

GENERALIZED BAYES MINIMAX ESTIMATORS OF THE MULTIVARIATE NORMAL MEAN WITH UNKNOWN COVARIANCE MATRIX

BY PI-ERH LIN¹ AND HUI-LIANG TSAI

The Florida State University

Let \mathbf{X} be a p -variate ($p \geq 3$) vector normally distributed with mean θ and covariance matrix Σ , positive definite but unknown. Let A be a $p \times p$ Wishart matrix with parameters (n, Σ) , independent of \mathbf{X} . To estimate θ relative to quadratic loss function $(\hat{\theta} - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)$, we obtain a family of minimax estimators $\delta(\mathbf{X}, A)$ based on \mathbf{X} and A through \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X}$. It is shown that there are minimax estimators of the form $\delta(\mathbf{X}, A)$ which are also generalized Bayes. A special case where $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$ is also considered.

1. Introduction and summary. Let \mathbf{X} be a p -variate random vector normally distributed with mean θ and covariance matrix Σ , positive definite but unknown. Let A be a $p \times p$ Wishart random matrix with parameters (n, Σ) , $n > p - 3$, and is independent of \mathbf{X} . Based on \mathbf{X} and A , we estimate θ by $\delta(\mathbf{X}, A)$ relative to the quadratic loss function

$$(1.1) \quad L(\delta(\mathbf{X}, A); \theta, \Sigma) = (\delta(\mathbf{X}, A) - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\delta(\mathbf{X}, A) - \theta).$$

In this paper we obtain a family of minimax estimators for $p \geq 3$. We also produce a class of prior distributions for the parameters θ and Σ , from which a family of generalized Bayes minimax estimators is derived for $p \geq 3$.

Recently, Baranchik [1] has obtained a family of minimax estimators with the covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I$ and Strawderman [6] the Bayes minimax estimators for the case of known covariance matrix with $p \geq 5$. We show how their results may be extended to include the case of unknown Σ and also, in Section 4, to the case where $\Sigma = \sigma^2 B$, B being a known positive definite matrix.

2. A family of minimax estimators. James and Stein [5] have obtained a minimax estimator $[1 - c/(\mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X})]\mathbf{X}$, where $c = (p - 2)/(n - p + 3)$. Let c be a function of $\mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X}$ satisfying certain conditions, we derive a family of minimax estimators.

THEOREM 2.1. For $p \geq 3$, an estimator of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(\mathbf{X}, A) = [1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X}, \quad \text{where } y = \mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X},$$

is a minimax estimator of θ , relative to the loss function (1.1), if $r(y)$ is a nonnegative, non-decreasing function of y less than or equal to $2(p - 2)/(n - p + 3)$.

PROOF. Observe that the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X}$ given \mathbf{X} is that of $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S$, where S is chi-square distributed with $n - p + 1$ degrees of freedom and

Received October 6, 1971; revised May 22, 1972.

¹ Research supported in part by the Florida State University Grant No. 011204-043 and in part by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences through Training Grant 5T1 GM-913.

is independent of \mathbf{X} (see e.g. Wijsman [9]). The risk function of $\delta(\mathbf{X}, A)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & E\{[1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta}\}'\Sigma^{-1}\{[1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma\} \\
 & = E\{[1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\}'\{[1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*, I\} \\
 & = E\{[1 - r(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S)/(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S)]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*\}' \\
 & \quad \times \{[1 - r(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S)/(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S)]\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*, I\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = [(\boldsymbol{\theta}'\Sigma^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta})^{\frac{1}{2}}, 0, \dots, 0]'$. The first equality is obtained by making the transformation $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow P\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}$, where D is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix such that $D\Sigma D' = I$ and P is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix with its first row proportional to $D\boldsymbol{\theta}$. The final expression of (2.2) is less than or equal to p , by an application of Baranchik's [1] result where n is replaced by $n - p + 1$. Since as is well known, relative to the loss function (1.1), \mathbf{X} is minimax with constant risk p , the conclusion follows.

3. Generalized Bayes minimax estimators. A class of generalized prior distributions $\tau_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma^{-1})$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and Σ^{-1} , conditional on λ is given by the densities

$$\tau_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma^{-1}) = f_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \Sigma^{-1}) \cdot g(\Sigma^{-1})$$

where

$$f_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \Sigma^{-1}) = \left[\frac{\lambda}{2\pi(1-\lambda)} \right]^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2(1-\lambda)} \boldsymbol{\theta}'\Sigma^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta} \right\}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1,$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad g(\Sigma^{-1}) \propto |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}\nu}, \quad -\infty < \nu \leq n, \quad \nu \text{ an integer.}$$

(Note that the prior (3.1) was considered by Geisser and Cornfield [4] for $-\infty < \nu \leq n$, and it was used by Tiao and Zeller [7] and Geisser [3] for $\nu = p + 1$. Villegas [8] also gave a fiducial argument in support of this prior.) Since $E(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X}, A, \Sigma^{-1}, \lambda)$ does not depend on Σ^{-1} , it readily follows that

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X}, A, \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{X}.$$

If, in addition, we assume λ has density

$$(3.2) \quad h(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{-a}, \quad -\infty < a < \frac{1}{2}p + 1,$$

it follows that the generalized Bayes estimator with respect to the generalized prior with density

$$(3.3) \quad \tau(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma^{-1}) = \tau_\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Sigma^{-1}) \cdot h(\lambda),$$

relative to the loss in (1.1), is $\delta(\mathbf{X}, A) = [1 - E(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}, A)]\mathbf{X}$. Observe that $E(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}, A)$ is a function of $y = \mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X}$ alone, say $s(y)$. In fact, if $y = \mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X}$,

$$(3.4) \quad E(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}, A) = \frac{\int_0^1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}p-a+1}(1+\lambda y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} d\lambda}{\int_0^1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}p-a}(1+\lambda y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} d\lambda}$$

or, as it is easily shown on integrating by parts the numerator of (3.4),

$$(3.5) \quad E(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}, A) = [(p - 2a + 2) - 2Q(y)] / [(n - \nu + 2a - 2)y],$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad [Q(y)]^{-1} = (1+y)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p)} \int_0^1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}p-a} (1+\lambda y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} d\lambda.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $r(y) = ys(y)$. Then $r(y)$ is a nonnegative, non-decreasing function of $y \geq 0$.*

PROOF. From (3.4), we have

$$(3.7) \quad r(y) = \frac{y \int_0^1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}p-a+1} (1+\lambda y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} d\lambda}{\int_0^1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}p-a} (1+\lambda y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} d\lambda},$$

which is clearly nonnegative for all $y \geq 0$. Let $t = \lambda y$ and take derivative of $r(y) + 1$ with respect to y , we have $r'(y) = d/k^2$, where k is the denominator of the right-hand side of (3.7) and

$$(3.8) \quad d = y^{-\frac{1}{2}p+a-2} (1+y)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} \int_0^y t^{\frac{1}{2}p-a} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\nu+p+2)} (y-t) dt.$$

It is clear that $d \geq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$. Thus, $r'(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$. This proves the lemma.

Using the above lemma and Theorem 2.1, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is immediate.

THEOREM 3.1. *For $p \geq 3$ with*

- (i) $-\infty < \nu < \min(n+1, n+2a-2)$,
- (ii) $2(p-2)/(n-p+3) \geq (p-2a+2)/(n-\nu+2a-2)$,
- (iii) $-\infty < a < \frac{1}{2}p+1$, and
- (iv) $n > p-3$, *the estimators of the form*

$$(3.9) \quad \delta(\mathbf{X}, A) = [1 - r(y)/y]\mathbf{X}, \quad y = \mathbf{X}'A^{-1}\mathbf{X},$$

are generalized Bayes minimax estimators with respect to the priors (3.3), where

$$(3.10) \quad r(y) = [(p-2a+2) - 2Q(y)]/(n-\nu+2a-2)$$

and $Q(y)$ is defined by (3.6).

4. A special case. Consider the case $\Sigma = \sigma^2 B$, where B is a $p \times p$ symmetric positive definite known matrix, and σ^2 is an unknown positive quantity. Since B is known, there exists a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix C such that $CBC' = I$. If we let $\mathbf{Z} = C\mathbf{X}$, then $\mathbf{Z} | \lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2 \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 I)$ with $\boldsymbol{\mu} = C\boldsymbol{\theta}$, and the problem is reduced to that of estimating $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ relative to the quadratic loss function

$$(4.1) \quad L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2) = (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})/\sigma^2,$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is an estimator of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Without loss of generality, we may thus assume that $B = I$.

Consider that $\mathbf{X} | \lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2 \sim N(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2 I)$ and $S | \lambda, \sigma^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi_n^2$, independent of \mathbf{X} . If we assume further that the joint generalized prior density of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, σ^{-2} and λ is

$$(4.2) \quad \tau(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^{-2}) = \tau(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \lambda, \sigma^{-2}) \cdot g_1(\sigma^{-2}) \cdot h(\lambda),$$

where $h(\lambda)$ is given by (3.2),

$$(4.3) \quad g_1(\sigma^{-2}) \propto (\sigma^2)^{\nu/2}, \quad -\infty < \nu \leq n, \quad \nu \text{ an integer,}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \tau(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \lambda, \sigma^{-2}) \propto \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1-\lambda)\sigma^2} \right)^{p/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda}{2(1-\lambda)\sigma^2} \boldsymbol{\theta}'\boldsymbol{\theta} \right\},$$

then by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the result of Baranchik [1], we obtain the following theorem, which is stated without proof.

THEOREM 4.1. For $p \geq 3$, with

- (i) $-\infty < \nu < \min(n + 1, n + 2a - 2)$,
- (ii) $2(p - 2)/(n + 2) \geq (p - 2a + 2)/(n - \nu + 2a - 2)$, and
- (iii) $-\infty < a < \frac{1}{2}p + 1$, relative to the loss function (4.1) with $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ replaced by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, the estimators of the form

$$(4.5) \quad \boldsymbol{d}(\mathbf{X}, S) = [1 - r(F)/F]\mathbf{X}$$

are generalized Bayes minimax with respect to the priors (4.2), where $F = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/S$ and $r(F)$ is defined by (3.10) with $Q(F)$ given by (3.6).

Here, we note that the set of values of n, ν, a and p , which satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 4.1, is nonempty.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank the referee and associate editor for their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] BARANCHIK, A. J. (1970). A family of minimax estimators of the mean of a multivariate normal distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 642–645.
- [2] FERGUSON, T. S. (1967). *Mathematical Statistics, A Decision-Theoretic Approach*. Academic Press, New York.
- [3] GEISSER, S. (1965). Bayes estimation in multivariate analysis. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 150–159.
- [4] GEISSER, S. and CORNFIELD, J. (1963). Posterior distributions for multivariate normal parameters. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **25** 368–376.
- [5] JAMES, W. and STEIN, C. (1961). Estimation with quadratic loss. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* **1** 361–379. Univ. of California Press.
- [6] STRAWDERMAN, W. E. (1971). Proper Bayes minimax estimators of the multivariate normal mean. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** 385–388.
- [7] TIAO, G. C. and ZELLNER, A. (1964). On the Bayesian estimation of multivariate regression. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **26** 277–285.
- [8] VILLEGAS, C. (1969). On the a priori distribution of the covariance matrix. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 1098–1099.
- [9] WIJSMAN, R. A. (1957). Random orthogonal transformations and their use in some classical distribution problems in multivariate analysis. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **28** 415–428.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
 FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306