

## GROWTH OF THE BERGMAN KERNEL ON PLANAR REGIONS

JOHN E. M<sup>c</sup>CARTHY AND LIMING YANG

### Statement of results

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in the complex plane. The Bergman space  $L_a^2(\Omega)$  is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  that are square-integrable with respect to area measure  $A$ . Evaluation at each point  $\lambda$  of  $\Omega$  is continuous, so there is a corresponding kernel function  $k_\lambda$  in  $L_a^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{k_\lambda(z)} dA(z) = f(\lambda)$$

for every function  $f$  in  $L_a^2(\Omega)$ . In this paper, we are interested in estimating the growth of  $\|k_\lambda\|$  as  $\lambda$  tends to the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

If  $\Omega$  is smoothly bounded,  $\|k_\lambda\|$  will grow like the reciprocal of the distance to the boundary; but if the boundary point is somehow “buried” deep inside  $\Omega$ , the growth of  $\|k_\lambda\|$  can be slower (to aid the reader’s intuition: the phenomenon is not caused by cusps, which cause the complement to be too thick, but by little holes accumulating at some point). In [M<sup>c</sup>CY] the authors found geometric conditions for  $\|k_\lambda\|$  to remain bounded as a boundary point is approached (so that evaluation at this boundary point is a bounded point evaluation) for certain special domains ( $L$ -regions). Also, using results of Fernstrom and Polking [FP], necessary and sufficient conditions were found, in terms of Bessel capacity, for a boundary point of an arbitrary domain to be a bounded point evaluation. We extend this last result to estimating the growth of  $\|k_\lambda\|$  when it does not remain bounded.

Let  $\Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma}$  be the sector in the left half-plane bounded by  $y = x \tan \Theta$ ,  $y = -x \tan \Theta$ , and  $x^2 + y^2 = \Gamma^2$ . We shall always assume that 0 is in the boundary of  $\Omega$  and is the point of interest, and that for some  $\Theta$  in  $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and some  $\Gamma > 0$ ,

$$\Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma} \subset \Omega.$$

We shall look at the growth rate of  $\|k_\lambda\|$  as  $\lambda$  tends to 0 along the negative real axis.

Let  $G(x, y)$  be the Bessel kernel, which is most easily defined as the inverse Fourier transform of  $(1 + x^2 + y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . For each set  $E$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the Bessel capacity is defined as

$$C(E) = \inf_f \int |f(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

---

Received March 18, 1994.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E20, 31A20.

© 1996 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

where  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ , and  $\int G(t-x, s-y)f(t, s) dt ds \geq 1$  for  $(x, y) \in E$ . Let  $A_k = \{z = x + iy : 2^{-k-1} \leq |z| < 2^{-k}\}$  and  $A'_k = \{z : 2^{-k-2} \leq |z| \leq 2^{-k+1}\}$ .

Our results are the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kC(A_k \setminus \Omega) < \infty.$$

*Then there are constants  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  so that, as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^-$ ,  $k_\lambda$  satisfies the growth condition*

$$\|k_\lambda\|^2 \leq F_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega);$$

$$\|k_\lambda\|^2 \geq F_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega).$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\|k_\lambda\|$  to be  $O(|\lambda|^{-\alpha})$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^-$  is that*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2k(1-\alpha)} C(A_k \setminus \Omega) < \infty.$$

Our techniques also work for the Bergman space  $L_a^p(\Omega)$  for  $2 < p < \infty$ . Letting  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$  be the conjugate index of  $p$ , define the  $q$ -capacity by

$$C_q(E) = \inf_f \int |f(x, y)|^q dx dy$$

where  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ , and  $\int G(t-x, s-y)f(t, s) dt ds \geq 1$  for  $(x, y) \in E$  (so our previous definition of capacity is  $C_2$ , though we shall continue to write it as  $C$  with no subscript).

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $2 < p < \infty$ , and*

$$\sum_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{k(2-q)} C_q(A_k \setminus \Omega) < \infty.$$

*Then as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^-$ , the norm of the functional of evaluation at  $\lambda$  in the Bergman space  $L_a^p(\Omega)$  is comparable to*

$$\left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{q \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C_q(A_k \setminus \Omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We note that a disk  $\Delta$  of radius  $\delta$  has

$$C(\Delta) \sim \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

and, for  $q < 2$ ,

$$C_q(\Delta) \sim \delta^{2-q},$$

so it is easy to construct examples of regions of the form  $\mathbb{D}(0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{D}(2^{-n}, r_n)$  that have kernels growing at a desired rate.

**Proofs**

We shall let  $F$  denote a generic constant, that may change from one line to the next. We shall let  $K_\varepsilon = \{z : \text{dist}(z, K) < \varepsilon\}$ .

LEMMA 4. (a) For each Borel set  $E$ ,

$$C(E) = \inf_{E \subset U} C(U)$$

where  $U$  ranges over the open sets.

(b) For any Borel sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ ,

$$C(E_1 \cup E_2) \leq C(E_1) + C(E_2).$$

*Proof.* See [Me].  $\square$

LEMMA 5. Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that

$$\limsup kC(A_k \setminus K) = 0.$$

Then there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $k \geq 0$ , there is a function  $\psi_k \in C^\infty$  satisfying

- (i)  $\psi_k(z) = 1$  for  $z$  in a neighborhood of  $A'_k \setminus K_\varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_{|z| \leq 2^{-k+1}} |\bar{\partial} \psi_k|^2 dA \leq M \cdot C(A'_k \setminus K)$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_{|z| \leq 2^{-k+1}} |\psi_k|^2 dA \leq M \cdot 2^{-2k} C(A'_k \setminus K)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the proof of Lemma 10 in [FP]. Their hypotheses are much stronger—namely that  $\sum 2^{2k} C(A_k \setminus K) < \infty$ —but their proof works in our special case provided just  $kC(A_k \setminus K)$  tends to zero. To use their proof, we only have to show that there exists  $k_0$  such that if  $k \geq k_0$  then

$$\int_{\sqrt{t^2+s^2} > 2^{-k+2}} G(x-t, y-s) g_k(t, s) dt ds < \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}$$

for  $x^2 + y^2 < 2^{-2k+3}$ , where  $g_k$  is defined as in their proof. We have

$$\int_{\sqrt{t^2+s^2} > 2^{-k+2}} G(x-t, y-s)g_k(t, s) dt ds \leq \left\{ \int_{\sqrt{t^2+s^2} \geq 2^{-k}} G(t, s)^2 dt ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|g_k\|$$

$$\leq F \left( \log \frac{1}{2^{-k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot [C(A'_k \setminus K)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 4 in [FP] and the fact that  $\|g_k\| \leq 2[C(A'_k \setminus K)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . As the expression in (2) tends to 0, we get (1) as desired.  $\square$

For a positive Borel measure  $\mu$ , let

$$U^\mu(z) = \int |z-w|^{-1} d\mu(w)$$

and

$$c(E, V) = \sup_{\mu} \mu(E)$$

where the supremum is taken over all positive Borel measures with  $\text{spt}\mu \subseteq E$  such that

$$\int_V |U^\mu(z)|^2 dA \leq 1.$$

(Throughout the paper,  $V$  will be a fixed bounded open set containing  $\Omega$  or  $K$ . It is only necessary to introduce it as  $|U^\mu|^2$  is not integrable in a neighborhood of infinity.)

LEMMA 6. *Let  $E$  be a Borel set. Then*

$$C(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c(E, V) \leq FC(E)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(For a discussion of why this is true, and for other equivalent notions of analytic 2-capacities, see [He].)

The next lemma is the key to proving the upper bound estimate.

LEMMA 7. *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 \in \partial K$ , and  $\Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma} \subseteq K$  for some  $0 < \Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\Gamma > 0$ . Suppose that*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} kC(A_k \setminus K) = 0.$$

*Then there is a constant  $M$  such that for each rational function  $r$  with poles off  $K$ , and each  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{R} \cap \Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma}$ ,*

$$|r(\lambda)| \leq M \cdot \left( \int_K |r|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus K) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume  $K$  is contained in the disk  $\mathbb{D}(0, \frac{1}{4})$ , and so  $\Gamma < \frac{1}{4}$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} kC(A'_k \setminus K) < \infty.$$

Construct a smooth function  $\varphi \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in \{z : |z| \geq 2\} \text{ or } |z| \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in \{z : \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 1\} \cap (\Lambda_{\Theta, 1})^c \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \Lambda_{\frac{\Theta}{2}, 1} \end{cases}$$

For each integer  $k$  set

$$\varphi_k(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi(2^k z) / \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \varphi(2^j z) & \text{if } \varphi(2^j z) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Fix a rational function  $r$  with poles off  $K$ . Let  $g$  be a smooth function which is 1 in a neighborhood of  $K$ , zero in a neighborhood of the poles of  $r$  and zero off  $\mathbb{D}(0, \frac{1}{4})$ . Let  $\lambda$  be between  $-\Gamma$  and 0. From Green's theorem,

$$r(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}(0, \frac{1}{4})} \frac{1}{\lambda - z} \bar{\partial}(gr) \, dA(z).$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be small enough for  $K_\varepsilon$  to be contained in the set where  $g$  is 1. Then  $\bar{\partial}(gr) = 0$  on  $K_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\psi_k$  be as in Lemma 5; then  $\sum \psi_k \varphi_k = 1$  near  $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_\varepsilon$ , because if  $z$  is in  $A_k$ ,  $\varphi_j(z)$  is non-zero only for  $j = k - 1, k, k + 1$ , and  $\psi_j(z) = 1$  for these values of  $j$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{1}{\lambda - z} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \psi_k \varphi_k \bar{\partial}(gr) \, dA \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_k \int_{A_k \setminus \Lambda_{\frac{\Theta}{2}, \gamma}} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k) \cdot gr \, dA. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|r(\lambda)| \leq F \sum_k \int_{A_k \setminus \Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma}} \frac{1}{|z - \lambda|} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)| |gr| \, dA. \tag{3}$$

Let  $-2^{-N} \leq \lambda < -2^{-N-1}$  and  $z \in A_k \setminus \Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma}$ . If  $k \geq N$ , then

$$\frac{1}{|z - \lambda|} \leq F \cdot \frac{1}{2^{-N}};$$

if  $k < N$ , then

$$\frac{1}{|z - \lambda|} \leq F \cdot \frac{1}{2^{-k}}.$$

Therefore (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |r(\lambda)| &\leq F \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 2^k \left( \int_{A_k} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{A_k} |gr|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + F \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 2^N \left( \int_{A_k} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{A_k} |gr|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq F \|gr\|_{L^2_a(\mathbb{D})} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 2^{2k} \int_{A_k} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)|^2 dA + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 2^{2N} \int_{A_k} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5 and the fact that  $|\bar{\partial}\varphi_k| \leq F \cdot 2^k$ , we have

$$\int_{A_k} |\bar{\partial}(\psi_k \varphi_k)|^2 dA \leq F \cdot C(A'_k \setminus K).$$

Hence,

$$|r(\lambda)| \leq F \|gr\|_{L^2_a(\mathbb{D})} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} 2^{2k} C(A'_k \setminus K) + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 2^{2N} C(A'_k \setminus K) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $g$  is arbitrary, subject to being 1 on  $K$ , we conclude that

$$|r(\lambda)| \leq M \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus K) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|r\|_{L^2(K, A)}. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (Upper Bound) Suppose that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} kC(A_k \setminus \Omega) = 0,$$

so by Lemma 4 (b),

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} kC(\bar{A}_k \setminus \Omega) = 0.$$

Using Lemma 4 (a), we can find open subsets  $U_k$  such that

$$\bar{A}_k \setminus \Omega \subset U_k$$

and

$$C(U_k) < 2C(A_k \setminus \Omega).$$

Let  $K_k = \bar{A}_k \setminus U_k$ , and  $K = \cup_{k=1}^\infty K_k \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $K$  is a compact subset,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} kC(A_k \setminus K) = 0,$$

and

$$K \cap A_k \subseteq \Omega \cap A_k,$$

so

$$K \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \Omega.$$

Let  $f \in L_a^2(\Omega)$  be analytic in a neighborhood of zero. By Runge's theorem, there exists a sequence of rational functions  $r_n$  converging to  $f$  uniformly on  $K$ ; so by Lemma 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\lambda)| &\leq M \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus K) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_K |f|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq M \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega |f|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since such functions  $f$  are dense in  $L_a^2(\Omega)$  (see [AI]), (4) holds for all  $f$  in  $L_a^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Lambda_{\Theta, \Gamma}$ ,

$$\|k_\lambda\| = \sup_{\|f\|_{L_a^2(\Omega)}=1} |f(\lambda)| \leq M \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{2 \min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(Lower Bound) By Lemma 6, we can choose positive measures  $\mu_k$  carried by  $A_k \setminus \Omega$  with

$$\int_\Omega |U_k^\mu(z)|^2 dA \leq 1,$$

and  $\|\mu_k\|$  comparable to  $C(A_k \setminus \Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Moreover, we can assume that each of the measures  $\mu_k$  has support entirely within one of the three sectors  $\{z : -\pi + \frac{\Theta}{2} \leq \arg(z) \leq -\frac{\Theta}{2}\}$ ,  $\{z : -\frac{\Theta}{2} \leq \arg(z) \leq \frac{\Theta}{2}\}$ ,  $\{z : \frac{\Theta}{2} \leq \arg(z) \leq \pi - \frac{\Theta}{2}\}$ .

Let

$$\gamma_k = 2^{\min(k, \log \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For some conjugacy class modulo 3, the sum of  $\gamma_k^2$  for  $k$  in this conjugacy class is comparable to  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k^2$ . We shall choose only those  $\mu_k$  for  $k$  in this conjugacy class, and set the other  $\mu_k$ 's to zero (the point of this is to ensure the supports are well separated).

Let

$$f_k(z) = \int \frac{d\mu_k(w)}{z - w}$$

be the Cauchy transform of  $\mu_k$ . Let us fix some  $\lambda$  between  $-\Gamma$  and 0, and let  $N$  be the closest integer to  $\log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$ . By the way we have chosen the measures,  $|f_k(\lambda)|$  is comparable to  $2^k \|\mu_k\|$  for  $k < N$  and to  $2^N \|\mu_k\|$  for  $k > N$ ; For  $k = N$ , we can only say that it dominates  $2^N \|\mu_k\|$ . Thus, for all  $k$ , we have

$$|f_k(\lambda)| \geq F \gamma_k. \tag{5}$$

We also have  $\int_{\Omega} |f_k|^2 dA \leq 1$ , and the estimate

$$|f_n(z)| \leq \|\mu_n\| [\text{dist}(z, A_n)]^{-1}$$

gives, for  $|n - k| \geq 2$ ,

$$\int_{A_k} |f_n|^2 dA \leq FC(A_n \setminus \Omega) 2^{2\min(n,k)-2k}. \tag{6}$$

Let  $f = \sum \alpha_n f_n$ , where we shall choose the  $\alpha$ 's later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} \left| \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \alpha_n f_n + \alpha_k f_k \right|^2 dA \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} |\alpha_k f_k|^2 dA + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} \left| \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \alpha_n f_n \right|^2 dA \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the inequalities of Minkowski and Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} \left| \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \alpha_n f_n \right|^2 dA &\leq \left[ \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} |\alpha_n| \left( \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} |f_n|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} |\alpha_n|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} |f_n|^2 dA \right). \tag{7} \end{aligned}$$

Using this and inequality (6), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA \leq F \left( \sum |\alpha_n|^2 \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{n,k: |n-k| \geq 2} C(A_n \setminus \Omega) \right) 2^{2\min(n,k)-2k}.$$

But this last factor is dominated by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nC(A_n \setminus \Omega)$$

which is finite by hypothesis. So we finally get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA \leq F \left( \sum |\alpha_n|^2 \right). \tag{8}$$

Now choose  $\alpha_k$  so that  $|\alpha_k| = \gamma_k$ , and so that  $\alpha_k f_k(\lambda) \geq 0$ . By inequalities (5) and (8), we have

$$\frac{|f(\lambda)|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dA} \geq F \frac{(\sum |\alpha_k| \gamma_k)^2}{\sum |\alpha_k|^2} = F \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^2.$$

So  $(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a lower bound for  $k_{\lambda}$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.* (Sufficiency) If

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2k(1-\alpha)} C(A_k \setminus \Omega) < \infty$$

then the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Using the estimate on  $\|k_{\lambda}\|$  from Theorem 1, together with the hypothesis that  $C(A_k \setminus \Omega) \leq F 2^{-2k(1-\alpha)}$ , one gets that  $|\lambda|^{\alpha} \|k_{\lambda}\|$  stays bounded.

(Necessity) Let  $f_k$  be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then

$$\frac{|f_k(\lambda)|}{\|f_k\|} \geq F 2^{\min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|\lambda|})} C(A_k \setminus \Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Letting  $\lambda = -2^{-k}$ , we get

$$|\lambda|^{\alpha} \|k_{\lambda}\| \geq F 2^{k(1-\alpha)} C(A_k \setminus \Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the right-hand side must remain bounded, as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* This proceeds like the proof of Theorem 1, with appropriate modifications to Lemmata 5, 6 and 7 (in the proof of Lemma 5 the hypothesis that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{k(2-q)} C_q(A_k \setminus \Omega) = 0$$

is used, and this is a sufficient condition for the upper bound to hold).

For the lower bound, there is one extra difficulty in getting the appropriate analogue of (7)—if one follows routinely, one gets  $\sum |\alpha|^q$  instead of  $\sum |\alpha|^p$ . To get around this, note that (6) becomes

$$\int_{A_k} |f_n|^p dA \leq F C_q^{\frac{p}{q}}(A_n \setminus \Omega) 2^{p \min(n,k) - 2k}. \tag{6'}$$

Then (7) becomes

$$\int_{A_k \cap \Omega} \left| \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \alpha_n f_n \right|^p dA \leq \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} |\alpha_n|^p \right) \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \left[ \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} |f_n|^p dA \right]^{\frac{p}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Using (6'), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_k \cap \Omega} \left| \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} \alpha_n f_n \right|^p dA &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} |\alpha_n|^p \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} C_q(A_n \Omega) 2^{q \min(n,k) - \frac{2q}{p}k} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^p \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{|n-k| \geq 2} C_q(A_n \Omega) 2^{q \min(n,k) - \frac{2q}{p}k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now interchanging the order of summation and using the hypothesis of the theorem, (and the estimate  $\int_{A_n \cap \Omega} |\alpha_n f_n|^p dA \leq |\alpha_n|^p$ ) we finally get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^p dA \leq F \left( \sum |\alpha_n|^p \right).$$

Choosing  $|\alpha_k| = \gamma_k^{q-1}$ , where  $\gamma_k = 2^{\min(k, \log_2 \frac{1}{|k|})} C_q^{\frac{1}{q}}(A_k \setminus \Omega)$ , yields the desired lower bound.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Al] A. ALEMAN, *Invariant subspaces with finite codimension in Bergman spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), 531–543.
- [FP] C. FERNSTROM and J. C. POLKING, *Bounded point evaluations and approximation in  $L^p$  by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **28** (1978), 1–20.
- [He] L. HEDBERG, *Non-linear potentials and approximation in the mean by analytic functions*, Math. Zeitschr. **129** (1972), 299–319.
- [McCY] J. E. McCARTHY and L. YANG, *Bounded point evaluations on the boundaries of  $L$  regions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 857–883.
- [Me] N. MEYERS, *A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes*, Math. Scand. **26** (1970), 255–292.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
 SAINT LOUIS, MISSOURI  
 UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
 HONOLULU, HAWAII