

THREE LIMIT THEOREMS FOR SCORES BASED ON OCCUPANCY NUMBERS

BY M. P. QUINE

University of Cambridge

Let N balls be distributed independently and at random into n boxes. Let ρ_{nj} denote the number of balls in the j th box. Let (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) be a sequence of real numbers. Three limit theorems are proved for the sum $\sum_{j=1}^n c_{\rho_{nj}}$ as N and n tend to infinity in such a way that $N/n \rightarrow 0$.

0. Let N balls be distributed independently and at random into n boxes, in such a way that each ball has probability $1/n$ of landing in any given box. Denote by ρ_{nj} the number of balls in the j th box. Let (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) be a sequence of real numbers, and denote by $m = m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots)$ the unique integer ($m \geq 2$) such that

$$c_1 - c_0 = (c_2 - c_0)/2 = \dots = (c_{m-1} - c_0)/(m-1) \neq (c_m - c_0)/m.$$

We assume that $m < \infty$, for in the case $m = \infty$, the quantity of interest in this paper, $\sum c_{\rho_{nj}} - nEc_{\rho_{n1}}$, vanishes. We will show that as N and n tend to infinity in such a way that $N/n \rightarrow 0$, convergence in distribution to a normal, Poisson, or degenerate law may occur. Theorems 1 and 2 generalize results of Békéssy (1963). We impose a condition on the sequence (c_i) in terms of

$$d_i = \max(|c_1 - c_0|, |c_2 - c_0|/2, \dots, |c_i - c_0|/i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

1. Normal convergence. The result of this section generalizes a result of Békéssy (1963), who dealt with the case $c_i = \delta_{ki}$, $k \geq 0$. A discussion of this and other special cases may be found in Johnson and Kotz (1977). The analogue of the theorem in the case $N/n \rightarrow \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$, was first proved by Harris and Park (1971) although special cases were known much earlier (see Johnson and Kotz); Quine (1979) contains a discussion of extensions in this case. The case $N/n \rightarrow \infty$ has been dealt with (Békéssy (1963)) when $c_i = \delta_{ki}$, but there seem to be no general results available.

THEOREM 1. Let $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ and $N/n \rightarrow 0$. If $\sum d_i^2 i^{2m+2}/i! < \infty$, and $N^m/n^{m-1} \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(N^m/n^{m-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n (c_{\rho_{nj}} - Ec_{\rho_{nj}}) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = (m(c_1 - c_0) - (c_m - c_0))^2/m!.$$

Received April 18, 1978.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Limit theorems, normal, Poisson, degenerate, occupancy numbers.

The mean $Ec_{\rho_{nj}}$ may be replaced by Ec_{τ_n} , where τ_n is a Poisson random variable (rv) with mean N/n .

PROOF. We consider the boxes in groups of size $k_n = [n/N]$, $[x]$ denoting the largest integer $\leq x$. There are $l_n = [n/k_n]$ ($> N$) groups of this size. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{nj} &= \sum_{i=(j-1)k_n+1}^{jk_n} c_{\rho_{ni}}, & \rho_{nj}^* &= \sum_{i=(j-1)k_n+1}^{jk_n} \rho_{ni}, & 1 \leq j \leq l_n; \\ \mu_{ni} &= E(\beta_{n1} | \rho_{n1}^* = i), & \sigma_{ni}^2 &= \text{Var}(\beta_{n1} | \rho_{n1}^* = i), & 0 \leq i \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

Note $(\beta_{n1}, \dots, \beta_{nl_n})$ are exchangeable rv's, as are $(c_{\rho_{n1}}, \dots, c_{\rho_{nN}})$.

LEMMA 1. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ni} &= k_n c_0 + i(c_1 - c_0) + \binom{i}{m} (c_m - c_0 - m(c_1 - c_0)) / k_n^{m-1} + o(1/k_n^m), \\ \sigma_{ni}^2 &= 0 \quad i < m \\ &= \binom{i}{m} (c_m - c_0 - m(c_1 - c_0))^2 / k_n^{m-1} + o(1/k_n^m) \quad i \geq m. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If we can prove the lemma when $c_0 = 0$, then the general case follows trivially by considering $c'_i = c_i - c_0$. Indeed the same remark applies to Theorem 1. So for the rest of this section, we will assume $c_0 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{ni} &= k_n E(c_{\rho_{n1}} | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \\ &= k_n \left(c_1 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} j \binom{i}{j} k_n^{-j} (1 - 1/k_n)^{i-j} + \sum_{j=m}^i c_j \binom{i}{j} k_n^{-j} (1 - 1/k_n)^{i-j} \right) \\ &= k_n c_1 E(\rho_{n1} I(\rho_{n1} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) + c_m \binom{i}{m} / k_n^{m-1} + o(1/k_n^m); \end{aligned}$$

we take $\binom{i}{m} = 0$ if $i < m$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(\rho_{n1} I(\rho_{n1} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) &= i/k_n - E(\rho_{n1} I(\rho_{n1} \geq m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \\ &= i/k_n - m \binom{i}{m} / k_n^m + o(1/k_n^{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

the first part of the lemma now follows.

Next we note

$$\begin{aligned} E(c_{\rho_{n1}} c_{\rho_{n2}} | \rho_{n1}^* = i) &= c_1^2 E(\rho_{n1} \rho_{n2} I(\rho_{n1}, \rho_{n2} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \\ &\quad + 2c_1 c_m (i - m) \binom{i}{m} / k_n^{m+1} + o(1/k_n^{m+2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E(c_{\rho_{n1}}^2 | \rho_{n1}^* = i) = c_1^2 E(\rho_{n1}^2 I(\rho_{n1} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) + c_m^2 \binom{i}{m} / k_n^m + o(1/k_n^{m+1}).$$

If $i < m$, then $\beta_{n1} = ic_1$ and σ_{ni}^2 vanishes. If $i \geq m$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ni}^2 &= k_n \left(E(c_{\rho_{n1}}^2 | \rho_{n1}^* = i) - E(c_{\rho_{n1}} c_{\rho_{n2}} | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \right) \\ &\quad + k_n^2 \left(E(c_{\rho_{n1}} c_{\rho_{n2}} | \rho_{n1}^* = i) - E^2(c_{\rho_{n1}} | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \right) \\ &= c_1^2 \text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \rho_{nj} I(\rho_{nj} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \\ &\quad + k_n^{-m+1} \binom{i}{m} (c_m^2 + 2c_1 c_m (i - m) - 2c_1 c_m i) + O(k_n^{-m})\end{aligned}$$

from the above results. Now

$$\text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \rho_{nj} I(\rho_{nj} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) = \text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \rho_{nj} I(\rho_{nj} \geq m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i)$$

and it is easy to check that

$$E(\rho_{n1}^2 I(\rho_{n1} \geq m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) = m^2 k_n^{-m} \binom{i}{m} + O(k_n^{-m-1}),$$

$$E(\rho_{n1} \rho_{n2} I(\rho_{n1}, \rho_{n2} \geq m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) = \frac{m^2 i!}{k_n^{2m} (m!)^2 (i - 2m)!} + O(1/k_n^{2m+1}).$$

Combining these with earlier results gives

$$\text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \rho_{nj} I(\rho_{nj} < m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) = m^2 k_n^{-m+1} \binom{i}{m} + O(1/k_n^m),$$

and the second part of the lemma follows.

REMARK. Examination of the proof of this lemma shows that the order term in the expansion of μ_{ni} is dominated by $2d_i i^{(m+1)}/k_n^m$.

We now define a random function

$$X_n(t) = l_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor} (\beta_{nj} - E\beta_{nj}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

If $(\delta_{i1}^{(n)}, \delta_{i2}^{(n)}, \dots)$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) rv's and $P(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} \leq x) = P(\beta_{n1} \leq x | \rho_{n1}^* = i)$, then $E(\delta_{i1}^{(n)}) = \mu_{ni}$, $\text{Var}(\delta_{i1}^{(n)}) = \sigma_{ni}^2$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, write

$$\begin{aligned}X_n^{(i)}(t) &= l_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor} (\delta_{ij}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}), \\ \Omega_n^{(i)}(t) &= l_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor} I(\rho_{nj}^* = i);\end{aligned}$$

put

$$Y_n(t) = l_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{i=0}^N \mu_{ni} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor} I(\rho_{nj}^* = i) - \lfloor l_n t \rfloor E\beta_{n1}).$$

Then the finite dimensional distributions of X_n coincide with those of

$$\sum_{i=0}^N X_n^{(i)} \circ \Omega_n^{(i)} + Y_n.$$

Let \Rightarrow denote weak convergence in (D, d) , i.e., in the space of right-continuous functions with left limits, endowed with the Skorokhod topology.

LEMMA 2. *If $i \geq m$, then*

$$\sigma_{ni}^{-1} X_n^{(i)} \Rightarrow W^{(i)},$$

where $W^{(i)}$ is a standard Brownian motion; $W^{(i)}$ and $W^{(j)}$ are independent for $i \neq j$.

PROOF. According to, e.g. McLeish (1974), we need only check

$$E \frac{(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni})^2}{\sigma_{ni}^2} I \left(\left(\frac{\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}}{l_n \sigma_{ni}^2} \right)^2 > \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. But $|\delta_{i1}^{(n)}| \leq i \sum_{j=1}^i |c_j|$, so this Lindeberg quantity vanishes for sufficiently large n so long as $l_n \sigma_{ni}^2 \rightarrow \infty$, which in view of Lemma 1 is equivalent to $n/k_n^m \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $N^m/n^{m-1} \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 3. Write $\Omega_n^{(i)}(t) = e^{-1}t/i!$. Then $\Omega_n^{(i)} \Rightarrow \Omega^{(i)}$.

PROOF. Take $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_q = 1$. Then

$$P(\max_{0 \leq j \leq q} |\Omega_n^{(i)}(t_j) - \Omega^{(i)}(t_j)| > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{j=0}^q P(|\Omega_n^{(i)}(t_j) - \Omega^{(i)}(t_j)| > \varepsilon),$$

and an argument based on Chebyshev's inequality shows that each member of this finite sum $\rightarrow 0$ (note $\text{Cov}(I(\rho_{n1}^* = i), I(\rho_{n2}^* = i)) \rightarrow 0$). Thus the finite-dimensional distributions of $\Omega_n^{(i)}$ converge as required. If $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, then

$$E |R_n^{(i)}(t) - R_n^{(i)}(t_1)| |R_n^{(i)}(t_2) - R_n^{(i)}(t)| \leq E^{\frac{1}{2}}(R_n^{(i)}(t) - R_n^{(i)}(t_1))^2 \times E^{\frac{1}{2}}(R_n^{(i)}(t_2) - R_n^{(i)}(t))^2,$$

where $R_n^{(i)} = \Omega_n^{(i)} - \Omega^{(i)}$, and the right-hand side $\rightarrow 0$ since, for example,

$$E(R_n^{(i)}(t) - R_n^{(i)}(t_1))^2 = l_n^{-2} E \left(\sum_{j=\lfloor l_n t_1 \rfloor}^{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor} I(\rho_{nj}^* = i) - \frac{\lfloor l_n t \rfloor - \lfloor l_n t_1 \rfloor}{i! e} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

The lemma now follows from, e.g. Billingsley (1968, page 128).

LEMMA 4. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$k_n^{(m-1)/2} (X_n(1) - Y_n(1)) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

PROOF. Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, and Theorem 4.2 of Serfozo (1973), imply

$$k_n^{(m-1)/2} \sum_{i=0}^N X_n^{(i)} \circ \Omega_n^{(i)} \Rightarrow \sum \sigma_i W^{(i)} \circ \Omega^{(i)},$$

where

$$\sigma_i^2 = \binom{i}{m} (mc_1 - c_m)^2$$

(note Serfozo (1973, Section 5) and Billingsley (1968, Section 17)). The lemma follows on taking $t = 1$.

LEMMA 5. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$k_n^{(m-1)/2} X_n(1) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{D}} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

PROOF. In view of Lemma 4, it suffices to show $k_n^{(m-1)/2} Y_n(1) \rightarrow_p 0$, or a fortiori,

$$(1) \quad k_n^{m-1} N^{-1} \text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^l \mu_{n\rho_{nj}^*}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which we now prove. Write $a_n = Nk_n/n$; note $a_n \leq 1$. Routine analysis shows that if $i \leq N/2$,

$$\left| P(\rho_{n1}^* = i) - \frac{a_n^i e^{-a_n}}{i!} \right| \leq \frac{q(i)}{i!N},$$

where $q(i)$ is a quadratic in i , and for $i > N/2$,

$$P(\rho_{n1}^* = i) \leq a_n^i e^{-a_n} / i!$$

once $N > 4$, in which case, therefore,

$$\left| E\mu_{n\rho_{n1}^*}^2 - \sum_{i \leq N/2} \mu_{ni}^2 a_n^i \frac{e^{-a_n}}{i!} (1 + N^{-1}q(i)) \right| \leq \sum_{i > N/2} \mu_{ni}^2 a_n^i \frac{e^{-a_n}}{i!}.$$

The result

$$(2) \quad E\mu_{n\rho_{n1}^*}^2 = \sum \mu_{ni}^2 a_n^i e^{-a_n} / i! + 0(1/N)$$

now follows so long as $\sum i^2 \mu_{ni}^2 / i! = 0(1)$. Conditional on $(\rho_{n1}^* = i)$, β_{n1} is of the form $a_1 c_1 + \dots + a_i c_i$, where $\sum_{j=1}^i j a_j = i$. Thus

$$|\mu_{ni}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i j a_j d_i = i d_i,$$

so that (2) is true if $\sum i^4 d_i^2 / i! < \infty$.

Similar arguments show that

$$E\mu_{n\rho_{n1}^*} \mu_{n\rho_{n2}^*} = (\sum \mu_{ni} a_n^i e^{-a_n} / i!)^2 + 0(1/N)$$

and

$$\text{Cov}(\mu_{n\rho_{n1}^*}, \mu_{n\rho_{n2}^*}) = -N^{-1} (\sum \mu_{ni} a_n^i e^{-a_n} (i - a_n) / i!)^2 + 0(1/N^2).$$

Using these two equations together with (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_{n\rho_{nj}^*} &= l_n (\sum \mu_{ni}^2 a_n^i e^{-a_n} / i! - (\sum \mu_{ni} a_n^i e^{-a_n} / i!)^2) \\ &\quad - l_n^2 N^{-1} (\sum \mu_{ni} a_n^i (i - a_n) e^{-a_n} / i!)^2 + 0(1). \end{aligned}$$

According to the remark after Lemma 1, μ_{ni} is of the form

$$\mu_{ni} = i c_1 + k_n^{-m+1} \binom{i}{m} \beta + k_n^{-m} \omega_{ni},$$

with $\beta = c_m - m c_1$, $|\omega_{ni}| \leq 2 d_i i^{(m+1)}$. Thus tedious calculations show that, subject to $\sum \omega_{ni}^2 / i! = 0(1)$,

$$\text{Var} \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_{n\rho_{nj}^*} = 0(N/k_n^m) + 0(1),$$

and since $\sum d_i^2 i^{2m+2} / i! < \infty$ by assumption, (1) and the lemma now follow.

LEMMA 6. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(N^m / n^{m-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=k_n l_n + 1}^n (c_{\rho_{nj}} - E c_{\rho_{nj}}) \rightarrow_p 0.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} E|c_{\rho_{n1}}| &\leq N|c_1|/n + \sum_{i=2}^N |c_i|(N/n)^i/i! \\ &\leq N|c_1|/n + (N/n)^2 \sum_{i=2}^N i d_i/i! \quad \text{once } N \leq n \\ &= O(N/n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E|\sum_{j=k_n l_n + 1}^n (c_{\rho_{nj}} - E c_{\rho_{nj}})| < 2k_n E|c_{\rho_{n1}}| = O(1),$$

and the lemma follows.

The main part of Theorem 1 follows easily from Lemmas 5 and 6. As for the mean, routine calculations based on

$$E c_{\rho_{n1}} = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \binom{N}{j} n^{-j} (1 - 1/n)^{N-j}$$

show that $E c_{\rho_{n1}} = E c_{\tau_n} + O(1/n)$.

2. Poisson convergence. The result of this section appears, in case $c_i = \delta_{ki}$, in Békéssy (1963); more extensive work on this case has been done by V. F. Kolchin (see Johnson and Kotz (1977) for references).

THEOREM 2. *If $n, N \rightarrow \infty, N^m/n^{m-1} \rightarrow A, 0 < A < \infty$, and $\sum d_i^{2i^{2m+2}}/i! < \infty$, then*

$$\{c_m - c_0 - m(c_1 - c_0)\}^{-1} \{ \sum_{j=1}^n (c_{\rho_{nj}} - c_0) - N(c_1 - c_0) \} \rightarrow_0 P(A/m!),$$

where $P(\lambda)$ is a Poisson rv with mean λ .

PROOF. The proof follows roughly the lines of that of Theorem 1. We again assume without loss of generality that $c_0 = 0$. We now divide the boxes into groups of size $k_n = [n/BN]$, where $B \geq 1$ will be specified subsequently. The quantities $l_n, \beta_{nj}, \rho_{nj}^*, \mu_{ni}, \sigma_{ni}^2$ are then defined in terms of this new k_n just as in the previous section. We observe that the statement and proof of Lemma 1 remain unchanged. Furthermore, if $\Omega_n^{(i)}$ is defined in terms of the new k_n , then Lemma 3 continues to hold with

$$\Omega^{(i)}(t) = t e^{-1/B} B^{-i} / i!.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l_n t} (\beta_{nj} - E\beta_{nj}), \\ X_n^{(i)}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l_n t} (\delta_{ij}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}), \\ Y_n(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{l_n t} (\mu_{n\rho_{nj}^*} - E\mu_{n\rho_{nj}^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, as in the previous section, X_n has the same finite dimensional distributions as $\sum_{i=0}^N X_n^{(i)} \circ \Omega_n^{(i)} + Y_n$.

LEMMA 7. For $i \geq m$,

$$(c_m - mc_1)^{-1} X_n^{(i)} \Rightarrow V^{(i)},$$

where $(V^{(i)}(t) + \lambda_i t, 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is a Poisson process, i.e., a process with independent increments and with

$$P(V^{(i)}(t) + \lambda_i t = k) = (\lambda_i t)^k e^{-\lambda_i t} / k!,$$

$$\lambda_i = AB^m \binom{i}{m}.$$

PROOF. Since $X_n^{(i)}$ is composed of i.i.d. rv's which are asymptotically negligible, it is necessary only to show that $(c_m - mc_1)^{-1} X_n^{(i)}(1)$ converges in distribution to $P\left(AB^m \binom{i}{m}\right)$ (see Prohorov (1956, page 197)). According to Brown and Eagleson (1971), sufficient conditions for such convergence are that

$$l_n E(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni})^2 / (c_m - mc_1)^2 \rightarrow AB^m \binom{i}{m},$$

and that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(3) \quad l_n E(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni})^2 I\left(\left|\frac{\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}}{c_m - mc_1} - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

The first of these conditions follows directly from Lemma 1. The indicator in (3) is bounded by

$$I(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} = ic_1) + I\left(\left|\frac{\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}}{c_m - mc_1} - 1\right| > \varepsilon, \delta_{i1}^{(n)} = c_m + (i - m)c_1\right)$$

$$+ I(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} \neq ic_1, \delta_{i1}^{(n)} \neq c_m + (i - m)c_1).$$

The second of these indicators vanishes for all sufficiently large values of n because of the asymptotic behaviour of μ_{ni} . Thus for all large n ,

$$E(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni})^2 I\left(\left|\frac{\delta_{i1}^{(n)} - \mu_{ni}}{c_m - mc_1} - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq 2 \binom{i}{m}^2 (c_m - mc_1)^2 / k_n^{2m-2} + 4 \left(i \sum_{j=1}^i |c_j|\right)^2 P(\delta_{i1}^{(n)} \neq ic_1, \neq c_m + (i - m)c_1)$$

and since this last probability is not greater than

$$P(\cup_{j=1}^k (\rho_{nj} > m) | \rho_{n1}^* = i) \leq k_n P(\rho_{n1} > m | \rho_{n1}^* = i)$$

$$= O(k_n^{-m}),$$

(3) now follows. Let $\bar{P}(\lambda) = P(\lambda) - \lambda$.

LEMMA 8. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(c_m - mc_1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^N X_n^{(i)} \circ \Omega_n^{(i)}(1) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{Q}} \bar{P}(A/m!).$$

PROOF. c.f. the proof of Lemma 4.

LEMMA 9. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{Var} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{n\rho_j^*} \leq c_1^2/B + 0(N/n).$$

PROOF. The result follows easily from the ‘tedious calculations’ involved in proving Lemma 5.

LEMMA 10. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$E|\sum_{j=k_n/n+1}^n (c_{\rho_j} - Ec_{\rho_j})| \leq 2|c_1|/B + 0(N/n).$$

PROOF. cf. the proof of Lemma 6.

We come now to the proof of the first part of Theorem 2. Write

$$S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (c_{\rho_j} - Ec_{\rho_j}) / (c_m - mc_1).$$

Lemmas 8, 9 and 10, together with the discussion preceding Lemma 7, show that

$$S_n = Z_{n1} + Z_{n2} + Z_{n3},$$

where $Z_{n1} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{D}} \bar{P}(A/m!)$, $EZ_{ni} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\text{Var } Z_{n2} \leq c_1^2 B^{-1} (c_m - mc_1)^{-2} + 0(N/n),$$

and

$$E|Z_{n3}| \leq 2|c_1|B^{-1}|c_m - mc_1|^{-1} + 0(N/n).$$

If $c_1 = 0$, the first part of Theorem 2 follows easily (with $B = 1$, say). If $c_1 \neq 0$, we argue as follows. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose B so large that for some integer n_B , $\text{Var } Z_{n2} < \epsilon^3$ and $E|Z_{n3}| < \epsilon^2$ for $n > n_B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_n \leq x) &\leq P(S_n \leq x, |Z_{n2}| < \epsilon, |Z_{n3}| < \epsilon) + P(|Z_{n2}| \geq \epsilon) + P(|Z_{n3}| \geq \epsilon) \\ &\leq P(Z_{n1} \leq x + 2\epsilon) + 2\epsilon \quad \text{if } n > n_B \\ &\leq F(x + 3\epsilon) + 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large n , where F is the distribution function of $\bar{P}(A/m!)$. Similarly,

$$F(x - 3\epsilon) - \epsilon \leq P(Z_{n1} \leq x - 2\epsilon) \leq P(S_n \leq x) + 4\epsilon$$

for all large n , and the convergence in distribution of S_n now follows. Theorem 2 now follows from the result (still assuming $c_0 = 0$)

$$Ec_{\rho_n} = n^{-1} \left(Nc_1 + \frac{A}{m!} (c_m - mc_1) \right) + o(1/n),$$

which follows in turn after some algebra from

$$Ec_{\rho_n} = c_1 E\rho_{n1} I(\rho_{n1} < m) + c_m \binom{N}{m} n^{-m} (1 - 1/n)^{N-m} + o(N/n)^{m+1}.$$

3. Degenerate convergence.

THEOREM 3. If $n, N \rightarrow \infty$, $N^m/n^{m-1} \rightarrow 0$, then

$$P\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{\rho_j} = nc_0 + N(c_1 - c_0)\right) \rightarrow 1.$$

PROOF. Once more, we assume without loss of generality that $c_0 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{\rho_{nj}} \neq Nc_1\right) &= P\left(\cup_{j=1}^n (\rho_{nj} \geq m)\right) \\ &\leq nP(\rho_{n1} \geq m). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} P(\rho_{n1} < m) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{N}{j} n^{-j} (1 - 1/n)^{N-j} \\ &= (1 - 1/n)^N \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (N/n)^j / j! + o(1/n) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!j!} (N/n)^{i+j} + o(1/n) \\ &= 1 + o(N/n)^m + o(1/n) \\ &= 1 + o(1/n), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$P\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{\rho_{nj}} \neq Nc_1\right) = o(1).$$

REFERENCES

- BÉKÉSSY, A. (1963). On classical occupancy problems I. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.* **8** 59–71.
- BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York.
- BROWN, B. M. and EAGLESON, G. K. (1971). Martingale convergence to infinitely divisible laws with finite variances. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **162** 449–453.
- HARRIS, B. and PARK, C. J. (1971). The distribution of linear combinations of the sample occupancy numbers. *Indag. Math.* **33** 121–134.
- JOHNSON, N. L. and KOTZ, S. (1977). *Urn Models and Their Application*. Wiley, New York.
- MCLEISH, D. L. (1974). Dependent central limit theorems and invariance principles. *Ann. Probability* **2** 620–628.
- PROHOROV, YU. V. (1956). Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. *Theor. Probability Appl.* **1** 157–214.
- QUINE, M. P. (1979). A functional central limit theorem for a generalized occupancy problem. *Stochastic Process Appl.* **9**.
- RÉNYI, A. (1962). Three new proofs and a generalisation of a theorem of Irving Weiss. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.* **7** 203–214.
- SERFOZO, R. F. (1973). Weak convergence of superpositions of randomly selected partial sums. *Ann. Probability* **1** 1044–1056.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY
SYDNEY, N.S.W. 2006
AUSTRALIA