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#### Abstract

In this article, the authors first give a Littlewood-Paley characterization for inhomogeneous Lipschitz spaces of variable order with the help of inhomogeneous Calderón identity and almost-orthogonality estimates. As applications, the boundedness of inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators of order $(\epsilon, \sigma)$ on these spaces has been presented. Finally, we note that a class of pseudodifferential operators $T_{a} \in \mathcal{O} p S_{1,1}^{0}$ are continuous on the inhomogeneous Lipschitz spaces of variable order as a corollary. We may observe that those operators are not, in general, continuous in $L^{2}$.


## 1. Introduction and statement of main Results

The classical Lipschitz spaces $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\eta}$ play an important role in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations. It is well known that the spaces $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\eta}$ can be characterized via Littlewood-Paley decomposition (see [7] and [18]). Much research has been carried out on Lipschitz spaces and their applications. One direction is variable-exponent Lipschitz spaces (see [1], [2], [15]). Another direction (see [8]) is the study of multiparameter Lipschitz spaces. (For more about the Lipschitz spaces or so called Hölder-Zygmund spaces, see also [3], [11], [12], [14], [16].)

In many applications, as we know, use of the homogeneous spaces $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{s}$ rather than the inhomogeneous Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{s}=\mathcal{C}^{s} \cap L^{\infty}$ is not successful. For instance, the continuity property of pseudodifferential operators $T \in \mathcal{O} p S_{1,0}^{m}$

[^0](whose symbols fulfill $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C(\alpha, \beta)\left(1+|\xi|_{e}\right)^{m-|\alpha|}$ ) in the the inhomogeneous Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{s}$ is considered in [16]. Also, $T \in \mathcal{O} p S_{1,1}^{0}$ (whose symbols satisfy $\left.\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C(\alpha, \beta)\left(1+|\xi|_{e}\right)^{|\beta|-|\alpha|}\right)$ is continuous on inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}^{s}$ (see [13]). Moreover, Stein and Yung in [17] showed that a class of pseudodifferential operators preserve the isotropic and nonisotropic Lipschitz spaces.

On the other hand, due to its application to partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, variable-exponent function space theory has attracted much attention (see ([4], [6]). In many applications, a crucial step has been to show that the classical operators of harmonic analysis, such as maximal operators, singular integrals, and fractional integrals, are bounded on variable-exponent function spaces. So we will mainly focus on the boundedness of a class of CalderónZygmund singular integral operators on inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces of variable order.

The purpose of this work is to characterize inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces via the Littlewood-Paley theory and to prove that inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators are bounded on these spaces. If these results are established at once, we will see that pseudodifferential operators $T_{a} \in \mathcal{O} p S_{1,1}^{0}$ are continuous on the inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces. We also observe that those operators are not, in general, continuous in $L^{2}$.

Before we state our results, we first recall some notions concerning variableexponent and Hölder-Zygmund spaces. For a measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote $p^{-}(E)=\inf _{x \in E} p(x)$ and $p^{+}(E)=\sup _{x \in E} p(x)$. Especially, we denote $p^{-}=p^{-}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $p^{+}=p^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $p(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a measurable function with $0<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<\infty$ and let $\mathcal{P}^{0}$ be the set of all these $p(\cdot)$.

We say that $p(\cdot) \in L H_{0}$ if $p(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{-\log (|x-y|)}, \quad|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Throughout this article we use $C$ to denote positive constants, whose value may vary from line to line. Constants with subscripts, such as $C_{1}$, do not change in different occurrences. We denote by $f \sim g$ the fact that there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of the main parameters such that $C^{-1} g<f<C g$. We also denote that
$\Delta_{u} f(x)=f(x+u)-f(x), \quad \Delta_{u}^{2} f(x)=\Delta_{u}\left(\Delta_{u}\right)=f(x+2 u)+f(x)-2 f(x+u)$.
Now we recall the definition of inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund space of variable order. In [1], Almeida and Hästö generalized the definition of HölderZygmund spaces to the variable-order setting for $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq 1$ (see also [2], [15]).

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. The inhomogeneous Hölder space of variable order $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is defined to be the space of all bounded uniformly continuous $f$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in what follows. When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<1$,

$$
\|f\|_{H_{0}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \neq 0} \frac{|f(x-u)-f(x)|}{|u|^{\alpha(x-u)}}<\infty .
$$

When $m<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<m+1$, we write $\alpha(x)=m+r(x)$, where $m$ is an integer and $0<r^{-} \leq r^{-}<1$. Here $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ means that $f$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ function such that

$$
\|f\|_{H_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\sum_{|\beta| \leq m}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\infty}+\sum_{|\beta|=m} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \neq 0} \frac{\left|\partial^{\beta} f(x-u)-\partial^{\beta} f(x)\right|}{|u|^{r(x-u)}}<\infty .
$$

When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<\infty$ and $\alpha(x) \neq$ integer, we have $\alpha(x)=\sum_{i=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]} \alpha_{i}(x)$, where $\alpha_{i}=\alpha \chi_{i}$ and $\chi_{i}(x)=1$ for $\alpha(x) \in(i, i+1)$; otherwise $\chi_{i}(x)=0 . f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ means that $f$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]}$function such that

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\sum_{m=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]}\|f\|_{H_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}<\infty
$$

The inhomogeneous Zygmund space of variable order $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is defined analogously but with the norm given as follows. When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq 1$,

$$
\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \neq 0} \frac{|f(x+u)+f(x-u)-2 f(x)|}{|u|^{\alpha(x-u)}}
$$

When $m<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq m+1$, we write $\alpha(x)=m+r(x)$, where $m$ is integer and $0<r^{-} \leq r^{+} \leq 1$ :

$$
\|f\|_{\Lambda_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\sum_{|\beta| \leq m}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\infty}+\sum_{|\beta|=m} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \neq 0} \frac{\left|\partial^{\beta} f(x+u)+\partial^{\beta} f(x-u)-2 \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right|}{|u|^{r(x-u)}} .
$$

When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<\infty$, we have $\alpha(x)=\sum_{i=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]} \alpha_{i}(x)$, where $\alpha_{i}=\alpha \chi_{i}$ and $\chi_{i}(x)=1$ for $\alpha(x) \in(i, i+1]$; otherwise $\chi_{i}(x)=0$ :

$$
\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\sum_{m=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]}\|f\|_{\Lambda_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}} .
$$

Next we give the Littlewood-Paley characterization for $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ and $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. Let $\hat{\psi}$ be the Fourier transform of $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$. For this purpose, let $\psi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with

$$
\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \subset\{\xi: 1 / 2<|\xi| \leq 2\}
$$

and $\Psi$ with

$$
|\widehat{\Psi}(\xi)| \geq c>0, \quad \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\Psi} \subset\{|\xi| \leq 2\}
$$

satisfying

$$
|\widehat{\Psi}(\xi)|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\widehat{\psi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)\right|^{2}=1 \quad \text { for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

We set $\psi_{j}(x)=2^{j n} \psi\left(2^{j} x\right)$ and $\Psi(x)=: \psi_{0}(x)$.
For $f \in L^{2}$, we have the inhomogeneous continuous Calderón identity

$$
f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f
$$

via taking the Fourier transform, where the series converges in its $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ norm. Before we state the result, we note that in [1], Almeida and Hästö have proved that $B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)}=H^{\alpha(\cdot)}(\alpha<1)$ and $B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)}=\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}\left(\alpha^{+} \leq 1\right)$ with the help of the so-called Peetre maximal function.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}^{0}$. Note that $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C 2^{-j \alpha(x)}
$$

for any $x$ such that $\alpha(x) \neq$ integer; $f \in \Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C 2^{-j \alpha(x)}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Furthermore,

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \sim \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|, \quad\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \sim \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|
$$

We will state that inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators of order $(\epsilon, \sigma)$ are bounded operators on the new inhomogeneous HölderZygmund spaces.

First, we recall some definitions. For $\eta \in(0,1]$, let $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\eta}$ be the set of all continuous functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ having compact support such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\eta}}=\sup _{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\eta}}<\infty .
$$

Endow $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\eta}$ with the natural topology and let $\left(\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\eta}\right)^{\prime}$ be its dual space.
The following definition is the classical inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral kernel which was first introduced by Meyer and Coifman in [13]. For the framework of this kernel on spaces of homogeneous type, the reader is referred to [9].

Definition 1.3. A continuous complex-valued function $K$ on $\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}: x \neq y\right\}$ is called an inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund kernel of type $(\epsilon, \sigma)$ if there exist constants $\epsilon \in(0,1], \sigma>0$ and $C_{1}>0$ such that
(i) $|K(x, y)| \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n}}$,
(ii) $|K(x, y)| \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+\delta}}$ for $|x-y| \geq 1$,
(iii) $\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \leq C_{1} \frac{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\epsilon}}{|x-y|^{n+\epsilon}}$ for $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x-y|$.

We now recall inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators.
Definition 1.4. A continuous linear operator $T: \dot{C}^{\eta} \rightarrow\left(\dot{C}^{\eta}\right)^{\prime}$ is an inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator if there exists an inhomogeneous kernel $K$ such that

$$
\langle T f, g\rangle=\iint K(x, y) f(y) g(x) d x d y
$$

for all $f, g \in \dot{C}^{\eta}$ with disjoint supports.
The following definition is the classical weak boundedness property.

Definition 1.5 ([5, p.5]). A Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator $T$ is said to have the weak boundedness property, if there exist constants $C_{2}>0$ and $\eta \in(0,1]$ such that for all $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$,

$$
|\langle T f, g\rangle| \leq C_{2} r^{n+2 \eta}\|g\|_{\dot{C}^{n}}\|f\|_{\dot{C}^{\eta}}
$$

where $f, g \in \dot{C}^{\eta}$ with $\operatorname{supp} f, g \subset\left\{x:\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq r\right\},\|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1,\|g\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, $\|f\|_{\dot{C}^{\eta}} \leq r^{-\eta}$, and $\|g\|_{\dot{C}^{n}} \leq r^{-\eta}$, and we denote this by $T \in W B P$.

Theorem 1.6. Suppose that $T$ is the inhomogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator and the kernel satisfying Definition 1.3. Also assume that $T(1)=$ $0, T \in W B P, \alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0}$, and $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<\epsilon \leq 1$. Then $T$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ and $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. We only give the proof for $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$; the proof for $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is similar. First, it is easy to see that $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, when $f \in \Lambda_{0}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ with $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq 1$. Next we will estimate the term $\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|$. Now we consider the following two cases.

When $j=0$, we have

$$
\left|\psi_{0} * f(x)\right|=\int\left|\psi_{0}(u)\right||f(x-u)| d u \leq C\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha}(\cdot)}
$$

Applying $L H_{0}$ condition of $\alpha(\cdot)$ yields $|u|^{\alpha(x-u)} \leq C|u|^{\alpha(x)}$ for $|u|<1$ (see [1]).
When $j \geq 1$, we may assume that $\psi_{j}$ is a radial function, and then applying the cancellation conditions on $\psi_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| & =\left|\int \psi_{j}(u)[f(x-u)-f(x)] d u\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|\int \psi_{j}(u)[f(x+u)+f(x-u)-2 f(x)] d u\right| \\
& \leq C \int_{|u|<1}\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(x-u)\right|\left|\psi_{j}(u)\right| d u+C \int_{|u| \geq 1}\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(x-u)\right|\left|\psi_{j}(u)\right| d u \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}\left\{\int_{|u|<1}|u|^{\alpha(x-u)}\left|\psi_{j}(u)\right| d u+\int_{|u| \geq 1}|u|^{\alpha(x-u)}\left|\psi_{j}(u)\right| d u\right\} \\
& \leq C 2^{-j \alpha(x)}\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha}(\cdot)}\left\{\int\left[|u|^{\alpha^{-}}+|u|^{\alpha^{+}}\right]|\psi(u)| d u\right\} \\
& \leq C 2^{-j \alpha(x)}\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha}(\cdot) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have obtained

$$
\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha}(\cdot)}
$$

Next we will consider the case where $m<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq m+1, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. First, we consider the case $j=0$,

$$
\left|\psi_{0} * f(x)\right|=\int\left|\psi_{0}(u)\right||f(x-u)| d u \leq C\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq m}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda_{m}^{\alpha}(\cdot)}
$$

For the case $j>0$, we now write $|\beta|=m, \widehat{\tilde{\psi}_{j}}(\xi)=\frac{(2 \pi i \xi)^{\beta} \widehat{\psi_{j}}(\xi)}{\left(4 \pi^{2} \xi| |^{2}\right)^{m}}$. Then $\psi_{j} * f=$ $\partial^{\beta} \tilde{\psi}_{j} * f=(-1)^{m} \tilde{\psi}_{j} * \partial^{\beta} f$. Notice that every $2^{j m} \tilde{\psi}_{j}$ satisfies the similar smoothness, size and cancellation conditions as $\psi_{j}$. Therefore, the similar argument yields that for any $j>0,|\beta|=m$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| & =\left|2^{-j m}\left(2^{j m} \widetilde{\psi}_{j} * \partial^{\beta} f(x)\right)\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{-j m} 2^{-j r(x)}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{r(\cdot)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}
$$

Note that $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0}$ implies that $\alpha(\cdot)$ is uniformly continuous. Let $\Omega_{i}$ be the domain of $\alpha_{i}(x) \neq 0$. Then we can get $\bigcup_{j} I_{i, j}=\Omega_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}(\cdot)$ is continuous on every $I_{i, j}$.

When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq \infty$, since $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0}$ implies that all $\alpha_{i}(\cdot) \in L H_{0}\left(I_{i, j}\right)$ for $\left[\alpha^{-}\right] \leq i \leq\left[\alpha^{+}\right]$,

$$
\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\sum_{m=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]}\|f\|_{\Lambda_{m}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}=\sum_{m=\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]} \sum_{|\beta|=m}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{r(\cdot)}}
$$

is a finite sum, so we are done.
To prove the converse statement, we first show that every distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ that fulfills

$$
\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C
$$

coincides with a bounded continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. As mentioned, $f(x)=$ $\sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x)$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Observe that

$$
\left|\psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq\left\|\psi_{j} * f\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) 2^{-j \alpha^{-}}
$$

Thus, the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f$ converges uniformly in $x$. Since $\psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the sum function $f$ is also continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover, we can get that

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) .
$$

Now we estimate $\|f\|_{\Lambda_{0}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}$, as follows. When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq 1$, to prove this, we only need to estimate that, for any $u \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(x-u)\right| & =|f(x+u)+f(x-u)-2 f(x)| \\
& \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right)|u|^{\alpha(x-u)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x-u)+f(x+u)-2 f(x) \\
& \quad=\sum_{j \geq 0} \int\left[\psi_{j}(x-u-w)+\psi_{j}(x+u-w)-2 \psi_{j}(x-w)\right]\left(\psi_{j} * f\right)(w) d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $|u| \geq 1$, we only need to apply the size condition of $\psi_{j}$. Hence we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(x)\right| & \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \alpha^{-}} \\
& \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right)|u|^{\alpha(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $|u| \leq 1$, we need to apply the smoothness condition and size conditon on $\psi_{j}$. Let $l$ be the unique nonnegative integer such that $2^{-l-1} \leq|u|<2^{-l}$. Hence we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\Delta_{u}^{2} f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \\
&\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) \\
& \times \sum_{j \geq 0} \int 2^{-j \alpha(x)}\left[\psi_{j}(x-u-w)+\psi_{j}(x+u-w)-2 \psi_{j}(x-w)\right] d w \\
& \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l} 2^{-j \alpha(x)}\left|2^{j} u\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=l}^{\infty} 2^{-j \alpha(x)}\right) \\
& \sim\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right)|u|^{\alpha(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $m<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+} \leq m+1$, we have $\partial^{\beta} f(x)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \partial^{\beta} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x)$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Since $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$, then
$\left|\partial^{\beta} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq\left\|\psi_{j} * f\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\partial^{\beta} \psi_{j}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) 2^{-j\left(\alpha^{-}-|\beta|\right)}$.
Thus,

$$
\sum_{|\beta| \leq m}\left\|\partial^{\beta} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j\left(\alpha^{-}-|\beta|\right)}\left(\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right|\right) \leq C
$$

On the other hand, observe that $|\beta|=m, \alpha(x)=m+r(x)$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial^{\beta} f(x-u)+\partial^{\beta} f(x+u)-2 \partial^{\beta} f(x) \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} \int\left[\partial^{\beta} \psi_{j}(x-u-w)+\partial^{\beta} \psi_{j}(x+u-w)-2 \partial^{\beta} \psi_{j}(x-w)\right] \\
& \quad \times\left(\psi_{j} * f\right)(w) d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we note that the properties of $\partial^{\beta} \psi_{j}$ are similar to $2^{j m} \psi_{j}$. Hence the estimate for this case is the same as the proof for the case above. When $0<\alpha^{-} \leq$ $\alpha^{+} \leq \infty$, by Definition 1.1 we split $\alpha=\sum_{\left[\alpha^{-}\right]}^{\left[\alpha^{+}\right]} \alpha_{i}$, where the decomposition is
finite sum. So this case can be handled similarly. With this, we have proved Theorem 1.2.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we need an inhomogeneous Calderón-type identity on $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ and $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. To do this, let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\operatorname{supp} \phi \subseteq B(0,1)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$ with

$$
|\widehat{\Phi}(\xi)| \geq C>0, \quad \operatorname{supp} \Phi \subset\{|\xi| \leq 2\}
$$

satisfying

$$
|\widehat{\Phi}(\xi)|^{2}+\sum_{j \geq 1}\left|\widehat{\phi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)\right|^{2}=1 \quad \text { for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi(x) x^{\alpha} d x=0 \quad \text { for all }|\alpha| \leq 10 M
$$

where $M$ is a fixed large positive integer depending on $\alpha$. We denote $\Phi=: \phi_{0}$ and $\phi_{j}(x)=2^{j n} \phi\left(2^{j} x\right)$.

The inhomogeneous Calderón-type identity is given by the following.
Proposition 3.1. Suppose that $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}^{0}$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy conditions above. Then for any $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ or $f \in \Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j \geq 0} \phi_{j} * \phi_{j} * f \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the distribution sense. Moreover, if we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} & =\sup _{j \geq 0} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\phi_{j} * f(x)\right|\left(\alpha^{+}<1\right) \\
\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} & =\sup _{j \geq 0} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\phi_{j} * f(x)\right|\left(\alpha^{+} \leq 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} \sim\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi} ; \quad\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} \sim\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi}
$$

Proof. By taking the Fourier transform, we have, for any $f \in L^{2}$,

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \phi_{j} * \phi_{j} * f(x) .
$$

Now we prove that the series in (3.1) converges in $\mathcal{S}$. To do this, it suffices to show that, for any fixed $L>0$ and any given integer $M \geq 0,|\alpha| \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\alpha}\left(\phi_{j} * \phi_{j} * f\right)(x)\right| \leq C 2^{-j L}(1+|x|)^{-M} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below, we will apply the almost-orthogonal estimate which can be found in many monographs (see [7] for more details). To be more precise, for any given positive integers $L, M$ and $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying cancellation conditions, then

$$
\left|\psi_{j} * \varphi_{k}(x)\right| \leq C \frac{2^{-|j-k| L} 2^{(j \wedge k) n}}{\left(1+2^{(j \wedge k)}|x|\right)^{(n+M)}}
$$

Using the almost-orthogonal estimate in [7, p.595] with the case one function has cancellation, we get that

$$
\left|\psi_{j} * g(x)\right| \leq C 2^{-j L} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{n+M}}
$$

for any $L, M \geq 0$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.
To prove (3.2), we need to apply the classical almost-orthogonality argument. On one hand, from the size conditions of the functions $\phi$, we have, for any given large $M$,

$$
\left|D^{\alpha} \phi_{j}(u)\right| \leq C 2^{j(n+|\alpha|)} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|2^{j} u\right|\right)^{M}}
$$

On the other hand, for any $L>0$, we have

$$
\left|\phi_{j} * f(u)\right| \leq C 2^{-j L} \frac{1}{(1+|u|)^{M}}
$$

Set $L>n+|\alpha|$, and we get the desired result. By the duality argument, we obtain the series in (3.1) converges in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Next we will show that

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} \sim\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi} ;
$$

the proof for $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is similar.
To conclude the proof, applying the Calderón identity, the classical almostorthogonality argument, and Theorem 1.2 , we get that for any $j \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\phi_{j} * f(x)\right| & =\sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\sum_{j^{\prime} \geq 0} \phi_{j} * \psi_{j^{\prime}} * \psi_{j^{\prime}} * f(x)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{j^{\prime} \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j^{\prime} \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} * f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi} .
$$

Similarly, by (3.1), the classical almost-orthogonality argument, and Theorem 1.2, we get

$$
\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\psi} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\phi}
$$

Therefore, the proof of Proposition 3.1 is concluded.
The following proposition plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.6.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}^{0}$. If $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ or $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+} \cap H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ or $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+} \cap \Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ such that $f_{n}$ converges to $f$ in the distribution sense, where $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha^{+}}$is the classical Besov space. Furthermore,

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}, \quad\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$; then we have the inhomogeneous Calderón's identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial sum of the above series will be denoted by $f_{n}$ and is given by

$$
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f(x)
$$

Then we get that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+}}<\infty
$$

In fact, applying the fact that $\left|\psi_{j} * f(x)\right| \leq C 2^{-j \alpha(x)}$ proved in Theorem 1.2 yields $\left\|\psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f\right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+}} \leq C$.

For any $g \in \mathcal{S}$, choosing $m \geq n>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f-f_{n}, g\right\rangle\right| & \leq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle f_{m}-f_{n}, g\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\langle\sum_{n<j \leq m} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j} * f, g\right\rangle\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that the series in (3.3) converges in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Thus, $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+}$ and converges to $f$ in the distribution sense.

To conclude the proof, note that

$$
\psi_{j} * f_{n}(x)=\sum_{0 \leq j^{\prime} \leq n} \psi_{j} * \psi_{j^{\prime}} * \psi_{j^{\prime}} * f(x)
$$

and by Theorem 1.2, it follows that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq C \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f_{n}(x)\right|
$$

Again applying the almost-orthogonal estimate and Theorem 1.2 , for any $j \geq 0$ we have

$$
2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * f_{n}(x)\right| \leq C \sup _{j^{\prime} \geq 0} 2^{j^{\prime} \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j^{\prime}} * f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}
$$

By similar argument, we can prove $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq\|f\|_{\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}}$. Therefore, the proof of Proposition 3.2 is completed.

Now we prove Theorem 1.6.
Proof of Theorem 1.6. We will prove that $T$ is a bounded operator on $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ with $\alpha^{+}<\epsilon$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+} \cap H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. In fact, by Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 3.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq C \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\phi_{j} * T f(x)\right| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we claim that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y)\right| & :=\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) d u d v \\
& \leq C\left(2^{\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) \epsilon} \wedge 1\right) \frac{1+\left(j-j \wedge j^{\prime}\right)}{\left[2^{-\left(j \wedge j^{\prime}\right)}+|x-y|\right]^{n+\sigma}} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma=\delta$ when $j=0$ or $j^{\prime}=0$, otherwise $\sigma=\epsilon$. By the fact that $T$ is bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+}$ for $0<\alpha^{+}<\epsilon$ given in [13], we then get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j} * T f(x)=\sum_{j^{\prime} \geq 0}\left(\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}\right) * \phi_{j^{\prime}} * f(x) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the claim, we will consider the cases where $j, j^{\prime}>0, j=0, j^{\prime}>0$ and $j^{\prime}=0, j>0$. (The idea here comes from [10].) When $j, j^{\prime}>0$ we consider the following four cases:

Case 1: $j>j^{\prime}$ and $|x-y| \leq 52^{-j^{\prime}}$. Since $T(1)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) d u d v \\
& =\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v)\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)-\phi_{j^{\prime}}(x-y)\right) d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a smooth function $\eta_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \eta_{0} \subset\{x:|x| \leq 6\}$, and let $\eta_{0}=1$ when $|x| \leq 2$. Set $\eta_{1}=1-\eta_{0}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y)\right| \\
& =\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v)\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)-\phi_{j^{\prime}}(x-y)\right) \eta_{0}\left(2^{j}(v-x)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v)\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)-\phi_{j^{\prime}}(x-y)\right) \eta_{1}\left(2^{j}(v-x)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \quad=I+I I .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I$, we denote $\varphi(v)=\left(\psi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)-\psi_{j^{\prime}}(x-y)\right) \eta_{0}\left(2^{j}(v-x)\right)$ and $\omega(u)=\phi_{j}(x-u)$. Since $T \in W B P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =|\langle T \varphi, \omega\rangle| \leq C 2^{-j(n+2 \eta)}\|\varphi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\eta}}\|\omega\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\eta}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-j(n+2 \eta)}\left\{2^{-\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)} 2^{j^{\prime} n} 2^{j \eta}\right\}\left\{2^{j n} 2^{j \eta}\right\} \\
& \leq C 2^{-\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)} 2^{j^{\prime} n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now deal with the term $I I$. By the cancellation condition of $\phi$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I= & \mid \iint \phi_{j}(x-u)[K(u, v)-K(x, v)] \\
& \times\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)-\phi_{j^{\prime}}(x-y)\right) \eta_{1}\left(2^{j}(v-x)\right) d u d v \mid \\
\leq & C\left(1+\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)\right) 2^{\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) \epsilon} 2^{j^{\prime} n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $j>j^{\prime}$ and $|x-y| \geq 52^{-j^{\prime}}$. In this case, it is easy to see that $|x-y| \sim$ $|u-v|$. Using the smoothness condition on the kernel $K(u, v)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y) & =\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) d u d v \\
& =\iint \phi_{j}(x-u)[K(u, v)-K(x, v)]\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)\right) d u d v \\
& \leq C \frac{2^{-j \epsilon}}{|x-y|^{n+\epsilon}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3: $j \leq j^{\prime}$ and $|x-y| \leq 52^{-j}$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y)\right| \\
& =\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) \eta_{0}\left(2^{j^{\prime}}(u-y)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) \eta_{1}\left(2^{j^{\prime}}(u-y)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \quad=I+I I .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I$, we denote $\tilde{\varphi}(u)=\phi_{j}(x-u) \eta_{0}\left(2^{j^{\prime}}(u-y)\right)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(u)=\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)$. Since $T \in W B P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =|\langle T \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\phi}\rangle| \leq C 2^{-j^{\prime}(n+2 \eta)}\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\dot{C}_{\eta}}\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\eta}} \\
& \leq C 2^{-j^{\prime}(n+2 \eta)}\left\{2^{j n} 2^{j^{\prime} \eta}\right\}\left\{2^{j^{\prime} n} 2^{j^{\prime} \eta}\right\} \\
& \leq C 2^{j n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For II, observing that $|u-v| \geq C 2^{-j}$ and the size condition of kernel $K$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & =\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) \eta_{1}\left(2^{j^{\prime}}(u-y)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \leq\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) \frac{1}{|u-v|^{n}} \psi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) \eta_{1}\left(2^{j^{\prime}}(u-y)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \leq C 2^{j n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4: $j \leq j^{\prime}$ and $|x-y| \geq 52^{-j}$. Noting that $|x-y| \sim|u-v|$ and using the fact that $\phi_{j} 1=0$ and the smoothness condition on the kernel $K(u, v)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi_{j} T \phi_{j^{\prime}}(x, y)\right| & =\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u) K(u, v) \phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y) d u d v\right| \\
& =\left|\iint \phi_{j}(x-u)[K(u, v)-K(x, v)]\left(\phi_{j^{\prime}}(v-y)\right) d u d v\right| \\
& \leq C \frac{2^{-j \epsilon}}{|x-y|^{n+\epsilon}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other cases are similar but simple. Thus, we prove the claim.

Observe that $0<\alpha^{+}<\epsilon$. Combining (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|T f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)} \sum_{j^{\prime} \geq 0}\left(2^{\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) \epsilon} \wedge 1\right)\left(1+\left(j-j \wedge j^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\phi_{j} * f(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j^{\prime} \geq 0} 2^{j^{\prime} \alpha(x)} 2^{\left(j-j^{\prime}\right) \alpha(x)} 2\left(2^{\left(j^{\prime}-j\right) \epsilon} \wedge 1\right)\left(1+\left(j-j \wedge j^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\phi_{j} * f(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{j^{\prime} \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j^{\prime} \alpha(x)}\left|\phi_{j^{\prime}} * f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we can extend $T$ to $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ as follows. By Proposition 3.2, if $f \in H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$, then there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}_{2,2}^{\alpha+} \cap H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ such that $f_{n}$ converges to $f$ in the distribution sense. Furthermore,

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} .
$$

Using (3.7) shows that

$$
\left\|T\left(f_{n}-f_{m}\right)\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq\left\|f_{n}-f_{m}\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}}
$$

On the other hand, by duality, for any $g \in \mathcal{S}$ we get that

$$
\left\langle T\left(f_{n}-f_{m}\right), g\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{n}-f_{m}, T^{*} g\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $T^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $T$. Hence, $T f_{n}$ converges in the distribution sense and we can define

$$
T f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T f_{n} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}
$$

Applying Theorem 1.2 again and Fatou's lemma, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} & \leq C \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{j} * T f_{n}(x)\right| \\
& \leq C \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{j \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\psi_{j} * T f_{n}(x)\right| \\
& \leq C \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{\alpha(\cdot)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.6.

## 4. Remark

In this last section, we remark that a class of the pseudodifferential operators is continuous on the inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces of variable order, although these operators are not, in general, continuous on $L^{2}$ (see [13]).

Repeating the analogous argument in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we can obtain the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\alpha(\cdot) \in L H_{0} \cap \mathcal{P}^{0}$. Suppose that the kernel $K(x, y)$ of $T$ satisfying the following estimates for $|x-y| \geq 1$,
(i) $\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} K(x, y)\right| \leq C_{2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N}}$ for any $|\beta| \leq \gamma$ and $N>1$;
(ii) $\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} K(x, y)-\partial_{x}^{\beta} K\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \leq C_{2} \frac{\left.|x-y|\right|^{\epsilon}}{|x-y|^{N}}$
where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r$ are defined by $\gamma=m+\epsilon$ with $0<\epsilon \leq 1$ and where $|\beta|=m$ and $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq 1 / 2|x-y|$. Also, $T\left(x^{\beta}\right)=0$ when $|\beta| \leq m$ and $T \in W B P$. Then $T$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $H^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ and $\Lambda^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ for any $\alpha^{+} \leq \gamma$.

Remark 4.2. An immediate result of the proposition is that the pseudodifferential operators $T \in \mathcal{O} p S_{1,1}^{0}$ (whose symbols fulfill that $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \sigma(x, \xi)\right| \leq C(\alpha, \beta)(1+$ $|\xi|)^{|\beta|-|\alpha|}$ ) are continuous on the inhomogeneous Hölder-Zygmund spaces of variable order, since the corresponding kernel $K(x, y)$ of the symbol $\sigma(x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} K(x, y)\right| \leq C_{3} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{(n+|\alpha|+|\beta|)}},
$$

when $|x-y| \leq 1$, and

$$
\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} K(x, y)\right| \leq C_{3} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N}}
$$

for all $N \geq 1$, where $|x-y| \geq 1\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right)$.
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