

# LOCALIZATION OF MAPPING SPACES

BY  
MARTIN BENDERSKY

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of spaces with the homotopy type of a c.w. complex, and continuous maps. In Anderson [1] a functor  $R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is introduced which has the effect of localizing the homotopy groups of a 1-connected space. In this paper we study  $R$  for more general classes of spaces in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In §2 we define  $R$  and prove the Universality Property (2.7). While much of this is in [1], a careful proof of (2.7) is necessary for §3.

§3 is devoted to generalizing the localizing properties of  $R$  to nilpotent spaces. Here we find that  $R$  localizes the homotopy groups above dimension 2, and kills the  $M$ -torsion of  $\pi_1(X)$ . While the effect on  $\pi_1$  is not as pleasant as Hilton, Mislin and Roitberg's localizing functor  $(\ )_{(P)}$  [6],  $R$  does have the advantages of being functorial on  $\mathcal{C}$  (as opposed to the associated homotopy category), and being applicable to any space in  $\mathcal{C}$  ( $X_{(P)}$  is not defined if  $X$  is not nilpotent). It is also more conceptual than Bousfield-Kan's functor [2].

In §4 we show that mapping spaces  $X^Y$  are completely localized by  $R(X)^Y$  if  $X$  is nilpotent, and  $Y$  is finite path connected. In §5 we modify  $R$  slightly to obtain a functor  $R_0$ . We show that  $X^Y$  is localized by the mapping space  $R_0(X)^Y$ , where now,  $Y$  is simply connected and finite, but  $X$  has no conditions on its fundamental group (5.7). It should be remarked that  $(X^Y)_{(P)}$  localizes, but  $(X_{(P)})^Y$  is not even defined.

Unless otherwise indicated all spaces belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ , have finitely generated homotopy groups, and are path connected.

## 2. The functor $R$

Let  $P$  be a set of primes. Let  $Z_{(P)}$  be the integers localized at  $P$ .  $M \subset Z$  shall denote the set of integers which are invertible in  $Z_{(P)}$ . A group is  $M$ -torsion or  $P$ -torsion if its elements are all torsion of order belonging to  $M$ , or  $P$  respectively.

For  $a \in Z$ , the Moore space,  $M(a)$ , is defined to be the cofibre of a map of degree  $a$  from  $S^1$  to  $S^1$ .

DEFINITION 2.1.  $\pi_n(X; Z/a) = [S^{n-1}M(a), X]$  where  $[ \ , \ ]$  is homotopy classes of base point preserving maps. For  $n \geq 2$  this is a group.

From the Puppe sequence

$$S^1 \xrightarrow{a} S^1 \rightarrow M(a) \rightarrow S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \rightarrow SM(a) \rightarrow \dots$$

---

Received July 23, 1973.

we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_1(X) \xleftarrow{a} \pi_1(X) \leftarrow \pi_1(X; Z/a) \leftarrow \pi_2(X) \xleftarrow{a} \dots$$

DEFINITION 2.3.  $X$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space if  $\pi_i(X; Z/m) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1, m \in M$ . For  $i = 1$  this means  $\pi_1(X; Z/m)$  has only one element.

A group  $G$  is local if the map  $G \rightarrow G$  defined by  $g \rightarrow g^m$  is a bijection for  $m \in M$ . A space is local if all its homotopy groups are local.

From 2.2 it follows that a local space is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space. The converse is almost true:

(2.4) If  $X$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space  $\pi_i(X)$  is a local group for  $i \geq 2$ , and  $\pi_1(X)$  has no  $M$ -torsion.

Let  $R^1(X)$  be obtained from  $X$  by attaching a cone on each map of any  $S^k M(a)$ , for  $a \in M$ . Inductively define  $R^{i+1}(X) = R^1(R^i(X))$ .

DEFINITION 2.5.  $R(X) = \bigcup_i R^i(X)$ . Clearly  $R$  is a functor.

PROPOSITION 2.6 (Anderson [1]).  $R(X)$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space. The inclusion  $e: X \rightarrow R(X)$  induces a  $P$ -bijection in reduced, integral homology, and a surjection in  $\pi_1$ .

(A homomorphism  $g: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ , between arbitrary groups,  $G_1$ , and  $G_2$  is a  $P$ -bijection if  $\ker g$  is  $M$ -torsion, and for  $x \in G_2$ , there is an  $m \in M$  such that  $x^m$  is in the image of  $g$ .)

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of  $X$  to a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space  $Y$ . Then there is up to homotopy a unique map,  $f: R(X) \rightarrow Y$ , such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{e} & R(X) \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

homotopy commutes.

Proof. Existence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X = R^0(X) & \longrightarrow & R^1(X) & \longrightarrow & R^2(X) & \longrightarrow & \dots \subset R(X) \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f_1 & \swarrow f_2 & & \searrow f & \\ & & Y & & & & \end{array}$$

To construct  $f_i$ , we must extend  $f_{i-1}$  to a cone on any map

$$S: S^k M(a) \rightarrow R^{i-1}(X), \quad a \in M$$

Since  $Y$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space

$$S^k M(a) \xrightarrow{S} R^{i-1}(X) \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} Y$$

is null homotopic. The null homotopy gives us the required extension.

Uniqueness. Suppose we have

$$R(X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_1} \\ \xrightarrow{f_2} \end{array} Y,$$

$f_1$  and  $f_2$  extensions of  $g$ . We denote by  $f_i^\varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon = 1, 2$ ), the restrictions to  $R^i(X)$ . Suppose we have found a homotopy

$$H_i : R^i(X) \times I \rightarrow Y$$

from  $f_i^1$  to  $f_i^2$ . We wish to find an  $H_{i+1} : R^{i+1}(X) \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^i(X) \times I & \longrightarrow & R^{i+1}(X) \times I \\ & \searrow H_i & \swarrow H_{i+1} \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

We will then be able to pass to the limit to obtain a homotopy  $H$  from  $f^1$  to  $f^2$ .

For a map  $s : S^k M(a) \rightarrow R^i(X)$ ,  $a \in M$ ,  $D$  is defined to be the space

$$(S^k M(a) \times I) \cup Cone(S^k M(a) \times \{0\}) \cup Cone(S^k M(a) \times \{1\}).$$

We have a map  $T : D \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$(H_i \circ (s \times \text{identity})) \cup (f_{i+1}^1 \circ s) \cup (f_{i+1}^2 \circ s).$$

But  $D$  is the same homotopy type as  $S(S^k M(a))$ . Hence, since  $Y$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space,  $T$  is null homotopic, and we obtain a map

$$T^1 : (D \times I)/(D \times \{1\}) \rightarrow Y.$$

$(D \times I)/(D \times \{1\})$  is homeomorphic to  $Cone(S^k M(a)) \times I$ , and we thus obtain a map

$$h : (R^i(X) \cup Cone(S^k M(a))) \times I \rightarrow Y.$$

Using the above procedure for each map,  $s$ , gives us the desired homotopy  $H_{i+1}$ .

(2.8) *Remark.* (i) From the proof, it is clear that the Universality Property is true for any space obtained from  $X$  by attaching cones on mappings of Moore spaces. Furthermore, if  $Y$  is path connected the mappings of Moore spaces need not be base point preserving.

(ii) In Anderson [1] the uniqueness is shown for maps which restrict to null homotopic maps on each  $R^i(X)$ .

### 3. Properties of $R$

A space,  $X$ , is said to be nilpotent if  $\pi_1(X)$  is a nilpotent group, and acts nilpotently on the higher homotopy groups of  $X$ . See Bousfield-Kan [2] for details.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *If  $X$  and  $R(X)$  are nilpotent spaces, then*

$$e_i: \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(R(X))$$

*localizes for  $i \geq 2$ , and  $e_1$  is the quotient map*

$$\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)/(M\text{-Torsion}) = \pi_1(R(X)).$$

*Proof.* The first part of 3.1 follows from 2.6 and 3.2 below. The last part is Proposition 3.4.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping between nilpotent spaces. Then  $H_*(f)$  is a  $P$ -bijection if and only if  $\pi_*(f)$  is a  $P$ -bijection.*

*Proof.* One may use the argument in Dror [3], modified for  $P$ -bijection or refer to Hilton, Mislin, and Roitberg [6].

3.1 becomes interesting in light of

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $X$  is nilpotent, then  $R(X)$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let

$$R(X)^\sim \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} R(X)$$

be the Universal covering of  $R(X)$ . Define  $R^i(X)^- = \mathcal{O}^{-1}(R^i(X))$ . In particular

$$\mathcal{O}^{-1}(X) = \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} X$$

is a fibration with discrete fibre. Hence  $\tilde{X}$  is nilpotent. Let  $\eta$  be the cone on a map of a suspension of a Moore space into  $R^i(X)$ ;  $\eta$  denotes a lift of  $\eta$  to  $R(X)^\sim$ .  $R^{i+1}(X)^-$  is obtained from  $R^i(X)^-$  by attaching the cones  $\omega \cdot \eta$ , for all  $\omega \in \pi_1(R(X))$ ,  $\eta$  as above. Note the attaching maps do not preserve base points. It follows from 2.8 (i) that  $\tilde{e}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow R(X)^\sim$  satisfies the Universal Property. Since the 1-skeleton of  $R(X)$  is contained in  $X$ , and  $X$  is path connected,  $\pi_1(R(X), X)$  is the 1-point set. By the homotopy extension property,  $\pi_1(R(X)^\sim, \tilde{X})$  is the 1-point set, and  $\tilde{X}$  is path connected. Since  $R(X)$  is a  $Z_{(p)}$ -space  $R(X)^\sim = R(\tilde{X})$ . It follows from 3.2 that  $\tilde{e}$  localizes homotopy. Hence  $e$  localizes homotopy in dimension  $\geq 2$ .

Suppose  $\alpha \in \pi_1(R(X))$ . Since  $\pi_1(e)$  is onto, we may suppose  $\alpha = \pi(e)(\alpha^1)$  for some  $\alpha^1 \in \pi_1(X)$ . Since  $\pi_i(e)$ ,  $i > 1$ , is the localization map, it follows

by a simple induction that  $\Gamma_j(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_j(R(X))$  is  $P$ -surjective, where

$$\Gamma_1(Y) = \pi_*(Y) \supset \Gamma_2(Y) \supset \dots$$

is the filtration of  $\pi_*(Y)$  determined by the action of  $\pi_1(Y)$ .

Since  $X$  is a nilpotent space there is a  $k$ , such that  $\Gamma_k(X) = 0$ . Therefore every element in  $\Gamma_k(R(X))$  is  $M$ -torsion. But  $\pi_*(R(X))$  is local for  $* \geq 2$ , so  $\Gamma_k(R(X))$  must be 1, and  $R(X)$  is a nilpotent space.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Suppose  $\pi_1(X)$  is a nilpotent group. Then*

$$\pi_1(R(X)) = \pi_1(X)/(M\text{-torsion})$$

and  $\pi_1(e)$  is the canonical quotient map.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is path connected, and  $X$  contains the 1-skeleton of  $R(X)$ ,  $\pi_1(R(X), X) = 0$ . We wish to show that  $\pi_2(R(X), X)$  is  $M$ -torsion. 3.4 will then follow, since  $\pi_1(R(X))$  has no  $M$ -torsion. To this end we state

**LEMMA 3.5** (Hilton [4]). *There is a localization functor which assigns to each nilpotent group  $G$ , a nilpotent group  $G_{(P)}$ , and a map  $e : G \rightarrow G_{(P)}$  such that*

- (i)  $G_{(P)}$  is a local group and  $e$  is a  $P$ -bijection,
- (ii) localization is an exact functor.

We now consider the homotopy sequence of the pair  $(R(X)^\sim, \bar{X})$  (the notation is that of 3.3). By the homotopy extension property we may identify  $\pi_2(R(X), X)$  with  $\pi_2(R(X)^\sim, \bar{X})$ . We therefore obtain the sequence

$$\pi_2(R(X)) \xrightarrow{j} \pi_2(R(X), X) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bar{X}) \rightarrow 0.$$

For this situation there are two relevant observations:

- (1) The image of  $j$  lies in the center of  $\pi_2(R(X), X)$
- (2) The subgroup,  $\Gamma$ , of  $\pi_2(R(X), X)$  generated by elements of the form  $(\omega \circ \alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $\omega \in \pi_1(\bar{X})$ ,  $\alpha \in \pi_2(R(X), X)$  coincides with the commutator subgroup (Spanier [7, pg. 385]).

It follows from (1) that  $\pi_2(R(X), X) = \pi$  is nilpotent. Suppose  $\pi$  contains an element,  $\alpha$ , which is not  $M$ -torsion. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \pi/[\pi, \pi] \rightarrow 0$$

induces, by 3.5 (ii), an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [\pi, \pi]_{(P)} \rightarrow \pi_{(P)} \rightarrow (\pi/[\pi, \pi])_{(P)} \rightarrow 0.$$

But the relative Hurewicz theorem implies  $(\pi/[\pi, \pi])_{(P)} = 0$ . Furthermore  $[\pi_{(P)}, \pi_{(P)}] = [\pi, \pi]_{(P)}$  (Hilton [5]). Since  $\pi_{(P)}$  is nilpotent, we must have  $\pi_{(P)} = 1$ . However by 3.5 (i),  $e(\alpha) \neq 1$ . We conclude that  $\pi$  is  $M$ -torsion, proving 3.4.

In order to obtain information about  $H_*(R(X); Z)$  we proceed as follows.

Let  $Y$  be a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space. Then if  $Y$  is 1-connected,  $H_*(Y; Z/m) = 0$ ,

$\ast \geq 1$  (Sullivan [8]). For  $Y$  arbitrary, we have the fibration

$$\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow K(\pi_1(Y), 1).$$

From the above remark, this fibration is orientable with  $Z/m$  coefficients, and we obtain the following from the Serre spectral sequence.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let  $\pi_i(Y; Z/m) = 0$   $i \geq 1$ ,  $\pi = \pi_1(Y)$ . Then*

$$H_\ast(Y; Z/m) = H_\ast(\pi; Z/m),$$

*i.e., the obstructions to  $H_\ast(Y; Z)$  being local, are the groups  $H_\ast(\pi; Z/m)$ .*

COROLLARY 3.7. *If  $\pi$  is nilpotent and local then  $H_\ast(Y; Z)$  is local.*

For, by Hilton [4],  $\tilde{H}_\ast(\pi)$  is local,  $\ast \geq 0$ . Note that no assumption on the action of  $\pi$  on the higher homotopy groups is necessary.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Suppose  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian with  $k$  free summands. Then*

$$H_\ast(X) \xrightarrow{e} H_\ast(R(X))$$

*is the localization map for  $\ast > k$ .*

### 4. Applications to mapping spaces

We now consider the problem of the localizing the space  $X^Y$ . The base point shall be the constant map.

LEMMA 4.1.  *$R(X)^Y$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space for  $X, Y$  arbitrary c.w. complexes.*

*Proof.*  $\pi_\ast(R(X)^{S^k M(m)}) = 0$  for  $\ast = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \in M$ . Therefore  $R(X)^{S^k M(m)}$  has the homotopy type of a point. It follows that  $[Y, R(X)^{S^k M(m)}] = 0$ . By adjunction  $[S^k M(m), R(X)^Y] = 0, k \geq 0, m \in M$ . Hence  $R(X)^Y$  is a  $Z_{(P)}$ -space.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $Y$  be a finite c.w. complex,  $X$  a nilpotent path connected space, and  $F$  the fibre of the map  $e : X \rightarrow R(X)$ . Then  $\pi_n(F^Y)$  is an  $M$ -torsion group,  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* From the long exact sequence of the fibration  $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow R(X)$  it follows that  $\pi_\ast(F)$  is  $M$ -torsion,  $\ast \geq 1$ , and  $F$  is connected. Assume the result is true for a complex  $Y$  with  $k$  cells. There is the Puppe sequence

$$Y \rightarrow Y \cup D^r \rightarrow S^r,$$

and the induced exact sequence

$$[S^{k+r}, F] \rightarrow [S^k(Y \cup D^r), F] \rightarrow [S^k Y, F],$$

from which it follows that  $\pi_\ast(F^{Y \cup D^r})$  is  $M$ -torsion,  $\ast \geq 1$  proving 4.2.

Let  $X^Y \rightarrow R(X)^Y$  be composition with  $e : X \rightarrow R(X)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.3. *With  $X, Y$  as in 4.2,*

- (i)  $\pi_*(e) : \pi_*(X^Y) \rightarrow \pi_*(R(X)^Y)$  localizes for  $* \geq 2$ ,
- (ii)  $\ker \pi_1(e)$  is the  $M$ -torsion of  $\pi_1(X^Y)$ .

*Proof.* Both parts follow from the exact sequence

$$[S^n Y, F] \rightarrow [S^n Y, X] \rightarrow [S^n Y, R(X)] \rightarrow [S^{n-1} Y, F]$$

and the lemmas above.

We now come to the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a nilpotent space,  $Y$  finite and path connected. Then  $\pi_*(e)$  localizes the homotopy groups of  $X^Y$  for  $* \geq 1$ .*

It remains to show that  $\pi_1(e)$  is the localization map. We first prove a special case.

**LEMMA 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be simply connected,  $Y$  finite. Then  $\pi_*(e)$  localizes the homotopy groups of  $X^Y$  for  $* \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \pi_1(R(X)^Y)$ . There is the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^1 Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & R(X) \\
 m \wedge \text{id} \downarrow & & \nearrow \beta \\
 S^1(Y) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $m : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is a map of degree  $m$ , and  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the adjoint of  $\alpha$ . If we can show that there is a  $\beta : SY \rightarrow R(X)$ , unique up to homotopy, making (4.5) commute, for  $m \in M$ , then  $\alpha$  will have a unique  $m$ -th root. The mapping cone of  $m \wedge \text{id}$  is  $M(m) \wedge Y$ . The obstructions to unique extension lie in

$$\tilde{H}^*(M(m) \wedge Y; \pi_*(R(X)))$$

Since  $\pi_*(R(X))$  is a local group, and  $M(m)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  Moore space, it follows that all obstructions are 0, and  $\pi_1(R(X)^Y)$  is local.

To show  $\pi_1(e)$  is a  $P$ -bijection we consider the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & X \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \mathcal{O} \\
 S^1 Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & R(X).
 \end{array}$$

The obstructions  $\mathcal{O}_*$  to lifting  $\bar{\alpha}$  lie in  $H^{*+1}(S^1 \wedge Y; \pi_* F)$  where  $F$  is the fibre of  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\pi_*(F)$  is  $M$ -torsion. Hence for each  $*$ , there is a  $d_* \in M$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_*$  is of order  $d_*$ . Since  $Y$  is finite there is a  $k$ , such that a lift to the  $k$ -th stage of the Moore-Postnikov resolution of  $\mathcal{O}$  implies a lift to  $X$ . Using the Co- $H$  space structure of  $S^1$ , we replace  $\bar{\alpha}$ , by  $(d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k) \bar{\alpha}$  which we denote  $d\bar{\alpha}$ . Since the group structures of  $[SY, K(G, n)]$  given by the Co- $H$  space structure of  $S^1$  and by the  $H$ -space structure of  $K(G, n)$  coincide, we see that

the obstructions to lifting  $d\alpha$  are zero, and  $\pi_1(e)$  is  $P$ -surjective. The  $P$ -injectivity of  $\pi_1(e)$  follows from 4.3 (ii). This proves 4.5.

To prove 4.4 in general we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi & \longrightarrow & F \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & R(\tilde{X}) \\
 \mathcal{O} \downarrow & & \downarrow R(\mathcal{O}) \\
 X & \xrightarrow{e} & R(X)
 \end{array}$$

where

$$\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} X$$

is the universal cover of  $X$ ,  $R(\mathcal{O})$  is given by universality, and  $F$  is the fibre of  $R(\mathcal{O})$ .  $e$  localizes higher homotopy groups, and  $\pi_1(R(\tilde{X})) = 0$ . It follows that  $\pi_i(F) = 0$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . The Whitehead theorem implies the path component of the base point in  $F$  has the homotopy type of a point. Since  $Y$  is path connected,  $F^Y$  has the homotopy type of a point. It follows that  $\pi_i(R(\tilde{X})^Y) \rightarrow \pi_i(R(X)^Y)$  is an isomorphism for  $i \geq 1$ . Similarly  $\pi_i(\tilde{X}^Y) \rightarrow \pi_i(X^Y)$  is an isomorphism for  $i \geq 1$ . 4.4 now follows from 4.5.

### 5. Localizing $X^Y$ , $Y$ simply connected

Let  $X$  be a space.  $R_0^1(X)$  is defined to be the space obtained from  $X$  by attaching a cone on all maps  $S^k M(m) \rightarrow X$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Inductively define  $R_0^{i+1}(X) = R_0^1(R_0^i(X))$ .

DEFINITION 5.1.  $R_0(X) = \bigcup_i R_0^i(X)$ .

Clearly  $R_0$  is a functor. The proof of the following proposition is similar to the proof of the corresponding statements for  $R$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. (i)  $\pi_i(R_0(X); Z/m) = 0$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $i \geq 2$ .

(ii) The natural map  $e_0 : X \rightarrow R_0(X)$  is universal with respect to maps  $X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $Y$  is a space such that  $\pi_i(Y; Z/m) = 0$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , all  $m \in M$ .

In the following lemma  $X(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -th connected cover of  $X$ .

LEMMA 5.3. If  $Y$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected the natural homomorphism

$$\pi_i(X(n)^Y) \rightarrow \pi_i(X^Y)$$

is an isomorphism for  $i \geq 1$ .

Proof. In the proof of 4.4 it is shown that  $X(1)^Y \rightarrow X^Y$  induces an isomorphism for  $\pi_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , if  $Y$  is path connected. There is the fibration

$$(5.4) \quad F = K(\pi_k(X), k - 1) \rightarrow X(k) \rightarrow X(k - 1).$$

Furthermore  $\pi_i(F^Y) = [S^i Y, F] = H^{k-i-1}(Y; \pi_k(X))$ .



Since  $Y$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected and  $\pi_k(X)$  is finitely generated, the Universal coefficient theorem implies

$$H^{k-i-1}(Y; \pi_k(X)) = 0, \quad i \geq 0, k \leq n.$$

5.3 now follows from the long exact homotopy sequence associated to (5.4).

**PROPOSITION 5.5.** *If  $X$  is path connected then  $R_0(X(n)) = R_0(X)(n)$ .*

*Proof.* There is the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_0} & R_0(X)^\sim \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X & \xrightarrow{e_0} & R_0(X) \end{array}$$

where  $R_0(X)^\sim$  is the universal cover of  $R_0(X)$ , and  $\tilde{X} = \varphi^{-1}(X)$ . As in the proof of 3.3,  $R_0(X)^\sim = R_0(\tilde{X})$ . Since  $R_0$  is obtained from  $X$  by attaching cones on suspensions of Moore spaces,  $\pi_1(R_0(\tilde{X}), \tilde{X}) = \pi_2(R_0(\tilde{X}), \tilde{X}) = 0$ . It follows that  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}$ . 5.5 is therefore true for  $n = 1$ . Since  $H_*(e_0)$  is a  $P$ -bijection,  $\pi_*(\tilde{e}_0)$  is the localization map for  $* > 2$ , and  $\pi_2(e_0)$  is the canonical map

$$\pi_2(X) \rightarrow \pi_2(X)/(M\text{-torsion}).$$

Since  $\pi_*(e_0)$  is the localization homomorphism for  $* \geq 3$ , 5.5 follows for  $n \geq 2$ .

**COROLLARY 5.6.** *Suppose  $Y$  is a 1-connected, finite c.w. complex. Then*

$$\lambda : X^Y \rightarrow R_0(X)^Y$$

*localizes all homotopy groups.*

*Proof.* 5.6 follows from the diagram below with “=” meaning “induces an isomorphism for  $\pi_*$ ,  $* \geq 1$ ”.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(2)^Y & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & X^Y \\ \lambda_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ R(\tilde{X}(2))^Y & = & R_0(X(2))^Y = R_0(X)(2)^Y = R_0(X)^Y \\ & f_1 \quad & f_2 \quad & f_3 \end{array}$$

$f$  and  $f_3$  are equivalences by 5.3.  $f_2$  is an equivalence by 5.5.  $f_1$  is an equivalence since  $R = R_0$  for 2-connected spaces.  $\lambda_1$  induces the localization homomorphism in  $\pi_*$ ,  $* \geq 1$  by 4.5.

**COROLLARY 5.7.**  *$R_0$  localizes  $\pi_*$ ,  $* \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $Y = S^2$  in 5.6.

## REFERENCES

1. D. W. ANDERSON, *Localizing CW complexes*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 16 (1972), pp. 519-525
2. A. K. BOUSFIELD AND D. M. KAN, *Homotopy Limits, completions, and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 304, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
3. E. DROR, *A Generalization of the Whitehead Theorem*, Symposium on Algebraic Topology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 244, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
4. P. HILTON, *Localization and cohomology of nilpotent groups*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 132 (1973), pp. 263-286.
5. ———, *Remarks on the localization of nilpotent groups*, to appear.
6. P. HILTON, G. MISLIN, AND J. ROITBERG, *Localization of Nilpotent groups and spaces*,
7. E. SPANIER, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
8. D. SULLIVAN, *Geometric topology, Part I., Localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*, MIT, 1970 (mimeographed notes).

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON