choice of π_{i} .

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification(s). 14C25 Received September 13, 2006

Kenichiro Kimura

(D) $F^1CH^j(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^j(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}.$

It is shown by Jannsen ([Ja]) that this conjecture of Murre is equivalent to Beilinson's conjectures on the filtration on Chow groups.

There are not yet many evidences for this conjecture. For a projective smooth curve C and a closed point p on C, set $\pi_0 = p \times C$, $\pi_2 = C \times p$ and $\pi_1 = \Delta - \pi_0 - \pi_2$. Then Conjectures (A), (B) and (D) are true for these projectors. For a projective smooth surface Murre ([Mu]) constructed a set of projectors π_0, \dots, π_4 for which Conjectures (A), (B) and (D) are true. About Conjecture (C) he proved that the filtration on Chow groups given by these projectors is a natural one in the following sense (Theorem 3 in [Mu]):

• $F^1(CH^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = Ker(\pi_2) = Pic^0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$

• $F^1(CH^2(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = CH^2(S)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}$. $F^2(CH^2(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = Ker(\pi_3) = Ker(alb: CH^2(S)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}} \to Alb(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$

Conjecture (A) is also true for abelian varieties (Shermenev [Sh], Deninger-Murre [DM]), hypersurfaces (easy), certain class of threefolds (del Angel-Müller-Stach [deM], [deM2]), and some modular varieties (Gordon-Murre [GM], Gordon-Hanamura-Murre [GHM], [GHM2], Miller-Müller-Stach-Wortmann-Yang-Zuo [Pic]).

Note that if Conjecture (A) is true for varieties X and Y, then it is also true for $X \times Y$. One can put $\pi_{iX \times Y} = \sum_{p+q=i} \pi_{pX} \times \pi_{qY}$.

In [Mu2] Murre proves that Conjectures (B) and (D) are true for a product of a curve and a surface for this product Chow-Künneth decomposition.

Recently Murre ([KMP]) proved the validity of Conjecture (B) and some part of Conjecture (D) for a product of two surfaces. More precisely, Murre proved that Conjecture (D) is true for a product $S_1 \times S_2$ of two smooth projective surfaces except the following part:

The projector $\pi_{2S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$ act as zero on $CH^2(S_1 \times S_2)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}$.

If this is true for the case of a self-product $S_1 = S_2$ of a surface, then Bloch's conjecture $(p_g = 0 \Rightarrow \text{albanese map is injective})$ for S_1 is true. If one assumes that the Chow group of S_1 is finite dimensional in the sense of Kimura ([Ki]), then for an element $z \in CH^2(S_1 \times S_1)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}$ one has the equality

$$(\pi_2 \times \pi_2(z))^n = 0$$

where ⁿ means the power as a correspondence and n is determined by the second Betti number of S_1 .

In this paper we consider Conjecture (D) for the case where X is a product of two curves and a surface $C_1 \times C_2 \times S$. In this case the most crucial part is to show that $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$ act as zero on $CH^2(X)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}$. Here the projectors π_{1C_i} for i = 1 and 2 are defined as above and we refer the reader to [Mu] for the definition of the projector π_{2S} . Our original aim was to show that if the cohomology $H^1(C_1) \otimes H^1(C_2) \otimes H^2(S)$ has no non-zero Hodge cycle, then $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$ kills all the codimension 2 cycles on X. We could not completely solve the problem, so instead we studied what kind of cycles are killed by $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$. It seems that under certain assumptions on X,

622

"generic" cycles are killed by this projector (Theorem 2.1). This is the main result of this paper.

We also give a proof of the essential part of Conjecture (B) for a product of two surfaces. Our proof is similar to that of Murre in that we make essential use of the properties of the Chow-Künneth projectors for surfaces constructed by Murre. However there are still some differences, so we decided to include our proof here. The basic ideas of the proof come from [Mu2].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section two we prove our main result about Conjecture (D). Section three is devoted to a proof of Conjecture (B) for a product of two surfaces.

The author expresses his deep gratitude to Jacob Murre for his patience in reading an earlier version of this paper, and for valuable comments and encouragement. Part of this work was carried out when the author visited CIMAT and the Fields Institute. He is grateful to the people there for their hospitality. Finally he thanks the referee for patience and comments on the paper.

2. The main result

Theorem 2.1. Let C_1 and C_2 be a projective smooth curves over \mathbb{C} and let S be a projective smooth surface over \mathbb{C} .

Let $X = C_1 \times C_2 \times S$. Assume that these varieties satisfy the following conditions:

• $NS(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}H$ where H is a hyperplane section of S.

• The cohomology groups $H^1(C_2) \otimes H^1(S)$ and $H^1(C_1) \otimes H^1(S)$ have no non-zero Hodge cycle.

Let $Z = \sum a_s Z_s$ be a codimension 2 cycle of X which is homologically trivial. Assume that each component Z_s satisfies one of the following conditions.

1. $pr_{12}(Z_s) \subset C_1 \times C_2$ has dimension ≤ 1 .

2. $pr_3(Z_s) \subset S$ has dimension ≤ 1 .

3. $pr_{12}: Z_s \to C_1 \times C_2$ and $pr_3: Z_s \to S$ are surjective and for i = 1 or 2, $pr_{i3}(Z_s) \subset C_i \times S$ satisfies the following:

There exists a resolution of singularity $f : \mathbf{S} \to pr_{i3}(Z_s)$ for which there exists a divisor Z' on $C_{3-i} \times \mathbf{S}$ with the following property:

• $(id_{C_{3-i}} \times f)_*(Z') = Z_s.$

• Let $cl(Z') \in H^2(C_{3-i} \times \mathbf{S})$ be the cohomology class of Z'. When we write $cl(Z') = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$ according to the decomposition

$$H^2(C_{3-i} \times \mathbf{S}) \simeq H^2(C_{3-i}) \oplus H^1(C_{3-i}) \otimes H^1(\mathbf{S}) \oplus H^2(\mathbf{S})$$

then c_2 is contained in $H^1(C_{3-i}) \otimes f^*H^1(pr_{i3}(Z_s))$.

Then the Chow-Künneth projector $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$ kills Z in $CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The condition 3 is satisfied if Z_s is a Cartier divisor of $C_{3-i} \times pr_{i3}(Z)$ which is the case if the projection $pr_{i3}: Z_s \to pr_{i3}(Z_s)$ is flat (Lemma 2.3).

Proof. Assume that the condition 1 holds for Z_s . Note that we have a

Kenichiro Kimura

factorization

$$\pi_{1C_{1}} \times \pi_{1C_{2}} \times \pi_{2S} = (\pi_{1C_{1}} \times \pi_{1C_{2}} \times id_{S}) \circ (id_{C_{1} \times C_{2}} \times \pi_{2S})$$

and they commute. We write $C = pr_{12}(Z_s) \subset C_1 \times C_2$. Let $\eta_C \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} C$ be the generic point of C. We apply the projector $id_{C_1 \times C_2} \times (\pi_2)_S$ on Z_s as a cycle on $C \times S$. We have the equality

$$(j \times id_S)^*(id_C \times \pi_{2S})(Z_s) = (\eta_C \times \pi_{2S})((j \times id_S)^*Z_s).$$

We write $(j \times id_S)^* Z_s = Z_{s_n}$.

Lemma 2.1. The cycle $Z_{s\eta}$ is algebraically equivalent to a cycle $\eta_C \times E$ on the surface $\eta_C \times S$ where E is a divisor on S defined over the base field \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Consider the cycle $\eta_C \times Z_s$ on $\eta_C \times S \times C = \eta_C \times S \times_{\eta_C} (\eta_C \times C)$. The fiber of $\eta_C \times Z_s$ over $\eta_C \in C(\eta_C) = C_{\eta_C}(\eta_C) = Z_{s\eta}$ and the fiber over a closed point $p \in C(\mathbb{C}) \subset C(\eta_C)$ is of the form $\eta_C \times E$ for a divisor E on S. \Box

By Lemma 2.1 and ([Mu, Theorem 3]) we have the equality

$$(\eta_C \times \pi_{2S}) Z_{s\eta} = (\eta_C \times \pi_{2S}) (\eta_C \times E).$$

Here we use that $\pi_{2S}(Pic^0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$. By taking the closure of this equality in $C \times S$, it follows that

$$(id_C \times \pi_{2S})(Z_s) = C \times \pi_{2S}(E) + \sum_t p_t \times S$$

where for each t, p_t is a closed point on C. Applying $id_C \times \pi_{2S}$ on both sides of the equality kills $p_t \times S$ because by Conjecture (B) for S, $\pi_{2S}(S) = 0$. By applying $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times id_S$ on both sides of the equality we see that

$$(\pi_1 \times \pi_1 \times \pi_2)(Z_s) = (\pi_1 \times \pi_1)(C) \times \pi_2(E).$$

If the cycle Z_s satisfies the condition 2, we can see in a similar way that $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1 \times \pi_2)(Z_s)$ is of the form $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1)(C) \times \pi_2(E)$ for a curve E on S and for a divisor C on $C_1 \times C_2$.

Next we assume that the condition 3 holds for Z_s with i = 2.

Lemma 2.2. The subvariety $pr_{23}(Z_s) \subset C_2 \times S$ is an ample divisor.

Proof. By the assumptions on C_2 and S, we see that

$$NS(C_2 \times S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(pt \times S) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(C_2 \times H).$$

We denote $D_1 = pt \times S$ and $D_2 = C_2 \times H$. Write $aD_1 + bD_2$ for the class of $pr_{23}(Z_s)$ in $NS(C_2 \times S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We see that $a = (C_2 \times pt, pr_{23}(Z_s)) > 0$ and $b = \frac{(pt \times H, pr_{23}(Z_s))}{(H,H)} > 0$. Here (*, *) denotes intersection number. So it follows

624

that $pr_{23}(Z_s) - aD_1 - bD_2 \in Pic^0(C_2 \times S) \simeq Pic^0(C_2) \oplus Pic^0(S)$. So there are divisors $d_1 \in Pic(C_2)$ and $d_2 \in Pic(S)$ such that $pr_{23}(Z_s) = pr_2^*d_1 + pr_3^*d_2$ in $Pic(C_2 \times S)$. By Nakai's criterion d_2 is an ample divisor on S and d_1 is ample on C_2 .

By Lemma 2.2 the open subscheme $C_2 \times S - pr_{23}(Z_s)$ is affine. It follows that $H^1(pr_{23}(Z_s)) \simeq H^1(C_2 \times S) \simeq H^1(C_2) \oplus H^1(S)$.

Let $f : \mathbf{S} \to pr_{23}(Z_s)$ be a resolution of singularity which satisfies the condition 3. By the assumption $H^1(C_1) \otimes H^1(S)$ has no Hodge cycle. So there is a divisor D on $C_1 \times C_2$ such that $(pr_1 \times (pr_2 \circ f))^* cl(D) = c_2$.

So there are divisors $d_1 \in Pic(C_1)$ and $d_2 \in Pic(\mathbf{S})$ such that in $Pic(C_1 \times \mathbf{S})$, there is an equality

$$(id_{C_1} \times f)^* Z_s - (pr_1 \times (pr_2 \circ f))^* D = d_1 \times \mathbf{S} + C_1 \times d_2.$$

Pushing down to $C_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s)$ by the map $id_{C_1} \times f$ we have an equality

$$Z_s = d_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s) + C_1 \times f_*(d_2) + pr_{12}^*D \cap pr_{23}(Z)$$

in $CH_2(C_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s))$.

One can see that Chow-Künneth projector $\pi_{1C_1} \times \pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$ kills $d_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s) + C_1 \times f_*(d_2)$ in $CH^2(X)$ because by Conjecture (B) for $C_2 \times S$ ([Mu2]), $\pi_{1C_2} \times \pi_{2S}$ kills $pr_{23}(Z_s)$ and π_{1C_1} kills C_1 . Each component of $pr_{12} * (pr_{12}^*D \cap pr_{23}(Z))$ has dimension ≤ 1 . So by a similar argument to the one above it follows that $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1 \times \pi_2)(Z_s)$ is a sum of the cycles of the form $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1)(C) \times \pi_2(E)$ where C is a curve on $C_1 \times C_2$ and E is a curve on S.

So we can assume that each component Z_s of Z is of the form $C \times E$ where C is a curve on $C_1 \times C_2$ and E is a curve on S. Since $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1)(Pic^0(C_1 \times C_2)) = 0$ and $\pi_2(Pic^0(S)) = 0$ and Z is homologically trivial, it follows that $(\pi_1 \times \pi_1 \times \pi_2)(Z) = 0$.

Lemma 2.3. If the projection $pr_{23} : Z_s \to pr_{23}(Z_s)$ is flat, then Z_s is a Cartier divisor on $C_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s)$.

Proof. Let I_{Z_s} be the ideal sheaf of Z_s in $C_1 \times pr_{23}(Z_s)$. For any point $x \in pr_{23}(Z_s)$, Let $\{z_i\}_i$ be the set of closed points on the fiber $Z_s \times_{pr_{23}(Z_s)} \operatorname{Spec}(x)$. The image of I_{Z_s} in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C_1 \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Spec}(x), z_i}$ is a principal ideal (f_i) . For each *i* take a local section $\tilde{f}_i \in I_{Z_s}$ which has the image f_i in $\mathcal{O}_{C_1 \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Spec}(x), z_i}$. For a sufficiently small neighborhood *U* of *x* in $pr_{23}(Z_s)$ we can consider a Cartier divisor *D* on $C_1 \times U$ which is defined by the equation \tilde{f}_i in a neighborhood of z_i . Let *K* be the kernel of natural surjection $\mathcal{O}_D \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_s}$. Let ϕ_D be the function on the set of points on *U* defined by

$$\phi_D(y) = \dim_{\kappa(y)} \mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(y).$$

It is an upper semicontinuous function on U. So there is an neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of x such that for any $y \in U'$, one has

$$\phi_D(y) \le \phi_D(x).$$

On the other hand, $\dim_{\kappa(y)} \mathcal{O}_{Z_s} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(y)$ is a constant function since Z_s is flat over $pr_{23}(Z_s)$. Also note that $\phi_D(y) \geq \dim_{\kappa(y)} \mathcal{O}_{Z_s} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(y)$ on U'. Since $\phi_D(x) = \dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathcal{O}_{Z_s} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(x)$ it follows that

$$\phi_D(y) = \dim_{\kappa(y)} \mathcal{O}_{Z_s} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(y)$$

on U'. As \mathcal{O}_{Z_s} is a flat \mathcal{O}_U module, it follows that $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \kappa(y) = 0$ for any point $y \in U'$. Hence K = 0.

3. A proof of Conjecture (B) for a product of two surfaces

In this section we give a proof of the essential part of Conjecture (B) for a product of two surfaces.

Let S_1 and S_2 be projective smooth surfaces over \mathbb{C} and let $X = S_1 \times S_2$. For each S_i there is a Chow-Künneth decomposition $\pi_{0S_i}, \dots, \pi_{4S_i}$ of the diagonal constructed by Murre ([Mu]). They have the following properties:

 $\pi_4, \pi_3 \text{ and } \pi_0 \text{ act as } 0 \text{ on } CH^1(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$ $F^1CH^1(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} = Ker(\pi_2) = CH^1(S_i)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}.$ $F^2CH^1(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} = Ker(\pi_1|_{F^1}) = 0.$

 $\begin{aligned} \pi_0 \text{ and } \pi_1 \text{ act as } 0 \text{ on } CH^2(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \\ F^1CH^2(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= Ker(\pi_4) = CH^2(S_i)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}. \\ F^2CH^2(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= Ker(\pi_3|_{F^1}) = Ker(alb: CH^2(S_i)_{hom,\mathbb{Q}} \to Alb(S_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \\ F^3CH^2(S_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= Ker(\pi_2|_{F^2}) = 0. \end{aligned}$

There is a Chow-Künneth decomposition for X given by the product of those for S_i .

Murre has proven Conjecture (B) for X. Here we give another proof of the essential part of his result.

Theorem 3.1. The Chow-Künneth projectors $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$ and $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$ act as zero on $CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Let Z be an element of $CH^2(X)$. Let $\eta_i \stackrel{j_i}{\hookrightarrow} S_i$ be the generic point of S_i for i = 1, 2 and Z_{η_i} be the generic fiber of Z. The case of $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$. $(id_{S_1} \times j_2)^*(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(Z) = \pi_3 \times \eta_2((id_{S_1} \times j_2)^*Z)$. We

The case of $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$. $(id_{S_1} \times j_2)^*(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(Z) = \pi_3 \times \eta_2((id_{S_1} \times j_2)^*Z)$. We write $\pi_3 \times \eta_2 = \pi_{3\eta_2}$. For p = 1 and 2 let $C_p \stackrel{i_p}{\hookrightarrow} S_p$ be a smooth hyperplane section defined over the base field \mathbb{C} . Then by Lemma 2.3 of [Mu], $i_{p_*} : Jac(C_p) \to Alb(S_p)$ is a surjection. So it follows that $i_{1*} : Jac(C_1)(\eta_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to Alb(S_1)(\eta_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is also surjective. Let d be the degree of Z_{η_2} and let e_1 be a closed point on S_1 which is rational over the base field \mathbb{C} . Then $Z_{\eta_2} - d(e_1) \in CH^2(S_{1\eta_2})_{hom,\mathbb{Q}}$ and so there is a cycle $D \in Pic^0C_1(\eta_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $alb(Z_{\eta_2} - d(e_1)) = i_{1*}(D)$. Let

 \overline{D} be the closure of D in X. Since \overline{D} is supported on $C_1 \times \eta_2$, \overline{D} is supported on $\overline{C_1 \times \eta_2} = C_1 \times S_2$.

Since $Ker\pi_3 = Ker(alb)$, we have the equality

$$(id_{S_1} \times j_2)^* (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}) (Z - d(e_1) \times S_2 - D) = \pi_{3S_1 \eta_2} (Z_{\eta_2} - d(e_1) - i_{1*}D) = 0.$$

So it follows that

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(Z) = (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(\bar{D}) + d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times S_2 + \sum_k D_k$$

where for each $k \ D_k$ is supported on $S_1 \times Y_k$ for an irreducible curve Y_k . We apply the projector $\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}$ again on both sides of the equality. We apply $\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}$ on each D_k as a cycle on $S_1 \times Y_k$. Let $\eta_Y \xrightarrow{j_Y} Y_k$ be the generic point of Y_k . We have the equality

$$(id_{S_1} \times j_Y)^* (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{Y_k})(D_k) = (\pi_{3S_1} \times \eta_Y)((id_{S_1} \times j_Y)^* D_k).$$

Since $(id_{S_1} \times j_Y)^* D_k$ is a divisor on the surface $S_1 \times \eta_Y$, from Conjecture (B) for S_1 it follows that

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times \eta_Y)((id_{S_1} \times j_Y)^* D_k) = 0.$$

By taking closure of this equality in $S_1 \times Y_k$ we have the equality

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{Y_k})(D_k) = \sum_i S_1 \times p_i$$

where for each $i p_i$ is a closed point on Y_k . Applying $\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}$ again on both sides of the equality it follows that $(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(S_1 \times p_i) = 0$ since by Conjecture (B) for $S_1 \pi_{3S_1}(S_1) = 0$.

Next we apply $id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$ on both sides of the equality. By Conjecture (B) for S_2 we see that

$$(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2})(d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times S_2) = d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times \pi_{3S_2}(S_2) = 0.$$

Let $\eta_{C_1} \stackrel{j_{C_1}}{\hookrightarrow} C_1$ be the generic point of C_1 . We apply $id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$ on \overline{D} as a cycle on $C_1 \times S_2$. By Conjecture (B) for $\eta_{C_1} \times \pi_3$, $(\eta_{C_1} \times \pi_3)(j_{C_1} \times id_{S_2})^*(\overline{D}) = 0$. Since

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}) = (id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2})(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})$$

it follows that

$$(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2})(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(D) = (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2})(D)$$
$$= (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})\left(\sum_l p_l \times S_2\right)$$

for a set of closed points p_l on S_1 . So we are reduced to the case where each component of Z is of the form $pt \times S_2$ for a closed point pt. We can see that the projector $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{3S_2}$ kills $pt \times S_2$, because by Conjecture (B) for surfaces $\pi_{3S_i}(S_i) = 0$ for i = 1 and 2.

Remark 1. Murre pointed out that there is a simpler argument than the one above. We use the equality

$$\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}(Z) = Z \circ {}^t \pi_{3S_1} = Z \circ \pi_{1S_1}$$

where \circ is composition as correspondences and t is transpose. By construction of π_1 there is a curve C on S_1 such that π_{1S_1} is supported on $C \times S_1$ (cf. (ii) of Proposition 2.1 in [KMP]). So one can immediately conclude that $\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2}(Z)$ is supported on $C \times S_2$.

The case of $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$. We use the factorization $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2} = (id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})$. We have the equality

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(Z) = (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(\bar{D}) + d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times S_2 + \sum_k D_k$$

where for each $k D_k$ is supported on $S_1 \times Y_k$ for an irreducible curve Y_k and \overline{D} is supported on $C_1 \times S_2$. The D_k part can be treated as above. Then we apply $id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$ on both sides of the equality. By Conjecture (B) for S_2 it follows that

$$(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times S_2) = d\pi_{3S_1}(e_1) \times \pi_{2S_2}(S_2) = 0$$

By using the equality

$$(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}) = (id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})$$

we have

$$(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(D) = (\pi_{3S_1} \times id_{S_2})(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(D).$$

Let $\eta_{C_1} \stackrel{j_{C_1}}{\hookrightarrow} C_1$ be the generic point of C_1 . We apply $id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$ on \overline{D} as a cycle on $C_1 \times S_2$. Since the divisor $(j_{C_1} \times id_{S_2})^*(\overline{D})$ on $\eta_{C_1} \times S_2$ is algebraically equivalent to a divisor $\eta_{C_1} \times E$ on $\eta_{C_1} \times S_2$ where E is a divisor on S_2 defined over the base field \mathbb{C} , it follows that

$$(j_{C_1} \times id_{S_2})^* (id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}) (\bar{D} - C_1 \times E) = (\eta_{C_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}) ((j_{C_1} \times id_{S_2})^* (\bar{D}) - \eta_{C_1} \times E) = 0.$$

So by taking the closure of equality in $C_1 \times S_2$ it follows that

$$(id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(\bar{D}) = (id_{S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2})(C_1 \times E) + \sum_k p_k \times S_2$$

for a set $\{p_k\}$ of closed points on S_1 . In this way we are reduced to the case where each component of Z is a product of two curves or is of the form $pt \times S_2$ or $S_1 \times pt$. By Conjecture (B) for surfaces one can see that the projector $\pi_{3S_1} \times \pi_{2S_2}$ kills the cycles of this form in $CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

628

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA TSUKUBA, IBARAKI, 305-8571, JAPAN e-mail: kimurak@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

References

- [deM] P. L. del Angel and S. Müller-Stach, Motives of uniruled 3-folds, Compositio Math. 112 (1998), 1–16.
- [deM2] _____, On Chow motives of 3-folds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 1623–1633.
- [DM] C. Deninger and J. P. Murre, Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform, J. Reine Angew. Math. 422 (1991), 201–219.
- [GM] B. Gordon and J. P. Murre, Chow motives of elliptic modular threefolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. 514 (1999), 145–164.
- [GHM] B. Gordon, M. Hanamura and J. P. Murre, *Relative Chow-Kunneth projectors for modular varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **558** (2003), 1–14.
- [GHM2] _____, Absolute Chow-Kunneth projectors for modular varieties, J. Reine Angew. Math. 580 (2005), 139–155.
- [Ja] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, Part 1 (1994), 245–302.
- [JA] R. Akhtar and R. Joshua, *Künneth decompositions for quotient varieties*, preprint, to appear in Indag. Math.
- [Ki] S. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense, Math. Ann. 331 (2005), 173–201.
- [KMP] B. Kahn, J. P. Murre and C. Pedrini, On the transcendental part of the motive of a surface, preprint (2005).
- [Mu] J. P. Murre, On the motive of an algebraic surface, J. Reine Angew. Math. 409 (1990), 190–204.
- [Mu2] _____, On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety, I and II, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 4 (1993), 177–201.
- [Pic] A. Miller, S. Müller-Stach, S. Wortmann, Y.-H. Yang and K. Zuo, Chow-Künneth decomposition for universal families over Picard modular surfaces, preprint (2005).
- [Sh] A. M. Shermenev, Motif of an Abelian variety, Funckcional. Anal. i Priložen. 8 (1974), 55–61.