the modified basis function are not understood. Do they approximate with the same power as linear splines? Surely they not do as well as quadratic ones. My next remark relates to the basis functions being used. As noted in Section 3.9, the one-sided truncated power basis is well known to be very badly conditioned whereas the classical B-splines are very well-conditioned. Why not use the latter? Updating might even be easier.

The idea of simplifying the model by removing knots (recombining pieces) strikes me as very important. This idea has recently been discovered by approximation theorists in connection with general spline fitting. The papers [8]–[10] are representative.
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This pioneering paper successfully combines creative breakthroughs (especially, not removing the parent basis function) with numerous techniques developed over the years by the author and his collaborators and others
(especially Patricia Smith). The tactics of MARS are specific to least-squares estimation of a regression function, but the general strategy is much more widely applicable.

In Algorithm 2, the residual sum of squares could be used for LOF. This would allow the entering of new basis functions to be broken up into two steps: decide which basis function next to enter into the model; enter the selected basis function. Using the "t to enter" algorithm from stepwise regression would then eliminate the need to fit the various candidate models in the course of determining the next basis function to enter.

Algorithm 3 could be divided into three tasks: decide which basis function next to remove from the model; remove the selected basis function; determine the final model. In the first two of these steps, the residual sum of squares could be used for LOF. Using the "t to remove" algorithm would then eliminate the need to fit the various candidate models in the course of determining the next basis function to remove.

With these changes, MARS could be extended to handle logistic regression in a more natural manner. The "t to enter" step in Algorithm 2 could be replaced by an algorithm based on Rao's score test and the "t to remove" step in Algorithm 3 could be replaced by an algorithm based on Wald's test. The actual maximum likelihood fitting (based, say, on the Newton–Raphson algorithm and taking advantage of the concavity of the log-likelihood function) would be applied $M_{\text{max}}$ times in Algorithm 2, once after each application of the score test and $M_{\text{max}}$ times in Algorithm 3. The final model selection could be based on a variant of AIC, modified along the lines of (32).

Consider now the estimation of an unknown density or probability function $f$ on a set $\mathcal{Y}$. In order to guarantee positivity, we can model $\log(f)$ as a member of some adaptively selected space $\mathcal{S}$ that does not contain the constant functions. Letting $B_1, \ldots, B_K$ be a basis of $\mathcal{S}$, we can write the estimate of $f$ as $\hat{f} = \exp(\sum_k \hat{\theta}_k H_k - c(\hat{\theta}))$, where $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_K)^t$ and $c(\hat{\theta})$ is the normalizing constant. This has the form of an exponential family. If $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ and the functions in $\mathcal{S}$ have linear tails, then $\hat{f}$ has exponential tails. The selection of $\mathcal{S}$ could be done by applying the general strategy of MARS. Ignoring the model selection, we can choose $\hat{\theta}$ by maximum likelihood. The asymptotic theory of such estimates, with $\mathcal{Y}$ a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_K$ consisting of B-splines and without model selection, has been treated in Stone (1990). The numerical behavior of such estimates, modified to incorporate stepwise knot deletion based on Wald's test and a variant of AIC, is studied in Kooperberg and Stone (1990).

Consider next a random pair $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$, where $\mathbf{X}$ is an $n$-dimensional random vector and $Y$ is a $\mathcal{Y}$-valued random variable. Let $f(y|x)$ denote the conditional density or probability function of $Y$ given that $\mathbf{X} = x$. Consider an estimate $\hat{f}(y|x)$ of the form $\hat{f}(y|x) = \exp(\sum_k \hat{\theta}_k(\mathbf{x}) B_k(y) - c(\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x})))$, where $B_1, \ldots, B_K$ are suitable basis functions of a possibly adaptively selected space $\mathcal{S}$ of functions on $\mathcal{Y}$. [The functions in $\mathcal{S}$ should be piecewise linear for $c(\cdot)$ to be computed rapidly.] We could model $\theta_1(\cdot), \ldots, \theta_K(\cdot)$ in turn as members of possibly adaptively selected spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_K$, respectively. Letting $H_{jk}$, $1 \leq j \leq J_k$, be a basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$, we can write $\hat{\theta}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{h}_k(\mathbf{x}; \hat{\beta}_k) = \sum \hat{\beta}_{jk} H_{jk}(\mathbf{x})$. 


This leads to an estimate of \( f(y|x) \) having the form

\[
\hat{f}(y|x) = \exp \left( \sum_k \sum_j \hat{\beta}_{jk} H_{jk}(x) B_k(y) - c(h(x; \hat{\beta})) \right), \quad y \in \mathcal{Y},
\]

where \( \hat{\beta} \) is the \( JK \)-tuple consisting of \( \hat{\beta}_{jk} \), \( 1 \leq k \leq K \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq J_k \), in some order. This estimate has the form of a multiparameter exponential family, so the corresponding log-likelihood function is again concave. The asymptotic theory of such estimates, with \( \mathcal{Y} \) a compact interval in \( \mathbb{R} \), \( \mathcal{H}_1 = \cdots = \mathcal{H}_K \) and bases consisting of \( B \)-splines and without model selection, has been treated in Stone (1989). It remains to investigate the numerical behavior of such estimates, especially as modified to incorporate the strategy of MARS. Perhaps the resulting technology should be referred to as multivariate adaptive response splines (MARES).

Suppose, in particular, that \( \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\} \). Then we can let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the one-dimensional space having basis \( B_1(y) = y \). In this context, (1) reduces to logistic regression. Similarly, by letting \( \mathcal{Y} \) be a finite set of size 3 or more, we can apply the strategy of MARS to the polytomous extension of logistic regression.

The more general setup given by (1) allows for the estimation of the conditional variance and conditional quantiles of an arbitrary random variable \( Y \) given \( X \) as well as estimation of the conditional mean of \( Y \) given \( X \), which is treated in the present paper.

The general strategy of MARS is also applicable to time series.
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