

## ON SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION OF CLOSED OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES

BY

WANG SHENGWANG AND I. ERDELYI

This paper is concerned with presenting some necessary and sufficient conditions for a closed operator to have the spectral decomposition property. We refer to [2] for notations and terminology, but for convenience we repeat some definitions.

Throughout this paper,  $T$  is a closed operator with domain  $D_T$  and range in a Banach space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of natural numbers and let  $Z^+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If  $S$  is a set then  $\bar{S}$  is the closure,  $S^c$  is the complement,  $\text{Int } S$  is the interior and we denote by  $\text{cov } S$  the collection of all finite open covers of  $S$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that for  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ , every  $\{G_i\}_{i=0}^n \in \text{cov } S$  has, at most, one unbounded set  $G_0$ . A set  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a neighborhood of  $\infty$ , in symbols  $S \in V_\infty$ , if  $\bar{S}^c$  is compact in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Given  $T$ ,  $\sigma(T)$  is the spectrum,  $\sigma_a(T)$  is the approximate point spectrum,  $\rho(T)$  is the resolvent set and  $R(\cdot; T)$  is the resolvent operator. If  $A$  is a bounded operator then  $\rho_\infty(A)$  denotes the unbounded component of  $\rho(A)$ . If  $T$  has the single valued extension property (SVEP), then  $\sigma_T(x)$ ,  $\rho_T(x)$  and  $x(\cdot)$  denote the local spectrum, the local resolvent set and the local resolvent function, respectively, at  $x \in X$ .

For  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we shall make an extensive use of the spectral manifold

$$(1) \quad X(T, S) = \{x \in X: \sigma_T(x) \subset S\}.$$

We write  $\text{Inv } T$  for the lattice of the subspaces of  $X$  which are invariant under  $T$ . For  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$ ,  $T|Y$  is the restriction of  $T$  to  $Y$  and  $\hat{T} = T/Y$  denotes the coinduced operator by  $T$  on the quotient space  $X/Y$ . The coset  $\hat{x} = x + Y$  is a vector in  $X/Y$  and  $\hat{x} \in D_{\hat{T}}$  iff  $\hat{x} \cap D_T \neq \emptyset$ . If  $f$  is an  $X$ -valued function then the function  $\hat{f}$  has the range in  $X/Y$ .

### 1. Introduction

In this section, certain basic notions pertaining to the spectral theory will be touched upon and some preliminary results will be established to be used in

---

Received October 26, 1984

© 1986 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

the subsequent theory. First, we re-examine the single valued extension property in the spirit of an earlier work by Finch [3].

1.1. THEOREM. *Given  $T$ , for every  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a neighborhood  $\delta$  of  $\lambda_0$  and an analytic function  $f: \delta \rightarrow D_T$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad (\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = x \text{ on } \delta.$$

(ii) *There are numbers  $M > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset D_T$ , with the following properties:*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } (\lambda_0 - T)a_0 = x; \text{ (b) } (\lambda_0 - T)a_{n+1} = a_n; \\ & \text{(c) } \|a_n\| \leq MR^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}^+. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). We may assume that

$$\delta = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$$

for some  $r > 0$ . Let

$$(1.3) \quad f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n, \quad \lambda \in \delta$$

be the power series expansion of  $f$ . By decreasing  $r$ , we may assume that (1.3) holds on  $\bar{\delta}$  and  $r < 1$ . Then, for  $\lambda \in \partial\delta$ ,

$$\|a_n\| r^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, there is  $M > 0$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|a_n\| r^n \leq M, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

For  $R = r^{-1}$ , (1.4) implies (1.2)(c). By taking  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  in (1.1) and (1.3), one obtains (1.2)(a). Furthermore, it follows from (1.3) that

$$a_n = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\delta} \frac{f(\lambda) d\lambda}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

In view of (1.1), one can write

$$(\lambda_0 - T)f(\lambda) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)f(\lambda) + (\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)f(\lambda) + x.$$

$T$  being closed, one obtains  $a_n \in D_T$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_0 - T)a_{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\delta} \frac{(\lambda_0 - T)f(\lambda) d\lambda}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+2}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\delta} \frac{f(\lambda) d\lambda}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\delta} \frac{x d\lambda}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+2}} = a_n. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.2)(b).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). In view of (1.2)(c), the power series (1.3) defines a function  $f$ , analytic on

$$\delta = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{R} \right\}.$$

Thus, for

$$f_k(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n, \quad \lambda \in \delta, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - T)f_k(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^k (\lambda - T)a_n(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^k (\lambda_0 - T)a_n(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n - \sum_{n=0}^k a_n(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+1} \\ &= x + \sum_{n=1}^k a_{n-1}(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n - \sum_{n=0}^k a_n(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{n+1} \\ &= x - a_k(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T$  is closed and for all  $\lambda \in \delta$ ,

$$f_k(\lambda) \rightarrow f(\lambda) \text{ and } a_k(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$f(\lambda) \in D_T \text{ and } (\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = x \text{ for all } \lambda \in \delta. \quad \square$$

1.2. COROLLARY.  $T$  does not have the SVEP iff there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and there are numbers  $M > 0$ ,  $R > 0$  and a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset D_T$  such that

$$(\lambda_0 - T)a_0 = 0; \quad (\lambda_0 - T)a_{n+1} = a_n; \quad \|a_n\| \leq MR^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

and  $a_n \neq 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.

*Proof.*  $T$  does not have the SVEP iff there is a neighborhood  $\delta$  of some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad (\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(\lambda) \neq 0 \text{ on } \delta.$$

In view of Theorem 1.1, the situation described by (1.5) occurs iff the properties expressed by the corollary hold, for  $x = 0$ .  $\square$

Another consequence of Theorem 1.1 is [3, Theorem 2], asserting that  $T$  does not have the SVEP if there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\lambda_0 - T$  is surjective but not injective.

Next, we recall some definitions and related properties. The spectral maximal space concept [4] admits two distinct extensions to the case of unbounded operators.

**1.3. DEFINITION.** Given  $T, Y \in \text{Inv } T$  is called a spectral maximal space of  $T$  if, for any  $Z \in \text{Inv } T$ , the inclusion  $\sigma(T|Z) \subset \sigma(T|Y)$  implies  $Z \subset Y$ .

$Y \in \text{Inv } T$  with  $Y \subset D_T$  is said to be a  $T$ -bounded spectral maximal space if, for any  $Z \in \text{Inv } T$ , the inclusions  $Z \subset D_T$ ,  $\sigma(T|Z) \subset \sigma(T|Y)$  imply  $Z \subset Y$ .

If  $T$  has the SVEP and if, for compact  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,  $X(T, F)$  is closed then

$$(1.6) \quad X(T, F) = \Xi(T, F) \oplus X(T, \emptyset).$$

Here,  $\Xi(T, F)$  is a  $T$ -bounded spectral maximal space [2]. If  $T$  has the SVEP and if, for closed  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,  $X(T, F)$  is closed then  $X(T, F)$  is a spectral maximal space of  $T$ . In this case, for disjoint closed  $F_1$  and compact  $F_2$  we have [2]

$$(1.7) \quad X(T, F_1 \cup F_2) = X(T, F_1) \oplus \Xi(T, F_2)$$

and if both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are compact, then

$$(1.8) \quad \Xi(T, F_1 \cup F_2) = \Xi(T, F_1) \oplus \Xi(T, F_2).$$

Two additional types of invariant subspaces will be useful later on.

**1.4 DEFINITION** [7], [5]. Given  $T, Y \in \text{Inv } T$  is said to be  $T$ -absorbent if, for any  $y \in Y$  and any  $\lambda \in \sigma(T|Y)$ , the condition  $(\lambda - T)x = y$  implies  $x \in Y$ .

$Y \in \text{Inv } T$  is called analytically invariant under  $T$  if, for every analytic  $D_T$ -valued function  $f$  defined on an open  $D \subset \mathbf{C}$ ,  $(\lambda - T)f(\lambda) \in Y$  implies  $f(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D$ .

In particular, every spectral maximal space of  $T$  as well as each  $T$ -bounded spectral maximal space is  $T$ -absorbent [2]. If  $Y$  is any of the subspaces introduced by Definitions 1.3 and 1.4, then  $\sigma(T|Y) \subset \sigma(T)$ .

**2. The spectral decomposition property**

In this section we study some local properties of a general type of spectral decomposition.

**2.1 DEFINITION.**  $T$  is said to have the spectral decomposition property (SDP) if, for every  $\{G_i\}_{i=0}^n \in \text{cov } \sigma(T)$  with  $G_0 \in V_\infty$ , there exists  $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \text{Inv } T$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (I)  $Y_i \subset D_T$ , if  $G_i$  is relatively compact ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ );
- (II)  $\sigma(T|Y_i) \subset G_i, 0 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (III)  $X = \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i$ .

*Remarks* Without any deviation from the above defined notion, we may consider

$$\{G_i\}_{i=0}^n \in \text{cov } C,$$

and replace (II) by

$$(II') \quad \sigma(T|Y_i) \subset \bar{G}_i, 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

In terms of spectral maximal and  $T$ -bounded spectral maximal spaces, the decomposition (III) can be expressed by

$$(2.1) \quad X = X(T, \bar{G}_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Xi(T, \bar{G}_i).$$

Note that (2.1) implies conditions (I), (II) and (III) above. Even a two-summand decomposition ( $n = 1$ ) of  $T$  implies the SDP [6].

**2.2 DEFINITION.** An operator  $T$  is said to have property  $(\kappa)$  if

- (a)  $T$  has the SVEP;
- (b)  $X(T, F)$  is closed for all closed  $F \subset C$ .

A slightly strengthened version of Bishop's condition  $(\beta)$  [1, Definition 8], as expressed by the following definition, will greatly enhance the study of the spectral decomposition problem.

**2.3 DEFINITION.**  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$  if, for any sequence  $\{f_n: G \rightarrow D_T\}$  of functions, analytic on an open  $G \subset C, (\lambda - T)f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the strong topology of  $X$  and uniformly on every compact subset of  $G$  implies that  $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$  in the strong topology of  $X$  and uniformly on every compact subset of  $G$ .

In contrast to [8, 4.16–4.18], the above definition does not require that  $Tf_n$  be analytic for each  $n$ . Property  $(\beta)$  implies property  $(\kappa)$ . Clearly, it implies the SVEP. Also, if  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$  then, for closed  $F \subset C, X(T, F)$  is closed (e.g., [8, Corollary 4.18]).

**2.4 LEMMA.** *Given  $T$ , let  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  be such that  $Y \subset D_T$  and  $\hat{T} = T/Y$  is closed. If  $T$  has the SVEP and  $\sigma(T|Y) \cap \sigma(\hat{T})$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $Y$  is analytically invariant under  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: D_f \rightarrow D_T$  be analytic on an open  $D_f \subset \mathbb{C}$  and satisfy condition

$$(\lambda - T)f(\lambda) \in Y \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in D_f.$$

We may assume that  $D_f$  is connected. By the canonical map  $X \rightarrow X/Y$ , we have

$$(\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{0} \quad \text{on } D_f.$$

By [6, Lemma 3.2], there is an analytic function  $h: D_h(\subset D_f) \rightarrow D_T$  such that  $\hat{h}(\lambda) = \hat{f}(\lambda)$  and  $(\lambda - T)h(\lambda)$  is analytic on  $D_h$ . Likewise  $D_f, D_h$  can be assumed to be a connected open set.

First, suppose that  $D_h \cap \rho(T|Y) \neq \emptyset$ . The function  $g: D_h \cap \rho(T|Y) \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $g(\lambda) = (\lambda - T)h(\lambda)$ , is analytic and

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{h}(\lambda) = (\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{0}$$

implies that  $g(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D \cap \rho(T|Y)$ . Then

$$(\lambda - T)[h(\lambda) - R(\lambda; T|Y)g(\lambda)] = 0$$

and the SVEP of  $T$  implies

$$h(\lambda) = R(\lambda; T|Y)g(\lambda) \in Y \quad \text{on } D_h \cap \rho(T|Y).$$

Thus,  $h(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_h$ , by analytic continuation. Since  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{h}(\lambda)$  agree on  $D_h$ , we have  $f(\lambda) - h(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_h$ . Thus,  $f(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_h$  and hence  $f(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_f$ , by analytic continuation.

Next, assume that  $D_h \subset \sigma(T|Y)$ . Since, by hypothesis,  $D_h \cap \rho(\hat{T}) \neq \emptyset$  it follows from  $(\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{h}(\lambda) = \hat{0}$  that  $\hat{h}(\lambda) = \hat{0}$  on  $D_h \cap \rho(\hat{T})$ . Therefore,  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{0}$ , i.e.  $f(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_f$ , by analytic continuation.  $\square$

**2.5. LEMMA.** *Given a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ , let  $H, K$  be open disks with  $\bar{K} \subset H$ . If  $\hat{f}: V \rightarrow X/Y$  is an analytic function on a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\bar{H}$ , then there exists an analytic function  $h: H \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$\max_{\lambda \in \bar{K}} \|h(\lambda)\| \leq A \max_{\lambda \in \bar{H}} \|\hat{f}(\lambda)\|,$$

where  $A$  is a constant.

*Proof.* Let

$$H = \{ \lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < R \}, \quad K = \{ \lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_1| < r \}$$

for  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_1 \in H, 0 < r \leq r + |\lambda_0 - \lambda_1| < R$  and let

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{a}_n (\lambda - \lambda_0)^n \quad \text{with } \{ \hat{a}_n \} \subset X/Y$$

be the power series expansion of  $\hat{f}$ . Choose  $\rho$  to satisfy  $r + |\lambda_0 - \lambda_1| < \rho < R$ . By the Cauchy inequality, we have  $\| \hat{a}_n \| \leq MR^{-n}$ , where  $M = \max_{\lambda \in \bar{H}} \| \hat{f}(\lambda) \|$ . For every  $n$ , choose  $a_n \in \hat{a}_n$  such that  $\| a_n \| \leq 2 \| \hat{a}_n \|$  and define

$$h(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\lambda - \lambda_0)^n.$$

Then  $h$  is analytic on  $H$  and since  $\bar{K} \subset \{ \lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \rho \}$ , we have

$$\max_{\lambda \in \bar{K}} \| h(\lambda) \| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| a_n \| \rho^n \leq 2M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^n = A \max_{\lambda \in \bar{H}} \| \hat{f}(\lambda) \|,$$

where  $A = 2R/(R - \rho)$ . □

**2.6. THEOREM.** *Given  $T$ , suppose that for every pair of open disks  $G, H$  with  $\bar{G} \subset H$ , there exists  $Z \in \text{Inv } T$  such that*

- (a)  $\sigma(T|Z) \subset G^c$ ;
- (b)  $\hat{T} = T/Z$  is bounded in  $X/Z$  and  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset H$ .

*Then  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{ f_n \}$  be a sequence of  $D_T$ -valued analytic functions on an open  $G_0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad (\lambda - T)f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

in the strong topology of  $X$  and uniformly on every compact subset of  $G_0$ . We may assume that  $G_0 = \{ \lambda : |\lambda| < R \}$  for some  $R > 0$ . Choose the numbers  $R_0, R_1, R_2$  such that  $0 < R_0 < R_1 < R_2 < R$  and let

$$K = \{ \lambda : |\lambda| \leq R_0 \}, \quad G = \{ \lambda : |\lambda| < R_1 \}, \quad H = \{ \lambda : |\lambda| < R_2 \}.$$

By hypothesis, there exists  $Z \in \text{Inv } T$  satisfying conditions (a) and (b) for  $G$  and  $H$ . It follows from (2.2) that

$$(\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \hat{0}$$

in the strong topology of  $X/Z$  and uniformly on  $\overline{H}$ . Since  $\partial H \subset \rho(\hat{T})$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{f}_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}$$

in the strong topology of  $X/Z$  and uniformly on  $\partial H$ . By the maximum principle, the convergence (2.3) is uniform on  $\overline{H}$ . Furthermore, since  $\hat{T}$  is bounded,

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}$$

in the strong topology of  $X/Z$  and uniformly on  $\overline{H}$ .

The graph  $G(T)$  of  $T$  is closed in  $X \oplus X$  and  $G(T|Z)$  is closed in  $Z \oplus Z$ . The mapping

$$\tau: [x \oplus Tx + G(T|Z)] \rightarrow (x + Z) \oplus (Tx + Z)$$

of  $G(T)/G(T|Z)$  into  $G(\hat{T}) \subset (X/Z) \oplus (X/Z)$  is both injective and surjective. Since  $\hat{T}$  is bounded,  $G(\hat{T})$  is closed and hence it follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|x \oplus Tx + G(T|Z)\| &= \inf\{\|x \oplus Tx + z \oplus Tz\| : z \in Z \cap D_T\} \\ &\geq \inf\{\|(x + z_1) \oplus (Tx + z_2)\| : z_1, z_2 \in Z\} \\ &= \|(x + Z) \oplus (Tx + Z)\| \end{aligned}$$

that  $\tau$  is a topological isomorphism.

Since  $\lambda \rightarrow \hat{f}_n(\lambda) \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda)$  is analytic on a neighborhood of  $\overline{H}$ , so is

$$\lambda \rightarrow \tau^{-1}[\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda)].$$

Evidently,  $\tau^{-1}(\hat{f}_n \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n)$  is a  $G(T)/G(T|Z)$ -valued function. Consequently, one can find a  $G(T)$ -valued analytic function  $h_n \oplus Th_n$  defined on  $H$  such that

$$h_n(\lambda) \oplus Th_n(\lambda) \in \tau^{-1}[\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda)].$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that one can choose  $h_n$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \max_{\lambda \in K} \|h_n(\lambda) \oplus Th_n(\lambda)\| \leq A \max_{\lambda \in \overline{H}} \|\tau^{-1}[\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda)]\|$$

for some  $A > 0$ . Clearly, both  $h_n$  and  $Th_n$  are analytic and  $\hat{h}_n(\lambda) = \hat{f}_n(\lambda)$  on  $H$ . Thus,

$$h_n(\lambda) - f_n(\lambda) \in Z \text{ on } H.$$



In view of (2.3) and (2.4),

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{f}_n(\lambda) \oplus \hat{T}\hat{f}_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \hat{0} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly on  $\bar{H}$ . By (2.5) and (2.6),

$$(2.7) \quad h_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } Th_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly on  $K$ . It follows from (2.2) and (2.7) that

$$(2.8) \quad (\lambda - T)[h_n(\lambda) - f_n(\lambda)] \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly on  $K$ . Since  $K \subset G$  and  $\sigma(T|Z) \subset G^c$ , we have  $K \subset \rho(T|Z)$  and then (2.8) implies that

$$h_n(\lambda) - f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly on  $K$ . Thus, by (2.7),  $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $K$ . Since  $R_0 < R$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$ . □

*Remark.* In Theorem 2.6, the inclusion in (b) can be replaced by  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \bar{G}$ .

**2.7. COROLLARY.** *If  $T$  has the SDP then  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G, H$  be open disks with  $\bar{G} \subset H$ . Since  $\{(\bar{G})^c, H\} \in \text{cov } \sigma(T)$ , there exist  $Y, Z \in \text{Inv } T$  such that

$$X = Y + Z, \quad \sigma(T|Y) \subset H, \quad Y \subset D_T, \quad \sigma(T|Z) \subset (\bar{G})^c \subset G^c.$$

Since  $\rho(T|Y \cap Z) \supset \rho_\infty(T|Y) \supset H^c$ , we have  $\sigma(T|Y \cap Z) \subset H$ . The coinduced operators  $\hat{T} = T/Z$  and  $\tilde{T} = (T|Y)/Y \cap Z$  being similar,  $\hat{T}$  is bounded and

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) = \sigma(\tilde{T}) \subset \sigma(T|Y) \cup \sigma(T|Y \cap Z) \subset H.$$

Thus,  $T$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 and hence  $T$  has property  $(\beta)$ . □

Next, we quote a property which will be used in characterizations of operators with the SDP (Theorems 2.9, 2.10).

**2.8. THEOREM [10].** *Let  $T$  have the SDP. Then, for every  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  with  $\sigma(T|Y) \neq \mathbf{C}$ , the coinduced operator  $\hat{T} = T/Y$  is closed. In particular, if  $Y$  is a spectral maximal space of  $T$  or a  $T$ -bounded spectral maximal space, then*

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) = \overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma(T|Y)}.$$

Moreover, if  $Y$  is a spectral maximal space and  $\overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma(T|Y)}$  is compact, then  $\hat{T}$  is bounded.

Some characterizations of closed operators with the SDP now follow.

2.9. THEOREM. Given  $T$ , the following assertions are equivalent.

- (I)  $T$  has the SDP.
- (II) (a)  $T$  has property  $(\kappa)$ ;  
 (b) for every compact  $F \in V_\infty$ ,  $\hat{T} = T/X(T, F)$  is closed and

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset (\text{Int } F)^c.$$

- (III) For every relatively compact open  $G \subset \mathbf{C}$ , there is  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  such that  
 (2.9)  $Y \subset D_T, \sigma(T|Y) \subset \bar{G}, \hat{T} = T/Y$  is closed and  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset G^c$ .

*Proof* (I)  $\Rightarrow$  (II). Corollary 2.7 implies (II)(a). Let  $F \subset \mathbf{C}$  be compact. If  $\text{Int } F = \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \mathbf{C} = (\text{Int } F)^c$ . Suppose that  $\text{Int } F \neq \emptyset$ . By [9, Theorem 1.6], we have

$$\text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T) \subset \overline{\text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T)} \subset \sigma[T|\Xi(T, F)].$$

Then, with the help of Theorem 2.8, we obtain

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) = \overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma[T|\Xi(T, F)]} \subset \overline{\sigma(T) - [\text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T)]} \subset (\text{Int } F)^c.$$

(II)  $\Rightarrow$  (III). This follows for  $Y = \Xi(T, \bar{G})$

(III)  $\Rightarrow$  (I). First, we show that  $T$  has the SVEP. Let  $f: D_f \rightarrow D_T$  be analytic and such that

$$(2.10) \quad (\lambda - T)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{on an open } D_f \subset \mathbf{C}.$$

We may suppose that  $D_f$  is connected. Choose  $G \subset \mathbf{C}$  open and relatively compact such that  $\bar{G} \subset D_f$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  satisfying (2.9). In view of (2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad (\lambda - \hat{T})\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{0}, \quad \lambda \in D_f.$$

Since  $G \subset \rho(\hat{T})$ , (2.11) implies that  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = 0$  on  $G$  and hence  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{0}$  on  $D_f$ , by analytic continuation. Thus,  $f(\lambda) \in Y$  on  $D_f$ . It follows from (2.10) and the inclusion  $\sigma(T|Y) \subset \bar{G}$  that  $f(\lambda) = 0$  on  $D_f - \bar{G}$  and hence  $f(\lambda) = 0$  on  $D_f$ , by analytic continuation. Therefore,  $T$  has the SVEP.

Now, let  $\{G_0, G_1\} \in \text{cov } \sigma(T)$  with  $G_0 \in V_\infty$ . Put  $G = G_0 \cap G_1$  and note that there is  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  satisfying the conditions in (2.9). By Lemma 2.4,  $Y$  is

analytically invariant under  $T$  and hence  $\sigma(T|Y) \subset \sigma(T)$ . Then,

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(T|Y) = \sigma(T)$$

and, by the last of (2.9),

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset G^c \cap \sigma(T) = [G_0^c \cap \sigma(T)] \cup [G_1^c \cap \sigma(T)].$$

The spectral sets  $G_0^c \cap \sigma(T), G_1^c \cap \sigma(T)$  are disjoint and the former is compact. By the functional calculus, there are  $\hat{Z}_0, \hat{Z}_1 \in \text{Inv } \hat{T}$  satisfying conditions

$$X/Y = \hat{Z}_0 \oplus \hat{Z}_1, \hat{Z}_1 \subset D_{\hat{T}}, \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_i) \subset G_j^c \cap \sigma(T), \quad j \neq i; i, j = 0, 1.$$

The subspaces  $Z_i = \{x \in X, x \in \hat{x}, \hat{x} \in \hat{Z}_i\} (i = 0, 1)$  are invariant under  $T$  and  $X = Z_0 + Z_1$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\sigma(T|Z_i) \subset \sigma(T|\hat{Y}) \cup \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_i) \subset \bar{G} \cup [G_j^c \cap \sigma(T)] \subset \bar{G}_i, \quad j \neq i; i, j = 0, 1.$$

Since  $Y \subset D_T$  and  $\hat{Z}_1 \subset D_{\hat{T}}$ , it follows from the definition of  $Z_1$  that  $Z_1 \subset D_T$ . Thus,  $T$  has the SDP. □

**2.10. THEOREM.** *Given  $T$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  has the SDP.
- (ii) (a)  $T$  has property  $(\kappa)$ ;  
 (b) for every closed  $F \in V_\infty, \hat{T} = T/X(T, F)$  is bounded and

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset (\text{Int } F)^c;$$

- (iii) For every relatively compact open  $G \subset \mathbf{C}$ , there is  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  such that  
 (2.12)  $\hat{T} = T/Y$  is bounded,  $\sigma(T|Y) \subset G^c$  and  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \bar{G}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).  $T$  has property  $(\kappa)$ , by Corollary 2.7. We quote [9, Theorem 1.6] to write

$$(2.13) \quad \text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T) \subset \overline{\text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T)} \subset \sigma[T|X(T, F)].$$

It follows from (2.13) that  $\overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma[T|X(T, F)]}$  is compact and hence  $\hat{T}$  is bounded by Theorem 2.8. Furthermore, with the help of (2.13) we obtain

$$\sigma(\hat{T}) = \overline{\sigma(T) - \sigma[T|X(T, F)]} \subset \overline{\sigma(T) - [\text{Int } F \cap \sigma(T)]} \subset (\text{Int } F)^c.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). This follows directly for  $G = F^c$  and  $Y = X(T, F)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).  $T$  has property  $(\kappa)$ , by Theorem 2.6. Let  $\{G_0, G_1\} \in \text{cov } \mathbf{C}$  with  $G_0 \in V_\infty$ . Select an open  $H \in V_\infty$  such that  $\bar{H} \subset G_0$  and  $\{H, G_1\} \in$

cov C. The open set

$$G = (\overline{G_0})^c \cup (H \cap G_1)$$

is relatively compact and, by hypothesis, there exists  $Y \in \text{Inv } T$  satisfying the conditions in (2.12). Since

$$\overline{C - \overline{G_0}} \cap \overline{H \cap G_1} = \emptyset,$$

there are  $\hat{Z}_0, \hat{Z}_1 \in \text{Inv } \hat{T}$  producing the decomposition

$$X/Y = \hat{Z}_0 + \hat{Z}_1, \quad \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_0) \subset \overline{H \cap G_1}, \quad \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_1) \subset \overline{C - \overline{G_0}} \subset G_0^c.$$

Define the subspaces  $Z_i = \{x \in X, x \in \hat{x}, \hat{x} \in \hat{Z}_i\} \in \text{Inv } T$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) and obtain

$$(2.14) \quad X = Z_0 + Z_1;$$

$$(2.15) \quad \sigma(T|Z_0) \subset \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_0) \cup \sigma(T|Y) \subset \overline{G_0};$$

$$(2.16) \quad \sigma(T|Z_1) \subset \sigma(\hat{T}|\hat{Z}_1) \cup \sigma(T|Y) \subset H^c \cup G_1^c.$$

Hence  $Z_0 \subset X(T, \overline{G_0})$ , by (2.15) and it follows from (2.16) and (1.7) that

$$Z_1 \subset X(T, H^c \cup G_1^c) = \Xi(T, H^c) \oplus X(T, G_1^c) \subset \Xi(T, \overline{G_1}) + X(T, \overline{G_0}).$$

Thus, we infer from (2.14) that

$$X = X(T, \overline{G_0}) + \Xi(T, \overline{G_1})$$

and hence  $T$  has the SDP. □

#### REFERENCES

1. E. BISHOP, *A duality theory for an arbitrary operator*, Pacific J. Math, vol. 9 (1959), pp. 379–397.
2. I. ERDELYI and WANG SHENGWANG, *A spectral duality theorem for closed operators*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 114 (1984), pp. 73–93.
3. J.K. FINCH, *The single valued extension property on a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 58 (1975), pp. 61–69.
4. C. FOIAS, *Spectral maximal spaces and decomposable operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel), vol. 24 (1963), pp. 341–349.
5. S. FRUNZĂ, *The single-valued extension property for coinduced operators*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., vol. 18 (1973), pp. 1061–1065.
6. B. NAGY, *A spectral residuum for each closed operator*, Topics in modern operator theory: Advances and applications, vol. 2, Birkhäuser, New York, 1981, pp. 221–238.
7. F.-H. VASILESCU, *Residually decomposable operators in Banach spaces*, Tôhoku Math. J., vol. 21 (1969), pp. 509–522.

8. \_\_\_\_\_, *Analytic functional calculus and spectral decompositions*, Reidel, Dordrecht 1982.
9. WANG SHENGWANG and I. ERDELYI, *Analytically invariant spectral resolvents of closed operators*, J. Functional Anal., vol. 58 (1984), pp. 53–78.
10. WANG SHENGWANG and SUN DAQING, *Strongly spectral decomposition properties for closed operators*, to appear.

NANJING UNIVERSITY  
NANJING, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA