

## DECAY BOUNDS FOR NONLOCAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN ORLICZ SPACES

URIEL KAUFMANN,<sup>1</sup> JULIO D. ROSSI,<sup>2\*</sup> and RAUL VIDAL<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by S. Barza

ABSTRACT. We show decay bounds of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) \, dx \leq Ct^{-\mu}$$

for integrable and bounded solutions to the nonlocal evolution equation

$$u_t(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y)G(u(y, t) - u(x, t))(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \, dy + f(u(x, t)).$$

Here  $G$  is a nonnegative and even function, and  $f$  verifies  $f(\xi)\xi \leq 0$  for all  $\xi \geq 0$ . We remark that  $G$  is not assumed to be homogeneous. The function  $\phi$  and the exponent  $\mu$  depend on  $G$  via adequate hypotheses, while  $J$  is a nonnegative kernel satisfying suitable assumptions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with the long-time behavior of bounded solutions to the equation

$$u_t(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y)G(u(y, t) - u(x, t))(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \, dy + f(u(x, t)), \quad (1.1)$$

with  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$  and a nonnegative initial condition

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1.2)$$

---

Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Mar. 13, 2015; Accepted Jul. 9, 2015.

\*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47G10; Secondary 47J35, 45G10.

*Keywords*. Orlicz space, nonlocal diffusion, energy methods.

Here  $J$  is a nonnegative symmetric and smooth kernel satisfying some suitable assumptions (see Lemma 2.2 below), and  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an even function. The nonlinearity  $f$  is assumed to verify that  $f(\xi)\xi \leq 0$  for all  $\xi \geq 0$ .

Under these conditions it can be proved as in [1] that problem (1.1) has a unique solution that is nonnegative, integrable, and bounded. In fact, we have that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} := M > 0.$$

Now we introduce the following condition. Suppose there exist a  $C^1$  Young function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and an even function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$G(\xi - \eta)(\xi - \eta)(\phi'(\xi) - \phi'(\eta)) \geq \theta(\xi - \eta) \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq M$ .

We remark that we are not assuming that  $G$  is homogeneous of any kind (as happens, for example, for  $G(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}$ ).

We will prove in our main result (see Theorem 3.2) that, for all  $t$  sufficiently large, the solution  $u$  of (1.1) satisfies the decay estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) \, dx \leq Ct^{-\mu}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mu > 0$  is given explicitly and depends on  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $d$ . As a consequence of this result we are also able to provide decay bounds for the Orlicz norm of the solutions (see Corollary 3.6).

Let us end this introduction with a brief description of the ideas used in the proofs and the connection with previous results. To study the decay of solutions to nonlocal nonlinear evolution equations like (1.1), we will use energy methods as the ones introduced in [10]. Note that the kernel  $J$  is smooth (therefore we do not have a regularizing effect; see [1]) and not in convolution form (hence Fourier methods like the ones used in [3] cannot be applied). Let us mention that nonlocal problems analogous to the  $p$ -Laplacian (i.e., with  $G(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}$ ) have already been studied in the literature. In [1] they were considered under the assumption that  $J$  is a convolution kernel, while in Theorem 4.2 in [10] decay estimates similar to those in Theorem 3.2 were obtained in the case  $p \in [2, d)$  and  $f \equiv 0$ . Let us note that the bounds stated here for the particular case  $G(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}$  do not impose any restriction on  $p$  (see Remark 3.3 below). Nonlocal problems have been recently widely used to model diffusion processes (see [7] and [6] for a general nonlocal vector calculus) and are closely connected with real applications. We mention, for example, peridynamics, a recent nonlocal model for elasticity. For extra references concerning applications, we refer, for instance, to [2], [9], [12], and [13], and the recent book [1].

Concerning singular kernels (that are related to fractional type operators) we quote [4] and [5], and the references therein.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results, including a key lemma (Lemma 2.2) that provides a decomposition of a function in a smooth and a rough part, whose norms are controlled by a double integral involving  $J$  and  $|u(x) - u(y)|^p$  (it is here where we need to

assume extra conditions on  $J$ ). Finally, in Section 3 we prove our main result, Theorem 3.2, where we show the bound (1.4) for an explicit  $\mu$  that depends on suitable conditions that we assume for the functions  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  that appear in (1.3).

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

As usual, we say that  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a *Young function* if  $\phi$  is convex and even,  $\phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi) = \infty$ . Following [8], we say that a Young function  $\phi$  is of *upper type  $p$* , for some  $p > 1$ , if there exists a constant  $C_\phi > 0$  such that

$$\phi(\lambda\xi) \leq C_\phi \lambda^p \phi(\xi)$$

for every  $\lambda > 1$  and every  $\xi \geq 0$ . We also set  $\phi^{-1}(\xi) := \inf\{\eta : \phi(\eta) > \xi\}$ .

We will need the following lemma, which gives a sort of interpolation inequality.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\phi, \phi_*$  be two Young functions such that, for all  $\xi \geq 0$ ,*

$$\phi^{-1}(\xi) \geq C\xi^\lambda (\phi_*^{-1}(\xi))^{1-\lambda} \quad (2.1)$$

for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $C > 0$ . Then for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{\phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  it holds that

$$\|f\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{2}{C} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{\phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\lambda}. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\psi(\xi) := \xi^{1/(1-\lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\xi) := (\xi/C)^{1/\lambda}.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \||f|^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^{\phi_* \circ \psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_*\left(\psi\left(\frac{|f|^{1-\lambda}}{\lambda}\right)\right) \leq 1\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_*\left(\frac{|f|}{\psi(\lambda)}\right) \leq 1\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\mu^{1-\lambda} > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_*\left(\frac{|f|}{\mu}\right) \leq 1\right\} = \|f\|_{L^{\phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to check that

$$\||f|^\lambda\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\lambda / C.$$

Note also that (2.1) says that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\xi) (\phi_* \circ \psi)^{-1}(\xi) \leq \phi^{-1}(\xi).$$

Therefore, from the above-mentioned facts and Hölder's inequality (e.g., [11, Theorem 2.3]), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \||f|^\lambda |f|^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq 2 \||f|^\lambda\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \||f|^{1-\lambda}\|_{L^{\phi_* \circ \psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= \frac{2}{C} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\lambda \|f\|_{L^{\phi_*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

We state for the sake of completeness the following decomposition result (for the proof we refer to [10, Theorem 2.1]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , and let  $J(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric nonnegative function such that*

(HJ1) *there exists  $0 < C < \infty$  such that*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) dx \leq C;$$

(HJ2) *there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and a function  $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying*

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq d}} |\partial_{x_i} a_j(x)| < \infty;$$

and

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - a(x)| \leq c_2\} \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : J(x, y) > c_1\}.$$

Then, for any  $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there exist two functions  $v$  and  $w$  such that

$$u = v + w$$

and

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p \leq C(J, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) |u(x) - u(y)|^p dy dx. \quad (2.3)$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE DECAY BOUNDS

First, let us show that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) dx$  is decreasing in time.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $J(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric nonnegative function, let  $\phi$  be a  $C^1$  Young function, and assume that  $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is even and such that there exist  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  even and  $M > 0$  such that*

$$G(\xi - \eta)(\xi - \eta)(\phi'(\xi) - \phi'(\eta)) \geq \theta(\xi - \eta) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq M$ . Suppose  $f$  is such that  $f(\xi)\xi \leq 0$  for all  $\xi \geq 0$ . If  $u$  is the solution of (1.1) with a nonnegative initial datum  $u(\cdot, 0)$  with  $\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq M$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) dx + \frac{1}{2} \langle A_\theta u, u \rangle \leq 0,$$

where

$$\langle A_\theta u, u \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) \theta(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) dy dx. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* We observe first that the assumption  $f(\xi)\xi \leq 0$  for every  $\xi \geq 0$  implies that  $f(\xi)\phi'(\xi) \leq 0$  for every  $\xi \geq 0$ . Indeed, if  $\xi \geq 0$ ,  $\phi'(\xi) \geq 0$  and hence  $sg(f(\xi)\phi'(\xi)) = sg(f(\xi)\xi)$ .

Now let us now compute the following derivative with respect to  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(u(x, t)) u_t(x, t) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(u(x, t)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) G(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) (u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \, dy \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u(x, t)) \phi'(u(x, t)) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(u(x, t)) J(x, y) G(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) (u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \, dy \, dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) G(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) (u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \\ &\quad \times (\phi'(u(y, t)) - \phi'(u(x, t))) \, dy \, dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) \theta(u(y, t) - u(x, t)) \, dy \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows as in Lemma 2.1 in [10], and where in order to get the last inequality we have used (3.1). Hence, we have obtained the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $J$ ,  $G$ , and  $f$  be as in Lemma 3.1, and let  $u$  be the solution of (1.1) with a nonnegative initial datum  $u(\cdot, 0)$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq M$ . Suppose there exist  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\theta(\xi) \geq k_1 \xi^p \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\xi) \leq k_2 \xi^q$$

for all  $0 \leq \xi \leq M$  and some  $1 < q \leq p$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) \, dx \leq C t^{-\mu} \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $t$  sufficiently large, where

$$\mu := \frac{d(q-1)}{d(p-q) + p}.$$

*Proof.* Along this proof we denote by  $C$  a constant that may change from one line to another but that is independent of the relevant quantities.

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  be given by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\alpha}{p^*} + 1 - \alpha, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta}{p} + 1 - \beta,$$

where as usual

$$p^* = \frac{dp}{d-p} \quad \text{if } p < d$$

and

$$p^* = +\infty \quad \text{if } p > d.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{p'} \frac{p^*}{p^* - 1} = \frac{d(p-1)}{d(p-1) + p} \quad \text{if } p < d, \\ \alpha &= \frac{1}{p'} \quad \text{if } p > d, \\ \beta &= \frac{p}{q'(p-1)} = \frac{q-1}{q} \frac{p}{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\langle A_p u, u \rangle$  be given by

$$\langle A_p u, u \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x, y) |u(y, t) - u(x, t)|^p dy dx,$$

that is, (3.2) with  $\theta(\xi) = |\xi|^p$ .

Now, let us write

$$u := v + w,$$

with  $v$  and  $w$  as in the decomposition lemma (Lemma 2.2). Interpolating two times, using the aforementioned lemma and Lemma 3.1 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}& \left( k_2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u) \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\beta} \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta = C \|v + w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta \\ & \leq C (\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)})^\beta \\ & \leq C (\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta) \\ & \leq C (\|v\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\beta\alpha} + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta) \\ & \leq C (\|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\beta\alpha} + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta) \\ & \leq C (\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\beta\alpha} + \|w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^\beta) \\ & \leq C (\langle A_p u, u \rangle^{\beta\alpha/p} + \langle A_p u, u \rangle^{\beta/p}) \\ & \leq C (\langle A_\theta u, u \rangle^{\beta\alpha/p} + \langle A_\theta u, u \rangle^{\beta/p}) \\ & \leq C \left( \left[ -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u) \right) \right]^{\beta\alpha/p} + \left[ -\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u) \right) \right]^{\beta/p} \right).\end{aligned}$$

We now set

$$X(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, t)) dx.$$

From our previous computations we get

$$\begin{aligned}X(t) &\leq C ([-X'(t)]^{\beta\alpha/p} + [-X'(t)]^{\beta/p})^q \\ &\leq C ([-X'(t)]^{\beta\alpha q/p} + [-X'(t)]^{\beta q/p}).\end{aligned}$$

If for  $0 \leq \xi$  we define  $H(\xi) := C(\xi^{\beta\alpha q/p} + \xi^{\beta q/p})$ , then we have

$$X'(t) \leq -H^{-1}(X(t)).$$

We observe next that if  $\xi \leq K = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u(x, 0)) dx$  there exists  $C = C(K) > 0$  such that  $H^{-1}(\xi) \geq C\xi^\gamma$  with  $\gamma := p/(\beta\alpha q)$ . Then

$$X'(t) \leq -C(X(t)^\gamma),$$

and thus integrating we get

$$X(t) \leq Ct^{-1/(\gamma-1)},$$

and this ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* For the case of pure powers our results read as follows: Let

$$G(\xi) := |\xi|^{p-2}$$

for some  $p > 1$ . We choose  $\phi(\xi) := |\xi|^q$  with  $q \in (1, 2]$ , and  $\theta(\xi) := \frac{q(q-1)}{M^{2-q}}|\xi|^p$ . Suppose  $0 \leq \eta \leq \xi \leq M$  (the case  $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta \leq M$  is analogous since  $G$  and  $\theta$  are even). We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & G(\xi - \eta)(\xi - \eta)(\phi'(\xi) - \phi'(\eta)) \\ &= q(\xi - \eta)^p \frac{(\xi^{q-1} - \eta^{q-1})}{\xi - \eta} \\ &\geq \frac{q(q-1)}{M^{2-q}}(\xi - \eta)^p = \theta(\xi - \eta), \end{aligned}$$

and hence (3.1) holds. Let us observe that we impose no condition on  $p$ . Indeed, in the statement of Theorem 3.2 we only need  $p \geq q > 1$ , and hence given any  $p > 1$  we can always pick  $q \in (1, \min(2, p)]$ . Thus, our results extend the ones in [10], where similar bounds were obtained for  $f \equiv 0$  and  $G(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}$  with  $p \in [2, d)$ .

*Remark 3.4.* As an example of functions  $G$  verifying our conditions that are not necessarily homogeneous, we mention that we may consider any even function  $G$  satisfying

$$G(\xi) \geq k\xi^{p-2}$$

for  $\xi \geq 0$  and some  $k > 0$  and  $p > 1$ . For instance, let

$$G(\xi) := |\xi|^{p-2}h(\xi),$$

where  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an even function with  $\inf_{\xi \geq 0} h(\xi) > 0$ . Indeed, given such a  $G$ , we take

$$\phi(\xi) := |\xi|^q, \quad \theta(\xi) := k \frac{q(q-1)}{M^{2-q}} |\xi|^p$$

with  $q \in (1, \min(2, p)]$ . Then, clearly the assumptions for  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  in Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, and one can also check that (3.1) holds, arguing as in the previous remark.

*Remark 3.5.* Let us note that if in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 it also holds that

$$\phi(\xi) \geq k\xi^r$$

for all  $0 \leq \xi \leq M$  with  $r \geq q$ , from (3.3) we get that

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Ct^{-\mu/r} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ sufficiently large.} \tag{3.4}$$

The previous results also provide decay estimates for the Orlicz norm of the solutions of (1.1).

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $J, G,$  and  $f$  be as in Theorem 3.2, and let  $u$  be the solution to (1.1) with a nonnegative initial datum  $u(\cdot, 0)$  such that  $\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq M$ .*

(i) *If*

$$c_1\xi^r \leq \phi(\xi) \leq c_2\xi^{\frac{r}{\lambda(r-1)+1}}$$

for all  $0 \leq \xi \leq M$  and some  $r \geq q$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Ct^{-\mu(1-\lambda)/r} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

(ii) *If  $\phi$  is of upper type  $s$ , then*

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Ct^{-\mu/s} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \geq 0$ . In order to prove (i) we take  $\phi_*(\xi) := \xi^r$  and we note that  $\phi(\xi) \leq C\xi^{\frac{r}{\lambda(r-1)+1}}$  implies that  $\phi^{-1}(\xi) \geq C\xi^\lambda(\phi_*^{-1})^{1-\lambda}$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.1 and conservation of mass we derive that

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\lambda},$$

and so (i) follows now from (3.4).

Let us prove (ii). We first note that if  $\phi$  is of upper type  $s$ , then

$$\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \begin{cases} C_\phi(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u))^{1/s} & \text{if } \|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u) & \text{if } \|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} > 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed, if  $\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} > 1$ , then this is immediate from the convexity of  $\phi$ . On the other hand, if  $\|u\|_{L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1$ , then we have

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_\phi}\right) \leq \frac{C_\phi}{\|u\|_\phi^s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u),$$

and this proves (3.5).

Moreover, (ii) is a direct consequence of (3.3) and (3.5), and thus the corollary is proved.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors' work was partially supported by CONICET and Secyt-UNC.



## REFERENCES

1. F. Andreu-Vaillo, J. M. Mazón, J. D. Rossi, and J. J. Toledo-Melero, *Nonlocal Diffusion Problems*, Math. Surveys Monogr. **165**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2010. [MR2722295](#). [DOI 10.1090/surv/165](#). 262
2. P. Bates, X. Chen, and A. Chmaj, *Heteroclinic solutions of a van der Waals model with indefinite nonlocal interactions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **24** (2005), no. 3, 261–281. [Zbl 1086.49008](#). [MR2174426](#). [DOI 10.1007/s00526-005-0308-y](#). 262
3. E. Chasseigne, M. Chaves, and J. D. Rossi, *Asymptotic behavior for nonlocal diffusion equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **86** (2006), no. 3, 271–291. [Zbl 1126.35081](#). [MR2257732](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.matpur.2006.04.005](#). 262
4. E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573. [Zbl 1252.46023](#). [MR2944369](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.bulsci.2011.12.004](#). 262
5. S. Dipierro, X. Ros-Oton and E. Valdinoci, *Nonlocal problems with Neumann boundary conditions*, to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoam., preprint, [arXiv:1407.3313](#) [math.AP]. 262
6. Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, R. Lehoucq, and K. Zhou, *A nonlocal vector calculus, nonlocal volume-constrained problems, and nonlocal balance laws*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **23** (2013), no. 3, 493–540. [Zbl 1266.26020](#). [MR3010838](#). [DOI 10.1142/S0218202512500546](#). 262
7. P. Fife, “Some nonclassical trends in parabolic and parabolic-like evolutions” in *Trends in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 2003, 153–191. [MR1999098](#). 262
8. E. Harboure, O. Salinas, and B. Viviani, *Relations between weighted Orlicz and  $BMO_\phi$  spaces through fractional integrals*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **40** (1999), no. 1, 53–69. [Zbl 1060.46509](#). [MR1715202](#). 263
9. V. Hutson, S. Martínez, K. Mischaikow, and G. T. Vickers, *The evolution of dispersal*, J. Math. Biol. **47** (2003), no. 6, 483–517. [Zbl 1052.92042](#). [MR2028048](#). [DOI 10.1007/s00285-003-0210-1](#). 262
10. L. Ignat and J. D. Rossi, *Decay estimates for nonlocal problems via energy methods*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **92** (2009), no. 2, 163–187. [Zbl 1173.35363](#). [MR2542582](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.matpur.2009.04.009](#). 262, 264, 265, 267
11. R. O’Neil, *Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces, I*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 300–328. [Zbl 0132.09201](#). [MR0194881](#). 263
12. M. L. Parks, R. Lehoucq, S. Plimpton, and S. Silling, *Implementing peridynamics within a molecular dynamics code*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **179** (2008), no. 11, 777–783. [Zbl 1197.82014](#). 262
13. S. A. Silling, *Reformulation of elasticity theory for discontinuities and long-range forces*, J. Mech. Phys. Solids **48** (2000), no. 1, 175–209. [Zbl 0970.74030](#). [MR1727557](#). [DOI 10.1016/S0022-5096\(99\)00029-0](#). 262

<sup>1</sup>FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CORDOBA, (5000), CORDOBA, ARGENTINA.

*E-mail address:* [kaufmann@mate.uncor.edu](mailto:kaufmann@mate.uncor.edu); [vidal@mate.uncor.edu](mailto:vidal@mate.uncor.edu)

<sup>2</sup>CONICET AND DEPTO. DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PAB 1 (1428), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

*E-mail address:* [jrossi@dm.uba.ar](mailto:jrossi@dm.uba.ar)