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One observes $n$ data points, $(t_i, Y_i)$, with the mean of $Y_i$, conditional on the regression function $f$, equal to $f(t_i)$. The prior distribution of the vector $f = (f(t_1), \ldots, f(t_n))^T$ is unknown, but lies in a known class $\Omega$. An estimator, $\hat{f}$, of $f$ is found which minimizes the maximum $E\|\hat{f} - f\|^2$. The maximum is taken over all priors in $\Omega$ and the minimum is taken over linear estimators of $f$. Asymptotic properties of the estimator are studied in the case that $t_i$ is one-dimensional and $\Omega$ is the set of priors for which $f$ is smooth.

1. Introduction. Suppose that one observes $n$ data points $(t_i, Y_i)$ in order to estimate $\mathbf{f} = (f(t_1), \ldots, f(t_n))^T$, with $f$ the regression function $E(Y|f)$. In standard estimation procedures, one assumes a specific form of the function $f$, typically depending upon a few (much less than $n$) parameters. A Bayesian analysis involves the choice of a loss function and a prior distribution on the parameters. However, the assumption of a particular form of $f$ may be arbitrary, and thus the specification of a particular prior will be suspect.

The approach to the estimation problem developed here is to treat the components of the vector $f$ as the unknown parameters and to choose an estimator that performs well over a large class of priors on $f$. Specifically, one assumes that

$$Y_i = f(t_i) + \epsilon_i$$

with $t_i$ nonrandom and in $\mathbb{R}^m$, the $\epsilon_i$'s uncorrelated, mean zero, variance $\sigma^2$ and the $f(t_i)$'s and $\epsilon_i$'s uncorrelated. The estimator $\hat{f} = C\mathbf{Y}$ derived below is a minimax linear estimate; that is, $\hat{f}$ minimizes (over linear estimators) the maximum of $E\|\hat{f} - f\|^2$. The expectation is taken with respect to both the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{Y}$ given $f$ and the prior distribution of $f$. The maximum is taken over $\Omega$, a class of priors that suitably reflects one's beliefs about $f$. Since the criterion is mean squared error, one may define $\Omega$ by placing restrictions on the mean and covariance of $f$.

For $\Omega$ satisfying certain conditions, we find an explicit form for $\hat{f}$ and study its frequentist and Bayesian asymptotic properties. Computation of $\hat{f}$ involves
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calculating eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix, and is thus easily accomplished with existing computer programs.

Although the conditions that we place on \( \Omega \) are general, they are motivated by a particular case: \( t_i = t_i \) in \( \mathcal{R} \) and priors which force the function to be smooth. Typically, the smoothness of a function is characterised by its \( k \)th derivative. For instance, in spline fitting, the smoothness of \( f \) is quantified by the integral of the square of its \( k \)th derivative; see, for instance, Silverman (1985). Here, the smoothness of \( f \) is characterised by divided differences. Let \( \Delta^{(k)}(f) \) be the \( n - k \) vector of \( k \)th divided differences of \( f \), based upon the \( f(t_i) \)'s. Assuming that \( \Delta^{(k)}(f) \) has mean zero and small covariance matrix, reflects a belief that the \( k \)th derivative of \( f \) is small. Thus, a reasonable \( \Omega \) consists of all \( n \)-variate distributions under which the mean of \( \Delta^{(k)}(f) \) is zero and the expected value of \( \|\Delta^{(k)}(f)\|^2 \) is bounded by \( \rho \). The user chooses \( k \) and \( \rho \). These numbers have their analogues in the usual methods of smoothing regression: \( k \) is analogous to the order of kernel or spline and \( 1/\rho \) is analogous to the smoothing parameter (in spline smoothing) or the bandwidth (in kernel smoothing); see Eubank (1988) for a discussion of these smoothing regression techniques.

For a particular choice of \( \Omega \), the minimax Bayes estimate of \( f \) is the same as the frequentist minimax estimate given by Speckman (1985) and Nussbaum (1985). This fact is discussed in Section 4.

The assumptions and general form of the minimax estimator are given in Section 2. In Section 3, these results are applied to \( \Omega \)'s which are based on \( k \)th divided differences. The Bayesian and frequentist asymptotic minimax mean squared errors of these estimators are given, along with the asymptotic normality of linear functions of \( \hat{f} \).

2. Minimax estimates for a general class of priors. Assume that (1) holds. Let \( A \) be an \( L \times n \) matrix of rank \( n - k \), some \( k \), \( 0 < k < n \). Define \( \Omega = \Omega(A, \rho) \) to be the class of all priors on \( f \) such that the covariance of \( f \) is defined, \( E(Af) = 0 \) and \( E\|Af\|^2 \leq \rho \). Thus, other than the finite second moment assumption, the only restrictions placed upon the prior of \( f \) involve the mean and covariance of \( Af \).

Let the principal value decomposition of \( A \) be \( PDQ' \), where \( P \) is \( L \times (n - k) \), \( Q \) is \( n \times (n - k) \), \( D \) is diagonal with \( 0 \neq D_{11}^2 \leq D_{22}^2 \leq \cdots \leq D_{n-k,n-k}^2 \) and \( P'P = I_{n-k} = Q'Q \). The columns of \( Q \) span the row space of \( A \) and are equal to the eigenvectors of \( A'A \) which correspond to the nonzero eigenvalues \( D_{jj}^2 \), \( j = 1, \ldots, n - k \).

Theorem 1.

\[
\min_{C} \max_{\text{priors in } \Omega} E\|CY - f\|^2 = \sigma^2 k + \sigma^2 \sum s_{ii}/(s_{ii} + \sigma^2) = \sigma^2 k + \sigma^2 \sum (1 - |D_{ii}| \sigma^2/\alpha),
\]
where

$$s_{ii} = s_{ii}(\rho) = (\alpha / |D_{ii}| - \sigma^2)_+,$$

$x_+$ is the maximum of 0 and $x$, and $\alpha$ is chosen so that $\sum D_{ii}^2 s_{ii} = \rho$. Let $P_1$ denote the $n \times n$ projection matrix onto the $k$-dimensional null space of $A$. Then the minimizing $C$ is given by

$$\hat{C} = P_1 + Q\hat{C}^* Q^t,$$

where $\hat{C}^*$ is diagonal with

$$\hat{C}_{ii}^* = \hat{C}_{ii}(\rho) = (1 - |D_{ii}| \sigma^2 / \alpha)_+.$$

Let $f^* = Q^t f$ and $Y^* = Q^t Y = f^* + e^*$. Then $\hat{C}^* Y^*$ is the Bayes estimate of $f^*$ for the problem in which $f^*$ and $e^*$ are independent normal random vectors, $E(f^*) = 0$ and the covariance of $f^*$ is $\hat{\Sigma}^* = \text{diag}(s_{11}, \ldots, s_{n-k, n-k})$.

Thus, the minimax Bayes estimate of $f$ may be thought of as the Bayes estimate with the above prior on $f^*$ and a diffuse prior on $P_1 f$.

**Proof.** Let $P_2 = QQ^t$, the projection matrix onto the row space of $A$, so that $P_1 + P_2 = I_n$. We first show that the minimizing $C$ must satisfy $CP_1 = P_1$ and $P_1 CP_2 = 0$.

$$E\|CY - f\|^2 \geq \|(C - I_n) E(f)\|^2 = \|(C - I_n) P_1 E(f)\|^2$$

which is unbounded unless $(C - I_n) P_1 = 0$. So $CP_1 = P_1$ for the minimizing $C$. For such $C$, $C = P_1 + P_1 CP_2 + P_2 CP_2$ and therefore

$$E\|CY - f\|^2 = E\|P_1 Y - P_1 f + P_1 CP_2 Y + P_2 CP_2 Y - P_2 f\|^2$$

$$= \sigma^2 k + E\|P_1 CP_2 Y\|^2 + E\|P_2 CP_2 Y - P_2 f\|^2,$$

since $P_1 Y$ and $P_2 Y$ are uncorrelated, given $f$. It follows that the minimizing $C$ must satisfy $P_1 CP_2 = 0$, for otherwise $C$ may be replaced by $P_2 C$.

Let $C^* = Q^t C Q$, $f^* = Q^t f$ and $Y^* = Q^t Y$. Then

$$\min_{C^* \text{ priors in } \Omega} \max_{\text{ priors in } \Omega^*} E\|CY - f\|^2 = \sigma^2 k + \min_{C^* \text{ priors in } \Omega^*} \max_{C^* \text{ priors in } \Omega^*} E\|C^* Y^* - f^*\|^2,$$

where $\Omega^*$ consists of all priors on $f^*$ with $E(f^*) = 0$ and trace $D^2 \text{cov}(f^*) \leq \rho$. Given $f$, $Y^*$ has mean $f^*$ and covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I_{n-k}$ and there is no loss of generality in supposing that $Y^*$ and $f^*$ are jointly normal, since only first and second moments enter. Since $E\|C^* Y^* - f^*\|^2$ is linear in $\Sigma^*$, the covariance of $f^*$ and quadratic in $C^*$, the min and max may be reversed [Karlin (1959), page 281]. Then, for a fixed prior, the $\hat{f}^*$ that minimizes $E\|f^* - f^*\|^2$ is simply the Bayes estimate $\Sigma^*(\Sigma^* + \sigma^2 I_{n-k})^{-1} Y^*$. Thus

$$\min_{C^* \text{ priors in } \Omega^*} \max_{\text{ priors in } \Omega^*} E\|C^* Y^* - f^*\|^2 = \sigma^2 \max_{\Sigma^*} \text{trace } \Sigma^* (\Sigma^* + \sigma^2 I_{n-k})^{-1} Y^*.$$

$$= \sigma^2 \max_{\Sigma^*} h(\Sigma^*).$$
Here the maximum is taken over all symmetric nonnegative definite $\Sigma^*$ for which trace $D^2\Sigma^* \leq \rho$.

We will show that the $\Sigma^*$ that maximizes $h$ is $\hat{\Sigma}^*$, as defined in Theorem 1. Since $h$ is a concave function of $\Sigma^*$, symmetric nonnegative definite, it suffices to show that if $R$ is symmetric, with

\begin{equation}
\text{trace } D^2(\hat{\Sigma}^* + \delta R) \leq \rho
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\hat{\Sigma}^* + \delta R \geq 0
\end{equation}

for positive $\delta$ sufficiently small, then

$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \delta^{-1}(h(\hat{\Sigma}^* + \delta R) - h(\hat{\Sigma}^*)) \leq 0.
$$

Fix $R$ satisfying (2). Then

$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \delta^{-1}(h(\hat{\Sigma}^* + \delta R) - h(\hat{\Sigma}^*))
= \sigma^2 \text{trace}(\hat{\Sigma}^* + \sigma^2 I_{n-k})^{-2} R
= \sigma^2 \sum_{i: \alpha > \sigma^2 |D_{ii}|} R_{ii} D_{ii}^2 / \alpha^2 + \sigma^2 \sum_{i: \alpha \leq \sigma^2 |D_{ii}|} R_{ii} / \sigma^4
= \sigma^2 \text{trace } D^2 R / \alpha^2 + \sum_{i: \alpha \leq \sigma^2 |D_{ii}|} R_{ii} (\alpha^2 - \sigma^4 D_{ii}^2) / (\alpha^2 \sigma^2)
\leq 0,
$$

since (2a) implies that trace $D^2 R \leq 0$ and (2b) implies that $R_{ii} \geq 0$ for all $i$ with $\alpha \leq \sigma^2 |D_{ii}|$.

The theorem follows immediately, since $\hat{C}^* = \hat{\Sigma}^* (\hat{\Sigma}^* + \sigma^2 I_{n-k})^{-1}$ and $\hat{C} = P_1 + P_2 CP_2 = P_1 + Q \hat{C}^* Q^t$. $\square$

The minimax estimate of $\hat{f}^*$ is the sum of the projection of $Y$ onto the null space of $A$ and a damped projection of $Y$ onto the row space of $A$. Since $\hat{C}_{11}^* \geq \hat{C}_{22}^* \geq \cdots \geq \hat{C}_{n-k,n-k}^*$, the damping occurs in the direction of eigenvectors corresponding to large eigenvalues of $A'A$. Consider the case in which $t_i \in R$ and $Af$ is the $n - 2$ vector of second divided differences of $f$. Let $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{n-2}$ (the columns of $Q$) denote the eigenvectors of $A'A$ corresponding to eigenvalues $D_{11}^2, \ldots, D_{n-2,n-2}^2$. Since $q_{n-2}$ maximizes (over unit length vectors) $\|Aq\|$, the norm of second divided differences of $q$, $q_{n-2}$ can be considered the highest frequency or roughest direction in $R^n$. Similarly, $q_{n-3}$ may be thought of as the second roughest direction in $R^n$. Thus the minimax estimate of $f$ is based on a $Y$ that has had high frequencies lessened or removed. Under the prior for which $\hat{f}^*$ is Bayes, the linear part of $f$ is diffuse and the $q_j^t\hat{f}s$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-k$, are independent with means $0$ and variances $s_{jj}$ with $s_{11} \geq s_{22} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n-k,n-k}$.

For general $A$, two extreme cases: no damping of high frequency directions ($\rho$ approaches infinity) and complete damping ($\rho \to 0$) are easily studied. As $\rho$
approaches 0, $\Sigma D_{ii}^2 s_{ii} = \rho \to 0$, so $s_{ii} \to 0$ and $\hat{C}_{ii}^* = s_{ii}/(s_{ii} + \sigma^2) \to 0$. Thus, $\hat{f}$ approaches $P_{\hat{f}}Y$, the least squares predictor of $f$ in the linear model $Q^2 f = 0$. As $\rho$ approaches infinity, by Lemma 1, $J = n - k$ and $\alpha = (\rho + \sigma^2 \Sigma_i^{-1} D_{ii}^2) / \Sigma_i^{-1} |D_{ii}| \to \infty$. Thus $\hat{C}_{ii}^* \to 1$ and $\hat{f}$ approaches $Y$.

**Lemma 1.** Let 

$$g(j) = \sum_j D_{ii}^2 - |D_{jj}| \sum_j |D_{ii}|.$$

Then $g(1) = 0$ and $g(j) \geq g(j + 1)$ with strict inequality if $D_{jj}^2 < D_{j+1,j+1}^2$.

Then $\hat{J} = J^*(\rho)$ is the largest $i \leq n - k$ such that $\hat{C}_{ii}^*(\rho) > 0$ if and only if $J$ is the largest $i \leq n - k$ such that $\rho + \sigma^2 g(i) > 0$.

**Proof.** To prove the monotonicity of $g$, write 

$$g(j) - g(j + 1) = (|D_{j+1,j+1}| - |D_{jj}|) \sum_i |D_{ii}| \geq 0$$

with strict inequality if $|D_{j+1,j+1}| > |D_{jj}|$.

Let $J$ be equal to the largest $i \leq n - k$ such that $\hat{C}_{ii}^* > 0$ and consider the case $J < n - k$. The case that $J = n - k$ is similar. Then

$$\sigma^2 |D_{jj}| < \alpha \leq \sigma^2 |D_{j+1,j+1}|,$$

where $\alpha$ satisfies

$$\sum_j D_{ii}^2 (\alpha/|D_{ii}| - \sigma^2) = \rho.$$

Solving for $\alpha$ and substituting into the inequality yields 

$$\rho + \sigma^2 g(J + 1) \leq 0 < \rho + \sigma^2 g(J).$$

A reversal of the argument completes the proof. $\Box$

Theorem 2 gives sufficient conditions for the asymptotic normality of linear combinations of the $\hat{f}(t_i)'s$, conditional on $f$. Studying linear combinations of parameter estimates is often used when the number of parameters to be estimated approaches infinity [see, e.g., Portnoy (1984)].

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that (1) holds and that the $\epsilon_i$'s are independent and identically distributed. Let $\Delta = \Delta(\rho)$ be an $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ diagonal matrix with $\Delta_{ii} = 1$ if $s_{ii} > 0$ and zero otherwise and let $e_i$ be an $n$-vector of zeroes, with a 1 in the $i$th position. Let $\sigma^2_\epsilon$ by the conditional variance of $b'f$ given $f$. If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|P_{\epsilon_i}e_i\| + \|\Delta Q' \epsilon_i\| = 0,$$
then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{b : \sigma_b > 0} \sup_z \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{b'\{\hat{f} - E(\hat{f} | f)\}}{\sigma_b} \right) \leq z \right\} - \Phi(z) = 0,
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the standard normal cumulative distribution function.

Remark. Note that in the case that \( Q'f = 0 \), \( E(\hat{f} | f) = f \). If \( Q'b = 0 \), then \( b'E(\hat{f} | f) = b'f \).

Proof. Let \( b \) be an \( n \)-vector. Then

\[
b'(\hat{f} - E(\hat{f} | f)) = b' \left( \begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_1 \\
\varepsilon_2 \\
\vdots \\
\varepsilon_n 
\end{array} \right) = c'e
\]

and

\[
\sigma_b^2 / \sigma^2 = ||c||^2 = ||P_1b||^2 + \left\| Q\hat{C}^*Q' b \right\|^2 = ||P_1b||^2 + \left\| \hat{C}^*Q'b \right\|^2.
\]

Now

\[
|c_i| = \left| e_i'c \right| \leq \left| e_i'P_1P_1b \right| + \left| e_i'Q\hat{C}^*Q'b \right|
= \left| (P_1e_i)'(P_1b) \right| + \left| (\Delta Q'e_i)'(\hat{C}^*Q'b) \right|
\leq ||P_1e_i|| ||P_1b|| + ||\Delta Q'e_i|| \left\| \hat{C}^*Q'b \right\|
\leq ||c|| \left( ||P_1e_i|| + ||\Delta Q'e_i|| \right).
\]

The theorem now follows from the Lindeberg–Feller theorem [cf. Hájek and Šidák (1965), pages 153–154]. □

3. Minimax estimates when \( f \) is smooth. Throughout this section, assume that \( t_i = t_{i-1} = i/n \). To apply the results of Section 2 to priors with the \( k \)th derivative of \( f \) small, \( A \) is the \((n - k) \times n \) matrix with \( Af = \Delta^{(k)}(f) \), the vector of \( k \)th divided differences based upon \( f \). For instance

\[
\Delta^{(1)}(f) = \Delta^{(1)}(f) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \\
\frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{f(t_n) - f(t_{n-1})}{t_n - t_{n-1}} \\
\frac{t_n - t_{n-1}}{t_n - t_{n-1}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and

$$\Delta^{(2)}(f) = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta^{(1)}_3 - \Delta^{(1)}_2 \\
t_3 - t_1 \\vdots \\
\Delta^{(1)}_n - \Delta^{(1)}_{n-1} \\
t_n - t_{n-2}
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that $\rho = \rho_n$ satisfies

$$0 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n / n = \rho^* < 1.$$ 

Then

$$J = J_n = \max\{i \leq n - k : \hat{C}_{ii} > 0\} \sim (\beta^* n)^{1/(2k+1)},$$ 

$$\min \max E(\|\hat{f} - f\|)^2 \sim \frac{k}{k + 1} \sigma^2 (n \beta^*)^{1/(2k+1)},$$

where

$$\beta^* = \rho^* \frac{(k + 1)!(k - 1)!(2k + 1)}{\pi^{2k} \sigma^2}.$$ 

Furthermore, if $F_n = \{f : \|A(f(t_1), \ldots, f(t_n))\|^2 \leq \rho_n\}$, then

$$\max_{f \in F_n} E(\|\hat{f} - f\|^2 | f) \sim \frac{k}{k + 1} \sigma^2 (n \beta^*)^{1/(2k+1)}.$$ 

**Proof.** Fix $k$ and let $\gamma_i = 2(1 - \cos(i \pi / (n - k + 1)))$. Since $D_{ii}^2$ is an eigenvalue of $AA'$, by Lemma 6 of Utreras (1983), for $2k + 1 \leq i \leq n - 2k$,

$$(k!)^{-2} n^{2k} \gamma_{i-2k} \leq D_{ii}^2 \leq (k!)^{-2} n^{2k} \gamma_{i+2k}.$$ 

Using these bounds and the fact that $D_{11}^2 \leq D_{22}^2 \leq \cdots$, one can show that for all $K_0$ there exists $K_1$, not depending on $i$ such that

$$|D_{ii}^2 - (k!)^{-2} \left(\frac{n}{n - k + 1}\right)^{2k} (i \pi)^{2k}| \leq K_i^{2k-1}$$

and

$$|D_{ii}| - (k!)^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{n - k + 1}\right)^k (i \pi)^k \leq K_i^{k-1}$$

for all $i \leq K_0 n^{2/3}$. 

Suppose that \( j = j_n \) satisfies \( j^{2k+1}/n \sim \beta, \ 0 < \beta < 1 \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
n^{-1} \sum_1^j D_{ii}^2 & \to \frac{\beta \pi^{2k}(k!)^{-2}}{(2k + 1)}, \\
n^{-(k+1)/(2k+1)} \sum_1^j |D_{ii}| & \to \frac{\beta^{(k+1)/(2k+1)} \pi^k}{(k+1)!}, \\
n^{-k/(2k+1)} |D_{jj}| & \to \beta^{-k/(2k+1)} \pi^{-k}(k!)^{-1}, \\
n^{-1} g(j) & \to -\beta \frac{\pi^{2k} k}{(k!)^2 (2k + 1)(k + 1)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g \) is as defined in Lemma 1. Furthermore, convergence is uniform in \( \beta \) in compact subsets of \((0, 1)\).

To study the asymptotics of \( J_n \), by Lemma 1, \( J_n \) satisfies \( \sigma^2 g(J_n + 1) + \rho_n \leq 0 \leq \sigma^2 g(J_n) + \rho_n \). The first statement of Theorem 3 follows from (3) and the monotonicity of \( g \).

To calculate the asymptotic minimax Bayesian mean squared error, write

\[
\rho_n = \sum_1^J D_{ii}^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{|D_{ii}|} - \delta^2 \right)
\]

and thus

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{\rho_n + \sigma^2 \sum_1^J D_{ii}^2}{\sum_1^J |D_{ii}|} \sim \left( n \beta^* \right)^{k/(2k+1)} \pi^k \sigma^2 \frac{k}{k!}.
\]

The asymptotic expression is then calculated by applying (3) and the asymptotic value of \( J_n \) to

\[
E\| \hat{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{f} \|^2 = \sigma^2 \left( k + J - \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha} \sum_1^J |D_{ii}| \right).
\]

To calculate the maximum conditional mean squared error, fix \( f \). Then

\[
E\left( \| \hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{f} \|^2 \big| \hat{\mathbf{f}} \right) = \sigma^2 k + \sigma^2 \text{trace}(\hat{\mathbf{C}}^*)^2 + \|(\hat{\mathbf{C}}^* - I_{n-k})Q\mathbf{f})^2.
\]

By the asymptotic value of \( J_n \) and by (3),

\[
\text{trace}(\hat{\mathbf{C}}^*)^2 \sim \left( n \beta^* \right)^{1/(2k+1)} \frac{2k^2}{(k + 1)(2k + 1)}.
\]
Also, writing $\rho$ for $\rho_n$,

$$
\max_{\|A f\|^2 \leq \rho} \left\| (\hat{\mathbf{C}}^* - I_{n-k}) Q^4 f \right\|^2 = \max_{\|Df\|^2 \leq \rho} \left\| (\hat{\mathbf{C}}^* - I_{n-k}) f^* \right\|^2 \\
= \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \rho} \left\| (\hat{\mathbf{C}}^* - I_{n-k}) D^{-1} \mathbf{v} \right\|^2 \\
= \rho \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-k} \frac{(\hat{C}_{ii}^* - 1)^2}{D_{ii}^2} \\
= \rho \max \left\{ \sigma_4^4 - 2, \max_{i > j} D_{ii}^{-2} \right\} \\
= \rho \max \left\{ \sigma_4^4 - 2, D_{j+1,j+1}^{-2} \right\} \\
\sim (n \beta^*)^{1/(2k+1)} \sigma_4^2 \frac{k}{2k + 1} \frac{(k + 1)}{(2k + 1)(k + 1)}.
$$

The last statement of the theorem follows. $\square$

**Comments.** Theorem 3's assumption that $\rho = O(n)$ is a reasonable one since, if one believes that the variance of the $i$th component of $f^*$ is bounded, then one would assume that the trace of $\text{cov}(f^*) = O(n)$.

Note that the Bayesian and conditional rates of convergence of the mean squared error are equal. Furthermore, a rate of $n^{1/(2k+1)}$ is that which a frequentist would expect for $n\int (\hat{f}(t) - f(t))^2 \, dt$ if the function $f$ had $k$ continuous derivatives; see Stone (1982).

If $k = 1$, then the minimax estimate of $f$ can be easily computed. Let

$$
\mathbf{v}_j = (\sin(j \pi/n), \sin(2j \pi/n), \ldots, \sin((n-1)j \pi/n))^T,
$$

(4)

$$
D_{jj}^2 = 2n^2 (1 - \cos(j \pi/n)).
$$

Then $\mathbf{v}_j$ is an eigenvector of $AA^T$ with corresponding eigenvalue $D_{jj}^2$. Therefore, the principal value decomposition of $A$ is $VDQ^T$, where $Q = A^TVD^{-1}$ and the $j$th column of $V$ is $\mathbf{v}_j/\|\mathbf{v}_j\|$. The projection matrix $P_1$ is $(P_1)_{ij} = 1/n$.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that $k = 1$. Then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{b: \sigma_b > 0} \sup_{z} \left\| P \left( \frac{b'(\hat{f} - E(\hat{f}) f)}{\sigma_b} \leq z \right) f - \Phi(z) \right\| = 0.
$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2, we must show that

$$
\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \| P_i \mathbf{e}_i \| \to 0
$$
and

\[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \| \Delta Q^i e_i \| \to 0 \]

as \( n \) approaches infinity. The first statement is immediate, since \([P_1]_{i,j} = n^{-1}\). For \( J \) as defined in Theorem 3,

\[ \| \Delta Q^i e_i \|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} Q_{ij}^2. \]

Let \( v_j \) and \( D_{jj}^2 \) be as defined in (4). Then

\[
Q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{n(v_j)_1}{D_{jj} \| v_j \|}, & \text{if } i = 1, \\
\frac{n((v_j)_{i-1} - (v_j)_i)}{|D_{jj}| \| v_j \|}, & \text{if } 1 < i < n, \\
\frac{n(v_j)_{n-1}}{|D_{jj}| \| v_j \|}, & \text{if } i = n.
\end{cases}
\]

It is easily shown that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \left| \frac{\| v_j \|^2}{n} - \int_0^1 \sin^2(j \pi x) \, dx \right| = 0 \]

and \( \int_0^1 \sin^2(j \pi x) \, dx = 1/2 \). Since \( 2(1 - \cos u)/u^2 \) approaches 1 as \( u \) approaches 0,

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \left| \frac{D_{jj}^2}{n^2(j \pi/n)^2} - 1 \right| = 0. \]

Thus

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} \left| \frac{1}{D_{jj}^2 \| v_j \|^2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2 j^2 n} \right| = 0. \]

Since there exists \( K \), not depending on \( i, j \) or \( n \), such that \(|(v_j)_1| \leq Kj/n, |(v_j)_{i-1} - (v_j)_i| \leq Kj/n, 1 < i < n, \) and \(|(v_j)_{n-1}| \leq Kj/n, Q_{ij}^2 = O(1/n) \) uniformly in \( i \leq n \) and \( j \leq J \). Therefore,

\[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{J} Q_{ij}^2 = O(J/n) \to 0. \]

4. Comments. Our approach is inspired by several techniques in non-parametric (or smoothing) regression.

In standard Bayesian methods, a prior on the entire function \( f \) is chosen and thus the resulting estimate is best for a particular prior, rather than for a whole class of priors. Wahba (1978) shows that the usual spline function estimates are Bayes estimates. In a more classical Bayesian vein, O'Hagan
(1978) develops a general framework for Bayesian regression under both proper and vague priors. Weerahandi and Zidek (1988) use Taylor series expansions of \( f \) to justify a particular form of \( f \)'s prior, which depends upon a few hyperparameters. Since these hyperparameters can be estimated from the data, this method may produce estimates which perform well over a class of priors.

Frequentist approaches to nonparametric regression can be divided into two classes. In the first, one finds the \( \hat{f} \) that fits the data well, but is not too rough. For instance, the estimate \( \hat{f} \) that minimizes \( ||\hat{f} - Y|| \) subject to \( \int (\hat{f}^{(h)}(t))^2 dt \leq \rho \) is a spline; see, for example, Eubank (1988). In the second approach, a minimax method, one seeks \( \hat{f} \) close to all \( f \) in a class of smooth functions. For evaluation of \( f \) at a fixed point \( t \), one might seek \( \hat{f}(t) \) linear, to minimize the maximum (over all \( f \)'s in a particular class) \( E(\hat{f}(t) - f(t))^2 \). In the approximately linear model [see Legostaeva and Shiryaev (1971), or Sacks and Ylvisaker (1978)] one considers all \( f \) with, roughly, \( |f^{(h)}(t)| \leq \rho \), a known constant. Li (1982) considers all \( f \) with \( \int (f^{(h)}(x))^2 dx \leq \rho \). To estimate the entire function, Speckman (1985) and Nussbaum (1985) find the linear estimator which minimizes the maximum of \( E ||\hat{f} - f||^2 \). The maximum is taken over all \( f \) with \( \int (f^{(h)}(t))^2 dt \leq \rho \).

Our Bayesian minimax approach places seemingly softer smoothness constraints on \( f \). However, the Speckman and Nussbaum estimate is a particular case of our estimate. Specifically, let \( \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n \) be the basis for the space of natural splines of degree \( 2k - 1 \) with knots at \( t_1, \ldots, t_n \), proposed by Demmler and Reinsch (1975). Then \( \int \phi_i^{(k)} \phi_j^{(k)} = \lambda_i I \{i = j\} \), where \( 0 = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} < \cdots < \lambda_n \). Let \( \Lambda \) be an \( (n - k) \times (n - k) \) diagonal matrix with \( \lambda_i \)th element equal to \( \lambda_{k+i} \) and let \( Q \) be \( n \times (n - k) \) with \( Q_{i,j} = \phi_{k+i}(t_i) \). If, in Theorem 1, we let \( A = \Lambda^{1/2}Q^t \), the resulting minimax Bayes estimator of \( f \) is the same as the Speckman and Nussbaum estimate.

The Bayesian minimax approach has also been employed in other contexts. Learner (1982), Polasek (1984) and DasGupta and Studden (1989) estimate a normal mean \( \theta \), but consider classes of priors on \( \theta \) different from those considered here. The Bayesian minimax approach is used in ranking and selection, where it is often called the \( \Gamma \) minimax approach; see, for example, Gupta and Hwang (1977).
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