

## ERGODIC COMPONENTS OF AN EXTENSION BY A NILMANIFOLD

A. LEIBMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that all ergodic components of an extension of an ergodic system by translations on a nilmanifold  $X$  are isomorphic to extensions of this system by translations on subnilmanifolds of  $X$ .

If  $G$  is a compact group and  $V$  a subgroup of  $G$ , then under the (left) action of  $V$ ,  $G$  splits into a disjoint union of isomorphic “orbits”: if  $H$  is the closure of  $V$  in  $G$ , then the right cosets  $Ha$ ,  $a \in G$ , are minimal closed  $V$ -invariant subsets of  $G$ , and the action of  $V$  on each of these sets is ergodic (with respect to the Haar measure). If  $X$  is a compact homogeneous space of a locally compact group  $G$  and  $V$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then the structure of orbits of the action of  $V$  on  $X$  may be much more complicated. However, if  $G$  is a nilpotent Lie group, and  $X$  is, respectively, a compact *nilmanifold*, then the orbit structure on  $X$  is almost as simple as in the case of a compact  $G$ :

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact nilmanifold and let  $V$  be a group of translations of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is a disjoint union of closed  $V$ -invariant (not necessarily isomorphic) subnilmanifolds, on each of which the action of  $V$  is minimal and ergodic with respect to the Haar measure.*

(See [Le], [L1], and [L2]; this is also a corollary of the general theory of Ratner and Shah on unipotent flows, see [Sh].)

Let us now turn to the “relative” situation. We say that a measure space  $Y$  is an *extension* of  $Y'$ , and that  $Y'$  is a *factor* of  $Y$ , if a measure preserving mapping  $p: Y \rightarrow Y'$  is fixed. If  $P$  and  $P'$  are measure preserving actions of a group  $V$  on  $Y$  and  $Y'$ , respectively, such that  $P'_v \circ p = p \circ P_v$ ,  $v \in V$ , we say that  $P$  is an *extension* of  $P'$  on  $Y$ , and that  $Y'$  is a *factor* of  $Y$  under the action  $P$ .

---

Received July 12, 2007; received in final form April 14, 2008.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-0600042.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22F10, 22D40.

Throughout the paper,  $(\Omega, \nu)$  will be a probability measure space, and  $S$  will be an ergodic measure preserving action of a group  $V$  on  $\Omega$ . We will assume that  $V$  is countable. (This assumption is not crucial for our argument, but saves us from measure theoretical troubles: under this assumption, if some statement is true a.e. for every  $v \in V$ , then it is true a.e. for all  $v \in V$  simultaneously.) Let  $G$  be a compact group; we say that an extension  $T$  of  $S$  on the space  $\Omega \times G$  is a *group extension* if  $T$  is defined by the formula  $T_v(\omega, x) = (S_v\omega, a_{v,\omega}x)$ ,  $x \in G$ , where  $a_{v,\omega} \in G$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $v \in V$ , and for every  $v \in V$ , the mapping  $\omega \mapsto a_{v,\omega}$  is assumed to be measurable. The family  $(a_{v,\omega})_{v \in V, \omega \in \Omega}$  of elements of  $G$  defining  $T$  is called a *cocycle*; we will say that  $T$  is given by the cocycle  $(a_{v,\omega})$ . If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $a_{v,\omega} \in H$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we will say that  $(a_{v,\omega})_{v \in V, \omega \in \Omega}$  is an  *$H$ -cocycle*. Clearly, if  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle, the sets  $\Omega \times (Hx)$ ,  $x \in G$ , are  $T$ -invariant.

We will call a self-mapping of  $\Omega \times G$  defined by the formula  $(\omega, x) \mapsto (\omega, b_\omega x)$ ,  $x \in G$ , where  $b_\omega \in G$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and measurably depend on  $\omega$ , a *reparametrization of  $\Omega \times G$  over  $\Omega$* . When reparametrizing  $\Omega \times G$  we allow ourselves to ignore a null set of  $\Omega$ , so that the reparametrization function  $b_\omega$  can only be defined on a subset  $\Omega'$  of full measure in  $\Omega$ , and we substitute  $\Omega$  by  $\Omega'$ . After a reparametrization given by  $b_\omega$ , the cocycle  $(a_{v,\omega})$ , defining a group extension  $T$  of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times G$ , changes to the cocycle  $(b_{S_v\omega} a_{v,\omega} b_\omega^{-1})$  (which is said to be *cohomologous* to  $(a_{v,\omega})$ ).

Let  $G$  be a compact metric group and let  $T$  be a group extension of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times G$ . Then in complete analogy with the absolute case, a simple decomposition of  $\Omega \times G$  takes place.

**THEOREM 2.** (See, for example, [Z1].) *There exists a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  (called the Mackey group of  $T$ ) such that after a certain reparametrization of  $\Omega \times G$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle and  $T$  is ergodic on the right cosets  $Ha$ ,  $a \in G$ , with respect to the measures  $\nu \times (\mu_H a)$ , where  $\mu_H$  is the left Haar measure on  $H$ . Moreover, any  $T$ -ergodic measure on  $\Omega \times G$  whose projection to  $\Omega$  is  $\nu$  has the form  $\nu \times (\mu_H a)$  for some  $a \in G$ .*

Now let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $X$  be a compact homogeneous space of  $G$ . The notion of a group extension of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times X$  given by a  $G$ -cocycle is transferred without changes to this case; we will only call it a *homogeneous space extension*, not a group extension. A reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  over  $\Omega$  with the help of a function  $b_\omega \in G^\Omega$  is also defined similarly. Our goal is to show that in the framework of relative actions, compact nilmanifolds, again, behave as well as compact groups.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact nilmanifold and let  $T$  be a homogeneous space extension of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times X$ . There exists a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that after a certain reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle, and if  $\bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} X_\theta$  is the partition of  $X$  into the minimal subnilmanifolds with respect to the action of  $H$ , then the measures  $\nu \times \mu_{X_\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , where*

$\mu_{X_\theta}$  is the Haar measure on  $X_\theta$ , are  $T$ -ergodic, and are the only  $T$ -ergodic measures on  $\Omega \times X$  whose projection to  $\Omega$  is  $\nu$ .

We will use the following notation and terminology. If  $a$  is a transformation of a (measure) space  $Y$  and  $f$  is a function on  $Y$ , then  $a$  acts on  $f$  from the right by the rule  $(fa)(y) = f(ay)$ . If a space  $Y'$  is a factor of  $Y$ , then any function  $h'$  on  $Y'$  lifts to a function  $h$  on  $Y$ ; we identify  $h'$  with  $h$ , and say that  $h$  comes from  $Y'$  in this case.

If  $Y'$  is a factor of a measure space  $Y$ ,  $P'$  is an action of a group  $V$  on  $Y'$ , and  $P$  is an extension of  $P'$  on  $Y$ , we will say that a function  $f \in L^\infty(Y)$  is an eigenfunction of  $P$  over  $Y$  if  $fP_v = \alpha_v f$ , where  $\alpha_v \in L^\infty(Y')$ , for every  $v \in V$ . (Our definition of an eigenfunction over  $Y$  is more restricted than the standard definition of a generalized eigenfunction of  $P$  over  $Y$ , which assumes that the module spanned by the functions  $fT_v, v \in V$ , has finite rank over  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .)

$G$  will stand for a nilpotent Lie group of nilpotency class  $r$ ,  $\Gamma$  for a cocompact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $X$  for the compact nilmanifold  $G/\Gamma$ . By  $\mu_X$  we will denote the Haar measure on  $X$ , and will always mean this measure on  $X$  if the opposite is not stated.

$T$  will stand for a homogeneous space extension of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times X$  by a cocycle  $(a_{v,\omega})_{v \in V, \omega \in \Omega}$ .

If  $Z$  is a factor of  $X$  under the action of  $G$ , then  $T$  induces an action of  $V$  on  $\Omega \times Z$ , which is defined by the same cocycle  $(a_{v,\omega})_{v \in V, \omega \in \Omega}$ . We will identify this action with  $T$  and denote it by the same symbol.

A subnilmanifold  $X'$  of  $X$  is a closed subset of  $X$  of the form  $Kx$ , where  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $x \in X$ . (Note that the notion of a subnilmanifold depends on the group acting of  $X$ ; what is a subnilmanifold of  $X$  with respect to the action of  $G$  may not be a subnilmanifold with respect to the action of, say, the identity component of  $G$ .) For a subnilmanifold  $X' = Kx$  of  $X$ , we will denote by  $\mu_{X'}$  the Haar measure on  $X'$  with respect to the action of  $K$ , and will always mean this measure on  $X'$  if the opposite is not stated.

Let  $G^o$  be identity component of  $G$ . If  $X$  is connected, then  $X$  is a homogeneous space of  $G^o, X = G^o/(\Gamma \cap G^o)$ . If  $X$  is disconnected, then  $X$  is a finite union of connected subnilmanifolds; this subnilmanifolds are all isomorphic, are homogeneous spaces of  $G^o$ , and are permuted by elements of  $G$ .

We define  $G_{(1)} = G^o, G_{(k)} = [G_{(k-1)}, G], k = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , and  $X_{(k)} = G_{(k+1)} \backslash X, k = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ . When  $X$  is connected, we also define  $X_2 = [G^o, G^o] \backslash X$ ; then  $X_2$  is a torus, the maximal factor-torus of  $X$ . We will denote by  $p$  the canonical projection  $\Omega \times X \rightarrow \Omega$ .

A base tool in studying orbits in nilmanifolds is a lemma by W. Parry ([P1] and [P2]), that says that a shift-transformation of a compact connected nilmanifold  $X$  is ergodic iff it is ergodic on the maximal factor-torus of  $X$ . Here is a "relative" analogue of Parry's lemma; another proof of it can be found in [Z2].

PROPOSITION 4. (Cf. [Z2], Corollary 3.4.) *Assume that  $X$  is connected. If  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X_2$ , then  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X$ , and any eigenfunction  $f$  of  $T$  over  $\Omega$  comes from  $\Omega \times X_2$  and is such that  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character on  $X_2$ , times a constant, for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* We will assume by induction on  $r$  that  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$ , and that if  $g$  is an eigenfunction of  $T$  on  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$  over  $\Omega$ , then  $g$  comes from  $\Omega \times X_2$  and  $g(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X_2$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Let  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times X)$  be an eigenfunction of  $T$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $fT_v = \alpha_v(\omega)f$ ,  $\alpha_v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $v \in V$ . The action of the group  $G_{(r)}$  on  $\Omega \times X$  factors through an action of the compact commutative group (the torus)  $G_{(r)}/(G_{(r)} \cap \Gamma)$ , thus  $L^2(\Omega \times X)$  is a direct sum of eigenspaces of  $G_{(r)}$ . Let  $f'$  be a nonzero projection of  $f$  to one of these eigenspaces, then  $f'c = \lambda_c f'$ ,  $\lambda_c \in \mathbb{C}$ , for every  $c \in G_{(r)}$ . Since the eigenspaces of  $G_{(r)}$  are  $T$ -invariant and invariant under multiplication by functions from  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have  $f'T_v = \alpha_v(\omega)f'$ ,  $v \in V$ .

For every  $b \in G$  and  $c \in G_{(r)}$ ,  $(f'b)c = f'cb = \lambda_c f'b$ , so the function  $f'_b = (f'b)/f'$  is  $G_{(r)}$  invariant, and thus comes from  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$ .

Assume, by induction on decreasing  $k$ , that for some  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  we have  $f'c = \lambda_c f'$ ,  $\lambda_c \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$ , for any  $c \in G_{(k)}$ . Then  $(f'\mathbf{c})(\omega, x) = \lambda_{c(\omega)}(\omega)f'(\omega, x)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x \in X$ , for any  $\mathbf{c} = c(\omega) \in G_{(k)}^\Omega$ . Now, for any  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and  $v \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f'bT_v)(\omega, x) &= f'(S_v\omega, ba_{v,\omega}x) = f'(S_v\omega, a_{v,\omega}[a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) \\ &= (f'T_v)(\omega, [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) = \alpha_v(\omega)f'(\omega, [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) \\ &= \alpha_v(\omega)\lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)f'(\omega, bx) = \alpha_v(\omega)\lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)(f'b)(\omega, x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{v,b}(\omega) = [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}] \in G_{(k)}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . So, for any  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $f'_bT_v = \lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)f'_b$ , and since  $f'_b$  comes from  $X_{(r-1)}$ , by our first induction assumption,  $f'_b(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X_2$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus, for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have a continuous mapping from  $G_{(k-1)}$  to the set of characters on  $X_2$ , and since this set is discrete and  $G_{(k-1)}$  is connected, this mapping is constant. (For a.e.  $\omega$ , the considered mapping may not be a priori defined on a null subset of  $G_{(k-1)}$ , but since it is locally uniformly continuous, it extends to a continuous mapping on  $G_{(k-1)}$ .) Hence,  $f'_b(\omega, \cdot) = \lambda_b(\omega)$ ,  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}$ , for all  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , that is,  $f'b = \lambda_b f'$  with  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$ , for all  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$ , which gives us the induction step.

As the result of our induction on  $k$ , we obtain that for every  $b \in G_{(1)} = G^\circ$  there exists a function  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$  such that  $f'b = \lambda_b f'$ . Thus, for any  $b_1, b_2 \in G^\circ$  we have  $f'[b_1, b_2] = f'$ . Hence,  $f'$  is  $[G^\circ, G^\circ]$ -invariant, and so, comes from  $\Omega \times X_2$ . The equality  $f'b = \lambda_b f'$ ,  $b \in G^\circ$ , now implies that  $f'(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X_2$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

It follows that  $f$  also comes from  $\Omega \times X_2$ . In particular, there are no  $T$ -invariant functions on  $\Omega \times X$  since there are no  $T$ -invariant functions on  $\Omega \times X_2$ , so  $T$  is ergodic.

Now assume that for at least two distinct eigenspaces of  $G_{(r)}$  the projections  $f', f''$  of  $f$  to these eigenspaces are nonzero. Then both  $f'T_v = \alpha_v(\omega)f'$  and  $f''T_v = \alpha_v(\omega)f''$ ,  $v \in V$ , and so,  $f'/f''$  is  $T$ -invariant, which contradicts the ergodicity of  $T$ . Hence,  $f$  belongs to one of the eigenspaces of  $G_{(r)}$ , and so, as this has been proven for  $f'$ ,  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X_2$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . □

REMARK. In contrast with the absolute case (the case  $\Omega = \{\cdot\}$ ), the stronger statement “ $T$  is ergodic if it is ergodic on  $\Omega \times ([G, G] \backslash X)$ ” (where it is assumed that  $G$  is generated by  $G^o$  and  $\{T_v, v \in V\}$ ) is no longer true in the relative case. Here is an example: let  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , let  $X = \mathbb{T}_{x_1, x_2}^2$  where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $G$  be the group of transformations of  $X$  of the form  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1 + \alpha, x_2 + lx_1 + \beta)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $V$  be the group generated by the transformation  $T(\omega, x_1, x_2) = (\omega + 1, x_1 + \omega\alpha, x_2 + (-1)^\omega x_1)$  of  $\Omega \times X$ , where  $\alpha$  is an irrational element of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $[G, G] = \{(0, x_2), x_2 \in \mathbb{T}\}$ , and  $[G, G] \backslash X \simeq \mathbb{T}_{x_1}$ . One checks that  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times ([G, G] \backslash X)$ , whereas the function

$$f(\omega, x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_2, & \omega = 0, \\ x_2 - x_1, & \omega = 1, \end{cases} \text{ on } \Omega \times X$$

is  $T$ -invariant. The reason of this effect is clear, it is a “bad parametrization” of  $\Omega \times X$ ; after a proper reparametrization,  $T$  acts as a rotation on  $X$ ,  $G$  can be reduced to the group of rotations of  $X$ , and then  $[G, G] \backslash X = X$ .

REMARK. We do not know whether Proposition 4 can be extended to the (more general) class of generalized eigenfunctions of  $T$  over  $\Omega$ .

Let  $X$  be connected. Having Proposition 4, we may deal with the maximal factor-torus  $X_2$  of  $X$  instead of  $X$ ; indeed, if  $T$  is not ergodic on  $\Omega \times X$ , then  $T$  is not ergodic on  $T \times X_2$  as well. The problem is that  $G$ , if disconnected, may act on  $X_2$  not only by conventional rotations, but also by affine unipotent transformation. Thus, we will still have to treat  $X_2$  as a nilmanifold, not as a conventional torus. Since this does not change our argument, we will not assume that  $X$  is a torus; we will, however, call “characters” on  $X$  those on  $X_2$ .

Note that for any character  $\chi$  on  $X$  and any  $a \in G$ ,  $\chi a = \lambda \chi'$ , where  $\chi'$  is a character on  $X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , and  $\chi$  is a character on  $X$ , then clearly, there exists a translation  $a$  of  $X$  such that  $\chi a = \lambda \chi$ .

Rather than Proposition 4, we will actually need the following, more technical fact.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $X$  be connected. Assume that  $T$  is ergodic on  $X_{(r-1)}$  and that  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times X)$  is  $T$ -invariant and is an eigenfunction of  $G_{(r)}$ . Then  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*

Of course, if  $X_2$  is a factor of  $X_{(r-1)}$ , this lemma follows from Proposition 4; otherwise it has to be proven separately, though its proof is very similar to that of Proposition 4.

*Proof of Lemma 5.* Let  $fc = \lambda_c f$ ,  $\lambda_c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $c \in G_{(r)}$ . For every  $b \in G$  and  $c \in G_{(r)}$ ,  $(fb)c = fcb = \lambda_c fb$ , so the function  $f_b = (fb)/f$  is  $G_{(r)}$  invariant, and thus comes from  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$ . Assume, by induction on decreasing  $k$ , that for some  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$  we have  $fc = \lambda_c f$ ,  $\lambda_c \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$ , for any  $c \in G_{(k)}$ . Then  $(f\mathbf{c})(\omega, x) = \lambda_{c(\omega)}(\omega)f(\omega, x)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x \in X$ , for any  $\mathbf{c} = c(\omega) \in G_{(k)}^\Omega$ . Now, for any  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and  $v \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (fbT_v)(\omega, x) &= f(S_v\omega, ba_{v,\omega}x) = f(S_v\omega, a_{v,\omega}[a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) \\ &= (fT_v)(\omega, [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) = f(\omega, [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}]bx) \\ &= \lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)f(\omega, bx) = \lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)(fb)(\omega, x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{v,b}(\omega) = [a_{v,\omega}, b^{-1}] \in G_{(k)}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . So, for any  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $f_bT_v = \lambda_{c_{v,b}(\omega)}(\omega)f_b$ , and since  $f_b$  comes from  $X_{(r-1)}$  where  $T$  is ergodic, by Proposition 4,  $f_b(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus, for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have a continuous mapping from  $G_{(k-1)}$  to the set of characters on  $X$ , and since this set is discrete and  $G_{(k-1)}$  is connected, this mapping is constant. Hence,  $f_b(\omega, \cdot) = \lambda_b(\omega)$ ,  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}$ , for all  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$  and a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , that is,  $fb = \lambda_b f$  with  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$  for all  $b \in G_{(k-1)}$ , which gives us the induction step.

As the result of induction on  $k$ , we obtain that for every  $b \in G_{(1)} = G^o$  there exists a function  $\lambda_b \in \mathbb{C}^\Omega$  such that  $fb = \lambda_b f$ . Hence,  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is a character-times-a-constant on  $X$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . □

We will also need the following corollary of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $K$  be a compact metric group, let  $Z$  be a homogeneous space of  $K$ , and let  $R$  be a homogeneous space extension of  $S$  on  $\Omega \times Z$ . If  $R$  is not ergodic, then  $K$  has a proper closed subgroup  $H$  such that after a reparametrization of  $\Omega \times Z$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $R$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle.*

*Proof.* The cocycle defining the action  $R$  defines a group action  $\tilde{R}$  of  $V$  on  $\Omega \times K$ , for which  $R$  is a factor. If  $R$  is not ergodic, then  $\tilde{R}$  is not ergodic as well, and the assertion of the lemma follows from Theorem 2. □

PROPOSITION 7. *Assume that  $T$  is not ergodic on  $\Omega \times X$ . Then there exists a proper closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that after a certain reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle.*

*Proof.* We will use induction on  $r$ , the nilpotency class of  $X$ . First, for simplicity, consider the case where  $X$  is connected. If  $T$  is not ergodic on  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$ , then we are done by induction on  $r$ . Thus, we assume that  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X_{(r-1)}$ . Let  $f$  be a nonzero measurable  $T$ -invariant function

on  $\Omega \times X$ . We replace  $f$  by its nonzero projection to one of the eigenspaces of  $G_{(r)}$ , which is also a  $T$ -invariant function. By Lemma 5,  $f(\omega, \cdot) = \lambda(\omega)\chi_\omega$ , where  $\chi_\omega$  is a character on  $X$  and  $\lambda(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}$ , for a.e.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $S$  is ergodic,  $|\lambda(\omega)| = \text{const}$  on a subset  $\Omega'$  of  $\Omega$  of full measure, and we may assume that  $|\lambda| \equiv 1$ . There are only countably many characters on  $X$ , therefore a subset  $\Omega''$  of full measure in  $\Omega'$  is partitioned into the union of sets of positive measure where  $\chi_\omega$  is constant. Since  $S$  is ergodic, we can choose a character  $\chi$  on  $X$  and elements  $b(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega''$ , measurably depending on  $\omega$ , such that for every  $\omega \in \Omega''$  one has  $\lambda_\omega \chi_\omega = \chi b_\omega$ , so that  $f(\omega, x) = \lambda(\omega)\chi_\omega(x) = \chi(b_\omega x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . After the reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  defined by the function  $b_\omega$  (and replacing  $\Omega$  by  $\Omega''$ ),  $f$  takes the form  $f(\omega, x) = \chi(x)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x \in X$ . Let  $H$  be the stabilizer of  $\chi$  in  $G$ ,  $H = \{c \in G : \chi c = \chi\}$ ; then  $H$  is a proper closed subgroup of  $G$  and the cocycle defining  $T$  takes values in  $H$ .

Now let  $X$  be disconnected.  $G$  acts on the finite set  $\mathcal{X}$  of connected components of  $X$ ; let  $\tilde{G}$  be the subgroup (of finite index) of  $G$  that acts trivially on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  factorizes through the action of the finite group  $G/\tilde{G}$ , and if  $T$  is not ergodic on  $\Omega \times \mathcal{X}$ , we are done by Lemma 6. Thus, we may assume that  $T$  is ergodic  $\Omega \times \mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $X^\circ$  be a connected component of  $X$ ; then  $X$ , under the action of  $\tilde{G}$ , is isomorphic to  $\{1, \dots, n\} \times X^\circ$ , where  $n$  is the number of components in  $X$ . Consider  $\Omega \times X = \Omega \times \{1, \dots, n\} \times X^\circ$  as  $\tilde{\Omega} \times X^\circ$  where  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; by our assumption,  $T$  acts ergodically on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Since  $X^\circ$  is connected and has nilpotency class  $\leq r$ , we may, as in the first part of the proof, find a subset  $\Omega'$  of full measure in  $\Omega$  and a measurable  $T$ -invariant function  $f$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}' \times X^\circ = \Omega' \times X$  such that  $f(\omega, i, \cdot) = \lambda(\omega, i)\chi_{\omega, i}$ , where  $\chi_{\omega, i}$  is a character on  $X^\circ$  and  $\lambda(\omega, i) \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega'$  and all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For all  $\omega \in \Omega'$  we, therefore, have the (nonordered) set  $C_\omega = \{\chi_{\omega, 1}, \dots, \chi_{\omega, n}\}$  of characters on  $X^\circ$  such that  $T_v C_\omega = C_{S_v \omega}$ ,  $v \in V$ , for all  $\omega \in \Omega'$ , and since only countably many possibilities for  $C_\omega$  exist, a certain reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  over  $\Omega$  (with replacing  $\Omega$  by  $\Omega'$ ) makes  $C_\omega$  to be constant,  $C_\omega = C = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Moreover, since  $T$  acts ergodically on  $\Omega \times \mathcal{X}$ ,  $G$  acts transitively on  $C$ ; thus, after some change of coordinates in distinct connected components of  $X$ , we may make  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  to be all equal to the same character  $\chi$ . After this, we obtain that  $\chi T_v = \frac{\lambda(\omega, i)}{\lambda(S_v \omega, j)} \chi$ ,  $j = j(v, \omega, i)$ , for all  $v \in V$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , that is,  $T$  maps the fibers of  $\chi$  to fibers. Let us assume, as we may, that  $G$  is generated by  $G^\circ$  and the entries of the cocycle defining  $T$ ; then  $G$  maps the fibers of  $\chi$  to fibers, and we may factorize  $X$  by these fibers. Let  $Z$  be the factor; then  $Z$  is a finite union of circles,  $Z = \{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{T}$ , and  $G$  acts by rotations on  $\mathbb{T}$ , that is, for any  $a \in G$ ,  $a(i, x) = (ai, x + \alpha_{a, i})$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with  $\alpha_{a, i} \in \mathbb{T}$  (and  $ai$  is defined by  $X_{ai} = aX_i$ ). We obtain that the action of  $G$  on  $Z$  factorizes through the action of a compact

group (the group of rotations of components of  $Z$  and of permutations of these components). Since  $T$  is not ergodic on  $\Omega \times Z$ , we are done by Lemma 6.  $\square$

LEMMA 8. *If  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X$  (with respect to  $\nu \times \mu_X$ ), then  $\nu \times \mu_X$  is the only  $T$ -ergodic probability measure whose projection on  $\Omega$  is  $\nu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G_1 = G$  and  $G_k = [G_{k-1}, G]$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , let  $X_{r-1} = G_r \backslash X$ , and let  $\pi_r : X \rightarrow X_{r-1}$  be the canonical projection. If  $T$  is ergodic on  $\Omega \times X$  with respect to  $\nu \times \mu_X$ , by induction on  $r$ ,  $\nu \times \mu_{X_{r-1}}$  is the only  $T$ -ergodic probability measure on  $\Omega \times X_{r-1}$  whose projection on  $\Omega$  is  $\nu$ . Thus, if  $\tau$  is a  $T$ -ergodic probability measure on  $\Omega \times X$  with  $p(\tau) = \nu$ , then  $(\text{Id}_\Omega \times \pi_r)(\tau) = \nu \times \mu_{X_{r-1}}$ .  $\Omega \times X$  is a group extension of  $\Omega \times X_{r-1}$  with the fiber  $F_r = G_r / (G_r \cap G_r)$ , which is a compact commutative Lie group. Hence, by Theorem 2,  $\tau = \nu \times \mu_{X_{r-1}} \times \mu_{F_r} = \nu \times \mu_X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $H$  be a minimal closed subgroup of  $G$  such that there exists a reparametrization of  $X \times \Omega$  over  $\Omega$  after which  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle. (Such a subgroup exists since any chain of decreasing subgroups of  $G$  is finite.) Let  $X = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} X_\theta$  be the partition of  $X$  into the union of subnilmanifolds minimal under the action of  $H$ , as in Theorem 1. After the reparametrization corresponding to  $H$ ,  $\Omega \times X$  splits into the disjoint union  $\bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} \Omega \times X_\theta$  of  $T$ -invariant subsets on each of which  $T$  is given by an  $H$ -cocycle. If  $T$  is not ergodic on one of these subsets, then by Proposition 7,  $H$  contains a proper closed subgroup  $H'$  such that after a reparametrization of  $\Omega \times X$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $T$  is given by an  $H'$ -cocycle; this contradicts the choice of  $H$ . Thus,  $T$  is ergodic on each of  $\Omega \times X_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Moreover, if  $\tau$  is an ergodic measure on  $\Omega \times X$  with  $p(\tau) = \nu$ , then  $\tau$  must be supported by  $\Omega \times X_\theta$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and thus  $\tau = \nu \times \mu_{\Omega_\theta}$  by Lemma 8.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [L1] A. Leibman, *Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), 201–213. MR 2122919
- [L2] A. Leibman, *Orbits on a nilmanifold under the action of a polynomial sequences of translations*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), 1239–1252. MR 2342974
- [Le] E. Lesigne, *Sur une nil-variété, les parties minimales associées à une translation sont uniquement ergodiques*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **11** (1991), 379–391. MR 1116647
- [P1] W. Parry, *Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 757–771. MR 0260975
- [P2] W. Parry, *Dynamical systems on nilmanifolds*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 7–40. MR 0267558
- [Sh] N. Shah, *Invariant measures and orbit closures on homogeneous spaces for actions of subgroups generated by unipotent elements, Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996)*, Tata, Bombay, 1998, pp. 229–271. MR 1699367
- [Z1] R. Zimmer, *Extensions of ergodic group actions*, Illinois J. Math. **20** (1976), 373–409. MR 0409770

- [Z2] R. Zimmer, *Compact nilmanifold extensions of ergodic actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **223** (1976), 397–406. MR 0422584

A. LEIBMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

*E-mail address:* [leibman@math.ohio-state.edu](mailto:leibman@math.ohio-state.edu)