

# A Nonstandard Counterpart of WWKL

Stephen G. Simpson and Keita Yokoyama

**Abstract** In this paper, we introduce a system of nonstandard second-order arithmetic ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> which consists of ns-BASIC plus Loeb measure property. Then we show that ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> is a conservative extension of WWKL<sub>0</sub> and we do Reverse Mathematics for this system.

## 1 Introduction

In [4] Keisler characterized the “big five” subsystems of second-order arithmetic RCA<sub>0</sub>, WKL<sub>0</sub>, ACA<sub>0</sub>, ATR<sub>0</sub>, and Π<sub>1</sub><sup>1</sup>-CA<sub>0</sub> in terms of systems of nonstandard arithmetic (for the details of these systems, see [6]). In [11] we introduced systems of nonstandard second-order arithmetic corresponding to RCA<sub>0</sub>, WKL<sub>0</sub>, and ACA<sub>0</sub> within which we can do nonstandard analysis. In this paper we introduce a nonstandard counterpart of the system WWKL<sub>0</sub>. WWKL<sub>0</sub> is an appropriate system for some measure theory. It consists of RCA<sub>0</sub> plus “weak weak König’s lemma” (see [1; 12; 13] and [6, Section X.1]). We use some properties of Loeb measure to give a nonstandard characterization of WWKL<sub>0</sub>.

## 2 Systems of Nonstandard Second-Order Arithmetic

We first introduce the language of nonstandard second-order arithmetic.

**Definition 2.1** The language of nonstandard second-order arithmetic  $\mathcal{L}_2^*$  is defined by the following:

standard number variables:	$x^s, y^s, \dots,$
nonstandard number variables:	$x^*, y^*, \dots,$
standard set variables:	$X^s, Y^s, \dots,$
nonstandard set variables:	$X^*, Y^*, \dots,$
function and relation symbols:	$0^s, 1^s, =^s, +^s, \cdot^s, <^s, \in^s,$ $0^*, 1^*, =^*, +^*, \cdot^*, <^*, \in^*, \sqrt{\cdot}.$

Received August 20, 2010; accepted January 31, 2011; printed July 25, 2011

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary, 03F35; Secondary, 26E35

Keywords: second-order arithmetic, nonstandard analysis, reverse mathematics, weak weak König’s lemma, Martin-Löf random

© 2011 by University of Notre Dame 10.1215/00294527-1435429

Here,  $0^s, 1^s, =^s, +^s, \cdot^s, <^s, \in^s$  denote “the standard structure” of second-order arithmetic;  $0^*, 1^*, =^*, +^*, \cdot^*, <^*, \in^*$  denote “the nonstandard structure” of second-order arithmetic; and  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  denotes an embedding from the standard structure to the nonstandard structure.

The terms and formulas of the language of nonstandard second-order arithmetic are as follows. *Standard numerical terms* are built up from standard number variables and the constant symbols  $0^s$  and  $1^s$  by means of  $+^s$  and  $\cdot^s$ . *Nonstandard numerical terms* are built up from nonstandard number variables, the constant symbols  $0^*$  and  $1^*$  and  $\sqrt{(t^s)}$  by means of  $+^*$  and  $\cdot^*$ , where  $t^s$  is a numerical term. *Standard set terms* are standard set variables and *nonstandard set terms* are nonstandard set variables and  $\sqrt{(X^s)}$  whenever  $X^s$  is a standard set term. *Atomic formulas* are  $t_1^s =^s t_2^s$ ,  $t_1^s <^s t_2^s$ ,  $t_1^s \in^s X^s$ ,  $t_1^* =^* t_2^*$ ,  $t_1^* <^* t_2^*$ , and  $t_1^* \in^* X^*$  where  $t_1^s, t_2^s$  are standard numerical terms,  $t_1^*, t_2^*$  are nonstandard numerical terms,  $X^s$  is a standard set term, and  $X^*$  is a nonstandard set term. *Formulas* are built up from atomic formulas by means of propositional connectives and quantifiers. A *sentence* is a formula without free variables.

Let  $\varphi$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -formula. We write  $\varphi^s$  for the  $\mathcal{L}_2^*$ -formula constructed by adding  $^s$  to all occurrences of bound variables, relations, and operations of  $\varphi$ . Similarly, we write  $\varphi^*$  for the  $\mathcal{L}_2^*$  formula constructed by adding  $^*$ . We sometimes omit  $^s$  and  $^*$  for relations and operations. We write  $t^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  for  $\sqrt{(t^s)}$  and  $X^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  for  $\sqrt{(X^s)}$ . We sometimes write  $\vec{x}$  (respectively,  $\vec{X}$ ) for a finite sequence of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  (respectively,  $X_1, \dots, X_k$ ).

A model for  $\mathcal{L}_2^*$  is a triple  $\mathcal{M} = (V_{\mathcal{M}}^s, V_{\mathcal{M}}^*, \sqrt{\phantom{x}}_{\mathcal{M}})$  such that

(s)  $V_{\mathcal{M}}^s = (M_{\mathcal{M}}^s, S_{\mathcal{M}}^s, =^s_{\mathcal{M}}, +^s_{\mathcal{M}}, \cdot^s_{\mathcal{M}}, 0^s_{\mathcal{M}}, 1^s_{\mathcal{M}}, <^s_{\mathcal{M}}, \in^s_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a model for

$$\{=^s, +^s, \cdot^s, 0^s, 1^s, <^s, \in^s\},$$

and

(\*)  $V_{\mathcal{M}}^* = (M_{\mathcal{M}}^*, S_{\mathcal{M}}^*, =^*_{\mathcal{M}}, +^*_{\mathcal{M}}, \cdot^*_{\mathcal{M}}, 0^*_{\mathcal{M}}, 1^*_{\mathcal{M}}, <^*_{\mathcal{M}}, \in^*_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a model for

$$\{=^*, +^*, \cdot^*, 0^*, 1^*, <^*, \in^*\};$$

that is,  $V_{\mathcal{M}}^s$  and  $V_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  are models for  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , and  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a function from  $M_{\mathcal{M}}^s \cup S_{\mathcal{M}}^s$  to  $M_{\mathcal{M}}^* \cup S_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ . We usually omit the subscript  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In Section 4 we will do nonstandard analysis within nonstandard second-order arithmetic without fixing models. When we do nonstandard analysis, we need to mention the standard universe and the nonstandard universe. In such a context, we use  $V^s$  to denote the standard universe and  $V^*$  to denote the nonstandard universe, without mentioning models. We sometimes say that “ $\varphi$  holds in  $V^s$ ” (abbreviated  $V^s \models \varphi$ ) if  $\varphi^s$  holds, and we say that “ $\varphi$  holds in  $V^*$ ” (abbreviated  $V^* \models \varphi$ ) if  $\varphi^*$  holds. Here  $V^s$  and  $V^*$  do not refer to fixed models. We use this notation only in order to make our nonstandard arguments more accessible.

We next introduce some typical axioms of nonstandard second-order arithmetic.

### Definition 2.2

*embedding principle* (EMB):  $\forall \vec{x}^s \forall \vec{X}^s (\varphi(\vec{x}^s, \vec{X}^s)^s \leftrightarrow \varphi(\vec{x}^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}}, \vec{X}^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}})^*)$

where  $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{X})$  is any atomic  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -formula with exactly the displayed free variables.

*end extension principle* (E):  $\forall x^* \forall y^s (x^* < y^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}} \rightarrow \exists z^s (x^* = z^s\sqrt{\phantom{x}}))$ .

$\Sigma_j^i$  overspill principle ( $\Sigma_j^i$ -OS):

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \vec{x}^* \forall \vec{X}^* (\forall y^s \exists z^s (z^s \geq y^s \wedge \varphi(z^{s\sqrt{\cdot}}, \vec{x}^*, \vec{X}^*)^*)) \\ & \rightarrow \exists y^* (\forall w^s (y^* > w^{s\sqrt{\cdot}}) \wedge \varphi(y^*, \vec{x}^*, \vec{X}^*)^*) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(y, \vec{x}, \vec{X})$  is any  $\Sigma_j^i$   $\mathcal{L}_2$ -formula with exactly the displayed free variables.

$\Sigma_j^i$  equivalence principle ( $\Sigma_j^i$ -EQ):  $(\varphi^s \leftrightarrow \varphi^*)$  where  $\varphi$  is any  $\Sigma_j^i$   $\mathcal{L}_2$ -sentence.

$\Sigma_j^i$  transfer principle ( $\Sigma_j^i$ -TP):  $\forall \vec{x}^s \forall \vec{X}^s (\varphi(\vec{x}^s, \vec{X}^s)^s \leftrightarrow \varphi(\vec{x}^{s\sqrt{\cdot}}, \vec{X}^{s\sqrt{\cdot}})^*)$

where  $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{X})$  is any  $\Sigma_j^i$   $\mathcal{L}_2$ -formula with exactly the displayed free variables.

Now we define the base system of nonstandard second-order arithmetic.

**Definition 2.3 (The system ns-BASIC)** The axioms of ns-BASIC are the following:

- standard structure:  $(\text{RCA}_0)^s$
- basic axioms:  $\text{EMB}, \text{E}$
- nonstandard axioms:  $\Sigma_1^0\text{-OS}, \Sigma_2^1\text{-EQ}, \Sigma_0^0\text{-TP}$ .

**Theorem 2.4 (Conservativity)** ns-BASIC is a conservative extension of  $\text{RCA}_0$ ; that is,  $\text{ns-BASIC} \vdash \psi^s$  implies  $\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \psi$  for any  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -sentence.

**Proof** This is a straightforward consequence of Tanaka's self-embedding theorem [9] and Harrington's theorem [6, Theorem IX.2.1].  $\square$

Within ns-BASIC, a standard set  $A^s$  is said to be the *standard part* of a nonstandard set  $B^*$  (abbreviated  $B^* \upharpoonright M^s = A^s$ ) if  $\forall x^s (x^s \in A^s \leftrightarrow x^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \in B^*)$ . By  $\Sigma_0^0\text{-TP}$ , we can show  $\forall X^s (X^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright M^s = X^s)$ . The existence of the standard part of any nonstandard set provides a nonstandard counterpart of  $\text{WKL}_0$ .

**Definition 2.5 (The system ns-WKL<sub>0</sub>)** ns-WKL<sub>0</sub> consists of ns-BASIC plus standard part principle (ST) which asserts

$$\forall X^* \exists Y^s \forall x^s (x^s \in Y^s \leftrightarrow x^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \in X^*).$$

**Theorem 2.6 (Conservativity)** ns-WKL<sub>0</sub> is a conservative extension of  $\text{WKL}_0$ ; that is,  $\text{ns-WKL}_0 \vdash \psi^s$  implies  $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \psi$  for any  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -sentence..

**Proof** This is a straightforward consequence of Tanaka's self-embedding theorem [9]. See also [11].  $\square$

### 3 ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>

In this section we define Loeb measure for trees and introduce another nonstandard axiom LMP (Loeb measure property) and a new system ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. Then we show that LMP is a nonstandard counterpart of weak weak König's lemma.

Within  $\text{RCA}_0$ , we define the measure  $\mu$  for binary trees as

$$\mu(T) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\sigma \in T \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = i\}|}{2^i}.$$

Similarly, we define

$$\mu(T) \geq a \Leftrightarrow \forall i \left( \frac{|\{\sigma \in T \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = i\}|}{2^i} \geq a \right),$$

and  $\mu(T) > a \Leftrightarrow \exists b > a \mu(T) \geq b$ . Note that we have defined the relation  $\mu(T) \geq a$  or  $\mu(T) > a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  even if  $\mu(T)$  does not exist.  $\text{WWKL}_0$  consists of  $\text{RCA}_0$  plus weak König's lemma (WWKL) which asserts that a binary tree  $T$  has a path if  $\mu(T) > 0$ .

Within ns-BASIC, both  $V^s$  and  $V^*$  satisfy  $\text{RCA}_0$  by  $\text{RCA}_0^s + \Sigma_2^1\text{-EQ}$ . Thus, we can develop basic parts of mathematics in both  $V^s$  and  $V^*$  just as in  $\text{RCA}_0$ . We can define real numbers, open sets, continuous functions, complete separable metric spaces, and so on in both  $V^s$  and  $V^*$ . For example,  $\mathbb{N}^s = \{x^s \mid x^s = x^s\}$  is a set of (standard) natural numbers in  $V^s$ ,  $\mathbb{N}^* = \{x^* \mid x^* = x^*\}$  is a set of (nonstandard) natural numbers in  $V^*$ ,  $\alpha^s = \langle a^s(i^s) \mid i^s \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  is said to be a (standard) real number in  $V^s$  (abbreviated  $\alpha^s \in \mathbb{R}^s$ ) if  $|a^s(i^s) - a^s(i^s + k^s)| < 2^{-i^s}$  for any  $i^s, k^s \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $\alpha^* = \langle a^*(i^*) \mid i^* \in \mathbb{N}^* \rangle$  is said to be a (nonstandard) real number in  $V^*$  (abbreviated  $\alpha^* \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ) if  $|a^*(i^*) - a^*(i^* + k^*)| < 2^{-i^*}$  for any  $i^*, k^* \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and so on. Then we can do nonstandard analysis in this system. From now on, we identify a standard natural number  $x^s \in \mathbb{N}^s$  with a nonstandard natural number  $x^{s\checkmark} \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and consider  $\mathbb{N}^s \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ . By this identification, we usually omit superscripts  $^s$  and  $^*$  for natural numbers. Similarly, we consider a set of standard rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}^s$  (defined in  $V^s$ ) as a subset of a set of nonstandard rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  (defined in  $V^*$ ) and omit superscripts for rational numbers since rational numbers are coded by natural numbers.

We now define the standard part of a real number.

**Definition 3.1 (Standard part)** The following definition is made in ns-BASIC. Let  $\alpha^* = \langle a^*(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^* \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^*$  in  $V^*$  and  $\beta^s = \langle b^s(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^s$  in  $V^s$ . Then  $\beta^s$  is said to be the standard part of  $\alpha^*$  (abbreviated  $\text{st}(\alpha^*) = \beta^s$ ) if

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}^s |a^*(i) - b^s(i)| \leq 2^{-i} \text{ (in } V^* \text{)}.$$

We sometimes write  $\text{st}(\alpha^*) \in \mathbb{R}^s$  if  $\exists \gamma^s \in \mathbb{R}^s \text{st}(\alpha^*) = \gamma^s$ .

Similarly to the definition of standard parts, we write  $\text{st}(\alpha^*) \leq \beta^s$  if

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}^s a^*(i) \leq b^s(i) + 2^{-i} \text{ (in } V^* \text{)}.$$

Note that we have defined  $\text{st}(\alpha^*) \leq \beta^s$  even if the standard part of  $\alpha^*$  does not exist in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . We write  $\alpha_1^* \approx \alpha_2^*$  if  $\text{st}(\alpha_1^* - \alpha_2^*) = 0$ . Note that the existence of standard parts of real numbers is equivalent to ns-WKL<sub>0</sub> over ns-BASIC (see [3]).

Now we introduce a nonstandard axiom for Loeb measure and a new system ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. Let  $T^*$  be a tree in  $V^*$ , and let  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ . Then we define the Loeb measure  $L_\omega$  as

$$L_\omega(T^*) = \text{st}(|\{\sigma \in T^* \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = \omega\}|/2^\omega),$$

and we define the relation  $L_\omega(T^*) > \alpha^s$  for  $\alpha^s \in \mathbb{R}^s$  as

$$L_\omega(T^*) > a \Leftrightarrow \text{st}(|\{\sigma \in T^* \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = \omega\}|/2^\omega) \not\leq a.$$

Note that we have defined the relation  $L_\omega(T^*) > \alpha^s$  even if  $L_\omega(T^*)$  does not exist.

**Definition 3.2 (Loeb measure property)** Loeb measure property (LMP) is the following statement: if a tree  $T^*$  has positive Loeb measure, that is, there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $L_\omega(T^*) > 0$ , then there exists a function  $f^s : \mathbb{N}^s \rightarrow 2$  such that  $f^s[n] \in T^*$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^s$ .

LMP asserts that if  $T^*$  has positive Loeb measure, then  $T^* \upharpoonright M^s$  has a path (even if  $T^* \upharpoonright M^s$  does not exist in  $V^s$ ).

**Definition 3.3 (The system ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>)** ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> consists of ns-BASIC plus LMP.

The next two theorems show that LMP is a nonstandard counterpart of weak König’s lemma.

**Theorem 3.4** ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> implies (WWKL)<sup>s</sup>.

**Proof** We reason within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. Let  $T^s$  be a tree such that  $\mu(T^s) > 0$ . Then there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}^s \frac{|\{\sigma \in T^s \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = i\}|}{2^i} > 2^{-m}.$$

By  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that

$$\frac{|\{\sigma \in T^{s\vee} \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = \omega\}|}{2^\omega} > 2^{-m}.$$

Thus,  $L_\omega(T^{s\vee}) > 0$ . Hence, by LMP,  $T^s = T^{s\vee} \upharpoonright M^s$  has a path in  $V^s$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5 (Conservativity)** ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> is a conservative extension of WWKL<sub>0</sub>; that is, ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>  $\vdash \psi^s$  implies WWKL<sub>0</sub>  $\vdash \psi$  for any sentence  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_2$ .

In order to prove this theorem, we first prove the following lemma concerning models of WWKL<sub>0</sub> and WKL<sub>0</sub>.

**Lemma 3.6** Let  $(M, S) \models \text{WWKL}_0$  be a countable model. Then there exists an  $\omega$ -extension  $\bar{S} \supseteq S$  such that  $(M, \bar{S}) \models \text{WKL}_0$  and the pair  $\bar{S}$  and  $S$  satisfy the following:

( $\dagger$ ) for any binary tree  $T \in \bar{S}$ ,

$$\mu(T) > 0 \rightarrow \exists f \in S \text{ (} f \text{ is a path through } T\text{)}.$$

Note that this lemma is a generalization of the following proposition which was independently obtained by Downey, Hirschfeldt, Miller, and Nies [2, Proposition 7.4] and Reimann and Slaman [5, Theorem 4.5].

**Proposition 3.7** If  $X \in 2^\omega$  is Martin-Löf random, then for any nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$ -class  $P \subseteq 2^\omega$  there exists  $A \in P$  such that  $X$  is Martin-Löf random relative to  $A$ .

**Proof** This follows easily from the special case of Lemma 3.6 where  $(M, S)$  is an  $\omega$ -model.  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 3.6** Within RCA<sub>0</sub>, we can define the notion of Turing reducibility “ $A \leq_T B$ ” and the notion of Martin-Löf randomness “ $A$  is  $B$ -random” by using a universal  $\Pi_1^0$  formula. Within WKL<sub>0</sub>, we can show the following.

1. Let  $\varphi(X, Y)$  be a generalized  $\Pi_1^0$  formula with exactly the displayed free variables; that is,  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi(X, Y) \equiv \exists Z \psi(X, Y, Z)$  such that  $\psi$  is a  $\Pi_1^0$  formula, and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists a binary tree  $T_{\varphi(\cdot, A)} \leq_T A$  such that  $B$  is a path through  $T_{\varphi(\cdot, A)}$  if and only if  $\varphi(B, A)$ . (Here we identify a set with its characteristic function.)

2. There is a  $\Pi_1^0$  formula  $\Theta(X, Y)$  such that for any  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Theta(B, A)$  implies  $B$  is  $A$ -random and  $\mu(T_{\Theta(\cdot, A)}) > 0$ .
3. If  $B$  is  $A$ -random and  $T \leq_T A$  is a binary tree with  $\mu(T) > 0$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B^{(k)} = \{n \mid n+k \in B\}$  is a path through  $T$ .

(1) is a well-known fact within  $\text{WKL}_0$  (see [6, Lemma VIII.2.4]). Formalizing the usual arguments for randomness, we can show (2) and (3). For (2), see [7, Theorem 3.2], and for (3), see [7, Lemma 4.12].

Let  $(M, S)$  be a countable model of  $\text{WWKL}_0$ . For any countable  $\omega$ -extension  $S' \supseteq S$  such that  $(M, S') \models \text{RCA}_0$ , we write  $S' \supseteq_r S$  if for any  $A \in S'$ , there exists  $B \in S$  such that  $B$  is  $A$ -random. Clearly,  $S \supseteq_r S$  by (2). For a binary tree  $T$ , we write  $X \in [T]$  if (the characteristic function of)  $X$  is a path through  $T$ .

**Claim** Let  $S' \supseteq S$  be a countable  $\omega$ -extension such that  $(M, S') \models \text{RCA}_0$  and  $S' \supseteq_r S$ . Let  $T \in S'$  be an infinite binary tree. Then there exists a countable  $\omega$ -extension  $S'' \supseteq S'$  such that there exists a path through  $T$  in  $S''$ ,  $(M, S'') \models \text{RCA}_0$  and  $S'' \supseteq_r S$ .

We show this claim by a forcing argument. By Harrington's theorem, there exists an extension  $\tilde{S} \supseteq S'$  such that  $(M, \tilde{S})$  is a model of  $\text{WKL}_0$  (see, e.g., [6, Theorem IX.2.1]). We will argue in this model. Let  $S' = \{A_i\}_{i < \omega}$  and let  $\{U_m^i \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid m < d_i\} \in S'\}_{i < \omega}$  be an enumeration of all finite sequences of binary trees belonging to  $S'$ . We construct a descending sequence of infinite binary trees  $T = T_0 \supseteq T_1 \supseteq T_2 \supseteq \dots$  such that  $T_i \in S'$  and  $\{T_i\}_{i < \omega}$  satisfies the following:

- (i)  $\forall m < d_i ([T_{i+1}] \cap [U_m^i] = \emptyset \vee T_{i+1} \subseteq U_m^i)$  (this condition is for Harrington's forcing argument);
- (ii) there exists  $B_i \in S$  such that  $X \in [T_{i+1}] \rightarrow \Theta(B_i, X \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i)$  where  $\Theta$  is defined in (2) (this means that  $T_{i+1}$  forces ' $B_i$  is  $X \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$ -random if  $X \in [T_i]$ ').

For given  $T_i$ , we construct  $T_{i+1} \subseteq T_i$  as follows. By (1), there exists a tree  $\hat{T} \leq_T T_i \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$  such that  $Z \in [\hat{T}] \leftrightarrow \exists X (X \in [T_i] \wedge \Theta(Z, X \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i))$ . Since  $\hat{T} \in S'$ , there exists  $B \in S$  such that  $B$  is  $\hat{T}$ -random. By (2),  $\mu(\hat{T}) > 0$ . Thus, there exists  $k \in M$  such that  $B^{(k)} \in [\hat{T}]$  by (3). Define  $B_i$  as  $B_i = B^{(k)}$ . By (1), take a tree  $T'_i \leq_T B_i \oplus T_i \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$  such that  $X \in [T'_i] \leftrightarrow \Theta(B_i, X) \wedge X \in [T_i]$ . Then  $T'_i$  is infinite since  $\exists X \Theta(B_i, X) \wedge X \in [T_i]$ , and  $T'_i \in S'$ . Take  $C \in \tilde{S}$  such that  $C \in [T'_i]$  and define  $k \in M$  as  $k = \min\{k' \mid \forall m < d_i (C[k'] \in U_m^i \rightarrow C \in [U_m^i])\}$ . Define  $\tau = C[k]$  and define  $T_{i+1} \in S'$  as

$$T_{i+1} = \{\sigma \in T'_i \mid \sigma \subseteq \tau \vee (\tau \subseteq \sigma \wedge \forall m < d_i (\tau \in U_m^i \rightarrow \sigma \in U_m^i))\}.$$

Then  $T_{i+1}$  is infinite since  $C \in [T_{i+1}]$ , and  $T_{i+1}$  satisfies the desired conditions.

Now we construct an  $\omega$ -extension  $S''$ . Define a set  $G \subseteq M$  as  $G = \bigcap_i [T_i]$ ; that is,  $a \in G$  if and only if there exists  $\sigma \in T$  such that  $\sigma(a) = 1$  and  $\sigma \in T_i$  for any  $i < \omega$ . For given  $\Sigma_1^0$  formula  $\varphi(m, X)$  and  $d \in M$ , there exists  $i < \omega$  such that  $\forall m < d \forall X (\varphi(m, X) \leftrightarrow X \notin [U_m^i])$ . Thus, for any  $m < d$ ,  $\varphi(m, G)$  is equivalent to  $T_{i+1} \subseteq U_m^i$ , and this means that  $(M, S' \cup \{G\})$  satisfies bounded  $\Sigma_1^0$  comprehension, which is equivalent to  $\text{IS}_1^0$ . Define  $S''$  as  $S'' = \Delta_1^0\text{-Def}(M, S' \cup \{G\})$ . Then  $(M, S'') \models \text{RCA}_0 \wedge G \in [T]$ . To show  $S'' \supseteq_r S$ , we only need to show that  $B_i$  is  $G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$ -random for any  $i < \omega$ . By the normal form theorem [6, Theorem II.2.7], there exists a  $\Sigma_0^0$  formula

$\theta(n, X, Y)$  such that  $\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \Theta(X, Y) \leftrightarrow \forall n \theta(n, X[n], Y[n])$ . We show that  $(M, S'') \models \forall n \theta(n, B_i[n], G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i[n])$ . For any  $n \in M$ , there exists  $C_n \in \tilde{S}$  such that  $C_n \in [T_{i+1}]$  and  $C_n \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i[n] = G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i[n]$ . Since  $(M, \tilde{S}) \models \forall X (X \in [T_{i+1}] \rightarrow \Theta(B_i, X \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i))$ , we have  $(M, \tilde{S}) \models \theta(B_i[n], C_n \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i[n])$ . Thus,  $(M, S'') \models \forall n \theta(n, B_i[n], G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i[n])$ . Hence  $(M, S'') \models \Theta(B_i, G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i)$ ; thus  $B_i$  is  $G \oplus A_0 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$ -random. This completes the proof of the claim.

Using the claim repeatedly, we can construct a sequence of  $\omega$ -extensions  $S = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \dots$  such that  $S_i \supseteq_r S$  and for any infinite binary tree  $T \in S_i$ , there exists a path  $A \in S_{i+1}$  through  $T$ . Define  $\tilde{S}$  as  $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_i S_i$ . Then  $(M, \tilde{S}) \models \text{WKL}_0$  and for any binary tree  $T \in \tilde{S}$ ,  $\mu(T) > 0 \rightarrow \exists f \in S$   $f$  is a path through  $T$  by (3).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.5** We show that  $\text{WWKL}_0 \not\models \psi$  implies  $\text{ns-WWKL}_0 \not\models \psi^s$ . Let  $(M, S) \models \text{WWKL}_0$  be a countable model such that  $(M, S) \models \neg \psi$  and  $M \not\cong \omega$ . By Lemma 3.6, there exists  $\tilde{S} \supseteq S$  such that  $(M, \tilde{S}) \models \text{WKL}_0$  and  $\tilde{S}$  and  $S$  satisfy the condition  $(\dagger)$ . We prepare some notation for a self-embedding of a model of second-order arithmetic. Let  $M^{<c} = \{a \in M \mid a < c\}$ ,  $\tilde{S}^{<c} = \{A \cap M^{<c} \mid A \in \tilde{S}\}$ , and  $\tilde{S} \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)} = \{A \cap \sqrt{(M)} \mid A \in \tilde{S}\}$ . Note that  $\tilde{S}^{<c} \subseteq S$ . Then, by Tanaka's self-embedding theorem for  $\text{WKL}_0$  (see [9]), there exist  $c \in M$  and a homomorphism  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}} : M \cup \tilde{S} \rightarrow M^{<c} \cup \tilde{S}^{<c}$  such that  $\sqrt{(M)}$  is a semi-regular cut of  $M$  and  $(\sqrt{(M)}, \tilde{S} \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)}) = (\sqrt{(M)}, \sqrt{(\tilde{S})} \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)}) \cong (M, \tilde{S})$ . Define a model  $\mathcal{M}$  as  $V^s = (M^s, S^s) = (M, S)$ ,  $V^* = (M^*, S^*) = (M, S)$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (V^s, V^*, \sqrt{\phantom{x}} \upharpoonright M \cup S)$ , and define a model  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  as  $\tilde{V}^s = (M^s, \tilde{S}^s) = (M, \tilde{S})$ ,  $\tilde{V}^* = (M^*, \tilde{S}^*) = (M, \tilde{S})$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\tilde{V}^s, \tilde{V}^*, \sqrt{\phantom{x}})$ . Then we can easily check that  $\mathcal{M} \models \text{ns-BASIC}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \models \text{ns-WKL}_0$ , and  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg \psi^s$ . We show that  $\mathcal{M} \models \text{LMP}$ . Let  $H \in M^* \setminus M^s$  and let  $T^* \in S^*$  be a binary tree such that  $L_H(T^*) > 0$ . By STP in  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $T^* \upharpoonright M^s \in \tilde{S}^s$ . Then  $\mu(T^* \upharpoonright M^s) \geq L_H(T^*) > 0$ . By the condition  $(\dagger)$ , there exists a path  $A^s \in S^s$  through  $T^* \upharpoonright M^s$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{M} \models \text{LMP}$ , and  $\mathcal{M} \models \text{ns-WWKL}_0$  by Theorem 3.4. Therefore,  $\text{ns-WWKL}_0 \not\models \psi^s$ , and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8** LMP is a purely nonstandard axiom; that is, for any true  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -sentence  $\varphi$ ,  $\text{ns-BASIC} + \varphi^s$  does not imply LMP. To show this, let  $(M, S)$  be a nonstandard countable model of  $\text{WKL}_0 + \varphi$ . Then we can construct  $S', S'' \subseteq \mathcal{P}(M)$  such that  $S \subseteq S' \subseteq S''$ ,  $(M, S'')$  is a model of  $\text{WKL}_0$ , and  $S' = \Delta_1^0\text{-Def}(M; \{X\})$  for some  $X \in S'$  (for this construction, see, e.g., [8]). Note that  $S' \not\subseteq_r S''$ ; thus,  $S \not\subseteq_r S''$ . By Tanaka's self-embedding theorem, there exist  $c \in M$  and a homomorphism  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}} : M \cup S'' \rightarrow M^{<c} \cup S''^{<c}$  such that

$$(\sqrt{(M)}, S'' \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)}) = (\sqrt{(M)}, \sqrt{(S'')} \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)}) \cong (M, S'').$$

Then  $\mathcal{M} = ((M, S), (M, S), \sqrt{\phantom{x}} \upharpoonright M \cup S)$  is a model of  $\text{ns-BASIC} + (\text{WKL}_0)^s + \varphi^s$ . Since  $S \not\subseteq_r S'' = S'' \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)} = S \upharpoonright \sqrt{(M)}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is not a model of LMP.

### 4 Reverse Mathematics for Nonstandard Measure Theory

**4.1 Nonstandard Reverse Mathematics** In this section we do Reverse Mathematics for some basic propositions of nonstandard measure theory and nonstandard integral theory. We reason within  $\text{ns-BASIC}$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we define  $\Omega_m \subseteq [0, 1]$  in  $V^*$  as  $\Omega_m = \{i/2^m \in \mathbb{Q}^* \mid 0 \leq i < 2^m\}$ . We mainly consider the case  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ .

We define the image and the inverse image of  $\text{st}$  for nonstandard finite sets  $A^* \subseteq \Omega_m$  and standard open sets  $A^s \subseteq [0, 1]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{st}(A^*) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^s \mid \exists x^* \in A^* \ x = \text{st}(x^*)\}, \\ \text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s) &:= \{x^* \in \Omega_m \mid \exists x^s \in A^s \ x^s = \text{st}(x^*)\}, \\ (A^s)^{\Omega_m} &:= \{x^* \in \Omega_m \mid \exists a^s, b^s \in \mathbb{R}^s \ a^s \leq \text{st}(x^*) \leq b^s \wedge [a^s, b^s] \subseteq A^s\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $[a^s, b^s] \subseteq A^s$  means that  $\forall x^s \in [a^s, b^s] \ x^s \in A^s$ . Note that  $\text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s)$  and  $(A^s)^{\Omega_m}$  are ‘‘external sets’’; that is, they are not in  $V^*$ .

**Definition 4.1 (The Loeb measure)** The following definition is made in ns-BASIC. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We define the Loeb measure  $L_m(A^*)$  for a (nonstandard) finite set  $A^* \subseteq \Omega_m$  as

$$L_m(A^*) = \text{st}(\text{card}(A^*)2^{-m}).$$

We also define Loeb measure for external sets  $\text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s)$  or  $(A^s)^{\Omega_m}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m(\text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s)) &= \sup\{L_m(B^*) \mid B^* \subseteq_{\text{al}} \text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s)\}, \\ L_m((A^s)^{\Omega_m}) &= \sup\{L_m(B^*) \mid B^* \subseteq_{\text{al}} (A^s)^{\Omega_m}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B^*$  ranges over nonstandard finite subsets of  $\Omega_m$  in  $V^*$ , and  $C \subseteq_{\text{al}} D$  means that  $L(X^*) = 0$  for any nonstandard finite set  $X^* \subseteq C \setminus D$  ( $C$  and  $D$  may be external). In fact, we cannot prove the existence of  $L_m(\text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s))$  or  $L_m((A^s)^{\Omega_m})$  within ns-BASIC, but the relations  $L_m(\text{st}_m^{-1}(A^s)) \leq a^s$  and  $L_m((A^s)^{\Omega_m}) \leq a^s$  can be expressed by  $\mathcal{L}_2^*$ -formulas, as for the definition of the measure  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *The following are equivalent over ns-BASIC.*

1. ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>.
2. There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that

$$\forall A^* \subseteq \Omega_m \ (L_m(A^*) > 0 \rightarrow \exists x^* \in A^* \ \exists x^s \in [0, 1] \ \text{st}(x^*) = x^s).$$

3. For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ , we have

$$\forall A^* \subseteq \Omega_m \ (L_m(A^*) > 0 \rightarrow \exists x^* \in A^* \ \exists x^s \in [0, 1] \ \text{st}(x^*) = x^s).$$

**Proof** Define  $\lambda_m : 2^{=m} \rightarrow \Omega_m$  as  $\lambda(\sigma) = \sum_{i <_m} 2^{-i-1} \sigma(i)$  where  $2^{=m} = \{\sigma \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}^*} \mid \text{lh}(\sigma) = m\}$ . Then  $\lambda_m$  is a natural isomorphism from  $2^{=m}$  to  $\Omega_m$ . By this isomorphism, (3)  $\leftrightarrow$  (1) is trivial. (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2) is also trivial, so we show (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $B^* \subseteq \Omega_n$ , and  $L_n(B^*) > 0$ . By (2), take  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\forall A^* \subseteq \Omega_m \ L_m(A^*) > 0 \rightarrow \exists x^* \in A^* \ \exists x^s \in [0, 1] \ \text{st}(x^*) = x^s$ . If  $n \leq m$ , define  $B_0^* = \{x^* \in \Omega_m \mid \exists y^* \in B^* \ y^* \leq x^* < y^* + 2^{-n}\}$ , and if  $n > m$ , define  $B_0^* = \{x^* \in \Omega_m \mid \exists y^* \in B^* \ x^* \leq y^* < x^* + 2^{-m}\}$ . In either case,  $L_m(B_0^*) > 0$ ; hence there exist  $x^* \in B_0^*$  and  $x^s \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\text{st}(x^*) = x^s$ . Thus, there exists  $y^* \in B^*$  such that  $x^* \approx y^*$ ; that is,  $\text{st}(y^*) = x^s$ .  $\square$

Using the notion of Loeb measure, we do Reverse Mathematics for nonstandard measure and integral theory. Recall that we can define the Riemann integral for a continuous function within RCA<sub>0</sub>. For a continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  and a splitting  $\Delta = \{0 = a_0 \leq \zeta_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq \zeta_{k-1} \leq a_k = 1\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ , we define  $S(f; \Delta) = \sum_{i <_k} f(\zeta_i)(a_{i+1} - a_i)$ . We define a splitting  $\Delta_m$  as  $a_i = i2^{-m}$  for any



$i \leq 2^m$  and  $\xi_i = i2^{-m}$  for any  $i < 2^m$ . We write  $\Delta \in \Omega_m$  if  $a_i, \xi_i \in \Omega_m$  for all  $i \leq k$ . Then the Riemann integral of  $f$  is defined as

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} S(f; \Delta)$$

where  $|\Delta| = \max\{a_{i+1} - a_i \mid i < k\}$ . Moreover, we can define the internal measure for open sets within  $\text{RCA}_0$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  be a set of rational polynomials. For an open set  $U \subseteq [0, 1]$ , we define the internal measure  $\mu(U)$  as

$$\mu(U) = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 f(x)dx \mid f \in \mathbb{Q}[x], \forall x \in [0, 1] \setminus U \ f(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in [0, 1] \ f(x) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Note that we can integrate polynomial functions within  $\text{RCA}_0$ . In fact, we have only defined the relation  $\mu(U) \leq \alpha$  and  $\mu(U) \geq \alpha$  here, as for the definition of the measure for trees.

The following theorem shows that we can get a nonstandard approximation for an open set or for an  $L^1$ -function within ns-BASIC. Since a rational polynomial can be coded by a natural number, we consider  $\mathbb{Q}^s[x]$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}^*[x]$ . Let  $\Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^s} \Omega_m$ .

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ , and let  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ ,  $L = L_\omega$ , and  $\text{st}^{-1} = \text{st}_\omega^{-1}$ . The following are provable within ns-BASIC.*

1. *For any standard open set  $A^s \subseteq [0, 1]$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exist non-standard finite sets  $A_+^*, A_-^* \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $A_-^* \subseteq (A^s)^\Omega \subseteq A_+^*$  and  $\mu(A^s) - 2^{-l} \leq L(A_-^*) \leq \mu(A^s) \leq L(A_+^*) \leq \mu(A^s) + 2^{-l}$ , where  $\mu$  is the internal measure for open sets. Particularly,  $L((A^s)^\Omega) = \mu(A^s)$ .*
2. *(Nonstandard  $L^1$ -function): An  $L^1$ -function can be expressed by one non-standard polynomial in the following sense. Let  $\mathcal{F}^s = \langle f_i \in \mathbb{Q}^s[x] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  be a sequence of rational polynomials in  $V^s$  such that  $\int_0^1 |f_i(x) - f_j(x)| dx \leq 2^{-i}$  if  $i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . Then there exist a rational polynomial  $f^*$  and a sequence  $\langle A_n^* \subseteq \Omega \mid n < H \rangle$  in  $V^*$  such that  $H \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $L(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^*) = 1$ , and*

$$\forall x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^* \lim_{m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^s} f_m(x) \approx f^*(x),$$

where

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^s} \alpha_k^* \approx \beta^* \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^s \exists m \in \mathbb{N}^s \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^s \ k \geq m \rightarrow |\alpha_k^* - \beta^*| < 2^{-n}.$$

**Proof** We first prove (1). Let  $A^s \subseteq [0, 1]$  be an open set in  $V^s$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . Take  $p, q \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s}$  such that  $\mu(A^s) - 2^{-l} \leq p < \mu(A^s) < q \leq \mu(A^s) + 2^{-l}$ . By the definition of  $\mu(A^s)$ , there exists a finite sequence of intervals  $\langle [a_i, b_i] \mid i < k \rangle$  in  $V^s$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $a_i, b_i \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s}$ ,  $b_i \leq a_j$  if  $i < j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i < k} [a_i, b_i] \subseteq A^s$ , and  $\sum_{i < k} (b_i - a_i) \geq p$ . Then  $A_-^* := \{x \in \Omega \mid \exists i < k \ a_i \leq x \leq b_i\}$  is the desired finite set in  $V^*$ . On the other hand, by the definition of  $\mu(A^s)$ , there exists a sequence of intervals  $\Lambda^s = \langle [c_i, d_i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  in  $V^s$  such that  $c_i, d_i \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s}$ ,  $(c_i, d_i) \cap (c_j, d_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^s} [a_i, b_i] \supseteq A^s$ , and  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^s} (c_i - d_i) \leq q$ . By  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\Lambda^{s\checkmark} \upharpoonright m = \langle [c_i, d_i] \mid i < m \rangle$  is an

extended sequence of  $\Lambda^s$  in  $V^*$  such that  $c_i, d_i \in \Omega_m$  and  $\sum_{i < m} (c_i - d_i) \leq q$ . Then  $A_+^* := \{x \in \Omega \mid \exists i < m \ c_i \leq x \leq d_i\}$  is the desired finite set in  $V^*$ .

Next we prove (2). Let  $\mathcal{F}^s = \langle f_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  be a sequence of rational polynomials in  $V^s$  such that  $\int_0^1 |f_i(x) - f_j(x)| dx \leq 2^{-i}$  if  $i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}^s$ . By  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exists  $H \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\mathcal{F}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright_{H+1} = \langle f_i \mid i \leq H \rangle$  is a sequence of polynomials in  $V^*$  which satisfies the following:

$$\int_0^1 |f_i(x) - f_j(x)| dx \leq 2^{-i} \text{ if } i \leq j \leq H;$$

$$H \max\{|f'_i(x)| \mid i \leq H, x \in [0, 1]\} < \omega.$$

Define a sequence  $\langle C_n^* \mid n < H \rangle$  of finite unions of closed intervals in  $V^*$  as

$$C_n^* = \left\{ z^* \in [0, 1] \mid \forall k \leq H \sum_{i=n+2k+3}^{H-1} |f_i(z^*) - f_{i+1}(z^*)| \leq 2^{-k} \right\}.$$

We show that  $\text{card}([0, 1] \setminus C_n^* \cap \Omega) \leq 2^{\omega-n}$ . Let  $M > \max\{|f'_i(x)| \mid i \leq H, x \in [0, 1]\}$ , and let  $p_{nk} = \text{card}(\{x \in \Omega \mid \sum_{i=n+2k+3}^H |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| > 2^{-k}\})$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \Omega} |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| 2^{-\omega} - \int_0^1 |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| dx \right| \leq \frac{M}{2^\omega}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{nk} \cdot 2^{-k-\omega} &\leq \sum_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=n+2k+3}^H |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| 2^{-\omega} \\ &\leq \frac{MH}{2^\omega} + \sum_{i=n+2k+3}^H \int_0^1 |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| dx \\ &< 2^{-n-2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\text{card}([0, 1] \setminus C_n \cap \Omega) \leq \sum_{k \leq H} 2^{-n-2k-1} \leq 2^{\omega-n}$ .

Define  $A_n^* \subseteq \Omega$  as  $A_n^* = C_n^* \cap \Omega$  and define  $f^*$  as  $f^*(x) = f_H(x)$ . Then  $L(A_n^*) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}$  and  $L(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^*) = 1$ . We can easily check that for any  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $n \leq m \in \mathbb{N}^s$  and  $x \in A_n^*$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f^*(x)| \leq 2^{-k}$ ; that is,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^s} f_m(x) \approx f^*(x)$  for any  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4** Theorem 4.3(2) showed that “every  $L^1$ -convergent sequence of rational polynomials converges almost everywhere in  $V^*$ ” within ns-BASIC. However, this does not imply “every  $L^1$ -convergent sequence of rational polynomials converges almost everywhere in  $V^s$ ” within ns-BASIC, which means that the same statement holds in  $\text{RCA}_0$  by conservativity, since we cannot prove that the standard part of measure one set is measure one within ns-BASIC. Compare this with Theorem 2.2 of [12].

On the other hand, we need ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub> for a nonstandard approximation for a measure or an integral. A (nonstandard) continuous function  $F^*$  in  $V^*$  is said to be *s-bounded* if  $\forall x^* \in \text{dom}(F^*) \exists K \in \mathbb{N}^s \ |F^*(x^*)| < K$ ; that is, if each element of the range of  $F^*$  is bounded above by a standard integer.

**Theorem 4.5** *The following are equivalent over ns-BASIC.*

1. ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>.
2. Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ , and let  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ ,  $L = L_\omega$ , and  $\text{st}^{-1} = \text{st}_\omega^{-1}$ . Then, for any standard open set  $A^s \subseteq [0, 1]$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exist nonstandard finite sets  $A_+^*, A_-^* \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $A_-^* \subseteq_{\text{al}} \text{st}^{-1}(A^s) \subseteq_{\text{al}} A_+^*$  and  $\mu(A^s) - 2^{-l} \leq L(A_-^*) \leq \mu(A^s) \leq L(A_+^*) \leq \mu(A^s) + 2^{-l}$ , where  $\mu$  is the internal measure for open sets. Particularly,  $L(\text{st}^{-1}(A^s)) = \mu(A^s)$ .
3. Let  $f^s$  be a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^s$  and  $F^*$  be an s-bounded continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^*$  such that  $f^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$ ; that is,  $\text{st}(F^*(x^*)) = f^s(x^s)$  if  $\text{st}(x^*) = x^s$ . Then  $f^s$  is Riemann integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , and for any  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ,

$$\int_0^1 f^s(x)dx = \text{st}\left(\sum_{i < 2^\omega} \frac{F^*(i2^{-\omega})}{2^\omega}\right).$$

**Proof** We first show (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). We reason within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , and let  $A^s \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a standard open set. By Theorem 4.3(1), there exist nonstandard finite sets  $A_+^*, A_-^* \subseteq \Omega$  such that

$$A_-^* \subseteq (A^s)^\Omega \subseteq A_+^*$$

and

$$\mu(A^s) - 2^{-l} \leq L(A_-^*) \leq \mu(A^s) \leq L(A_+^*) \leq \mu(A^s) + 2^{-l}.$$

Since  $\text{st}^{-1}(A^s) \subseteq (A^s)^\Omega$ ,  $A_+^* \supseteq_{\text{al}} \text{st}^{-1}(A^s)$ . If  $B^* \subseteq A_-^* \setminus \text{st}^{-1}(A^s)$ , then  $\text{st}(B^*) = \emptyset$ ; thus  $L(B^*) = 0$  by LMP. Therefore,  $A_-^* \subseteq_{\text{al}} \text{st}^{-1}(A^s)$ .

Next we show (1)  $\rightarrow$  (3). We reason within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. Let  $f^s$  be a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^s$  and  $F^*$  be an s-bounded continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^*$  such that  $f^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$ . Since  $F^*$  is s-bounded, for any  $K \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $|F^*| < K$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus, by  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $|F^*| < K$ . For (3), we will show the following by contradiction:

(\*) for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that

$$\forall \Delta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s} (|\Delta| \leq 2^{-n} \rightarrow S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) - 2^{-l} \leq S(f; \Delta) \leq S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) + 2^{-l}).$$

Assume (\*) fails. Then, without loss of generality, we assume that

$\neg(*)$  there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,

$$\exists \Delta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s} (|\Delta| \leq 2^{-n} \wedge S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) + 2^{-l} < S(f^s; \Delta)).$$

Since  $f^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$ , we have

(\*\*) there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,

$$\exists \Delta \in \Omega_\omega (|\Delta| \leq 2^{-n} \wedge S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) + 2^{-l} < S(F^*; \Delta)).$$

Then, by  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exist  $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  and  $\bar{\Delta} \in \Omega_\omega$  such that  $|\bar{\Delta}| \leq 2^{-\bar{m}}$  and  $S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) + 2^{-l} < S(F^*; \bar{\Delta})$ . For  $x \in \Omega_\omega$ , we define  $i_x = \max\{j \mid a_j \in \bar{\Delta} \wedge a_j \leq x\}$  and  $\delta_x = |F^*(x) - F^*(\xi_{i_x})|$ . Define a nonstandard finite set  $A^* \subseteq \Omega_\omega$  as  $A^* = \{x \in \Omega_\omega \mid \delta_x > 2^{-l-1}\}$ . For any  $x \in \Omega_\omega$ , if  $\exists y^s \in \mathbb{R}^s \text{st}(x) = y^s$ , then  $\text{st}(F^*(x)) = f^s(y^s) = \text{st}(F^*(\xi_{i_x}))$  since  $|x - \xi_{i_x}| \leq 2^{-\bar{m}}$ . Hence,  $\text{st}(A^*) = \emptyset$ ,

and  $L_\omega(A^*) = \text{st}(\text{card}(A^*)/2^\omega) = 0$  by LMP. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(F^*; \bar{\Delta}) - S(F^*; \Delta_\omega)| &\leq \sum_{x \in \Omega_\omega} 2^{-\omega} \delta_x \\ &\leq 2^{-l-1} + \sum_{x \in A^*} 2^{-\omega} \delta_x \\ &\leq 2^{-l-1} + 2KL_\omega(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts  $S(F^*; \Delta_\omega) + 2^{-l} < S(F^*; \bar{\Delta})$ ; thus (\*) holds.

Finally, we show  $\neg(1) \rightarrow \neg(2)$  and  $\neg(1) \rightarrow \neg(3)$ . By  $\neg\text{ns-WWKL}_0$  and Lemma 4.2, for any  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exists  $A^* \subseteq \Omega_\omega$  such that  $L_\omega(A^*) > 0$  but  $\text{st}(A^*) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mu([0, 1]) = 1$  while  $L_\omega(\text{st}^{-1}([0, 1])) \leq L_\omega(\Omega \setminus A^*) < 1$ . Thus, we have  $\neg(1) \rightarrow \neg(2)$ . Also, we can easily construct a continuous function  $F^*$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $F^*(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A^*$  and  $F^*(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \Omega \setminus A^*$ . Then  $F^*$  is  $s$ -bounded and  $f^s = 0$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$ . However,

$$\text{st}\left(\sum_{i < 2^\omega} \frac{F^*(i2^{-\omega})}{2^\omega}\right) = L_\omega(A^*) > 0.$$

This means  $\neg(1) \rightarrow \neg(3)$ . □

**4.2 Application for standard Reverse Mathematics** Using the previous results for nonstandard Reverse Mathematics, we can use some techniques of nonstandard analysis for standard Reverse Mathematics within  $\text{WWKL}_0$ , and we can get some nonstandard proofs for some theorems of  $\text{WWKL}_0$  by the conservation result in Section 3.

The next lemma shows that a standard continuous function in  $V^s$  can be expanded to a nonstandard continuous function in  $V^*$  within  $\text{ns-BASIC}$ . For this expansion, we recall the definition of continuous function within  $\text{RCA}_0$ . A (code for a) continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  is a set of quintuples  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}^+ \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}^+$  which satisfies the following three conditions and the domain condition:

- (1) if  $(a, r)\Phi(b, s)$  and  $(a, r)\Phi(b', s')$ , then  $|b - b'| \leq s + s'$ ;
- (2) if  $(a, r)\Phi(b, s)$  and  $|a' - a| + r' < r$ , then  $(a', r')\Phi(b, s)$ ;
- (3) if  $(a, r)\Phi(b, s)$  and  $|b - b'| + s < s'$ , then  $(a, r)\Phi(b', s')$ ;
- (dom) for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $(m, a, r, b, s) \in \Phi$  such that  $|x - a| < r$  and  $s < \varepsilon$ ,

where  $(a, r)\Phi(b, s)$  is an abbreviation for  $\exists m((m, a, r, b, s) \in \Phi)$ . We define the value  $f(x)$  to be the unique  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|y - b| < s$  for all  $(a, r)\Phi(b, s)$  with  $|x - a| < r$ .

**Lemma 4.6** *The following are provable within ns-BASIC.*

1. For any continuous function  $f^s$  on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^s$ , there exists a continuous function  $F^*$  on  $[0, 1]$  in  $V^*$  whose prestandard part is  $f^s$ . Moreover, if  $f^s$  is bounded, then we can find  $F^*$  as an  $s$ -bounded function.
2. For any monotone sequence of bounded continuous functions  $\{f_n^s\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s}$  on  $[0, 1]$  which converges to a continuous function  $f^s$  pointwisely in  $V^s$ , there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  and a sequence of  $s$ -bounded continuous functions  $\{F_i^*\}_{i \leq m}$  such that if  $i \in \mathbb{N}^s$  then the prestandard part of  $F_i^*$  is  $f_i^s$  and if  $i \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  then the prestandard part of  $F_i^*$  is  $f^s$ .

**Proof** We reason within ns-BASIC. We first prove (1). Let  $\Phi^s \subseteq \mathbb{N}^s \times \mathbb{Q}^s \times \mathbb{Q}^{s+} \times \mathbb{Q}^s \times \mathbb{Q}^{s+}$  be a code for a continuous function  $f^s$  on  $[0, 1]$ . By  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\Phi^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m_0$  is a code for a continuous function in  $V^*$ ; that is,  $\Phi^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m_0$  satisfies three conditions for a code for a continuous function. Since  $\Phi^s$  satisfies (dom) in  $V^s$  and  $\Phi^s \subseteq \Phi^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m_0$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exists  $\sigma = \langle (n_x, a_x, r_x, b_x, s_x) \mid x \in \Omega_k \rangle \subseteq \Phi^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m_0$  such that  $r_x, s_x < 2^{-k}$  and  $x \in (a_x - r_x, a_x + r_x)$  for any  $x \in \Omega_k$ . Applying  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS again, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that there exists  $\bar{\sigma} = \langle (n_x, a_x, r_x, b_x, s_x) \mid x \in \Omega_m \rangle \subseteq \Phi^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m_0$  such that  $r_x, s_x < 2^{-m}$  and  $x \in (a_x - r_x, a_x + r_x)$  for any  $x \in \Omega_m$ . In  $V^*$ , we define a (piecewise linear) continuous function  $F^*$  as  $F^*(i2^{-m} + t2^{-m}) = b_{i2^{-m}} + t(b_{(i+1)2^{-m}} - b_{i2^{-m}})$  for each  $i < 2^m$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For any  $x \in \Omega_m$ , if  $\text{st}(x) \in (a - r, a + r) \wedge (n, a, r, b, s) \in \Phi^s$ , then  $|\text{st}(b_x - b)| \leq s$ . Thus,  $f^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$ . Moreover, if  $f^s$  is bounded by  $K \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , then  $|b_x| \leq K + 1$ , thus  $F^*$  is s-bounded.

We can prove (2) similarly. Let  $\{f_i^s\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^s}$  be a monotone sequence of bounded continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  which converges to a continuous function  $f^s$  pointwise in  $V^s$ . Then, similar to the previous construction, we can construct a sequence of s-bounded continuous functions  $\{F_i^*\}_{i \leq m}$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ) and an s-bounded continuous function  $F^*$  such that

- (i)  $f^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F^*$  and  $f_n^s$  is a prestandard part of  $F_n^*$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^s$ ,
- (ii)  $F_i^*(x) + 2^{-m} \geq F_j^*(x) \geq F^*(x)$  if  $i \leq j$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega_m$ ,  $\text{st}(x) = y^s$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ . Then, for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $f^s(y^s) \leq f_j^s(y^s) + 2^{-l} \leq f^s(y^s)$ ; hence  $\text{st}(F^*(x)) \leq \text{st}(F_i^*(x)) \leq \text{st}(F_j^*(x)) \leq \text{st}(F^*(x)) + 2^{-l}$ . This means that  $\text{st}(F_i^*(x)) = f^s(\text{st}(x))$ .  $\square$

The following are nonstandard proofs for theorems of measure or integral theory within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>.

**Lemma 4.7** *The following assertions (for  $V^s$ ) are provable within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>.*

1. *Countable subadditivity of Lebesgue measure: for any open sets  $\langle A_n^s \subseteq [0, 1] \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  and  $B^s \subseteq [0, 1]$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mu(A_n^s) \geq \mu(B^s)$  if  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^s \supseteq B^s$ .*
2. *Existence of Riemann integral: every bounded continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  is Riemann integrable.*
3. *Ascoli's lemma: if a monotone sequence of bounded continuous functions  $\{f_n^s\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $[0, 1]$  converges to a continuous function  $f^s$  pointwise, then, each of  $f_n^s$  and  $f^s$  is integrable and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n^s(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^s(x) dx.$$

**Proof** We reason within ns-WWKL<sub>0</sub>. We first show (1) by way of contradiction. Let  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ , and let  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ ,  $L = L_\omega$ , and  $\text{st}^{-1} = \text{st}_\omega^{-1}$ . Assume that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} \mu(A_n^s) < q < q' < \mu(B^s)$  for some  $q, q' \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s}$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^s \supseteq B^s$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}^s = \langle A_n^s \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle = \langle (a_n, b_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^s \rangle$  with  $a_n, b_n \in \Omega_{\mathbb{N}^s}$ . Then, by  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$  such that  $\mathcal{A}^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m = \langle (a_n, b_n) \mid n < m \rangle$  satisfies  $a_n, b_n \in \Omega$  for any  $n < m$  and  $\sum_{n < m} |a_n - b_n| < q$ . Define  $(\mathcal{A}^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega = \{x \in \Omega \mid \exists n < m \ a_n < x < b_n\}$  (note that  $(\mathcal{A}^{s\sqrt{\cdot}} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega$  is internal, that is, a nonstandard finite set in  $V^*$ ). Then

$(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^s} A_n^s)^\Omega \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega$  and  $\text{card}((\mathcal{A}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega) < q2^\omega$ . By Theorem 4.5(2), take  $B_-^* \subseteq_{\text{al}} \text{st}(B^s)$  such that  $L(B_-^*) > q'$ . Since  $\text{st}(B_-^*) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega$ ,  $L(\text{st}(B_-^*) \setminus (\mathcal{A}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega) = 0$ . This contradicts  $\text{card}(\text{st}(B_-^*) \setminus (\mathcal{A}^{s\vee} \upharpoonright m)^\Omega) > q'2^\omega - q2^\omega$ .

(2) is a straightforward direction from Theorem 4.5(3) and Lemma 4.6(1).

To prove (3), we only need to show  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n^s(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^s(x) dx$ . Let  $\{F_n^*\}_{n \leq m}$  be a sequence of  $s$ -bounded continuous functions taken by Lemma 4.6(2), and let  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \mathbb{N}^s$ ,  $\text{st}(|S(F_n^*; \Delta_\omega) - S(F_m^*; \Delta_\omega)|) = 0$  by Theorem 4.5(3). Thus, by  $\Sigma_1^0$ -OS,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^s \exists l \in \mathbb{N}^s \forall n \geq l |S(F_n^*; \Delta_\omega) - S(F_m^*; \Delta_\omega)| \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Again by Theorem 4.5(3), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n^s(x) dx = \int_0^1 f^s(x) dx$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8** *The following assertions are provable within  $\text{WWKL}_0$ .*

1. *Countable subadditivity of Lebesgue measure: for any open sets  $\langle A_n \subseteq [0, 1] \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  and  $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \geq \mu(B)$  if  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \supseteq B$ .*
2. *Existence of Riemann integral: every bounded continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  is Riemann integrable.*
3. *Ascoli's lemma: if a uniformly bounded monotone sequence of continuous functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $[0, 1]$  converges to a continuous function  $f$  pointwise, then each of  $f_n$  and  $f$  is integrable and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$$

**Proof** Straightforward application of the previous lemma and Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

Note that the assertions of the previous theorem are known theorems of  $\text{WWKL}_0$ . Actually they are equivalent to  $\text{WWKL}_0$  ((1) is due to Yu and Simpson [13] and (2) and (3) are due to Yokoyama [10]).

## References

- [1] Brown, D. K., M. Giusto, and S. G. Simpson, "Vitali's theorem and WWKL," *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, vol. 41 (2002), pp. 191–206. [Zbl 1030.03044](#). [MR 1890192](#). [229](#)
- [2] Downey, R., D. R. Hirschfeldt, J. S. Miller, and A. Nies, "Relativizing Chaitin's halting probability," *Journal of Mathematical Logic*, vol. 5 (2005), pp. 167–92. [Zbl 1093.03025](#). [MR 2188515](#). [233](#)
- [3] Horiata, Y., and K. Yokoyama, "Nonstandard second-order arithmetic and Riemann's mapping theorem," in preparation. [232](#)
- [4] Keisler, H. J., "Nonstandard arithmetic and reverse mathematics," *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 12 (2006), pp. 100–125. [Zbl 1101.03040](#). [MR 2209331](#). [229](#)
- [5] Reimann, J., and T. A. Slaman, "Measures and their random reals," Available online at [arxiv.org/abs/0802.2705](https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.2705), 2008. [233](#)

- [6] Simpson, S. G., *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, 1999. Second Edition, Perspectives in Logic, Association for Symbolic Logic, Cambridge University Press, 2009. [Zbl 0909.03048](#). [MR 1723993](#). [229](#), [231](#), [234](#)
- [7] Simpson, S. G., “Almost everywhere domination and superhighness,” *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, vol. 53 (2007), pp. 462–82. [Zbl 1123.03040](#). [MR 2351944](#). [234](#)
- [8] Simpson, S. G., K. Tanaka, and T. Yamazaki, “Some conservation results on weak König’s lemma,” *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 118 (2002), pp. 87–114. [Zbl 1016.03064](#). [MR 1933397](#). [235](#)
- [9] Tanaka, K., “The self-embedding theorem of  $WKL_0$  and a non-standard method. Fifth Asian Logic Conference (Singapore, 1993),” *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, vol. 84 (1997), pp. 41–49. [Zbl 0871.03044](#). [MR 1440462](#). [231](#), [235](#)
- [10] Yokoyama, K., *Standard and Non-standard Analysis in Second Order Arithmetic*, Ph.D. thesis, Tohoku University, 2007. Available as *Tohoku Mathematical Publications* 34, 2009. [242](#)
- [11] Yokoyama, K., “Formalizing non-standard arguments in second-order arithmetic,” *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 75 (2010), pp. 1199–1210. [Zbl 1214.03047](#). [MR 2767964](#). [229](#), [231](#)
- [12] Yu, X., “Lebesgue convergence theorems and reverse mathematics,” *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, vol. 40 (1994), pp. 1–13. [Zbl 0804.03047](#). [MR 1284435](#). [229](#), [238](#)
- [13] Yu, X., and S. G. Simpson, “Measure theory and weak König’s lemma,” *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, vol. 30 (1990), pp. 171–80. [Zbl 0718.03043](#). [MR 1080236](#). [229](#), [242](#)

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor H. Jerome Keisler for useful discussions and comments. The second author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 21740061 of JSPS, Japan and the global COE program “Weaving Science Web beyond Particle-Matter Hierarchy” of MEXT, Japan.

Department of Mathematics  
McAllister Building  
Pollack Road  
The Pennsylvania State University  
State College PA 16802  
USA  
[simpson@math.psu.edu](mailto:simpson@math.psu.edu)

Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences  
Tokyo Institute of Technology  
Ookayama 2-12-1, Meguro-ku  
Tokyo 152-8552  
JAPAN  
[yokoyama.k.ai@m.titech.ac.jp](mailto:yokoyama.k.ai@m.titech.ac.jp)