

MEASURES ON NON-SEPARABLE METRIC SPACES

BY

R. M. DUDLEY¹

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this note is to give a simpler and more general definition of “weak” or “weak-star” convergence of certain measures on non-separable metric spaces, and to prove its equivalence with the convergence introduced in [1] for the cases considered there.

Let (S, d) be a metric space. Let \mathfrak{B} or $\mathfrak{B}(S)$ be the class of all Borel sets in S , i.e. the smallest σ -algebra containing all the open sets. One can safely assume that a finite, countably additive measure on \mathfrak{B} is concentrated in a separable subset [2]. It has seemed useful to consider finite, countably additive measures on metric spaces, not concentrated in separable subsets, defined on some, but not all, Borel sets [1]. Specifically, one can use the σ -algebra \mathfrak{U} or $\mathfrak{U}(S)$ generated by the open balls

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in S : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

for arbitrary x in S and $\varepsilon > 0$. Examples of finite measures on \mathfrak{U} not concentrated in separable subsets are the probability distributions of distribution functions of “empirical measures” [1]. For a simpler example, let S be uncountable and $d(x, y) = 1$ for $x \neq y$. Then \mathfrak{U} consists of countable sets, which we give measure 0, and sets with countable complement, which we give measure 1.

If S is separable, then all open sets are in \mathfrak{U} by the Lindelöf theorem, hence $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{B}$. I don't know whether \mathfrak{U} is always strictly included in \mathfrak{B} for S non-separable, but it is in the cases mentioned above, and under the following conditions:

PROPOSITION. *Suppose that the smallest cardinal of a dense set in S is c (cardinal of the continuum). Then \mathfrak{U} has cardinal c and \mathfrak{B} has cardinal 2^c . Hence \mathfrak{U} is strictly included in \mathfrak{B} .*

Proof. Let A be a dense set in S of cardinal c . Let G be the class of balls $B(x, r)$ with x in A and r (positive) rational. We show that G generates \mathfrak{U} . Let $x \in S$, $r > 0$. Let $x_n \in A$, $x_n \rightarrow x$. We can assume $d(x_n, x) < r$ for all n . Let r_n be positive rational numbers such that $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $r_n < r - d(x_n, x)$ for all n . Then

$$B(x, r) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(x_n, r_n),$$

showing that G generates \mathfrak{U} .

Received July 1, 1966.

¹ This research was supported in part by a National Science Foundation grant, and was presented to the International Congress of Mathematicians in Moscow, August 1966.

Let ω be the cardinal of the set of all integers. Then G has cardinal at most ωc , and $\omega c = c$. Hence the class of complements of sets in G has cardinal at most c . The class of countable unions of elements of G has cardinal at most equal to c^ω , and

$$c^\omega = (2^\omega)^\omega = 2^{\omega^2} = 2^\omega = c.$$

Using transfinite induction, we obtain that the cardinal of \mathfrak{u} is at most $\aleph_1 c$ (where \aleph_1 is the least uncountable cardinal; we are assuming the axiom of choice, but not the continuum hypothesis). Now $\aleph_1 c = c$. Since each one-point set in S clearly belongs to \mathfrak{u} , the cardinal of \mathfrak{u} is exactly c . The cardinal of \mathfrak{B} is exactly 2^c [3, Remark 3.7 p. 106] and $c < 2^c$. Thus \mathfrak{u} is properly included in \mathfrak{B} , q.e.d.

If in the statement of the above proposition we replace c by another uncountable cardinal α , then the proof goes through except that possibly $\alpha < \alpha^\omega$, which will happen e.g. if $\alpha = \aleph_\omega$ [3, p. 100], but not if $\alpha = 2^\beta$ for some (infinite) β . When \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{B} have the same cardinal, it remains unclear whether they are equal.

It should be noted that the σ -algebras \mathfrak{u} in non-separable metric spaces have certain unpleasant properties. For example, they are not always preserved by homeomorphisms or even by uniform isomorphisms. Also, they are not always preserved by “relativization” to a subset of S with the same metric. Finally, if one takes a cartesian product of two metric spaces S and T , with any of the usual metrics for the product topology, $\mathfrak{u}(S \times T)$ may not even contain all “rectangles” $A \times B$ where $A \in \mathfrak{u}(S)$, $B \in \mathfrak{u}(T)$.

The Borel σ -algebras are superior in all these respects, although $\mathfrak{B}(S \times T)$ may not be generated by the rectangles whose sides are Borel sets. Of course, the Borel σ -algebras are generally too large to carry a finite measure with non-separable support. One might hope for a σ -algebra which, like \mathfrak{u} , would allow such measures, but which had better “functorial” properties.

2. Measures on \mathfrak{u}

Let $M(S, \mathfrak{u})$ be the set of all finite, countably additive, real-valued set functions (signed measures) on \mathfrak{u} , $M^+(S, \mathfrak{u})$ the set of elements of $M(S, \mathfrak{u})$ with nonnegative values, and $P(S, \mathfrak{u})$ the set of elements of $M^+(S, \mathfrak{u})$ with total mass 1 (probability measures).

In [1], “weak-star” convergence of a sequence in $M^+(S, \mathfrak{u})$ to a Borel measure μ was defined as convergence of the upper and lower integrals of every bounded continuous function f to $\int f d\mu$. Here we define a natural convergence in $M(S, \mathfrak{u})$ and prove that if S is complete, the new convergence agrees with the old one whenever the latter is defined (if μ has separable support, which, as noted above, practically follows from μ being a Borel measure).

Let $\mathfrak{C}(S)$ be the Banach space of all bounded, continuous, real-valued functions on S with supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. Let $C(S, \mathfrak{u})$ be the closed linear

subspace of \mathfrak{U} -measurable elements of $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Then any μ in $M(S, \mathfrak{U})$ defines a bounded linear functional

$$f \rightarrow \int f \, d\mu$$

on $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$. Then on $M(S, \mathfrak{U})$, we have the “weak-star” topology of point-wise convergence on $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$. (Note that $M(S, \mathfrak{U})$ is a proper subset of the dual space $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})^*$ unless S is compact.)

Given a real-valued function f and a measure μ we define the usual upper and lower integrals:

$$\int^* f \, d\mu = \inf \left\{ \int h \, d\mu : h \geq f, \int h \, d\mu \text{ defined} \right\},$$

$$\int_* f \, d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int g \, d\mu : g \leq f, \int g \, d\mu \text{ defined} \right\}.$$

THEOREM. *Suppose (S, d) is a complete metric space, $\{\mu_n\}$ is a sequence of elements of $M^+(S, \mathfrak{U})$ and μ in $M^+(S, \mathfrak{U})$ is concentrated in a separable subspace. Then $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ for the weak-star topology on $M(S, \mathfrak{U})$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int^* f \, d\mu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_* f \, d\mu_n = \int f \, d\mu$$

for every f in $\mathcal{C}(S)$.

Proof. “If” holds since the upper and lower integrals of functions in $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$ are integrals.

To prove “only if”, suppose $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ on $\mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$ and f is in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Since μ has separable support it has a natural extension to all Borel sets. We may assume $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\mu_n(S) \leq 1$ for all n . Let ε be given, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. By Ulam’s theorem [4], there is a compact set K such that $\mu(S \setminus K) < \varepsilon$. Choose $\delta > 0$ so that $d(x, y) < \delta$ and x in K imply $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. Let C be countable and dense in K . Let

$$d(y, K) = \inf_{x \in K} d(x, y) = \inf_{x \in C} d(x, y).$$

Then $d(\cdot, K)$ is \mathfrak{U} -measurable and continuous (in fact,

$$|d(y, K) - d(z, K)| \leq d(y, z)$$

for all y and z). Let

$$g(y) = \min(1, 4d(y, K)/\delta).$$

Then $g \in \mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$, so

$$\int g \, d\mu_n \rightarrow \int g \, d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Let F be a finite subset of K such that for any x in K , $d(x, z) < \delta/4$ for some z in F . Let

$$\phi(t) = \varepsilon t/\delta, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta/2$$

$$= 2, \quad t \geq \delta$$

and let ϕ also be linear in the interval $[\delta/2, \delta]$. Let

$$u(x) = \min (1, \min (f(z) + \varepsilon + \phi(d(x, z)) : z \in F)),$$

$$v(x) = \max (-1, \max (f(z) - \varepsilon - \phi(d(x, z)) : z \in F)).$$

Then clearly $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(S, \mathfrak{U})$. Let

$$W = \{x : d(x, w) < \delta/4 \text{ for some } w \text{ in } K\}.$$

For any x in W , $d(x, z) < \delta/2$ for some z in F , so

$$|f(x) - f(z)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(d(x, z)) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$u(x) \leq f(z) + 2\varepsilon \leq f(x) + 3\varepsilon.$$

Given x , let G_x be the set of all z in F such that $d(x, z) < \delta$. Then $f(x) \leq f(z) + \varepsilon$ for all z in G_x , while for z in $F \sim G_x$, $\phi(d(x, z)) = 2$. Thus $f(x) \leq u(x)$ for all x in W . Likewise

$$f(x) \geq v(x) \geq f(x) - 3\varepsilon$$

for all x in W . Now since $W \in \mathfrak{U}$,

$$\int^* f d\mu_n \leq \int_W u d\mu_n + \mu_n(S \sim W),$$

$$\int_* f d\mu_n \geq \int_W v d\mu_n - \mu_n(S \sim W),$$

$$\limsup \int^* f d\mu_n \leq \limsup \int_W u d\mu_n + \varepsilon,$$

$$\liminf \int_* f d\mu_n \geq \liminf \int_W v d\mu_n - \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\limsup \int_W (u - v) d\mu_n \leq 6\varepsilon,$$

so

$$\limsup \int^* f d\mu_n - \liminf \int_* f d\mu_n \leq 8\varepsilon.$$

Since an upper integral is greater than a lower integral of the same function, the limits of $\int^* f d\mu_n$ and $\int_* f d\mu_n$ exist and are equal. These limits are also approached by $\int_W f d\mu$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (of course, W depends on ε), thus they equal $\int f d\mu$, q.e.d.

REFERENCES

1. R. M. DUDLEY, *Weak convergence of probabilities on nonseparable metric spaces and empirical measures on Euclidean spaces*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 10 (1966), pp. 109-126.

2. E. MARCZEWSKI AND P. SIKORSKI, *Measures in nonseparable metric spaces*, Colloq. Math., vol. 1 (1948), pp. 133-139.
3. A. H. STONE, *Cardinals of closed sets*, Mathematika, vol. 6 (1959), pp. 99-107.
4. S. ULAM AND J. C. OXTOBY, *On the existence of a measure invariant under a transformation*, Ann. of Math., vol. 40 (1939), pp. 560-566.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS