

## DISTRIBUTION-FREE POINTWISE CONSISTENCY OF KERNEL REGRESSION ESTIMATE

BY WŁODZIMIERZ GREBLICKI, ADAM KRZYŻAK AND MIROŚLAW PAWLAK

*Technical University of Wrocław*

An estimate  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i K((x - X_i)/h) / \sum_{j=1}^n K((x - X_j)/h)$ , calculated from a sequence  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  of independent pairs of random variables distributed as a pair  $(X, Y)$ , converges to the regression  $E\{Y | X = x\}$  as  $n$  tends to infinity in probability for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ , provided that  $E | Y | < \infty$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $nh^d \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The result is true for all distributions  $\mu$  of  $X$ . If, moreover,  $|Y| \leq \gamma < \infty$  and  $nh^d / \log n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , a complete convergence holds. The class of applicable kernels includes those having unbounded support.

**1. Introduction.** We estimate  $m(x) = E\{Y | X = x\}$  from a sequence  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  of independent observations of a pair  $(X, Y)$  of random variables.  $X$  and  $Y$  take their values in  $R^d$  and  $R$ , respectively. Throughout the paper we do not impose any restrictions on the probability distribution  $\mu$  of  $X$ . Hence, all the results presented here are distribution-free in the sense that they are true for all  $\mu$ . The estimate is of the following form:

$$m_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i K((x - X_i)/h) / \sum_{j=1}^n K((x - X_j)/h),$$

where  $h$  depends on  $n$  and  $K$  is a Borel kernel. In the above definition and in the paper  $0/0$  is treated as  $0$ .

Assuming that

- (1)  $h(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  
(2)  $nh^d(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

and

- (3)  $c_1 H(\|x\|) \leq K(x) \leq c_2 H(\|x\|)$ ,

$c_1, c_2$  being positive, Devroye [1] has shown that  $E | m_n(x) - m(x) |^p, p \geq 1$ , converges to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ , whenever  $E | Y |^p < \infty$ .  $H$  is a function defined over the nonnegative half real line. In [1] it equals 1 for  $\|x\| \leq r$ ,  $r$  positive, and 0 otherwise. Let us observe that the class of kernels satisfying the above requirement is practically confined to the window kernel i.e. the kernel which equals 1 for  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and 0 otherwise.

We study the weak and complete convergence on  $m_n(x)$  to  $m(x)$  for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ , and we get as a simple consequence some results concerning the convergence of  $\int | m_n(x) - m(x) | \mu(dx)$  to zero. We show that it is possible to apply kernels with unbounded support and even not integrable ones.

---

Received February 1983; revised April 1984.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62G05.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear regression, kernel estimate, universal consistency.

We assume that

$$(4) \quad cI_{\{\|x\| \leq r\}}(x) \leq K(x),$$

$c$  and  $r$  positive. Moreover, the kernel satisfies (3).  $H$  is a bounded decreasing Borel function and

$$(5) \quad t^d H(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

As far as the convergence in probability is concerned, we impose on the sequence  $\{h(n)\}$  conditions (1) and (2), while the complete convergence is achieved under an additional restriction

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\alpha n h^d(n)) < \infty,$$

for all positive  $\alpha$ . Condition (6) is satisfied if

$$(7) \quad n h^d(n) / \log n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the paper the norms are either all  $l_2$  or all  $l_\infty$ .

**2. Preliminaries and lemmas.** The crucial point of this paper is the asymptotic behaviour of the following expression:

$$U_h(x) = \int K\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right) f(y) \mu(dy) / \int K\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right) \mu(dy)$$

as  $h$  tends to zero, where  $f$  is a  $\mu$  integrable function. In Wheeden and Zygmund [8] we find  $U_h(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for almost all ( $\mu$ )  $x \in R^d$ , provided that  $K$  is the window kernel. In the next lemma we extend the class of applicable kernels.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let a nonnegative Borel kernel  $K$  satisfy (3) and (4). Let a bounded Borel function  $H$  be decreasing in the interval  $[0, \infty)$  and satisfy (5). Let  $f$  be  $\mu$  integrable. Then*

$$U_h(x) \rightarrow f(x)$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for almost all ( $\mu$ )  $x \in R^d$ .

In the proof of Lemma 1 as well as in the sequel, we shall need the following result due to Devroye [1]:

**LEMMA 2.** *For almost all ( $\mu$ )  $x \in R^d$ ,*

$$a_h(x) = h^d / \mu(S_h)$$

*has a finite limit as  $h$  tends to zero.*

In Lemma 2 and throughout the paper  $S_r$  is a sphere of the radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ ,  $x \in R^d$ .

**PROOF OF LEMMA 1.** Clearly,

$$|U_h(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{c_2}{c_1} \int H\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{h}\right) |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) / \int H\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{h}\right) \mu(dy).$$

Let us observe

$$H(t) = \int_0^\infty I_{\{H(t) > s\}}(s) ds.$$

Thus,

$$(8) \quad \int H\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{h}\right) \mu(dy) = \int_0^\infty \mu(A_{t,h}) dt$$

and

$$(9) \quad \int H\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{h}\right) |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_{A_{t,h}} |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) \right] dt,$$

where  $A_{t,h} = \{y: H(\|x - y\|/h) > t\}$ .

Let  $\delta = \epsilon h^d, \epsilon > 0$ . Obviously,

$$(10) \quad \int_\delta^\infty \left[ \int_{A_{t,h}} |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) \right] dt / \int_0^\infty \mu(A_{t,h}) dt \leq \sup_{t \geq \delta} \left[ \int_{A_{t,h}} |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) / \mu(A_{t,h}) \right].$$

It is clear that the radii of sets  $A_{t,h}, t \geq \delta$ , are not greater than the radius of the set  $A_{\delta,h}$ . The radius of  $A_{\delta,h}$  is in turn  $h$  times greater than that of the set  $A_{\delta,1}$ . We shall now estimate the radius of  $A_{\delta,1}$ . It does not exceed  $H^{-1}(\delta)$ ,  $H^{-1}$  being the inverse of  $H$ . Thus the radius of  $A_{\delta,h}$  is majorized by  $hH^{-1}(\delta)$ . Now, by virtue of (5) and by the definition of  $\delta, hH^{-1}(\delta) = hH^{-1}(\epsilon h^d)$  converges to zero as  $h$  tends to zero. Since  $A_{t,h}$  is either a cube or a ball, then by Wheeden and Zygmund [8, page 189], the quantity in (10) converges to zero as  $h$  tends to zero for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ .

On the other hand,

$$(11) \quad \int_0^\delta \left[ \int_{A_{t,h}} |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) \right] dt \leq (c_3 + |f(x)|) \delta,$$

where  $c_3 = \int |f(x)| \mu(dx)$ . Using (4), we get

$$(12) \quad \int H\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{h}\right) \mu(dy) \geq c\mu(S_{rh}) \geq \frac{c(rh)^d}{a_{rh}(x)},$$

where  $a_{rh}(x)$  is as in Lemma 2. From (11), (12), and by the definition of  $\delta$ , we

have in turn

$$\int_0^\delta \left[ \int_{A_{t,h}} |f(x) - f(y)| \mu(dy) \right] dt / \int_0^\infty \mu(A_{t,h}) dt \leq \varepsilon \left[ \frac{c_3 + |f(x)|}{cr^d} \right] a_{rh}(x).$$

Finally, using Lemma 2, the above quantity can be made arbitrarily small for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$  when  $\varepsilon$  is small enough. The proof has been completed.

**3. Consistency.** We are now in a position to show:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E|Y| < \infty$ . Let  $K$  and  $H$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Let (1) and (2) hold. Then*

$$m_n(x) \rightarrow m(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in probability}$$

for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ .

**PROOF.** Let us denote

$$A_n = E\{YK((x - X)/h)\}/EK((x - X)/h),$$

$$B_{1n} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (V_{in} - EV_{in}),$$

where

$$V_{in} = Y_i K((x - X_i)/h)/EK((x - X)/h).$$

Let, moreover,

$$B_{2n} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_{in} - EZ_{in}),$$

where

$$Z_{in} = K((x - X_i)/h)/EK((x - X)/h).$$

Now, the estimate can be rewritten in the following form:

$$(13) \quad m_n(x) = (A_n + B_{1n})/(1 + B_{2n}).$$

Since, by Lemma 1 and (1),  $A_n \rightarrow m(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ , it suffices to verify that both  $B_{1n}$  and  $B_{2n}$  converge to zero in probability as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ .

Let us take  $B_{1n}$  into account. For  $N > 0$ , let  $Y' = YI_{|Y| \leq N}$ , and  $Y'' = Y - Y'$ . Let, moreover,  $g_N(x) = E\{|Y''| | X = x\}$ . Let  $B'_{1n}$  and  $B''_{1n}$  be the expressions obtained from  $B_{1n}$  by replacing  $Y_i$  with  $Y'_i$  and  $Y''_i$ , respectively. Now, it suffices to verify that both  $B'_{1n}$  and  $B''_{1n}$  converge to zero in probability as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ . From Chebyshev's inequality and (4), we have

$$P\{|B'_{1n}| > t\} \leq (nt^2)^{-1} kNg_{N,h}(x)/EK((x - X)/h) \leq kNg_{N,h}(x)a_{rh}(x)/t^2cr^dnh^d,$$

where  $k = \sup_x K(x)$  and  $g_{N,h}(x) = E\{|Y' | K((x - X)/h)\}/EK((x - X)/h)$ . By

virtue of Lemma 1 and (1),  $g_{N,h}(x) \rightarrow E\{|Y'| \mid X = x\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R_d$ . By this, Lemmas 1 and 2, and from (2), for each fixed  $N$ , the above expression converges to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ . Then we apply Markov's inequality and get

$$P\{|B_{1n}''| > t\} \leq 2t^{-1}E\{g_N(X)K((x - X)/h)\}/EK((x - X)/h).$$

By virtue of Lemma 1, the last expression converges to  $g_N(x)$  as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ . Since  $E|Y| < \infty$ ,  $Eg_N(X)$  converges to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity. Since, moreover,  $g_N$  is monotone in  $N$ , by the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem  $g_N(x)$  converges to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ . Thus, let us first choose  $N$  large enough so that  $g_N(x)$  is small, and then let  $n$  grow large.

As the convergence of  $B_{2n}$  can be verified in the same way, the proof has been completed.

In the next theorem we show a complete convergence.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $|Y| \leq \gamma < \infty$ . Let  $K$  and  $H$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Let (1) and (6) hold. Then*

$$m_n(x) \rightarrow m(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ completely}$$

for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ .

Devroye's result [1] says that the assertion of Theorem 2 holds, provided that  $H$  is the window kernel and (7) is satisfied.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Clearly, it suffices to show that  $B_{1n}$  and  $B_{2n}$  in (13) converge to zero completely as  $n$  tends to infinity for almost all  $(\mu) x \in R^d$ . Taking into account

$$|V_{in}| \leq \gamma ka_{rh}(x)/cr^d h^d,$$

and the fact the variance of  $V_{in}$  is bounded by  $\gamma^2 ka_{rh}(x)/cr^d h^d$ , the application of Bernstein's inequality, see e.g. Hoeffding [5], yields

$$P\{|B_{1n}| > t\} \leq 2 \exp(-cr^d t^2 nh^d / 2\gamma ka_{rh}(x)(\gamma + t)).$$

This, Lemma 1 and (6) yield convergence of  $B_{1n}$ .

Since the convergence of  $B_{2n}$  can be verified by using similar arguments, the proof has been completed.

**4. Conclusion.** The class of applicable kernels includes those having unbounded support and the following ones, in particular:  $e^{-|x|}$ ,  $e^{-x^2}$ ,  $1/(1 + |x|^{1+\delta})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 1/e & \text{for } |x| \leq e \\ 1/|x| \ln |x| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The last kernel is even not integrable.

By virtue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem on product spaces,

see Glick [3], we have:

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $|Y| \leq \gamma < \infty$ . Then, with the conditions of Theorem 1 or 2,*

$$(17) \quad \int |m_n(x) - m(x)| \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

*in the mean or almost surely, respectively.*

The convergence in the mean of the integrated absolute error in (17) has been studied by Spiegelman and Sacks [6] as well as by Devroye and Wagner [2]. These authors, however, assumed that  $E|Y| < \infty$ , but considered only kernels with bounded support.

Finally, we would like to mention that distribution-free results concerning regression estimation were first obtained by Stone [7]. For a review paper we refer to Györfi [4].

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to express their thanks to the referee for his suggestions concerning some improvements.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] DEVROYE, L. (1981). On the almost everywhere convergence of nonparametric regression function estimates. *Ann. Statist.* **9** 1310–1319.
- [2] DEVROYE, L. and WAGNER, T. J. (1980). Distribution-free consistency results in nonparametric discrimination and regression function estimation. *Ann. Statist.* **8** 231–239.
- [3] GLICK, N. (1974). Consistency conditions for probability estimators and integrals for density estimators. *Utilitas Math.* **5** 61–74.
- [4] GYÖRFI, L. (1981). Recent results on nonparametric regression estimate and multiple classification. *Problems Control Inform. Theory* **10** 43–52.
- [5] HOEFFDING, W. (1963). Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **58** 13–30.
- [6] SPIEGELMAN, C. and SACKS, J. (1980). Consistent window estimation in nonparametric regression. *Ann. Statist.* **8** 240–246.
- [7] STONE, C. J. (1977). Consistent nonparametric regression. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 595–645.
- [8] WHEEDEN, R. L. and ZYGMUND, A. (1977). *Measure and Integral*. Dekker, New York.

INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING CYBERNETICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND