

THE MULTIPLIER OPERATORS ON THE PRODUCT SPACES

LUNG-KEE CHEN

Introduction

Let $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ be the Hardy space defined on the product spaces (for more details, see [1]) and let a function $a(x_1, x_2)$ denote a rectangle p atom on $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ if (i) the $a(x_1, x_2)$ is supported on a rectangle $R = I \times J$ (I and J are cubes on R^{n_1} and R^{n_2} respectively), (ii) $\|a\|_2 \leq |R|^{1/2-1/p}$ and (iii) one picks and fixes two sufficiently large positive integers k and l (depending on p) such that

$$\int_I x_1^\alpha a(x_1, x_2) dx_1 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x_2 \in J \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq k$$

$$\int_J x_2^\beta a(x_1, x_2) dx_2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x_1 \in I \text{ and } |\beta| \leq l.$$

In the paper [3], R. Fefferman gave a very powerful theorem (see Theorem 1) for studying the boundedness on the $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ spaces of a linear operator. In his theorem, it mentioned that to consider the boundedness on H^p of a linear operator one only needs to look at the boundedness of the linear operator acting on the rectangle p atoms. This is true despite the counterexample of L. Carleson which shows that the space $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ cannot be decomposed into rectangle atoms.

We will use $\widehat{}$ to denote the Fourier Transform and $\widehat{}_1$ to denote the Fourier Transform acting on the first variable. Throughout this paper, C represents a constant, although different in different places. T_m denotes the multiplier operator associated with the multiplier m , i.e.,

$$\widehat{T_m f}(\xi, \eta) = m(\xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi, \eta).$$

THEOREM 1 (R. Fefferman [3]). *Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$. Suppose further that if a is an $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$*

Received June 25, 1992

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B15; Secondary 42B30.

© 1994 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

rectangle p atom ($0 < p \leq 1$) supported on R , we have

$$\int_{\epsilon \tilde{R}_r} |T(a)|^p(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \leq Cr^{-\sigma}$$

for all $r \geq 2$ and some fixed $\sigma > 0$, where $\epsilon \tilde{R}_r$ denotes the complement of the r fold enlargement of R . Then T is a bounded operator from $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ to $L^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$.

The purpose of this paper is to study several multiplier operators on product spaces by establishing four general theorems, Theorem A, B, C, D. Suppose C_1, C_2 are the arbitrary two real positive numbers and

$$E_1 = \{(x, y) \mid |x| \geq C_1, |y| \geq C_2\}, \quad E_2 = \{(x, y) \mid |x| \geq C_1, |y| \leq C_2\},$$

$$E_3 = \{(x, y) \mid |x| \leq C_1, |y| \geq C_2\}, \quad E_4 = \{(x, y) \mid |x| \leq C_1, |y| \leq C_2\}.$$

Let $Q(a_1, a_2, m)$ denote the following statement.

Statement. Let $a_1, a_2, p, 0 < p \leq 1$ be real numbers and let

$$b_i = a_i \left(\left[n_i \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1 \right), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Suppose m is a bounded function defined on $R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2}$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad \int_{s_1 < |\xi| \leq 2s_1} \int_{s_2 < |\eta| \leq 2s_2} \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta) \right|^2 d\xi d\eta$$

$$\leq Cs_1^{-2b_1 + 2(a_1 - 1)|\alpha| + n_1} s_2^{-2b_2 + 2(a_2 - 1)|\beta| + n_2}$$

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\eta \in R^{n_2}} \int_{s_1 < |\xi| \leq 2s_1} \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha m(\xi, \eta) \right|^2 d\xi \leq Cs_1^{-2b_1 + 2(a_1 - 1)|\alpha| + n_1}$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\xi \in R^{n_1}} \int_{s_2 < |\eta| \leq 2s_2} \left| \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta) \right|^2 d\eta \leq Cs_2^{-2b_2 + 2(a_2 - 1)|\beta| + n_2}$$

where

$$|\alpha| \leq \left[n_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta| \leq \left[n_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1.$$

THEOREM A. *Let $a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \geq 0$. Suppose m is supported on E_1 and the statement $Q(a_1, a_2, m)$. Then T_m maps $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ boundedly to $L^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$, i.e.,*

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

THEOREM B. *Let $a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \leq 0$. Suppose m is supported on E_2 and the statement $Q(a_1, a_2, m)$. Then T_m maps $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ boundedly to $L^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$.*

THEOREM C. *Let $a_1 \leq 0, a_2 \geq 0$. Suppose m is supported on E_3 and the statement $Q(a_1, a_2, m)$. Then T_m maps $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ boundedly to $L^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$.*

THEOREM D. *Let $a_1 \leq 0, a_2 \leq 0$. Suppose m is supported on E_4 and the statement $Q(a_1, a_2, m)$. Then T_m maps $H^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ boundedly to $L^p(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$.*

Now we use those theorems to get the following theorems.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$. Let*

$$k = \left[n_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1, \quad l = \left[n_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1.$$

Suppose $m \in C^k(R^{n_1}) \times C^l(R^{n_2})$ and

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta) \right| \leq C |\xi|^{-|\alpha|} |\eta|^{-|\beta|}$$

where $|\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq l$. Then T_m maps $H^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ boundedly to $L^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})$ for $p \leq q \leq 2$.

Remark. R. Fefferman and K.C. Lin [2] have obtained the result for $p = 1$ in Theorem 2 under a weaker hypothesis,

$$\int_{s_1 < |\xi| \leq 2s_1} \int_{s_2 < |\eta| \leq 2s_2} \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta) \right|^2 d\xi d\eta \leq C s_1^{-2|\alpha| + n_1} s_2^{-2|\beta| + n_2}.$$

THEOREM 3. Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$ and m is defined on $R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2}$ satisfying

$$(4) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta m(\xi, \eta) \right| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-([n_1(1/p-1/2)]+1)}(1 + |\eta|)^{-([n_2(1/p-1/2)]+1)}$$

for

$$|\alpha| \leq \left[n_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1, \quad |\beta| \leq \left[n_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + 1.$$

Then

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})} \leq C \|f\|_{H^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})}$$

for $p \leq q \leq 2$.

THEOREM 4. Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$ and m is defined on $R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2}$ satisfying

$$|m(\xi, \eta)| \leq (1 + |\xi|)^{-([n_1(1/p-1/2)]+1)}(1 + |\eta|)^{-([n_2(1/p-1/2)]+1)}$$

and the inverse Fourier transform of m has compact support. Then

$$\|T_m f\|_{L^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})} \leq C \|f\|_{H^q(R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2})}$$

for $p \leq q \leq 2$.

Proofs of the theorems. Without loss of generality, one assumes $C_1 = C_2 = 1$ in the definitions of E_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The idea of the proof of Theorem A is basically from [4]. Let a be a smooth rectangle atom with vanishing moments and $\text{supp } a \subset I \times J \equiv R$, $\|a\|_2 \leq |I|^{1/2-1/p}|J|^{1/2-1/p}$ where I and J are cubes on R^{n_1} and R^{n_2} , respectively. Let us take a smooth function on R^1 and its Fourier transform $\hat{\phi}(t)$ has compact support $\{1/2 < |t| < 2\}$ such that $\sum_{j \in Z} \hat{\phi}(2^{-j}|t|) = 1$ for all $t \neq 0$. Let

$$m_{i,j}(\xi, \eta) = m(\xi, \eta) \hat{\phi}(2^{-i}|\xi|) \hat{\phi}(2^{-j}|\eta|)$$

and

$$\widehat{T_{ij}f}(\xi, \eta) = m_{i,j}(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}(\xi, \eta) \equiv (K_{ij} * f)^\wedge(\xi, \eta)$$

It is clear $Tf = \sum_{ij} T_{ij}f$.

Let us decompose ${}^c\tilde{R}_r$, the complement of \tilde{R}_r , into three pieces

$${}^c\tilde{R}_r^1 = \{(\xi, \eta) \mid \xi \in {}^c\tilde{I}_r, \eta \in \tilde{J}_2\}$$

$${}^c\tilde{R}_r^2 = \{(\xi, \eta) \mid \xi \in \tilde{I}_2, \eta \in {}^c\tilde{J}_r\}$$

and

$${}^c\tilde{R}_r^3 = {}^c\tilde{R}_r \setminus ({}^c\tilde{R}_r^1 \cup {}^c\tilde{R}_r^2).$$

LEMMA A. Let $a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \geq 0$. Suppose $m(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies (1), (2), (3) in Theorem A and $m(\xi, \eta)$ is supported on E_1 . Then

$$(5) \quad \int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} |T_{ij}a|^p dx dy$$

$$\leq C \left(r^{-k(\frac{2p}{2-p} + n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{-l(\frac{2p}{2-p} + n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right)$$

$$\cdot |I|^{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{n_1}\right)p + \left(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1} + 1\right)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}}$$

$$\cdot |J|^{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}\right)p + \left(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2} + 1\right)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}}$$

$$\cdot 2^{i((a_1-1)k + \lambda_1 - b_1 + \frac{n_1}{2})p} 2^{j((a_2-1)l + \lambda_2 - b_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})p},$$

(6)

$$\int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^2} \left| \sum_i T_{ij}a \right|^p dx dy \leq C r^{-lp + n_2(\frac{2-p}{2})} 2^{j((a_2-1)l + \lambda_2 - b_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})p} |J|^{-\frac{l}{n_2}p + \frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}p + \frac{p}{2}}$$

and

(7)

$$\int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^1} \left| \sum_j T_{ij}a \right|^p dx dy \leq C r^{-kp + n_1(\frac{2-p}{2})} 2^{i((a_1-1)k + \lambda_1 - b_1 + \frac{n_1}{2})p} |I|^{-\frac{k}{n_1}p + \frac{\lambda_1}{n_1}p + \frac{p}{2}}$$

where

$$k = \left\lceil n_1 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\rceil + 1, \quad l = \left\lceil n_2 \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\rceil + 1,$$

$0 < p \leq 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are arbitrarily nonnegative integers and $\lambda_1 \leq k, \lambda_2 \leq l$.

Proof. Since m is supported on E_1 , without loss of generality, we assume $m_{i,j}(\xi, \eta) = 0$ if $i < 0$ or $j < 0$. After a translation, it suffices to assume the

origin $(0, 0)$ is the center of the rectangle $I \times J$. Write

$$T_{ij}a(x, y)$$

$$= \int K_{ij}(x - x', y - y')a(x', y') dx' dy'$$

(8)

$$= \int \left(K_{ij}(x - x', y - y') - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \lambda_1 - 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha K_{ij}(x, y - y')(-x')^\alpha \right) \times a(x', y') dx' dy'$$

$$= \lambda_1 \sum_{|\tilde{\alpha}| = \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}!} \int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\lambda_1 - 1} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - y')(-x')^{\tilde{\alpha}} a(x', y') dt dx' dy'$$

(9)

$$= \lambda_1 \sum_{|\tilde{\alpha}| = \lambda_1} \int_0^1 \int_{I \times J} (1 - t)^{\lambda_1 - 1} \left(\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - y') - \sum_{|\beta| \leq \lambda_2 - 1} \frac{1}{\beta!} \partial_y^\beta \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y)(-y')^\beta \right) (-x')^{\tilde{\alpha}} a(x', y') dy' dx' dt$$

(10)

$$= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{|\tilde{\alpha}| = \lambda_1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}!} \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}| = \lambda_2} \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}!} \left\{ \int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{\lambda_1 - 1} (1 - s)^{\lambda_2 - 1} \cdot (-x')^{\tilde{\alpha}} (-y')^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy') \times a(x', y') ds dt dx' dy' \right\},$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are integers and $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq k$, $0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq l$. Here we should remark that if one sets $\lambda_1 = 0$ or $\lambda_2 = 0$ then it means one does not subtract the Taylor polynomial on the equation (8) or (9). For example, if $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ then

$$T_{ij}a(x, y) = \int K_{ij}(x - x', y - y')a(x', y') dx' dy'$$

$$= \lambda_2 \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}| = \lambda_2} \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}!} \int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\lambda_2 - 1} (-y')^{\tilde{\beta}} \times \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} K_{ij}(x - x', y - sy') ds dx' dy'.$$

Let us look at the integral in the parentheses of (10). It is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |(-x')^{\tilde{\alpha}} (-y')^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy') a(x', y')| ds dt dx' dy' \\ & \leq \left(\int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |(-x')^{\tilde{\alpha}} (-y')^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy')|^2 ds dt dx' dy' \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{I \times J} |a|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq |I|^{1/2-1/p+\lambda_1/n_1} |J|^{1/2-1/p+\lambda_2/n_2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy')|^2 ds dt dx' dy' \right)^{1/2} \\ & \equiv |I|^{1/2-1/p+\lambda_1/n_1} |J|^{1/2-1/p+\lambda_2/n_2} L_{ij}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(11) \quad \int_D |T_{ij} a|^p \leq C |I|^{(1/2-1/p+\lambda_1/n_1)p} |J|^{(1/2-1/p+\lambda_2/n_2)p} \int_D |L_{ij}(x, y)|^p dx dy$$

for any measurable set D . Next, one will compute the integral $\int_D |L_{i,j}(x, y)|^p dx dy$ with respect to $D = {}^c\tilde{R}_r^3, {}^c\tilde{R}_r^1$ and ${}^c\tilde{R}_r^2$, respectively.

First let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} |L_{ij}(x, y)|^p dx dy \\ & = \int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} (A|x|)^{-kp} (B|y|)^{-lp} \left((A|x|)^k (B|y|)^l L_{ij}(x, y) \right)^p dx dy \end{aligned}$$

where A and B will be given later. By Hölder’s inequality, it is not bigger than

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} (A|x|)^{-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})} (B|y|)^{-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})} dx dy \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} |(A|x|)^k (B|y|)^l L_{ij}(x, y)|^2 dx dy \right)^{p/2} \\ & \leq CA^{-kp} B^{-lp} \left(r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot |I|^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} |J|^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \left(\int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} (A|x|)^{2k} (B|y|)^{2l} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \int_{I \times J} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy')|^2 ds dt dx' dy' dx dy \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(x, y) \in {}^c\tilde{R}_r^3$ and $(x', y') \in I \times J, 0 \leq s, t \leq 1$, one has $|x| \approx |x - tx'|, |y| \approx |y - sy'|$. Therefore, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & CA^{-kp}B^{-lp} \left(r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right) \\
 & \cdot |I|^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} |J|^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \\
 & \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{I \times J} \int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} |(A|x - tx'|)^k (B|y - sy'|)^l \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x - tx', y - sy') \right|^2 dx dy dx' dy' ds dt \Big)^{p/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

After a change of variables, the last inequality is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A^{-kp}B^{-lp} \left(r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right) \\
 (12) \quad & \cdot |I|^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})+\frac{p}{2}} |J|^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})+\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \cdot \left(\int_{R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2}} |Ax|^k |By|^l \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} K_{ij}(x, y) \right|^2 dx dy \Big)^{p/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here one lets

$$A = 2^{-i(a_1-1)}, \quad B = 2^{-j(a_2-1)}.$$

From the hypothesis (1), one concludes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \left(\int_{|\xi| \approx 2^i} \int_{|\eta| \approx 2^j} |(A\partial_\xi)^\sigma (B\partial_\eta)^\delta (\xi^{\tilde{\alpha}} \eta^{\tilde{\beta}} m_{i,j}(\xi, \eta))|^2 d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq C 2^{i(\lambda_1-b_1+n_1/2)} 2^{j(\lambda_2-b_2+n_2/2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for every multi-indexes σ, δ . (Recall $|\tilde{\alpha}| = \lambda_1$ and $|\tilde{\beta}| = \lambda_2$.) Then, applying Plancherel's Theorem on the integral (12) and using formula (13), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{{}^c\tilde{R}_r^3} |L_{ij}(x, y)|^p dx dy \\
 & \leq C \left(r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right) \\
 & \cdot |I|^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})+\frac{p}{2}} |J|^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2}+1)(\frac{2-p}{2})+\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \cdot 2^{i((a_1-1)k+\lambda_1-b_1+\frac{n_1}{2})p} 2^{j((a_2-1)l+\lambda_2-b_2+\frac{n_2}{2})p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (11) and the above inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^3} |T_{ij}a|^p \, dx \, dy \\ & \leq C \left(r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot |I|^{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{n_1}\right)p + (-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad \times |J|^{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}\right)p + (-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad \cdot 2^{i((a_1-1)k + \lambda_1 - b_1 + \frac{n_1}{2})p} 2^{j((a_2-1)l + \lambda_2 - b_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})p}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) is proved.

Since the proofs of (6) and (7) are similar, we show (6). Let $T_j a \equiv \sum_i T_{ij} a$. Hence, it is clear that

$$\widehat{T_j a}(\xi, \eta) = m(\xi, \eta) \hat{\phi}(2^{-j}|\eta|) \hat{f}(\xi, \eta) \equiv \hat{K}_j(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}(\xi, \eta).$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} \left| \sum_i T_{ij} a \right|^p \, dx \, dy &= \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |T_j a|^p \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} (B|y|)^{-lp} \left((B|y|)^l |T_j a| \right)^p \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} (B|y|)^{-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})} \, dx \, dy \right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |(B|y|)^l T_j a|^2 \, dx \, dy \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq C B^{-lp} |I|^{\frac{2-p}{2}} r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \\ &\quad \times |J|^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |(B|y|)^l T_j a|^2 \, dx \, dy \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last second inequality is obtained by applying Hölder inequality.

Following the same procedure as in the proof of (5), one has

$$\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |(B|y|)' T_j a|^2 dx dy \leq C \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=\lambda_2} \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} (B|y|)^{2l} \left| \int_0^1 \int_{I \times J} (-y')^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} K_j(x - x', y - sy') \times a(x', y') dx' dy' ds \right|^2 dx dy.$$

Since

$$c\tilde{R}_r^2 = \{|x| < 2\sqrt{n_1}|I|^{1/n_1}\} \cap \{|y| > r|J|^{1/n_2}\},$$

by Minkowski's inequality, the last inequality is less than

$$C \sum_{|\tilde{\beta}|=\lambda_2} \left\{ \int_{|y|>r|J|^{1/n_2}} (B|y|)^{2l} \left(\int_0^1 \int_J |y'|^{\lambda_2} \left(\int_{|x|<2\sqrt{n_1}|I|^{1/n_1}} \left| \int_I \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} K_j(x - x', y - sy') a(x', y') dx' \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} dy' ds \right)^2 dy \right\}.$$

By Plancherel's Theorem, the above integral on the parentheses is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|y|>r|J|^{1/n_2}} \left(\int_0^1 \int_J |y'|^{\lambda_2} (B|y|)^l \times \left(\int_{R^{n_1}} \left| \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j^1(\xi, y - sy') \hat{a}^1(\xi, y') \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} dy' ds \right)^2 dy \\ & \leq |J|^{2\frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}} \int_{|y|>r|J|^{1/n_2}} \left(\int_0^1 \int_J (B|y|)^l \times \left(\int_{R^{n_1}} \left| \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j^1(\xi, y - sy') \hat{a}^1(\xi, y') \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} dy' ds \right)^2 dy \\ & \leq |J|^{2\frac{\lambda_2}{n_2} + 1} \int_{|y|>r|J|^{1/n_2}} \int_0^1 \int_{R^{n_1}} \left| (B|y|)^l \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j^1(\xi, y - sy') \times \hat{a}^1(\xi, y') \right|^2 d\xi dy' ds dy \\ & \leq C |J|^{2\frac{\lambda_2}{n_2} + 1} \int_0^1 \int_J \int_{R^{n_1}} \int_{R^{n_2}} \left| (B|y - sy'|)^l \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j^1(\xi, y - sy') \right|^2 dy \\ & \quad \times \left| \hat{a}^1(\xi, y') \right|^2 d\xi dy' ds. \end{aligned}$$

By a change of variables on the last inequality, one has

(14)

$$\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |(B|y|)^l T_j a|^2 dx dy \leq C |J|^{2\frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}+1} \int_J \int_{R^{n_1}} \int_{R^{n_2}} |(B|y|)^l \partial_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j^1(\xi, y)|^2 dy |\hat{a}^1(\xi, y')|^2 d\xi dy'.$$

Let $B = 2^{-j(a_2-1)}$. As in the inequality (13), one constructs a similar inequality

$$(15) \quad \sup_{\xi \in R^{n_1}} \left(\int_{|\eta| \approx 2^j} |(B\partial_\eta)^\delta (\eta^{\tilde{\beta}} \widehat{K}_j(\xi, \eta))|^2 d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/2} \leq C 2^{j(\lambda_2-b_2+n_2/2)}$$

by using the hypothesis (3).

Hence, applying Plancherel's Theorem on the integral $\int_{R^{n_2}} |\cdots|^2 dy$ on (14) and applying (15), the inequality (14) is not bigger than

$$\begin{aligned} & |J|^{2\lambda_2/n_2+1} \int_{R^{n_2}} \int_{R^{n_1}} 2^{2j(\lambda_2-b_2)+jn_2} |\hat{a}^1(\xi, y')|^2 d\xi dy' \\ & \leq C 2^{j(2(\lambda_2-b_2)+n_2)} |I|^{2(1/2-1/p)} |J|^{2(1/2-1/p)+2\lambda_2/n_2+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |T_j a|^p dx dy \leq C 2^{j((a_2-1)l+\lambda_2-b_2+n_2/2)p} r^{-lp+n_2(\frac{2-p}{2})} |J|^{-\frac{l}{n_2}p+\frac{\lambda_2}{n_2}p+\frac{p}{2}}.$$

This is (6). Lemma A is proved.

Proof of Theorem A. As in Lemma A, since m is supported on E_1 , without loss of generality, we assume $m_{ij}(\xi) = 0$ if $i < 0$ or $j < 0$. Let us write

$$\int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} |Ta|^p = \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^1} \cdots + \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^2} \cdots + \int_{c\tilde{R}_r^3} \cdots$$

and recall $k = [n_1(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$, $l = [n_2(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$. Then there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\max \left\{ \left(-k \left(\frac{2p}{2-p} \right) + n_1 \right), \left(-l \left(\frac{2p}{2-p} \right) + n_2 \right) \right\} \leq - \left(\frac{2}{2-p} \right) \sigma.$$

Hence

$$r^{(-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_1)(\frac{2-p}{2})} + r^{(-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})+n_2)(\frac{2-p}{2})} \leq 2r^{-\sigma},$$

if $r \geq 2$. For each rectangle $I \times J$, there exist $i_0, j_0 \in Z$ such that $|I|^{1/n_1} \approx 2^{-i_0}$, $|J|^{1/n_2} \approx 2^{-j_0}$. Therefore, if $0 < p \leq 1$,

(16)

$$\int_{\epsilon \tilde{R}_r^3} |Ta|^p \leq \sum_{ij} \int_{\epsilon \tilde{R}_r^3} |T_{ij}a|^p = \sum_{i \geq i_0} \sum_{j \geq j_0} + \sum_{i \geq i_0} \sum_{j < j_0} + \sum_{i < i_0} \sum_{j \geq j_0} + \sum_{i < i_0} \sum_{j < j_0}.$$

We are going to apply (5) in Lemma A by choosing the distinct λ_1 and λ_2 on the distinct terms of sums on (16). That is to say, (i) in the sums $\sum_{i \geq i_0} \sum_{j \geq j_0}$ one picks $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, (ii) in the sums $\sum_{i \geq i_0} \sum_{j < j_0}$ on takes $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = l = [n_2(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$, (iii) in the sums $\sum_{i < i_0} \sum_{j \geq j_0}$ one lets $\lambda_1 = k = [n_1(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$, $\lambda_2 = 0$ and (iv) in the sums $\sum_{i < i_0} \sum_{j < j_0}$ on sets $\lambda_1 = k = [n_1(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$, $\lambda_2 = l = [n_2(1/p - 1/2)] + 1$. Hence, from (16),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon \tilde{R}_r^3} |Ta|^p &\leq \sum_{i \geq i_0} \left(\sum_{j \geq j_0} + \sum_{j < j_0} \right) + \sum_{i < i_0} \left(\sum_{j \geq j_0} + \sum_{j < j_0} \right) \\ &\leq Cr^{-\sigma} \sum_{i \geq i_0} |I|^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})p + (-k(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_1} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}i((a_1-1)k - b_1 + \frac{n_1}{2})p} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j < j_0} |J|^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda_2}{n_2})p + (-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}j((a_2-1)l + \lambda_2 - b_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \geq j_0} |J|^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})p + (-l(\frac{2p}{2-p})\frac{1}{n_2} + 1)(\frac{2-p}{2}) + \frac{p}{2}j((a_2-1)l - b_2 + \frac{n_2}{2})p} \right\} \\ &\quad + Cr^{-\sigma} \sum_{i < i_0} \dots \left(\sum_{j \geq j_0} \dots + \sum_{j < j_0} \dots \right) \\ &\leq Cr^{-\sigma} \sum_{i \geq i_0} |I|^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{n_1})p} 2^{i(-k + \frac{n_1}{2})p} \left\{ \sum_{j < j_0} 2^{-j_0 n_2 p} / 2^{j n_2 p} / 2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{-j_0 n_2 (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{l}{n_2})p} 2^{j(-l + \frac{n_2}{2})p} \right\} + Cr^{-\sigma} \sum_{i < i_0} \dots \\ &\leq Cr^{-\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{i \geq i_0} 2^{-i_0 n_1 (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{n_1})p} 2^{i(-k + \frac{n_1}{2})p} + \sum_{i < i_0} \dots \right\} \\ &\leq Cr^{-\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities are obtained by the fact, $n_2/2 < l$ and $n_1/2 < k$.

On the other hand, for the boundedness of the integrals

$$\int_{c\bar{R}_r^1} |Ta|^p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{c\bar{R}_r^2} |Ta|^p,$$

these can be proved by following the same ideas as the proof in the above case, applying (6), (7) in Lemma A and the next two inequalities, respectively,

$$\int_{c\bar{R}_r^2} |Ta|^p \leq \sum_j \int_{c\bar{R}_r^2} \left| \sum_i T_{ij} a \right|^p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{c\bar{R}_r^1} |Ta|^p \leq \sum_i \int_{c\bar{R}_r^1} \left| \sum_j T_{ij} a \right|^p.$$

Theorem A is proved.

Proof of Theorems B, C, D. As in the proof of Theorem A, one can prove Theorems B, C, D by establishing the corresponding lemmas. In the proof of Lemma A, the equations have nothing to do with the “signs” of a_1, a_2 except (13) and (15). The existences of (13) and (15) depend on the signs of i and a_1 (j and a_2), in particular, on $ia_1 > 0$ ($ja_2 > 0$). Therefore, we omit those proofs.

Proof of Theorem 2. Taking a smooth function ψ on R^1 with compact support $\{t \mid |t| < 2\}$ and $\psi(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad m(\xi, \eta) &= (1 - \psi(\xi))(1 - \psi(\eta))m(\xi, \eta) + (1 - \psi(\xi))\psi(\eta)m(\xi, \eta) \\ &\quad + \psi(\xi)(1 - \psi(\eta))m(\xi, \eta) + \psi(\xi)\psi(\eta)m(\xi, \eta) \\ &\equiv m_1(\xi, \eta) + m_2 + m_3 + m_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then one applies $m_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, to Theorems A, B, C, D, respectively. Theorem 2 is followed by setting $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ in Theorems A, B, C, D.

Proof of Theorem 3. Again, we borrow the decomposition (17) of m on the proof of Theorem 2. Then the boundedness of T is obtained by setting $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ on Theorem A, $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 0$ on Theorem B, $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1$ on Theorem C and $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ on Theorem D.

Proof of Theorem 4. Since the inverse Fourier transform of m has compact support, there exists a smooth function ϕ such that

$$m(\xi, \eta) = \int_{R^{n_1} \times R^{n_2}} \phi(\xi - \xi', \eta - \eta') m(\xi', \eta') d\xi' d\eta'.$$

Theorem 4 is proved by applying Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Y.A. CHANG and R. FEFFERMAN, *Some recent developments in Fourier analysis and H^p theorem on product domains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **12**(1985), 1–43.
- [2] R. FEFFERMAN and K.C. LIN, *A sharp result on multiplier operators*, preprint.
- [3] R. FEFFERMAN, *Harmonic analysis on product spaces*, Ann. of Math. **126**(1987), 109–130.
- [4] A. MIYACHI, *On some Fourier multipliers for $H^p(R^n)$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A Math. **27**(1980), 157–179.

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
CORVALLIS, OREGON