CRITERIA FOR RECURRENCE AND EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL DIFFUSIONS¹

BY R. N. BHATTACHARYA

University of Arizona

Let $L=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^k a_{ij}(x)(\partial^2/\partial x_i\,\partial x_j)+\sum_{i=1}^k b_i(x)(\partial/\partial x_i)$ be an elliptic operator such that $a_{ij}(\bullet)$ are continuous and $b_i(\bullet)$ are measurable and bounded on compacts. Criteria for transience, null recurrence, and positive recurrence of diffusions on R^k governed by L are derived in terms of the coefficients of L.

1. Introduction. The main objective of this article is to obtain criteria for transience, positive recurrence, and null recurrence of diffusions on R^k governed by elliptic operators $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k a_{ij}(x) (\partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i(x) (\partial/\partial x_i)$ in terms of the coefficients of L. The matrix $((a_{ij}(x)))$ is assumed to be nonsingular for each x; the functions $a_{ij}(\cdot)$ are continuous, and the functions $b_i(\cdot)$ are Borel measurable and bounded on compacts. For the case k = 1 complete characterizations are known (see, e.g., Mandl (1968)). For k > 1 important criteria were announced without proof by Khas'minskii (1960) in a supplement to his paper [3], under the hypothesis that the coefficients of L are thrice continuously differentiable. The first derivation of criteria for recurrence and transience analogous to Khas'minskii's is due to Friedman (1973), who assumed the coefficients to be Lipschitzian on compacts and to satisfy certain growth conditions at infinity. As far as we know there has not appeared in the literature any proof of Khas'minskii's criteria (or analogous ones) for positive and null recurrence. Since positive recurrence is essentially equivalent to the existence of a (unique) invariant probability measure determining the ergodic behavior of the diffusion (see, e.g., Khas'minskii (1960), Maruyama and Tanaka (1959)), such criteria are of importance. Theorem 3.5 provides a criterion for positive recurrence which implies the corresponding criterion of Khas'minskii (1960) (Theorem III of his Supplement). It also provides a criterion for null recurrence which is comparable to Khas'minskii's (when specialized to Khas'minskii's assumptions), although neither implies the other. We are unable to verify Khas'minskii's criterion for null recurrence. Theorem 3.3 is an improvement upon Friedman's criteria for transience and recurrence. The criteria derived in this article are exact if L is radial near infinity. Among other results we mention Theorem 3.2 establishing a dichotomy (into transience and recurrence) in the class of all diffusions considered here.

Throughout this article we assume $k \geq 2$.

The Annals of Probability. STOR®

www.jstor.org

Received May 18, 1977; revised July 18, 1977.

¹ This research was supported in part by NSF Grant Nos. ENG76-09081, MCS76-06118. AMS 1970 subject classification. 60J60.

Key words and phrases. L-harmonic functions, strong Markov property, invariant measures.

2. Notation and preliminaries. This section is devoted to background material. Proofs are given only when results are not readily available in the desired form.

Let $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k a_{ij}(x) (\partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i(x) (\partial/\partial x_i)$ be an elliptic operator on R^k . More precisely, we assume

(A) The matrix $((a_{ij}(x)))$ is real symmetric and positive definite for each x in \mathbb{R}^k , the functions $a_{ij}(\cdot)$ are continuous. The functions $b_i(\cdot)$ are real valued, Borel measurable and bounded on compacts.

For $N = 1, 2, \dots, 1 \leq i, j \leq k$, define

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij,N}(x) &= a_{ij}(x) \\ b_{i,N}(x) &= b_i(x) & \text{if} \quad |x| \leq N \,, \\ a_{ij,N}(x) &= a_{ij}(x_0) \\ b_{i,N}(x) &= b_i(x_0) & \text{if} \quad |x| = cx_0 \quad \text{for some} \quad x_0 \,, \quad |x_0| = N \,, \\ &= \text{and some} \quad c > 1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $L_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^k a_{ij,N}(x) (\partial^2/\partial x_i \partial x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^k b_{i,N}(x) (\partial/\partial x_i)$.

For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, \overline{A} denotes the closure of A and A^c denotes the complement of A. Also, ∂A denotes the boundary of A. The symbol |x| stands for the Euclidean norm of x.

Denote the space $C([0,\infty): R^k)$ of all continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ into R^k by Ω' . Endow Ω' with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $[0,\infty)$. Let \mathscr{M}' denote the Borel sigma field of Ω' . Let $X(t)=X(t,\bullet)$ be the tth coordinate map: $X(t,\omega)=\omega(t)$ for $\omega\in\Omega'$. The sigma field generated by $\{X(s): 0\leq s\leq t\}$ is denoted by \mathscr{M}'_t $\{0\leq t<\infty\}$. A function τ on Ω' into $[0,\infty]$ is a stopping time if $\{\tau\leq t\}\in\mathscr{M}'_t$ for all $t\geq 0$. If τ is a stopping time then the map X_{τ}^- on Ω' into Ω' defined by

$$X_{\tau}^{-}(t) = X(\tau \wedge t) \qquad \qquad t \ge 0$$

is measurable and is called the process stopped at τ . The pre- τ sigma field \mathcal{M}'_{τ} is generated by $\{X(\tau \cap t): t \geq 0\}$. Also measurable on the restriction of (Ω', \mathcal{M}') to $\{\tau < \infty\}$ is the map X_{τ}^+ defined by

$$X_{\tau}^{+}(t) = X(\tau + t) \qquad \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Let $\{P_x\colon x\in R^k\}$ be a family of probability measures on (Ω',\mathscr{M}') such that for every a.s. (P_x) finite stopping time τ a regular conditional distribution of X_τ^+ given \mathscr{M}_τ' is $P_{X(\tau)}$. We then say that X is a strong Markov process under P_x . Such a process is said to be strong Feller if for every bounded real measurable function f on R^k the function: $x\to E_x f(X(t))$ is continuous on R^k for each t>0. Here E_x denotes expectation under P_x . The following result due to Stroock and Varadhan ([7]—[9]) will be frequently used in this article.

THEOREM 2.1. If, in addition to the hypothesis (A), $a_{ij}(\cdot)$ and $b_i(\cdot)$ are bounded on \mathbb{R}^k , then for each x in \mathbb{R}^k there exists a unique probability measure P_x on (Ω', \mathcal{M}')

such that (i) $P_x(X(0) = x) = 1$, (ii) for every bounded real f on R^k having bounded and continuous first and second order derivatives, the process

$$f(X(t)) - \int_0^t Lf(X(s)) ds \qquad t \ge 0$$

is a martingale under P_x . Further, (a) X is strong Markov and strong Feller, and (b) support of P_x is $\Omega_x' = \{\omega \in \Omega' : \omega(0) = x\}$.

Let $P_{x,N}$ denote the probability measure in Theorem 2.1 with $L=L_N$.

The following simple result will also be needed. For any set A, χ_A is the indicator function of A.

LEMMA 2.2. Let U be a nonempty bounded open subset of R^k . Let

$$\tau_U = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : X(t) \notin U \}.$$

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, for every bounded real Borel measurable function f on U, the function $E_x(\chi_{\{\tau_U>t\}}f(X(t)))$ is continuous on U. If ϕ is a real valued bounded measurable function on ∂U , then the function $E_x(\phi(X(\tau_U)))$ is continuous on U.

PROOF. The first assertion is proved in Dynkin (1965) (Volume II, page 30, relation (13.4)). It is of course necessary to check Dynkin's hypothesis that

$$(2.2) lim_{t+0} \sup_{x \in D} P_x(|X(t) - x| > \varepsilon) = 0$$

for every compact subset D of U.

But (2.1) follows from the inequality (see Stroock and Varadhan (1969), page 355)

$$(2.3) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^k} P_x(|X(t) - x| > \varepsilon) \le 2k \exp\{-(\varepsilon - \beta t)^2/2\alpha t\} \varepsilon > 0, t > 0$$

where β^2 is an upper bound of $\sum b_i^2(x)$ for all x, and α is an upper bound for the largest eigenvalue of $((a_{ij}(x)))$, $x \in R^k$. To prove the second assertion define $\tilde{\phi}$ on \bar{U} by letting $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ on ∂U and $\tilde{\phi} = c$ on U where $c \leq \phi(x)$ for all x in ∂U . Then, according to Dynkin (1965) (Volume II, page 30, relation (13.5)), the function $h_t(x) \equiv E_x \tilde{\phi}(X(\tau_U \wedge t))$ is continuous on U for every t > 0. Letting $t \uparrow \infty$, one has $h_t(x) \uparrow \phi(x)$. Hence ϕ is lower semicontinuous. Similarly, $-\phi$ is lower semicontinuous. \square

To construct probability measures P_x under the hypothesis (A) replace the "state space" R^k by its one point compactification $R^k \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\Omega = C([0, \infty): R^k \cup \{\infty\})$ be the set of all continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ into $R^k \cup \{\infty\}$ and endow Ω with the topology of uniform convergence (relative to some metric metrizing $R^k \cup \{\infty\}$) on compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$. Let \mathscr{M} be the Borel sigma field of Ω . We continue to denote by X(t) the tth coordinate map (this time on Ω into $R^k \cup \{\infty\}$). Also, \mathscr{M}_t will denote the sigma field generated by $\{X(s): 0 \le s \le t\}$, and \mathscr{M}_τ will denote the $pre-\tau$ sigma field for any stopping time τ (relative to \mathscr{M}_t , $t \ge 0$) on Ω . Denote by P_∞ the probability measure degenerate at ω_∞ where $\omega_\infty(t) = \infty$ for all $t \ge 0$. For $x \ne \infty$, one way to construct P_x is

to introduce the product probability space (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) , where E is the Cartesian product $X_{x,N} \Omega'_{x,N}$ (each $\Omega'_{x,N}$ being a copy of Ω'), \mathcal{E} is the product sigma field, and μ is the product probability $X_{x,N} P_{x,N}$. If x_0 , N_0 are such that $|x_0| < N_0$, define a map Y on E into Ω by requiring that $Y(t) = X_{x_0,N_0}(t)$ (here $X_{x,N}(t)$ is the tth coordinate map on $\Omega'_{x,N}$) for $t \leq \eta_1 \equiv \inf\{s \geq 0 : |X_{x_0,N_0}(s)| = N_0\}$, $Y(t) = X_{X(\eta_t),N_0+i}(t)$ for $\eta_i < t \leq \eta_{i+1}$, where $\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i = \inf\{s \geq 0 : X_{X(\eta_i),N_0+i}(s)| = N_0+i\}$; let $\eta_\infty = \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \eta_t$ and define $Y(t) = \infty$ for $\eta_\infty \leq t < \infty$. We denote by P_{x_0} the probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{M}) induced by Y, i.e., $P_{x_0} = \mu \circ Y^{-1}$. It is simple to check from this construction that the coordinate process $X = \{X(t) : 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ on Ω is a strong Markov process under P_x , $x \in R^k \cup \{\infty\}$.

On Ω define the stopping times τ_U for open subsets U of \mathbb{R}^k as in (2.1), and define the explosion time ζ by

$$\zeta = \lim_{N \uparrow \infty} \tau_{R(0;N)}$$

where $B(0:N) = \{x \in R^k : |x| < N\}$. The probability measure P_x $(x \in R^k)$ is said to be conservative if $P_x(\zeta = \infty) = 1$. A Borel measurable real valued function f on R^k will be said to be L-harmonic on an open subset G of R^k if it is bounded on compacts, and for all x in G

$$(2.5) f(x) = E_x f(X(\tau_U))$$

for every neighborhood U of x having compact closure \bar{U} in G. It may be remarked at this stage that the notation P_x , E_x used here is consistent with that used earlier. For it follows immediately from the construction that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 the present P_x has support Ω_x' and coincides with the corresponding P_x in Theorem 2.1 on Ω_x' . Also, if (A) holds, then for |x| < N the present measure P_x agrees with the earlier $P_{x,N}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\tau_{B(0:N)}}$ (i.e., on the trace of this sigma field on Ω_x'). From now on we regard all measures P_x , $P_{x,N}$ to be defined on Ω_x , and Ω_x , are corresponding expectations.

Part (a) of the following lemma may also be obtained from Dynkin (1965), Volume II, Theorem 13.2, page 31.

LEMMA 2.3. Assume (A) holds. (a) Every L-harmonic function on an open subset G of R^k is continuous in G. (b) (Maximum principle.) Let f be a nonnegative L-harmonic function on a connected open subset G of R^k . Then f is either strictly positive or identically zero.

PROOF. (a) If f is L-harmonic in G, $x \in G$, and U is a neighborhood of x such that the closure \bar{U} of U is compact in G, then

(2.6)
$$f(x) = E_x f(X(\tau_U)) = E_{x,N} f(X(\tau_U)),$$

provided $U \subset B(0:N)$. By Lemma 2.2 the last expression in (2.6) is continuous in U.

(b) Suppose $f(x_0)=0$. Let $B=B(x_0:\varepsilon)$ be the open ball with center x_0 and radius ε such that $\bar{B}\subset G$. Then

$$0 = f(x_0) = E_{x_0} f(X(\tau_B)) = \int_{\partial B} f(y) \Pi(x_0, dy) ,$$

where $\Pi(x_0, dy)$ is the distribution of $X(\tau_B)$ under P_{x_0} and, hence, under $P_{x_0,N}$ if $N > |x_0| + \varepsilon$. By Theorem 2.1 the support of $\Pi(x_0, dy)$ is ∂B . Since $f \ge 0$ and continuous it follows that $f \equiv 0$ on ∂B . Therefore, $f \equiv 0$ on G. \square

LEMMA 2.4. Assume (A) holds. (a) If U is a nonempty open subset of R^k , $U \neq R^k$, then $x \to P_x(\tau_U < \infty)$ is positive and continuous on U. (b) If U_1 , U_2 are two nonempty open subsets of R^k such that $\bar{U}_1 \cap \bar{U}_2 = \phi$, $\bar{U}_2^c = R^k \setminus \bar{U}_2$ is connected, then $x \to P_x(\tau_{\bar{U}_1^c} < \tau_{\bar{U}_2^c})$ is positive and continuous on $\bar{U}_1^c \cap \bar{U}_2^c$.

PROOF. It follows from the strong Markov property that both the functions in question are L-harmonic and, therefore, continuous. To prove positivity in (b) (which implies positivity in (a)) let $x \in \bar{U}_1^c \cap \bar{U}_2^c$. Take an open ball $B \subset U_1$ and let B_1 be a bounded open set such that $x \in B_1$, $B \subset B_1 \subset \{|x| < N\}$, $B_1 \cap \bar{U}_2 = \phi$. Then $P_x(\tau_{\bar{U}_1^c} < \tau_{\bar{U}_2^c}) \ge P_x(\tau_{\bar{B}^c} < \tau_{\bar{B}_1^c}) = P_{x,N}(\tau_{\bar{B}^c} < \tau_{\bar{B}_1^c})$. The last expression is positive, since the support of $P_{x,N}$ is $\Omega_{x'}$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. Assume (A) holds. If P_{x_0} is conservative for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, then P_x is conservative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and the process $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$ has the strong Feller property.

PROOF. Since $x \to P_x(\zeta < \infty)$ is harmonic, the first assertion follows. To prove the second let f be a real valued bounded Borel measurable function on R^k . Assume P_x is conservative for all $x \in R^k$. Fix x_0 in R^k . One has

$$(2.7) |E_{x}f(X(t)) - E_{x,N}f(X(t))| = |\int \chi_{\{\tau_{B(0:N)} \le t\}} f(X(t))[P_{x}(d\omega) - P_{x,N}(d\omega)]|$$

$$\leq 2||f||[1 - P_{x,N}(\tau_{B(0:N)} > t)] t > 0$$

where $||f|| = \sup |f(x)|$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and fix N such that the last expression in (2.7) is less than $\varepsilon/3$ if $x = x_0$. Since $x \to P_{x,N}(\tau_{B(0:N)} > t)$ is continuous on R^k (by Lemma 2.2), and $x \to E_{x,N} f(X(t))$ is continuous (since X is strongly Feller under $P_{x,N}$, $x \in R^k$), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - x_0| < \delta$, then

$$|E_{x}f(X(t)) - E_{x_{0}}f(X(t))| \leq 2||f||[1 - P_{x,N}(\tau_{B(0:N)} > t) + 1 - P_{x_{0},N}(\tau_{B(0:N)} > t)] + |E_{x,N}f(X(t)) - E_{x_{0},N}f(X(t))| < \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 2.6. Assume (A) holds, U is a bounded open subset of R^k . Then $\sup_{x \in U} E_x(\tau_U) < \infty$.

PROOF. Let N be such that $B(0:N)\supset \bar{U}$. Then $E_x\tau_U=E_{x,N}\tau_U$ for $x\in U$. Fix $t_0>0$. Since $\Omega_x'=\{\omega\in\Omega':\omega(0)=x\}$ is the support of $P_{x,N},P_{x,N}(\tau_U>t_0)\leq P_{x,N}(|X(t_0)|< N)<1$ for all $x\in \bar{U}$. Since $x\to P_{x,N}(|X(t_0)|< N)$ is continuous, $\sup_{x\in \bar{U}}P_{x,N}(\tau_U>t_0)<1$. Now use the inequality (see, e.g., Dynkin (1965), Volume I, Lemma 4.3, page 111)

$$E_{x,N}\tau_U \leq \frac{t_0}{1-\sup_{x\in U}P_{x,N}(\tau_u>t_0)}.$$

Finally, a nonzero measure m on the Borel sigma-field \mathscr{B}^k of R^k is said to be invariant for the Markov process P_x , $x \in R^k$, if for all $B \in \mathscr{B}^k$ and all t > 0,

$$(2.10) m(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} P_x(\{X(t) \in B\}) m(dx) .$$

We shall henceforth refer to P_x , $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, or to the coordinate process under P_x , $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, as the diffusion with generator L.

- 3. Criteria for recurrence and transience. Assume (A) holds, and consider the diffusion X (under P_x , $x \in R^k$) with generator L. A point x in R^k is said to be recurrent for this diffusion if given any $\varepsilon > 0$
- (3.1) $P_x(X(t) \in B(x : \varepsilon))$ for a sequence of t's increasing to infinity) = 1,

where $B(x:\varepsilon) = \{y: |y-x| < \varepsilon\}$. It follows that x is a recurrent point if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every a.s. (P_x) finite random variable τ

$$(3.2) P_x(X(t) \in B(x : \varepsilon) for some t > \tau) = 1.$$

A point x is transient if

$$(3.3) P_x(|X(t)| \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty) = 1.$$

If all points of a diffusion are recurrent, the diffusion itself is called recurrent. If all points of a diffusion are transient, the diffusion is called transient. It will be presently shown (see Theorem 3.2) that if (A) holds every diffusion is either recurrent or transient. Since different authors often use different definitions of recurrence and transience (see, e.g., Maruyama and Tanaka (1959), Khas'minskii (1960), and Friedman (1973)), it is useful to show that these definitons are equivalent.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that (A) holds. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) The diffusion is recurrent.
- (b) $P_x(X(t) \in U \text{ for some } t \ge 0) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^k \text{ and all nonempty open } U.$
- (c) There exists a compact set K of R^k such that $P_x(X(t) \in K \text{ for some } t \ge 0) = 1$ for all $x \in R^k$.
- (d) $P_x(X(t) \in U$ for a sequence of t's increasing to infinity) = 1 for all $x \in R^k$ and all nonempty open U.
- (e) There exist a point z in R^k , a pair of numbers r_0 , r_1 , $0 < r_0 < r_1$, and a point $y \in \partial B(z:r_1) = \{y': |y'-z| = r_1\}$ such that $P_y(\tau_{\overline{B}^c(z:r_0)} < \infty) = 1$.

PROOF. The implications $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$, $(b) \Rightarrow (e)$, $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$, are obvious. We prove $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$, $(b) \Rightarrow (d)$, $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$, $(e) \Rightarrow (c)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Assume (a), $x \in R^k$, U nonempty open, $x \notin U$. Let B be an open ball such that $\bar{B} \subset U$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\bar{B}(x : \varepsilon) \cap \bar{B} = \phi$. Let U_1 be a bounded open set containing $\bar{B}(x : \varepsilon) \cup \bar{B}$. Define $\eta_1 = \tau_{U_1}$, $\eta_{2i} = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i-1} \colon X(t) \in \partial B(x : \varepsilon)\}$, $\eta_{2i+1} = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i} \colon X(t) \in \partial U_1\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots$). By Lemma 2.6 and recurrence of x, η_i 's are a.s. (P_x) finite stopping times. Consider the events $A_0 = \{X(t) \in \bar{B} \text{ for some } t \in [0, \eta_1]\}$, $A_i = \{X(t) \in \bar{B} \text{ for some } t \in [\eta_{2i-1}, \eta_{2i})\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots$). Since $y \to P_y(\tau_{\bar{B}^c} < \tau_{\bar{B}(x : \varepsilon)^c})$ is positive and continuous on $\bar{B}^c \cap \bar{B}(x : \varepsilon)^c$ (Lemma 2.4 (b)).

$$\delta \equiv \inf_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial U_1} P_{\mathbf{y}}(\tau_{\overline{B}^c} < \tau_{\overline{B(\mathbf{x}:\mathbf{z})}^c}) > 0.$$

Using the strong Markov property and induction on n one obtains $P_x(\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i^c) \le (1-\delta)^n$. Thus

$$(3.5) P_x(X(t) \in U \text{ for no } t \ge 0) \le P_x(X(t) \in \overline{B} \text{ for no } t \ge 0)$$

$$\le \lim_{n \to \infty} P_x(\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i^c) = 0.$$

- (b) \Rightarrow (d). Let $x \in R^k$, U nonempty open, B an open ball and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\bar{B} \cap \overline{B(x : \varepsilon)} = \phi$ and $\bar{B} \subset U$. Define $\theta_1 = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \in \partial B(x : \varepsilon)\}$, $\theta_{2i} = \inf\{t > \theta_{2i-1} : X(t) \in \partial B\}$, $\theta_{2i+1} = \inf\{t > \theta_{2i} : X(t) \in \partial B(x : \varepsilon)\}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$. By (b) and the strong Markov property, θ_i 's are a.s. (P_x) finite. Also, $\theta_i \uparrow \infty$ a.s. (P_x) as $i \uparrow \infty$; otherwise, with positive P_x probability the sequences $\{X(\theta_{2i-1}) : i = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\{X(\theta_{2i}) : i = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ converge to a common limit, which is impossible since $\partial B(x : \varepsilon)$ and ∂B are disjoint.
- (c) \Rightarrow (b). Let K be as in (c), B an arbitrary open ball, $x \in R^k$. Let U be an open ball containing $\bar{B} \cup K$. Define $\eta_1' = \tau_{K^c}$, $\eta_{2i}' = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i-1}': X(t) \in \partial U\}$, $\eta_{2i+1}' = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i}': X(t) \in K\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots$). By (c), the strong Markov property and Lemma 2.6, the η_i 's are a.s. (P_x) finite. Now proceed as in the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b), i.e., define A_i 's with η_i 's in place of η_i 's, and define $\delta = \inf_{y \in K} P_y(A_1)$; by Lemma 2.4 (b), $\delta > 0$; and $P_x(X(t) \in \bar{B} \text{ for no } t \geq 0) \leq P_x(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i^c) \leq (1 \delta)^n$ for all n.
- (e) \Rightarrow (c). Follows from Lemma 2.4(a) and the maximum principle (Lemma 2.3(b)), if one takes $K = \overline{B(z : r_0)}$. \Box

The next result establishes a dichotomy in the class of all diffusions for which (A) holds.

THEOREM 3.2. Assume (A) holds. (a) If there exists a recurrent point, then the diffusion is recurrent. (b) If there exists no recurrent point, then the diffusion is transient.

- PROOF. (a) Suppose y is a recurrent point. Choose r_0 , r_1 ($0 < r_0 < r_1$), z such that $|y z| = r_1$. It has been shown in the course of the proof of Proposition 3.1 ((a) \Rightarrow (b), (3.5)) that $P_y(X(t) \in \partial B(z : r_0)$ for some $t \ge 0$) $= P_y(X(t) \in \overline{B(z : r_0)})$ for some $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$. By Proposition 3.1 (e), the diffusion is recurrent.
- (b) Suppose no point in R^k is recurrent. Fix $x \in R^k$. Let r be an arbitrary positive number such that r > |x|. By Proposition 3.1 (e) and the maximum principle (Lemma 2.3 (b)), for each $r_1 > r$ one has

$$\delta_{r_1} \equiv \sup_{|y|=r_1} P_y(\tau_{\overline{B(0:r)}^c} < \infty) < 1.$$

Define $\eta_1 = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \in \partial B(0 : r_1)\}, \quad \eta_{2i} = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i-1} : X(t) \in \overline{B(0 : r)}\},$ $\eta_{2i+1} = \inf\{t > \eta_{2i} : X(t) \in \partial B(0 : r_1)\} \ (i = 1, 2, \cdots).$ By Lemma 2.6 and the strong Markov property, for all $i \geq 1$

(3.6)
$$P_{x}(X(t) \in \overline{B(0:r)} \text{ for some sequence of } t'\text{ increasing to infinity})$$

$$\leq P_{x}(\eta_{2i+1} < \infty) = E_{x}(\chi_{\{\eta_{2i-1} < \infty\}} P_{X(\eta_{2i-1})}(\tau_{\overline{B(0:r)}^{c}} < \infty))$$

$$\leq \delta_{r_{1}} P_{x}(\eta_{2i-1} < \infty) \leq \cdots \leq \delta_{r_{1}}^{i}.$$

Hence the left side of (3.6) is zero and

$$P_x(\liminf_{t\to\infty} |X(t)| > r) = 1$$
.

Since this holds for all r > 0, the proof is complete. \square

The next theorem improves and extends a result of Friedman (1973). The criteria for recurrence and transience derived in Theorem 3.3 were announced without proof earlier by Khas'minskii (1960) (Theorem II of his Supplement) under the additional assumption that the coefficients of L are thrice continuously differentiable. To prove it we introduce some notation.

Let F be a real-valued twice continuously differentiable function on $(0, \infty)$. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Consider the function

(3.7)
$$f(x) = F(|x-z|) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad |x-z| > 0.$$

A straightforward differentiation yields

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{(x_{i} - z_{i})}{|x - z|} F'(|x - z|),$$

$$(3.8) \qquad \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} = \frac{(x_{i} - z_{i})^{2}}{|x - z|^{2}} F''(|x - z|) - \frac{(x_{i} - z_{i})^{2}}{|x - z|^{3}} F'(|x - z|) + \frac{F'(|x - z|)}{|x - z|},$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} = \frac{(x_{i} - z_{i})(x_{j} - z_{j})}{|x - z|^{2}} F''(|x - z|) - \frac{(x_{i} - z_{i})(x_{j} - z_{j})}{|x - z|^{3}} F'(|x - z|)$$

$$i \neq j, |x - z| > 0.$$

Now fix $r_0 > 0$ and write x' = x - z and

$$A_{z}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} a_{ij}(x'+z)x_{i}'x_{j}'/|x'|^{2}, \quad B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ii}(x'+z),$$

$$(3.9) \quad C_{z}(x) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}'b_{i}(x'+z), \quad \tilde{\beta}_{z}(r) = \sup_{|x'|=r} \frac{B(x) - A_{z}(x) + C_{z}(x)}{A_{z}(x)},$$

$$\underline{\beta}_{z}(r) = \inf_{|x'|=r} \frac{B(x) - A_{z}(x) + C_{z}(x)}{A_{z}(x)}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_{z}(r) = \sup_{|x'|=r} A_{z}(x),$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{z}(r) = \inf_{|x'|=r} A_{z}(x), \quad \bar{I}_{z}(r) = \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{z}(u)}{u} du, \quad \underline{I}_{z}(r) = \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\beta_{z}(u)}{u} du.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(3.10) 2Lf(x) = A_z(x)F''(|x-z|) + \frac{F'(|x-z|)}{|x-z|}[B(x) - A_z(x) + C_z(x)].$$

THEOREM 3.3. Assume (A) holds. (a) If for some $r_0 > 0$ and z

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(r)\} dr = \infty,$$

then the diffusion with generator L is recurrent. (b) If for some $r_0 > 0$ and z

then the diffusion with generator L is transient.

PROOF. (a) Assume (3.11) holds. Define

(3.13)
$$F(r) = -\int_{r_0}^r \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(u)\} du, \qquad f(x) = F(|x-z|) \qquad |x-z| \ge r_0.$$

Let x be such that $r \equiv |x - z| > r_0$. Define stopping times

$$(3.14) \eta = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X(t) \in \partial B(z : r_0)\}, \eta_N = \eta \wedge \tau_{B(z : N)}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, and optional sampling (see Nevue (1965), page 142), $f(X(t \wedge \eta_N)) = \int_0^{t \wedge \eta_N} Lf(X(s)) ds$ $(t \ge 0)$ is a P_x -martingale, provided |x - z| < N. Hence, for $r \equiv |x - z| > r_0$,

$$2E_{x}F(|X(t \wedge \eta_{N}) - z|) - 2F(r)$$

$$= E_{x} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \eta_{N}} 2Lf(X(s)) ds$$

$$\geq E_{x} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \eta_{N}} A_{z}(X(s)) \left[F''(|X(s) - z|) + \frac{F'(|X(s) - z|)}{|X(s) - z|} \tilde{\beta}_{z}(|X(s) - z|) \right] ds$$

$$= 0$$

by the relations

(3.16)
$$F'(u) \leq 0, \qquad F''(u) + \frac{1}{u} F'(u) \hat{\beta}_z(u) = 0 \qquad u \geq r_0.$$

Letting $t \uparrow \infty$ in (3.15) and remembering that $\eta_N < \infty$ a.s. (P_x) , one obtains

$$(3.17) -E_x F(|X(\eta_N) - z|) \leq -F(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(u)\} du.$$

On evaluating the left side of (3.17) one has

$$(3.18) P_{z}(\eta > \tau_{B(z:N)}) \int_{\tau_{0}}^{N} \exp\{-\bar{I}_{z}(u)\} du \leq \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \exp\{-\bar{I}_{z}(u)\} du.$$

Letting $N \uparrow \infty$ one gets

$$(3.19) P_z(\eta = \infty) \leq \lim_{N \uparrow \infty} \frac{\int_{r_0}^r \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(u)\} du}{\int_{r_0}^N \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(u)\} du} = 0.$$

Hence $P_x(\eta < \infty) = 1$ and the diffusion is recurrent by Proposition 3.1 (e).

(b) Assume (3.12) holds. Define

$$G(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \exp\{-\underline{I}_z(u)\} du$$
, $g(x) = G(|x-z|)$ $|x-z| \ge r_0$.

Since $G'(u) \ge 0$ and $G''(u) + (1/u)G'(u)\underline{\beta}_z(u) = 0$ for $u \ge r_0$, one obtains, as above,

(3.20)
$$E_x G(|X(\eta_N) - z|) - G(|x - z|) \ge 0$$

or,

$$(3.21) P_{x}(\eta > \tau_{B(z:N)}) \int_{r_{0}}^{N} \exp\{-\underline{I}_{z}(u)\} du \ge \int_{r_{0}}^{|z-z|} \exp\{-\underline{I}_{z}(u)\} du.$$

Hence, letting $N \uparrow \infty$,

$$(3.22) P_{x}(\eta = \infty) \ge \int_{r_{0}}^{|x-z|} \exp\{-\underline{I}_{x}(u)\} du / \int_{r_{0}}^{\infty} \exp\{-\underline{I}_{x}(u)\} du > 0.$$

Hence the diffusion is not recurrent (by Proposition 3.1) and therefore, it is transient (by Theorem 3.2). \square

A recurrent diffusion admits a unique (up to a constant multiple) sigma finite invariant measure. This fact was proved by Maruyama and Tanaka (1959) in a more abstract setting and by Khas'minskii (1960). Khas'minskii's proof applies immediately to the present context. The following fact, which is easily deduced from Theorem 3.3 of Khas'minskii (1960) in conjunction with Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 3.1, will be needed.

Lemma 3.4. Assume (A) holds. (a) The diffusion is recurrent and admits a finite invariant measure if there exists z in R^k such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial B(\mathbf{z}: \mathbf{r}_1)} E_{\mathbf{y}}(\tau_{\overline{B(\mathbf{z}: \mathbf{r}_0)}^c}) < \infty$$

for some r_0 , r_1 satisfying $0 < r_0 < r_1$. (b) If there exist some z in \mathbb{R}^k and positive numbers r_0 , r_1 $(0 < r_0 < r_1)$ satisfying

$$(3.24) E_{y}(\tau_{\overline{B(z;r_{0})}^{c}}) = \infty$$

for all $y \in \partial B(z : r_1)$, then there does not exist a finite invariant measure.

Our final result is

THEOREM 3.5. Assume (A) holds. (a) The diffusion with generator L is recurrent and admits a finite invariant measure (unique up to a constant multiple) if there exists z in R^k and $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.25) \qquad \qquad \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(u)\} du = \infty ,$$

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_z(u)} \exp\{\bar{I}_z(u)\} du < \infty.$$

(b) If there exist z in R^k and $r_0 > 0$ such that (3.25) holds and

(3.27)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\int_{r_0}^N \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(s)\} (\int_{r_0}^s [\exp\{\bar{I}_z(u)\}/\bar{\alpha}_z(u)] du) ds}{\int_{r_0}^N \exp\{-\underline{I}_z(u)\} du} = \infty,$$

then the recurrent diffusion does not admit a finite invariant measure.

Proof. (a) Assume (3.25), (3.26). Define

(3.28)
$$F(r) = -\int_{r_0}^r \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(s)\} \left(\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha_s(u)} \exp\{\bar{I}_z(u)\} du\right) ds \qquad r > r_0.$$

Then

(3.29)
$$F'(r) = -\exp\{-\bar{I}_{z}(r)\} \, \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\underline{\alpha}_{z}(u)} \exp\{\bar{I}_{z}(u)\} \, du < 0 \,,$$

$$F''(r) = -\frac{\hat{\beta}_{z}(r)}{r} \, F'(r) + \frac{1}{\underline{\alpha}_{z}(r)} \,,$$

$$r \ge r_{0} \,.$$

Let

$$f(x) = F(|x-z|) |x-z| \ge r_0.$$

Then, using (3.29),

$$(3.30) 2Lf(x) \ge A_z(x)/\underline{\alpha}_z(|x-z|) \ge 1 |x-z| \ge r_0.$$

If η , η_N are as in (3.14) then, as in the proof of Theorem 3.3,

(3.31)
$$2E_{x}f(|X(t \wedge \eta_{N}) - z|) - 2F(|x - z|)$$

$$\geq E_{x} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \eta_{N}} 2Lf(X(s)) ds$$

$$\geq E_{x}(t \wedge \eta_{N}) \qquad r_{0} \leq |x - z| \leq N.$$

First letting $t \uparrow \infty$ in (3.31) and then letting $N \uparrow \infty$, one has

$$(3.32) E_x(\tau_{\overline{B(z;\tau_0)}^c}) = E_x \eta \leq -2F(|x-z|),$$

since $\eta_N \uparrow \eta$ a.s. (P_x) as $N \uparrow \infty$ (due to recurrence). Now apply Lemma 3.4(a). (b) Assume (3.25), (3.27) hold. Define

(3.33)
$$G(r) = \int_{r_0}^{r} \exp\{-\bar{I}_z(s)\} \left(\int_{r_0}^{s} \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_z(u)} \exp\{\bar{I}_z(u)\} du \right) ds,$$
$$g(x) = G(|x-z|) \qquad |x-z| \ge r_0.$$

Since $G'(r) \ge 0$ and $G''(r) = -(1/r)\hat{\beta}_z(r)G'(r) + 1/\hat{\alpha}_z(r)$, $2Lg(x) \le 1$. Therefore, one has

$$(3.34) E_x(t \wedge \eta_N) \geq 2E_x G(|X(t \wedge \eta_N) - z|) - 2G(|x - z|).$$

Letting $t \uparrow \infty$ in (3.34) one gets

(3.35)
$$E_x(\eta_N) \ge 2E_x G(|X(\eta_N) - z|) - 2G(|x - z|)$$
$$= 2P_x(\tau_{B(z;N)} < \eta)G(N) - 2G(|x - z|).$$

Let $N \uparrow \infty$ to obtain, using (3.21),

$$E_{z}(\eta) \geq 2 \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\int_{r_0}^{|x-z|} \exp\{-\underline{I}_{z}(u)\} du}{\int_{N_0}^{\infty} \exp\{-\underline{I}(u)\} du} \cdot G(N) - 2G(|x-z|) = \infty.$$

The proof is now complete by Lemma 3.4(b). \Box

Following the terminology used for Markov chains one may call a point x in R^k positive recurrent for the diffusion with generator L if for every r_0 , r_1 ($0 < r_0 < r_1$) one has $E_x(\theta(x; r_0, r_1)) < \infty$, where

$$\theta(x; r_0, r_1) = \inf\{t > \tau_{B(x; r_1)} : |X(t) - x| = r_0\}.$$

If x is recurrent but not positive recurrent then x is called *null recurrent*. If all points in R^k are positive (null) recurrent, the diffusion is called positive (respectively, null) recurrent. In this terminology, Theorem 3.5 provides criteria for positive and null recurrence. The criterion for positive recurrence extends a criterion announced by Khas'minskii (1960; Theorem III of his Supplement) to more general coefficients. The criterion for null recurrence given here is comparable in strength to Khas'minskii's (1960; Theorem III of Supplement), when specialized to Khas'minskii's hypothesis; however, neither implies the other.

An indication of the sensitivity of the criteria provided by Theorems 3.3, 3.5 are afforded by the fact that if for some z the functions $A_z(x)$, $B(x) + C_z(z)$, defined by (3.10), are functions of |x'| for sufficiently large |x'|, then the criteria are exact.

For in this case one may delete the "bars" and assert: (i) the diffusion is recurrent if and only if $\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \exp\{-I_z(u)\} du = \infty$ for some $r_0 > 0$; (ii) a recurrent diffusion is positive or null according as $\int_{r_0}^{\infty} [\exp\{I_z(u)\}/\alpha_z(u)] du$ is finite or infinite.

4. Some remarks. This section is devoted to some miscellaneous comments on the material in the preceding sections.

First, the definition of transience used in this article leaves open the possibility that a transient diffusion may be nonconservative. In order to restrict oneself to conservative diffusions one may use the following criterion for explosion essentially proved by McKean (1969), pages 102–104, and earlier stated by Khas'minskii (1960) (Theorem I of his Supplement), extending a one-dimensional result of Feller: Assume that, in addition to (A), the coefficients a_{ij} , b_i are Lipschitzian on compacts. (a) If, for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and some r > 0,

$$(4.1) \qquad \qquad \int_r^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\underline{I}_z(s)\right\} \left(\int_r^s \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_z(u)} \exp\left\{\bar{I}_z(u)\right\} du\right) ds = \infty ,$$

then the diffusion is conservative. (b) If, for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and some r > 0,

then the diffusion is almost surely explosive, i.e., $P_x(\zeta < \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Secondly, the problem of studying diffusions (in our sense) on those open subsets of R^k which are C^2 -diffeomorphic to R^k is easily reduced to the investigation on R^k in view of Itô's lemma (see McKean (1969)) which enables one to compute "drift" and "diffusion" coefficients of the transformed process, at least when the corresponding process on R^k has coefficients which are Lipschitzian on compacts.

Finally, suppose that the coefficients of L satisfy (A) and are Hölder continuous on compacts. Using standard results from the theory of elliptic partial differential equations one can show that if z is a positive recurrent point then the function $u(y) = E_u(\tau_{\overline{B(z;r_0)}^c})$ is continuous on $\overline{B(z;r_0)}^c$ for every $r_0 > 0$ (indeed, it is twice differentiable and satisfies Lu = -1). From the uniqueness (up to a constant multiple) of the invariant measure and Lemma 3.4 it then follows that all points in R^k are positive recurrent. There is then a complete classification of diffusions into transient, null recurrent, and positive recurrent ones.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank Professor D. W. Stroock and the referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] DYNKIN, E. B. (1965). Markov Processes, Vols. I, II. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [2] Friedman, A. (1973). Wandering to infinity of diffusion processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 184 185-203.
- [3] Khas'minskii, R. Z. (1960). Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solution of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations. *Theor. Probability Appl.* 5 179-196. (English translation.)

- [4] Mandl, P. (1968). Analytical Treatment of One-dimensional Markov Processes. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [5] MARUYAMA, G. and TANAKA, H. (1959). Ergodic property of N-dimensional recurrent Markov processes. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A 13 157-172.
- [6] McKean, H. P., Jr. (1969). Stochastic Integrals. Academic Press, New York.
- [7] Nevue, J. (1965). Mathematical Foundations of the Calculus of Probability. Holden-Day, San Francisco (English translation by A. Feinstein).
- [8] STROOCK, D. W. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1969). Diffusion processes with continuous coefficients, I, II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 345-500, 479-530.
- [9] STROOCK, D. W. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1971). Diffusion processes with boundary conditions. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 24 147-225.
- [10] STROOK, D. W. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1972). On the support of diffusion processes with applications to the strong maximum principle. *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.* 3 333-359, Univ. of Calif. Press.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA TUCSON, ARIZONA 85721