

## EULER CHARACTERISTICS OVER UNRAMIFIED REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

BY  
 MELVIN HOCHSTER<sup>1</sup>

Let  $M, N$  be finitely generated modules over a local ring  $(R, m)$  (all rings are assumed commutative, with identity;  $(R, m)$  is "local" means that  $R$  is Noetherian with maximal ideal  $m$ ). If  $Tor_j^R(M, N)$  has finite length for  $j \geq i$ ,  $i$  a nonnegative integer, and vanishes for all sufficiently large  $j$ , we define

$$\chi_i^R(M, N) = \sum_{j \geq i} (-1)^{j-i} l(Tor_j^R(M, N)),$$

where  $l$  denotes length. The main result here is the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be an unramified regular local ring and let  $M, N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules such that  $Tor_i^R(M, N)$  has finite length,  $i \geq 1$ . If  $\chi_i(M, N) = 0$ , then*

$$Tor_j^R(M, N) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq i.$$

It was already known (see [1], [2], [3]) that if  $R$  is regular and  $Tor_i^R(M, N)$  is 0 (respectively, has finite length) then

$$Tor_j^R(M, N) = 0$$

(respectively, has finite length) for  $j \geq i$ . Moreover, in [3] it is shown that if  $R$  is an unramified regular local ring and  $Tor_i^R(M, N)$  has finite length,  $i \geq 1$ , then  $\chi_i^R(M, N) \geq 0$ , and that if  $i \geq 2$  or  $M$  or  $N$  is torsion-free, then  $\chi_i^R(M, N) = 0$  if and only if  $Tor_j^R(M, N) = 0, j \geq i$ . Thus, the theorem is new only in the case  $i = 1$ .

As usual, we may reduce at once to the case where  $R$  is complete and then assume  $R = V[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$ , where  $n = \dim R$  and  $V$  is a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $x_1V$ . We abbreviate  $x = x_1$ .

We write  $M \hat{\otimes}_V N$  and  $\hat{T}or_i^V(M, N)$  for the complete tensor product and complete  $Tor_i$ , respectively, of  $M$  and  $N$  over  $V$  (see [4, p. V-6].) Let  $S = R \hat{\otimes}_V R$ .  $S$  is regular and if we map  $S \rightarrow R$  by

$$a \hat{\otimes} b \mapsto ab,$$

---

<sup>1</sup> The author was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

we have  $R \simeq S/(z_2, \dots, z_n)$ , where  $z_i = x_i \hat{\otimes} 1 - 1 \hat{\otimes} x_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Here  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  is a regular sequence in  $S$ .

Following [4], [3], we note that there is a spectral sequence

$$\text{Tor}_p^S(T\hat{\partial}r_q^V(M, N), R) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^R(M, N).$$

When  $V$  is a discrete valuation ring,  $T\hat{\partial}r_q^V(M, N) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ , and this spectral sequence yields a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{j-1}^S(T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N), R) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_j^R(M, N) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_j^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) \\ &\rightarrow T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N) \otimes_S R \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

It was already shown in [3] that when  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N)$  has finite length, so do all terms in the above sequence, and so we have

$$\chi_1^R(M, N) = \chi_1^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) + \chi_0^S(T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N), R).$$

Because  $R = S/(z_2, \dots, z_n)$ , both terms on the right are known to be nonnegative (see [3, Theorem 1 and Lemma 1]), and so  $\chi_1^R(M, N) = 0$  implies that both  $\chi_1^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) = 0$  and  $\chi_0^S(T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N), R) = 0$ . From [3, Theorem 1 and Lemma 1], we then know that

- (a)  $\text{Tor}_j^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) = 0, j \geq 1$ , and
- (b)  $\dim T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N) < n - 1$ .

We shall use this information to show that  $T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N) = 0$ . Then, as seen in [3], we have  $\text{Tor}_j^S(M \hat{\otimes}_V N, R) \simeq \text{Tor}_j^R(M, N)$  and the result follows from [3, Theorem 1].

Let  $M_0 = \cup_t \text{Ann}_M x^t$  and  $N_0 = \cup_t \text{Ann}_N x^t$ . To complete the proof, we shall establish the following facts:

- (1) If  $M_0 \neq 0$  and  $N_0 \neq 0$ , then  $W = \text{Im}(M_0 \hat{\otimes}_V N_0 \rightarrow M \hat{\otimes}_V N)$  is nonzero.
- (2)  $\dim M_0 \hat{\otimes}_V N_0 = \dim T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M_0, N_0) = \dim T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N)$  ( $= \dim M_0 + \dim N_0$ ).

Assume (1) and (2) for the moment. From (a) above,  $z_2, \dots, z_n$  is a regular sequence on  $M \hat{\otimes}_V N$ , so that  $\text{depth } M \hat{\otimes}_V N \geq n - 1$ . From (1), if  $M_0 \neq 0, N_0 \neq 0$ , we have  $W \subset M \hat{\otimes}_R N$  and

$$\dim W \leq \dim M_0 \hat{\otimes}_V N_0 = \dim T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N) \quad (\text{from (2)}) \leq n - 2.$$

But over any local ring, a module of depth  $d$  cannot have a nonzero submodule of dimension less than  $d$ ; see [4, Prop. 7, p. IV-16] (the same fact is used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]). This shows that either  $M_0 = 0$  or  $N_0 = 0$ , i.e., that  $x$  is a nonzero divisor on at least one of the modules  $M, N$ , which is known [4, Propriété (g), p. V-9] to imply that  $T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(M, N) = 0$ , as required.

Thus, to complete the proof of the theorem, it suffices to establish the assertions (1) and (2) listed above.

To prove (1), we first note that if  $M_0 \neq 0$  (respectively,  $N_0 \neq 0$ ) then  $M_0 \not\subset xM$  (respectively,  $N_0 \not\subset xN$ ). For if  $M_0 \subset xM$ , given  $u \in M_0$  we have  $u = xv$ , and since  $x^t u = 0$  for some  $t$ ,  $x^{t+1} v = 0$  and  $v \in M_0$ . But then  $M_0 \subset xM_0$  and so  $M_0 = 0$  by Nakayama's lemma.

Hence, if  $M_0 \neq 0$ , it has a nonzero image  $G_0$  in  $M/xM$  and, similarly, if  $N_0 \neq 0$ ,  $N_0$  has nonzero image  $H_0$  in  $N/xN$ . Let  $K = R/m$ . Then we have

$$M \hat{\otimes}_V N \twoheadrightarrow (M/xM) \hat{\otimes}_V (N/xN) \simeq (M/xM) \hat{\otimes}_K (N/xN).$$

Since  $\hat{\otimes}_K$  is faithfully exact,

$$0 \neq G_0 \hat{\otimes}_K H_0 \hookrightarrow (M/xM) \hat{\otimes}_K (N/xN).$$

Since the image of  $M_0 \hat{\otimes}_V N_0$  in  $(M/xM) \hat{\otimes}_K (N/xN)$  is nonzero, its image in  $M \otimes_V N$  must have been nonzero, as required.

It remains to establish (2). First note that since  $x$  is a nonzerodivisor on  $M/M_0$ ,  $T\hat{d}r_1^V(M/M_0, N) = 0$ . This fact and the long exact sequence for  $T\hat{d}r$  arising from  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N_0 \rightarrow 0$  show that

$$T\hat{d}r_1^V(M_0, N) \simeq T\hat{d}r_1^V(M, N)$$

while

$$T\hat{d}r_1^V(M_0, N_0) \simeq \text{Tor}_1^V(M_0, N)$$

because  $x$  is a nonzero divisor on  $N/N_0$ .

The remaining assertions in (2) then follow from the lemma below applied in the case  $A = M_0$ ,  $B = N_0$ .

**LEMMA.** *Let  $V$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $xV$  and let  $R = V[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$ . Let  $A, B$  be nonzero finitely generated  $R$ -modules each of which is killed by a power of  $x$ . Then:*

(a)  $\dim A = \dim A/xA = \dim \text{Ann}_A x$  and  $\dim B = \dim B/xB = \dim \text{Ann}_B x$ .

(b) If  $xA = xB = 0$ ,  $T\hat{d}r_1^V(A, B) \simeq A \hat{\otimes}_V B \simeq A \hat{\otimes}_K B$ , where  $K = V/xV$ , and each has dimension  $\dim A + \dim B$ .

(c) More generally,  $\dim A \hat{\otimes}_V B = \dim T\hat{d}r_1^V(A, B) = \dim A + \dim B$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x$  is nilpotent on  $A$ ,

$$\dim A = \dim A/xA.$$

If  $P \in \text{Ass } A$ ,  $R/P \hookrightarrow A$  implies  $x \in P$  and then  $R/P \hookrightarrow \text{Ann}_A x$ . Thus,

$$\dim A = \dim \text{Ann}_A x.$$

This establishes (a).

To prove (b), consider a resolution  $F_\bullet$  of  $A$  over  $R$ .  $T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(A, B)$  is the homology of  $F_\bullet \hat{\otimes}_V B$ . But we may identify

$$(\hat{\otimes}_V B) \simeq (((\otimes_V (V/xV)) \hat{\otimes}_K B),$$

since  $xB = 0$ . When we apply  $\otimes_V V/xV$  to  $F_\bullet$ , we get a complex whose first homology module is  $Tor_1^V(A, V/xV)$  (this is *ordinary Tor*, not complete *Tor*) which, since  $x$  kills  $A$ , is  $\simeq A$ . But then since  $\hat{\otimes}_K B$  is faithfully exact, we find

$$T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(A, B) \simeq A \hat{\otimes}_K B \simeq A \hat{\otimes}_V B \simeq A \hat{\otimes}_K B,$$

as required. The dimension statement now follows from [4, pp. V-10, V-11, case (a)]. This proves (b).

It remains to prove (c). Given an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

we have the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow T\hat{\partial}r_j^V(A_1, B) \rightarrow T\hat{\partial}r_j^V(A, B) \rightarrow T\hat{\partial}r_j^V(A_2, B) \rightarrow$$

whence  $\dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(A, B) \leq \max_i \dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(A_i, B)$ . Using this fact repeatedly, by a straightforward induction argument, one shows that given finite filtrations of  $A, B$  with factors  $A_i, B_j$  respectively,

$$\dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(A, B) \leq \max_{i,j} \dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(A_i, B_j).$$

Applying this fact for  $t = 0, 1$  with the filtrations with factors

$$A_i = x^i A / x^{i+1} A, \quad B_j = x^j B / x^{j+1} B$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(A, B) &\leq \max_{i,j} \dim T\hat{\partial}r_t^V(x^i A / x^{i+1} A, x^j B / x^{j+1} B) \\ &= \max_{i,j} (\dim x^i A / x^{i+1} A + \dim x^j B / x^{j+1} B) \\ &\leq \dim A + \dim B. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $A \hat{\otimes}_V B$  (respectively,  $T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(A, B)$ ) has a quotient (respectively, submodule)

$$(A/xA) \hat{\otimes}_V (B/xB)$$

(respectively,  $T\hat{\partial}r_1^V(\text{Ann}_A x, \text{Ann}_B x)$ ), which has dimension  $\dim A + \dim B$ , we have equality, Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. M. AUSLANDER, *Modules over unramified regular local rings*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 5 (1961), pp. 631–645.
2. ———, *Modules over unramified regular local rings*, Proc. International Congress of Math., 1962, pp. 230–233.

3. S. LICHTENBAUM, *On the vanishing of Tor in regular local rings*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 10 (1966), pp. 220–226.
4. J.-P. SERRE, *Algèbre locale. Multiplicités*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 11, Springer Verlag, New York, 1965.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN