

MANIFOLDS WITH INFINITELY MANY ACTIONS OF AN ARITHMETIC GROUP¹

BY

RICHARD K. LASHOF AND ROBERT J. ZIMMER

It is well known that if Γ is a lattice in a simple Lie group of higher split rank then in any finite dimension Γ has only finitely many inequivalent linear representations. This is one manifestation of the strong linear rigidity properties that such groups satisfy. When one considers non-linear representations, say smooth actions of Γ on compact manifolds, one still sees a large number of rigidity phenomena [7]. This is particularly true for actions preserving a connection. On the other hand, the point of this note is to establish the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be the Lie group $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$, $n \geq 3$, or $SU(p, q)$, $p, q \geq 2$. Then there is a cocompact discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ and a smooth compact manifold M such that there are infinitely many actions of Γ on M with the following properties:*

- i) *The actions are mutually non-conjugate in $\text{Diff}(M)$, $\text{Homeo}(M)$, and $\text{Meas}(M)$, where the latter is the group of measure class preserving automorphisms of M as a measure space;*
- ii) *Each action leaves a smooth metric on M invariant, is minimal (i.e., every orbit is dense), and ergodic (with respect to the smooth measure class.)*

Theorem 1 is easily deduced from a certain non-rigidity phenomenon for tori in compact semisimple groups. Namely, fix a compact semisimple Lie group C and call closed subgroups H_1 and H_2 equivalent if there is an automorphism α of C such that $\alpha(H_1) = H_2$. We can then ask to what extent the diffeomorphism class of C/H determines the equivalence class of H . (The natural question is under what circumstance the map from equivalence classes of (a class of) closed subgroups to diffeomorphism classes of manifolds is finite-to-one.) Here we show:

THEOREM 2. *Let $C = SU(n) \times SU(n)$, $n \geq 2$. Then there is a family of mutually non-equivalent tori T_k , $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, such that C/T_k are all diffeomorphic.*

Received July 7, 1988.

¹Research partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

We have not determined whether or not a similar phenomenon can occur if C is a simple Lie group of higher rank. It has been shown by A. Borel (private communication) that this cannot happen for one dimensional tori in $SU(4)$.

To prove Theorem 1 from Theorem 2, we first claim that if G is $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ or $SU(p, q)$ where $p + q = n$, there is a cocompact lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ and a dense embedding of Γ into $SU(n) \times SU(n)$. This follows via the standard arithmetic construction of cocompact lattices. (See, e.g., [2], [3], [5], [6].) For completeness, we indicate the construction for $SU(p, q)$. The case of $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is similar, but a bit more complicated. Let $p \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ be a cubic with 3 real irrational roots a, b, c with $a < 0 < b, c$. Let k be the splitting field. We assume $[k : \mathbf{Q}] = 3$, and σ, τ the non-trivial elements of $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbf{Q})$. Let B be the Hermitian form on \mathbf{C}^n given by

$$B(z, w) = a(\sum_1^p z_i \bar{w}_i) + \sum_{p+1}^{p+q} z_i \bar{w}_i$$

Then $SU(B)$ can be identified with the set of real points of an algebraic group \mathcal{S} defined over k , and as a Lie group it is isomorphic to $SU(p, q)$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset k$ be the algebraic integers in k , and $\Gamma = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \subset SU(B)$. The real points of the twisted groups $\mathcal{S}^{\sigma}, \mathcal{S}^{\tau}$ will be identified with $SU(B^{\sigma}), SU(B^{\tau})$ respectively, which are both isomorphic as Lie groups to $SU(n)$ since these forms are now positive definite, due to the fact $b, c > 0$. It follows from [3] (see also [6] for a discussion) that Γ is a lattice in $SU(B)$ and that $(\sigma, \tau): \Gamma \rightarrow SU(B^{\sigma}) \times SU(B^{\tau})$ is a dense embedding.

Now choose T_k as in Theorem 2, and let M be the manifold C/T_k (which is independent of k .) For each k , let Γ act on M via the embedding in C , and the action of C on C/T_k . Since Γ is dense in C , if two of these Γ actions are conjugate in $\text{Homeo}(M)$, then the C actions are conjugate in $\text{Homeo}(M)$, which implies conjugacy of the corresponding tori. In fact, the same is true for a measurable conjugacy. Namely, the set of measurable maps (mod null sets) $C/T_1 \rightarrow C/T_2$ is a standard Borel space with the topology of convergence in measure. There is a natural Borel C action on this space (namely $(gf)(x) = gf(g^{-1}x)$), and the stabilizers of points in such actions are closed subgroups [6]. It follows that any Γ -map (which is a fixed point in this function space) is also a C -map. This map must then be a.e. equal to a continuous C -map. This establishes (i) of Theorem 1 and (ii) is obvious from the construction.

We now prove Theorem 2. Suppose more generally that $C = K \times L$ where K and L are simple. Let T be a torus in K and $\rho: T \rightarrow L$ a homomorphism. Then

$$T_{\rho} = \{(t, \rho(t)) \in K \times L | t \in T\}$$

is a subgroup of C . Since T is abelian $\rho^*(t) = \rho(t)^{-1}$ is also a homomorphism and $E_{\rho} = G/T_{\rho} = K \times_T L, T$ acting on L via ρ^* , is an associated

principal bundle to $q:K \rightarrow K/T$. The idea of the proof is to choose homomorphisms such that these bundles are equivalent. Also observe that automorphisms of C are of the form $\alpha = (\beta, \delta)$, where β and δ are automorphisms of K and L respectively if $K \neq L$, and such an automorphism composed with a permutation of the factors if $K = L$. This makes it easy to tell when two such groups are not equivalent. As an illustration, consider $K = SU(2), L = SU(n), T$ a maximal torus of K . Since $K/T = S^2$ and $\pi_2(BL) = 0$, where BL is the classifying space for L -bundles, every L -bundle over K/T is trivial. But if $\rho:SU(2) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is a non-trivial representation, then T_ρ is not equivalent to T (which corresponds to the trivial representation.)

We now take $K = L = SU(n)$ as in the statement of the theorem. Let T be a maximal torus in K , e.g., the set of diagonal matrices with entries $d_j = \exp(i\theta_j)$ satisfying $\sum \theta_j = 0$. The Weyl group W of $SU(n)$ is the group of permutations of the factors d_j . Let $\rho_k:T \rightarrow L$ be the homomorphism of T onto the maximal torus $T' = T$ of $L, \rho_k(t) = t^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that if $w \in W$ and $\rho = \rho_k$ for some k , then $\rho w = w\rho$. Let $\lambda:K/T \rightarrow BT$ be the classifying map for $q:K \rightarrow K/T$, let $i:BT' \rightarrow BL$ be the map induced by the inclusion of T' in L , and let $B_\rho:BT \rightarrow BT'$ be the map induced by ρ . Then the classifying map for E_ρ is $f_\rho = iB_\rho\lambda$.

Now in [1] it is shown that $H^*(SU(n))$ and $H^*(SU(n)/T)$ have no torsion and that this implies that $i^*:H^*(BSU(n)) \rightarrow H^*(BT)$ is an isomorphism onto $H^*(BT)^W$, the fixed set under the action of the Weyl group. In particular, this implies $\lambda^*:H^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(K/T)$ is trivial. But $\rho w = w\rho$ implies $(B\rho)^*:H^*(BT)^W \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$. Hence $f_\rho^* = \lambda^* \cdot (B\rho)^* \cdot i^*$ is trivial. We claim this implies there are only finitely many equivalence classes of bundles E_ρ for $\rho = \rho_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$.

First note that $SU(n)/T$ is a finite CW complex whose cohomology has no torsion. We will use the following theorem of F. Peterson [4].

THEOREM. *Let X be a CW complex of dimension $\leq 2n$ such that $H^*(X)$ has no torsion. Then a complex vector bundle over X is trivial iff all its Chern classes are trivial.*

To apply this result to $SU(n)/T$ we first note the next result.

LEMMA. *Let X be a CW complex and $X^{(n)}$ its n -skeleton. If $H^*(X)$ has no torsion, then $H^*(X^{(n)})$ has no torsion.*

Our claim is an immediate consequence of the following:

PROPOSITION. *Let X be a 1-connected finite CW complex such that $H^*(X)$ has no torsion. Then there are only a finite number of equivalence classes of $SU(n)$ bundles X with all Chern classes zero.*

Proof. By Peterson's theorem and the above lemma, if $f: X \rightarrow BU(n)$ is such that $f^*H^*(BU(n)) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is zero, then $f|X^{(2n)}$ is homotopically trivial. Let

$$d: BU(n) \rightarrow BU(1)$$

be induced by

$$\det: U(n) \rightarrow U(1),$$

so that the fibre of d is $BSU(n)$. If $f = j \cdot g$, $g: X \rightarrow BSU$ and $j: BSU(n) \rightarrow BU(n)$ induced by the inclusion, so that df is trivial, then the homotopy of $f|X^{(2n)}$ to the trivial map gives a map of $\Sigma(X^{(2n)})$ to $BU(1)$. Since $\Sigma(X^{(2n)})$ is 2-connected, this last is homotopically trivial rel endpoints. Hence $g|X^{(2n)}$ is homotopically trivial. Since the homotopy of $g|X^{(2n)}$ extends to a homotopy of g , we can assume $g|X^{(2n)}$ is trivial. Since $\pi_i(BSU(n))$ is finite for $i > 2n$, $[X/X^{(2n)}, BSU(n)]$ is finite. Thus up to equivalence there are only finitely many $SU(n)$ bundles over X with all Chern classes zero.

Thus an infinite number of the E_ρ for $\rho = \rho_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are equivalent. On the other hand, if $T_k = T_\rho$ for $\rho = \rho_k$, no automorphism of C sends T_j to T_k if $j \neq k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. A. BOREL, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibre principaux et des espaces homogenes des groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math., vol. 57 (1953), pp. 115–207.
2. A. BOREL and G. HARDER, *Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields*, J. für Math. vol. 298 (1978), pp. 53–64.
3. A. BOREL and HARISH-CHANDRA, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math., vol. 75 (1962), pp. 485–535.
4. F.P. PETERSON, *Some remarks on Chern classes*, Ann. of Math., vol. 69 (1959), pp. 414–420.
5. M. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, New York, 1972.
6. R.J. ZIMMER, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1984.
7. ———, “Lattices in semisimple groups and invariant geometric structures on compact manifolds” in *Discrete groups in geometry and analysis*, R. Howe ed., Birkhauser, Boston, 1987, pp. 152–210.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS