

## A MINIMAX CRITERION FOR CHOOSING WEIGHT FUNCTIONS FOR *L*-ESTIMATES OF LOCATION

BY DAVID M. MASON

*University of Delaware*

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent with common distribution  $F$  symmetric about  $\mu$ . Let  $T_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n J(i/(n+1))X_{in}$  be an  $L$ -estimate of  $\mu$  based on a weight function  $J$  and the order statistics  $X_{1n} \leq \dots \leq X_{nn}$  of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Under very general regularity conditions  $n^{1/2}T_n$  has asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2(J, F)$ . A weight function  $J_0$  is found that minimizes the maximum of  $\sigma^2(J, F)/s^2(F)$ , whenever  $s(F)$  is a measure of scale of a general type, as  $F$  ranges over a subclass of the symmetric distributions determined by  $s(F)$  and  $J$  ranges over a class of weight functions also determined by  $s(F)$ . The sample mean and the trimmed mean arise as the solutions for particular choices of scale measures.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables with a common distribution function  $F$ , where  $F$  is assumed to be a right continuous distribution function symmetric about  $\mu$ .  $\mu$  is usually called the location parameter of  $F$ . We will say that  $F$  is symmetric about  $\mu$  if  $F(x - \mu) = 1 - F(-(x - \mu) -)$  for all real  $x$ . Let  $X_{1n} \leq \dots \leq X_{nn}$  be the order statistics of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and let  $J$  be a real valued weight function defined on  $(0, 1)$ . Any estimate of  $\mu$  of the form

$$T_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n J(i/(n+1))X_{in}$$

will be called an  $L$ -estimate of  $\mu$  based on the weight function  $J$ .

Some of the minimum requirements for  $T_n$  to be a consistent estimate of  $\mu$  are that  $J$  be symmetric about  $1/2$ , that is,  $J(u) = J(1 - u)$  for all  $u \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\int_0^1 J(u) du = 1$ . For convenience we will let  $\mathcal{J}$  denote the class of all measurable real valued functions defined on  $(0, 1)$  that satisfy these two conditions.

Under certain regularity conditions on  $J$  depending on the tail behavior of  $F$ ,  $T_n \rightarrow \mu$  a.s. See for instance Wellner (1977), van Zwet (1980) or Mason (1982). Other regularity conditions on  $J$  and  $F$  in combination with symmetry of  $J$  and  $F$  imply that

$$n^{1/2}(T_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2(J, F)),$$

where

$$0 < \sigma^2(J, F) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 J(u)J(v)(u \wedge v - uv) dF^{-1}(u) dF^{-1}(v) < \infty,$$

with

$$F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{x : F(x) \geq u\} \quad \text{for } u \in (0, 1].$$

Refer to Shorack (1972), Stigler (1974) and Mason (1981).

Let  $f$  be a density function that is symmetric about zero and consider the family of distributions  $\mathcal{F}_f = \{F_{\mu,s} : F_{\mu,s} \text{ has density } s^{-1}f(s^{-1}(x - \mu)), \mu \in (-\infty, \infty), s \in (0, \infty)\}$ . Under certain regularity conditions there exists a weight function  $J_f \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $J_f$  minimizes

$$(1) \quad \sigma^2(J, F_{0,1})$$

---

Received July 1981; revised May 1982.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62G35; secondary 62G05.

Key words and phrases.  $L$ -estimates for location, order statistics, minimax, weight function.

among all  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ , and in addition the  $J_f$  that minimizes expression (1) is asymptotically efficient in the sense that

$$\sigma^2(J_f, F_{0,1}) = I_f^{-1},$$

where  $I_f$  is the Fisher information number

$$I_f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right\}^2 f(x) dx.$$

Since  $\sigma^2(J, F_{\mu,s})s^{-2} = \sigma^2(J, F_{0,1})$ , an alternate way of writing expression (1) is

$$(2) \quad \sup\{\sigma^2(J, F_{\mu,s})s^{-2}; \quad F_{\mu,s} \in \mathcal{F}_f\}.$$

Additional regularity conditions imply that when  $T_n$  has the optimal weight function  $J_f$ , for each  $F_{\mu,s} \in \mathcal{F}_f$

$$s^{-1}n^{1/2}(T_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, I_f^{-1}).$$

See Chernoff, Gastwirth and Johns (1967) and Huber (1977, pages 22–23) for more details.

The problem of choosing a weight function that minimizes expressions like

$$\sup\{\sigma^2(J, F_s)s^{-2} : F_s \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

among all  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  (here  $F_s$  means that  $F_s$  has scale parameter  $s$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is some class of symmetric distributions) has also been considered by Gastwirth and Rubin (1969) in a more general context where point masses are allowed at particular quantiles in location estimates. They require that  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of distribution functions such that each distribution function in the class has a density which is symmetric about zero and satisfies a certain uniform tail condition. See pages 27 and 28 of Gastwirth and Rubin (1969).

We will be concerned with an extension of the foregoing ideas. Let  $s(F)$  denote a measure of scale. We will find that weight function  $J_0$  that minimizes the maximum of  $\sigma^2(J, F)/s^2(F)$ , as  $F$  ranges over a subclass of the symmetric distributions determined by  $s(F)$  and  $J$  ranges over a subclass of  $\mathcal{J}$  also determined by  $s(F)$ . For some choices of scale measures  $s(F)$ , finiteness of  $s(F)$  is saying something about the tail behavior of  $F$ .  $J_0$  then becomes the minimax choice, by our criterion, of a weight function for an  $L$ -estimate of location for the class of all symmetric distributions which behave in a specified manner in the tails determined by  $s(F)$ . Often it will turn out that for particular choices of  $s(F)$  known limit theorems for  $L$ -estimates will imply that the  $T_n$  with weight function  $J_0$  is consistent and asymptotically normal with variance  $\sigma^2(J, F)$ .

Our approach differs from the minimax approach for the choice of a  $\psi$  function for an  $M$ -estimate of location considered by Huber (1964) in the following manner. Huber's approach is in a sense semi-parametric, in that a  $\psi$  function is found that minimizes the maximum asymptotic variance of the  $M$ -estimate as  $F$  ranges over a particular topologically "small" neighborhood of a specified symmetric distribution. Whereas our approach is in a sense semi-nonparametric, in that we restrict our distributions to lie in a certain subclass of the symmetric distributions that possess a specified tail behavior. For some more recent results related to Huber's approach see Collins (1977) and Rousseuw (1981).

**2. The minimax choice of a weight function for a particular class of scale measures.**  $\mathcal{S}$  will denote the class of distributions symmetric about zero. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of nonnegative measurable functions defined on  $(0, 1)$  which are symmetric about  $1/2$ . We will consider the class of scale measures defined via a function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  as follows: For  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , let

$$s(h, F) \equiv \int_0^1 h(u) dF^{-1}(u),$$

whenever  $s(h, F)$  is finite. It is easy to verify that when  $s(h, F) < \infty$ ,  $s(h, F_{\mu,\tau}) = s(h, F)\tau$  for all  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ , where  $F_{\mu,\tau}(x) = F((x - \mu)/\tau)$ . This class includes some common measures of scale. See the examples below.

Given any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , let us define the following subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ : Let  $\mathcal{S}_h$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that for each  $F \in \mathcal{S}_h$  (i)  $F^{-1}$  and  $h$  have no common discontinuity points; and (ii)  $0 < s(h, F) < \infty$ .

Whenever  $h$  is continuous except perhaps at a finite number of jump discontinuities in  $(0, 1)$ , let  $\mathcal{J}_h$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{J}$  such that for each  $J \in \mathcal{J}_h$ ,  $J$  is continuous on  $(0, 1)$  except perhaps where  $h$  is discontinuous, in which case  $J$  has only jump discontinuities. We will define the asymptotic risk of using an  $L$ -estimate of location based on a weight function  $J$  for a particular distribution  $F$  in  $\mathcal{S}_h$  to be

$$(3) \quad R(J, s(h, F), F) = \sigma^2(J, F) / s^2(h, F).$$

It is easy to see that  $R$  is both location and scale invariant, so it was with no loss of generality that we assumed from the beginning that each  $F$  is symmetric about zero.

At this point it is convenient to introduce the following subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of symmetric three point distributions. The nice properties of this class will be essential to the proof of our main result.

For any  $x_\nu \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$ , let  $G_{\nu,x_\nu}$  be a symmetric distribution defined as follows:

$$G_{\nu,x_\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x_\nu \leq x \\ 1 - \nu & 0 \leq x < x_\nu \\ \nu & -x_\nu \leq x < 0 \\ 0 & x < -x_\nu. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of all such distributions. Each  $G_{\nu,x_\nu} \in \mathcal{G}$  has an inverse distribution  $g_{\nu,x_\nu}$  defined as follows:

$$g_{\nu,x_\nu}(u) = \begin{cases} x_\nu & 1 - \nu < u \leq 1 \\ 0 & \nu < u \leq 1 - \nu \\ -x_\nu & 0 < u \leq \nu. \end{cases}$$

Let  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $G_{\nu,x_\nu} \in \mathcal{G}$ . Trivial calculations show that whenever  $J$  is continuous at  $\nu$ ,  $\sigma^2(J, G_{\nu,x_\nu}) = 2\nu x_\nu^2 J^2(\nu)$ , and whenever  $h$  is continuous at  $\nu$ ,  $s(h, G_{\nu,x_\nu}) = 2x_\nu h(\nu)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  be such that  $h$  is continuous on  $(0, 1)$  except perhaps at a finite number of jump discontinuities, and*

$$0 < 2 \int_0^{1/2} h(u)u^{-1/2} du \equiv \{C(h)\}^{-1} < \infty.$$

*Let  $J_h(u) = C(h)h(u)u^{-1/2}$  for  $0 < u \leq 1/2$  and  $= C(h)h(u)(1 - u)^{-1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u < 1$ . Then  $J_h$  minimizes*

$$\sup\{R(J, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\}$$

*among all  $J \in \mathcal{J}_h$ , and*

$$\sup\{R(J_h, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\} = 2^{-1}C^2(h).$$

**REMARK 1.** The requirement that  $h$  and  $F^{-1}$  and hence  $J$  and  $F^{-1}$  have no common discontinuity points is natural in the sense that this is one of the minimum assumptions needed for the asymptotic normality of  $L$ -estimates. See Mason (1981), Shorack (1972) and Stigler (1974).

We will postpone the proof until Section 3 and first give some examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Choose  $0 < p < \infty$  and set  $h_p(u) = u^{1/p}$  for  $0 < u \leq 1/2$  and  $h_p(u) = (1 - u)^{1/p}$  for  $1/2 < u < 1$ . For  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$s(h_p, F) = \int_0^{1/2} u^{1/p} dF^{-1}(u) + \int_{1/2}^1 (1 - u)^{1/p} dF^{-1}(u).$$

By integration by parts,  $s(h_p, F)$  also equals

$$\int_0^{1/2} |F^{-1}(u)| u^{1/p-1} du + \int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(u)(1 - u)^{1/p-1} du.$$

When  $p = 1$ ,  $s(h_1, F)$  becomes the absolute first moment of  $F$ . In the context of a scale measure  $s(h_1, F)$  is often called the mean absolute deviation from the median. When  $0 < p < 1$  or  $1 < p < \infty$ , finiteness of  $s(h_p, F)$  is very closely related to finiteness of the  $p$ th absolute moment of  $F$ . Refer to the Appendix of Mason (1982) for a complete discussion of this point.

Finiteness of  $s(h_p, F)$  is also saying something about the tail behavior of  $F$ . It is equivalent to saying that

$$|x|^{-1/p}(1 - F(x) + F(-x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

at such a rate as to make

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \{F(x)\}^{1/p-1} |x| dF(x) + \int_0^\infty \{1 - F(x)\}^{1/p-1} x dF(x) < \infty.$$

In this case  $J_{h_p}(u) = C(p)u^{1/p-1/2}$  for  $0 < u \leq 1/2$  and  $= C(p)(1 - u)^{1/p-1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u < 1$ , where  $C(p) = (2 + p)p^{-1}2^{1/p-3/2}$ .  $\mathcal{S}_{h_p}$  is the class of all  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $0 < s(h_p, F) < \infty$ . Observe that when  $p = 2$ ,  $J_{h_2} \equiv 1$ , so that the  $L$ -estimate  $T_n$  based on  $J_{h_2}$  is just the sample mean. This is reasonable since  $s(h_2, F) < \infty$  is almost equivalent to  $F$  having a finite second moment. See the remark immediately following this example.

It is interesting to note that if  $T_n$  is the  $L$ -estimate with weight function  $J_{h_p}$  and the underlying distribution  $F$  satisfies  $0 < s(h_p, F) < \infty$ , that the weight function and the scale condition exactly interlock to imply asymptotic normality of  $T_n$  (actually for a slightly trimmed version of  $T_n$  for the case when  $0 < p < 2$ ). Refer to Theorem 1 of Mason (1981).

REMARK 2. Suppose instead of the scale measure  $s(h_2, F)$ , the standard deviation  $s(F) = (\int_0^1 \{F^{-1}(u)\}^2 du)^{1/2}$  is used. Then the weight function  $J_{h_2} \equiv 1$  also minimizes

$$\sup\{R(J, s(F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$$

among all  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{h_2} = \{J \in \mathcal{J} : J \text{ is continuous}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}^* = \{F : 0 < s(F) < \infty\}$ . To see this, choose any  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . Then since

$$\sigma^2(J_{h_2}, F) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (u \wedge v - uv) dF^{-1}(u) dF^{-1}(v) = s^2(F),$$

$R(J_{h_2}, s(F), F) = 1$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . Let  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{h_2}$  be such that  $J \neq 1$  for some point in  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $J$  is continuous and  $\int_0^1 J(u) du = 1$ , there must exist a point  $v \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $J(v) > 1$ . Now choose any  $x_v \in (0, \infty)$  and  $G_{x_v} \in \mathcal{G}$ , we see that

$$\sigma^2(J, F) = 2v x_v^2 J^2(v) > 2v x_v^2 = \sigma^2(J_{h_2}, F).$$

Hence  $J_{h_2} \equiv 1$  is the minimax choice of  $J$  with respect to the standard deviation. It can be shown that  $\mathcal{S}_{h_2} \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ , but  $\mathcal{S}^* \not\subset \mathcal{S}_{h_2}$ . Refer to Hoeffding (1973) or Mason (1982).

EXAMPLE 2. Choose  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  and set  $h(u) = 1$  if  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1 - \alpha$  and zero otherwise.

Whenever  $F^{-1}$  is continuous at  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$

$$s(h, F) = F^{-1}(1 - \alpha) - F^{-1}(\alpha).$$

Observe that  $s(h, F)$  is a symmetric interquantile range. In this case  $J_h(u) = C(h)u^{-1/2}$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2$ ,  $= C(h)(1 - u)^{-1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$ , and equal to zero elsewhere, where  $C(h) = \{4(2^{-1/2} - \alpha^{1/2})\}^{-1}$ .  $\mathcal{S}_h$  is the class of all  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $0 < s(h, F) < \infty$  and  $F^{-1}$  is continuous at  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$ .

$L$ -estimates based on  $J_h$  are not quite trimmed means. Increasingly more weight is placed on the outer quantiles up to the  $\alpha$ th and the  $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantiles than on the inner quantiles. The symmetrically trimmed  $\alpha$  - mean is obtained by using the scale measure  $s(h, F)$  with  $h(u) = u^{1/2}$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2$ ,  $h(u) = (1 - u)^{1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$ , and zero elsewhere.

**EXAMPLE 3.** Choose  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  and set  $h(u) = u$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2$ ,  $h(u) = 1 - u$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$ , and zero elsewhere. Whenever  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $F^{-1}$  is continuous at  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$ , we see by integration by parts that

$$s(h, F) = \alpha \{F^{-1}(1 - \alpha) - F^{-1}(\alpha)\} + \int_{F^{-1}(\alpha)}^{F^{-1}(1-\alpha)} |x| dF(x).$$

So  $s(h, F)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Winsorized mean absolute deviation from the median. In this case  $J_h(u) = C(h)u^{1/2}$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2$ ,  $= C(h)(1 - u)^{1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$ , and zero elsewhere, where  $C(h) = 3/4(2^{-3/2} - \alpha^{3/2})^{-1}$ .  $\mathcal{S}_h$  is the same class as that given in Example 2.

**EXAMPLE 4.** Choose  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , and set  $h(u) = (1 - 2\alpha)^{-1}(u - \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2 = (1 - 2\alpha)^{-1}(1 - u - \alpha)$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$  and equal to zero elsewhere. By combining Examples 2 and 3 we see that

$$s(h, F) = (1 - 2\alpha)^{-1} \int_{F^{-1}(\alpha)}^{F^{-1}(1-\alpha)} |x| dF(x).$$

$s(h, F)$  is an  $\alpha$  - trimmed mean absolute deviation from the median.  $J_h(u) = D(h)(u - \alpha)u^{-1/2}$  for  $\alpha \leq u \leq 1/2$ ,  $= D(h)(1 - \alpha - u)(1 - u)^{-1/2}$  for  $1/2 < u \leq 1 - \alpha$ , and equal to zero elsewhere, where  $D(h) = \{(2/3 - 4\alpha)2^{-1/2} + 2/3 \alpha^{3/2}\}^{-1}$  with  $D(h) = C(h)(1 - 2\alpha)$ .

**REMARK 3.** If it is assumed that  $F$  has a finite absolute  $p$ th moment for some positive  $p$ , then each of the  $L$ -estimates based on the weight functions in Examples 2, 3 and 4 are asymptotically normal. Refer to Theorem 5 of Stigler (1974).

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** First observe that  $J_h \in \mathcal{J}_h$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_h$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisting of all those  $G_{\nu, x} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $G_{\nu, x}^{-1}$  and  $h$  have no discontinuity points in common and  $s(h, G_{\nu, x}) > 0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{G}_h \subset \mathcal{S}_h$ . The proof of Theorem 1 will consist of the following steps:

**STEP 1.** We will show that

$$(4) \quad R(J_h, s(h, G_{\nu, x}), G_{\nu, x}) = 2^{-1}C^2(h)$$

for all  $G_{\nu, x} \in \mathcal{G}_h$ .

**STEP 2.** For any  $F \in \mathcal{S}_h$ ,

$$(5) \quad R(J_h, s(h, F), F) \leq 2^{-1}C^2(h).$$

**STEP 3.** For any  $J \in \mathcal{J}_h$  such that  $J$  and  $J_h$  disagree at a point  $\nu \in (0, 1)$  where both  $J$

and  $J_h$  are continuous there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{S}_h$  such that

$$R(J, s(h, F), F) > 2^{-1}C^2(h).$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{J}_h$ , for any  $J \in \mathcal{J}_h$  such that  $J \neq J_h$ ,  $J$  and  $J_h$  can only disagree where they both have jump discontinuities or both are continuous. Steps 1, 2, and 3 imply that

$$\sup\{R(J, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\} > \sup\{R(J_h, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\} = 2^{-1}C^2(h),$$

whenever  $J$  and  $J_h$  disagree at a point where they are both continuous. If they only disagree at points where they both have jump discontinuities, continuity of  $F^{-1}$  at those points implies that

$$\sup\{R(J, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\} = \sup\{R(J_h, s(h, F), F) : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\} = 2^{-1}C^2(h).$$

These remarks show that  $J_h$  is indeed minimax.

**PROOF OF STEP 1.** Choose any  $G_{v,x} \in \mathcal{G}_h$ , then  $\sigma^2(J_h, G_{v,x}) = 2J_h^2(v)\nu x_v^2 = 2C^2(h)h^2(v)x_v^2$  and  $s^2(h, G_{v,x}) = 4x_v^2h^2(v) > 0$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF STEP 2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}_h = \{F^{-1} : F \in \mathcal{S}_h\}$  and for any  $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_h$  set  $\tau(J_h, F^{-1}) = \sigma(J_h, F)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{S}_h$  is a convex class of functions. We claim that  $\tau(J_h, \cdot)$  in a convex functional defined on  $\mathcal{S}_h$ .

Let  $U$  be a uniform (0, 1) random variable. Application of Fubini's theorem gives

$$\tau^2(J_h, F^{-1}) = \text{Var}\left(\int_0^1 \{I(U \leq u) - u\} J_h(u) dF^{-1}(u)\right);$$

where  $I(x \leq y) = 1$  or 0 according to whether  $x \leq y$  or  $x > y$ .

Let  $F_1^{-1}$  and  $F_2^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_h$  and choose  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  let

$$Z_i = \int_0^1 \{I(U \leq u) - u\} J_h(u) dF_i^{-1}(u).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(J_h, \alpha F_1^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)F_2^{-1}) &= [\text{Var}\{\alpha Z_1 + (1 - \alpha)Z_2\}]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \alpha(\text{Var } Z_1)^{1/2} + (1 - \alpha)(\text{Var } Z_2)^{1/2} \\ &= \alpha\tau(J_h, F_1^{-1}) + (1 - \alpha)\tau(J_h, F_2^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim.

To show (5) for all  $F \in \mathcal{S}_h$  is equivalent to showing

$$(6) \quad \tau(J_h, F^{-1}) \Big/ \int_0^1 h(u) dF^{-1}(u) \leq 2^{-1/2}C(h)$$

for all  $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_h$ .

We will begin by first assuming that  $h$  is continuous and strictly positive. Choose any  $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_h$ . Now choose any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $\int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} h(u) dF^{-1}(u) > 0$  and  $\epsilon$  is a continuity point of  $F^{-1}$ . Choose a sequence of partitions of the interval  $[\epsilon, 1/2]$   $\epsilon = \nu_{0n} < \nu_{1n} \dots < \nu_{nn} < 1/2$  such that each  $\nu_{in}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$  is a continuity point of  $F^{-1}$ ,  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (\nu_{i+1,n} - \nu_{in}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $1/2 - \nu_{n,n} \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  set

$$p_{in} = 2 \int_{\nu_{in}}^{\nu_{i+1,n}} h(u) dF^{-1}(u) \Big/ \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} h(u) dF^{-1}(u),$$

and

$$p_{nn} = \int_{v_{nn}}^{v_{n+1,n}} h(u) dF^{-1}(u) / \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(u) dF^{-1}(u),$$

where  $v_{n+1,n} = 1 - v_{nn}$ .

Observe that  $\sum_{i=0}^n p_{in} = 1$ . For  $u \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$  set

$$H_n(u) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_{in} g_{v_{in}, x_{v_{in}}}(u)$$

where  $x_{v_{in}} = \{2h(v_{in})\}^{-1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

Also, for  $u \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$  set

$$H(u) = F^{-1}(u) / \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(v) dF^{-1}(v).$$

**CLAIM.** Whenever  $u \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$  and  $u$  is a continuity point of  $F^{-1}$ , then  $H_n(u) \rightarrow H(u)$ .

**PROOF.** First assume that  $u \in [\epsilon, 1/2)$  is a continuity point of  $F^{-1}$ . Now for  $n$  large,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(u) &= \sum_{v_{in} \geq u} p_{in} x_{v_{in}} \\ &= -\sum_{v_{nn} > v_{in} \geq u} \int_{v_{in}}^{v_{i+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) / \left\{ h(v_{in}) \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(v) dF^{-1}(v) \right\} \\ &\quad - \int_{v_{nn}}^{v_{n+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) / \left\{ 2h(v_{nn}) \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(v) dF^{-1}(v) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h$  is uniformly continuous and bounded away from zero on  $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$  and  $F^{-1}$  is continuous at  $u$ , a standard argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{v_{nn} > v_{in} \geq u} \int_{v_{in}}^{v_{i+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) \{h(v_{in})\}^{-1} &- \int_{v_{nn}}^{v_{n+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) \{2h(v_{nn})\}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{v_{nn} > v_{in} \geq u} \{F^{-1}(v_{in}) - F^{-1}(v_{i+1,n})\} - \{F^{-1}(v_{n+1,n}) - F^{-1}(v_{nn})\} / 2 + o(1) \\ &= F^{-1}(u) - F^{-1}(1/2) + \{F^{-1}(1/2) - F^{-1}(1/2+)\} / 2 + o(1) = F^{-1}(u) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H_n(u) \rightarrow H(u)$ . Now let  $u \in (1/2, 1 - \epsilon]$  be a continuity point of  $F^{-1}$ . For  $n$  large,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(u) &= \sum_{1-v_{nn} < 1-v_{in} < u} \int_{v_{in}}^{v_{i+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) / \left\{ h(v_{in}) \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(v) dF^{-1}(v) \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_{v_{nn}}^{v_{n+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) / \left\{ 2h(v_{nn}) \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(v) dF^{-1}(v) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument as above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1-v_{nn} < 1-v_{in} < u} \int_{v_{in}}^{v_{i+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) \{h(v_{in})\}^{-1} &+ \int_{v_{nn}}^{v_{n+1,n}} h(s) dF^{-1}(s) \{2h(v_{nn})\}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{1-v_{nn} < 1-v_{in} < u} \{F^{-1}(v_{i+1,n}) - F^{-1}(v_{in})\} + \{F^{-1}(v_{n+1,n}) - F^{-1}(v_{nn})\} / 2 + o(1) \\ &= F^{-1}(1/2) - F^{-1}(1-u) + \{F^{-1}(1/2+) - F^{-1}(1/2)\} / 2 + o(1), \\ &= F^{-1}(u) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

since  $F$  is symmetric about zero. Hence  $H_n(u) \rightarrow H(u)$ . If  $u = 1/2$  is a continuity of  $F^{-1}$  then  $H_n(1/2) = 0$ , but in this case  $F^{-1}(1/2) = 0$ , so  $H_n(1/2) = H(1/2) = 0$ . An argument very much like that given for Proposition 8.15 on page 165 of Breiman (1968) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & \left( \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} J_h(u) J_h(v) (u \wedge v - uv) dH_n(u) dH_n(v) \right)^{1/2} \\
 (8) \quad & \rightarrow \left( \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} J_h(u) J_h(v) (u \wedge v - uv) dH(u) dH(v) \right)^{1/2} \\
 (9) \quad & = \left( \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} J_h(u) J_h(v) (u \wedge v - uv) dF^{-1}(u) dF^{-1}(v) \right)^{1/2} \Big/ \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} h(s) dF^{-1}(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Expression (7) is equal to  $\tau(J_h, H_n)$ , which by convexity of  $\tau(J_h, \cdot)$  is less than or equal to

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n p_i \tau(J_h, g_{v_i, x_{v_i}}).$$

Observe that for each  $0 \leq i \leq n$

$$\tau(J_h, g_{v_i, x_{v_i}}) = 2^{-1/2} C(h).$$

Thus, expression (7) and hence expression (9) is  $\leq 2^{-1/2} C(h)$ . Since the limit of expression (9) as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  through any sequence of continuity points of  $F^{-1}$  is equal to

$$\tau(J_h, F) \Big/ \int_0^1 h(u) dF^{-1}(u),$$

we immediately have that (6) is true for all  $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}_h$  whenever  $h$  is continuous and strictly positive.

To show that (6) is true for all  $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}_h$ , for any  $h$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem, one only has to slightly modify the above argument to the interior of the region in  $(0, 1)$  where  $h$  is strictly positive and continuous. This completes the proof of Step 2.  $\square$

**PROOF OF STEP 3.** Let  $J \in \mathcal{J}_h$  be such that  $J$  and  $J_h$  differ at a point  $v \in (0, 1)$  where they are both continuous. Since  $\int_0^1 J(u) = 1$  and  $J$  is symmetric about  $1/2$ , we can assume that  $v \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $J(v) > J_h(v)$ , for if not, we can always find such a continuity point. We must consider two cases.

**CASE 1.**  $J_h(v) > 0$ .

Choose any  $x_v \in (0, \infty)$  and the  $G_{v, x_v} \in \mathcal{G}_h$  that corresponds to  $x_v$ . Since  $J(v) > J_h(v) > 0$ ,

$$R(J, s(h, G_{v, x_v}), G_{v, x_v}) = 2^{-1} v J^2(v) h^{-2}(v) > 2^{-1} C^2(h) = R(J_h, s(h, G_{v, x_v}), G_{v, x_v}).$$

**CASE 2.**  $J_h(v) = 0$ .

Choose a point  $u \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $J_h(u) > 0$  and  $h$  is continuous at  $u$ , and choose two points  $x_v$  and  $x_u \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $g_{v, x_v}$  and  $g_{v, x_u}$  be the inverses of  $G_{u, x_v}$  and  $G_{u, x_u}$  respectively. Define  $F$  to be that distribution which has the inverse  $2^{-1} g_{v, x_v} + 2^{-1} g_{u, x_u}$ .

It is easy to check that  $F \in \mathcal{J}_h$ . Now since  $h(v) = 0$ ,

$$s(h, F) = \int_0^1 h(t) dF^{-1}(t)$$



$$= 2^{-1} \int_0^1 h(t) d\mathcal{G}_{u,x_u}(t) + 2^{-1} \int_0^1 h(s) d\mathcal{G}_{v,x_v}(s) = x_u h(u).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(J, F) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 J(s)J(t)(s \wedge t - st) dF^{-1}(s) dF^{-1}(t) \\ &\geq 4^{-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 J(s)J(t)(s \wedge t - st) d\mathcal{G}_{v,x_v}(s) d\mathcal{G}_{v,x_v}(t) \\ &= 2^{-1} \nu J^2(\nu) x_v^2, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $J_h(\nu) = 0$ ,  $\sigma^2(J_h, F) = 2^{-1} u J_h^2(u) x_u^2$ . Hence

$$R(J_h, s(h, F), F) = 2^{-1} C^2(h),$$

but

$$R(J, s(h, F), F) \geq \nu J^2(\nu) x_v^2 / (2x_u^2 h^2(u)).$$

We are free to choose  $x_u$  and  $x_v$  so that the right side of the last inequality is as large as desired. This completes the proof of Step 3 and subsequently the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and for useful suggestions that improved the presentation. Also comments by R. Petty were helpful.

#### REFERENCES

- BREIMAN, L. (1968). *Probability*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- CHERNOFF, H., GASTWIRTH, J. L. and JOHNS, M. V., JR., (1967). Asymptotic distribution of linear combinations of order statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 57-72.
- COLLINS, J. R. (1977). Upper bounds on asymptotic variances of  $M$ -estimators of location. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 646-657.
- GASTWIRTH, J. L. and RUBIN, H. (1969). On robust linear estimators. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 24-39.
- HOEFFDING, W. (1973). On the centering of a simple linear rank statistic. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 54-66.
- HUBER, P. J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 73-102.
- HUBER, P. J. (1977). *Robust Statistical Procedures*. S.I.A.M., Philadelphia, Penn.
- MASON, D. M. (1981). Asymptotic normality of linear combinations of order statistics with a smooth score function. *Ann. Statist.* **9** 899-904.
- MASON, D. M. (1982). Some characterizations of strong laws for linear functions of order statistics. *Ann. Probability* **10** 1051-1057.
- ROUSSEUW, P. J. (1981). A new infinitesimal approach to robust estimation. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **56** 127-132.
- SHORACK, G. R. (1972). Functions of order statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 412-427.
- STIGLER, S. M. (1974). Linear functions of order statistics with smooth weight functions. *Ann. Statist.* **2** 676-693.
- VAN ZWET, W. R. (1980). A strong law for linear functions of order statistics. *Ann. Probability* **8** 986-990.
- WELLNER, J. A. (1977). A Glivenko-Cantelli theorem and strong laws of large numbers for functions of order statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 473-480.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
501 EWING HALL  
UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE  
NEWARK, DELAWARE 19711