

## ON THE EXPANSION FOR EXPECTED SAMPLE SIZE IN NON-LINEAR RENEWAL THEORY

BY CHARLES HAGWOOD AND MICHAEL WOODROOFE<sup>1</sup>

*Dartmouth College and University of Michigan*

An asymptotic expansion for the expected sample size in the non-linear renewal theorem is shown to hold under an alternative set of regularity conditions. The alternative set requires fewer moments than existing conditions in an important special case, but is more restrictive in other ways.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with finite, positive mean and variance  $0 < \mu, \sigma^2 < \infty$ , and let  $S_n, n \geq 0$ , denote the random walk  $S_0 = 0$  and  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n \geq 1$ . Further, let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  be random variables for which  $\xi_n$  is independent of the sequence  $X_k, k > n$ , for every  $n \geq 1$ , and consider the process

$$Z_n = S_n + \xi_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let

$$\tau_a = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n > a\},$$

$$t_a = \inf\{n \geq 1 : Z_n > a\},$$

and

$$R_a = Z_{t_a} - a, \quad \text{on } \{t_a < \infty\}, \quad a \geq 0,$$

where the infimum of the empty set is  $\infty$ , by convention. Observe that  $\tau = \tau_0$  is the first strict ascending ladder epoch, in the terminology of Feller (1966). It is well known that if  $X_1$  does not have an arithmetic distribution, then  $S_{\tau_n} - a$  has an asymptotic distribution  $H$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$(1) \quad H\{dr\} = (1/E(S_\tau)) P\{S_\tau > r\} dr, \quad r > 0.$$

See, for example, Feller (1966, pages 354-355). Similarly, if  $X_1$  has an arithmetic distribution with span  $d$ , then  $S_{\tau_n} - a$  has a limiting distribution as a through multiple of  $d$ . In this case the limiting distribution  $H$  is discrete and assigns mass  $H\{kd\} = (d/E(S_\tau)) P\{S_\tau \geq kd\}$  to the points  $kd, k \geq 1$ .

Recently, Lai and Siegmund (1977), Hagwood (1980), and Lalley (1980) have given conditions under which  $R_a$  has a limiting distribution. The main result of Lai and Siegmund is stated for reference.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that there is an  $\alpha, \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$  for which*

$$(2) \quad a^{-\alpha} \left( t_a - \frac{a}{\mu} \right) \rightarrow_p 0, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

*and the following condition holds: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  for which*

$$(3) \quad P\{\max_{0 \leq k \leq \delta n^\alpha} |\xi_{n+k} - \xi_n| \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon$$

*for all sufficiently large  $n$ . If  $X$  does not have an arithmetic distribution, then  $R_a$  has the limiting distribution (1) as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Received March 1981; revised May 1981.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation under MCS 7802468.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60K05; secondary 62L10.

Key words and phrases. Non-linear renewal theory, excess over the boundary, ladder epochs and heights, uniform integrability.

Hagwood (1980) and Lalley (1980) obtain related results in the arithmetic case under additional conditions on  $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ . The limiting distribution in the arithmetic case is more complicated than  $H$ , and will not be described.

The Condition (3) is most intuitive in the special case that  $\alpha = 1$ ; for then it requires that  $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ , be uniformly continuous in probability, as in the original formulation of Anscombe's (1952) theorem. Observe that, if  $\alpha = 1$ , then Condition (2) will hold if

$$(4) \quad \max\{|\xi_1|, \dots, |\xi_n|\} = o_p(n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will say that  $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ , are slowly changing iff they satisfy (3) with  $\alpha = 1$  and (4).

In a later paper, Lai and Siegmund (1979) gave conditions under which powers of  $R_a$  are uniformly integrable and the expansion (12) for  $E(t_a)$  is valid. In this note we give an alternative set of conditions under which the expansion for  $E(t_a)$  is valid. We compare these conditions with those of Lai and Siegmund, after proving the result.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma\{(X_k, \xi_k) \ k \leq n\}$  be the sigma-algebra generated by  $(X_k, \xi_k), k \leq n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and observe that  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is independent of the sequence  $X_k, k > n$ , in view of the standing assumptions on  $\xi_k, k \geq 1$ . In the theorem below, we suppose that there are  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable events  $A_n, n \geq 1$ , constants  $f(n), n \geq 1$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable random variables  $V_n, n \geq 1$ , for which:

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\cup_{k=n}^{\infty} A'_k) < \infty;$$

$$(6) \quad \xi_n = f(n) + V_n \quad \text{on } A_n, \quad n \geq 1;$$

$$(7) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n\delta} |f(n+k) - f(n)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(8) \quad \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |V_{n+k}|, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{are uniformly integrable};$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{V_n \leq -n\epsilon\} < \infty, \quad \exists \epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \mu;$$

$$(10) \quad V_n \text{ converges in distribution to a random variable } V, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

and

$$(11) \quad P\{t_a < \epsilon a\} = o(1/a), \quad \exists \epsilon > 0, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Theorem 2 below, the constants  $f(n), n \geq 1$ , are extended to a function on  $[1, \infty)$  by linear interpolation.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that Conditions (5) through (11) are satisfied and that  $V_n, n \geq 1$ , are slowly changing. Then there are events  $B = B_a$  for which  $P(B) \rightarrow 1, R_a I_B, a > 0$ , are uniformly integrable and*

$$(12) \quad E(t_a) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ a + \int_B R_a dP - \left[ f\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right) + E(V) \right] \right\} + o(1), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $X_1$  is non-arithmetic, then  $\int_B R_a dP$  may be replaced by*

$$\rho = E(S_\tau^2)/2E(S_\tau),$$

*the mean of the distribution  $H$ , in (12).*

**PROOFS.** If  $V_n, n \geq 1$ , are slowly changing, then so are  $\xi_n, n \geq 1$ , by (5), (6), and (7). So, the corollary follows directly from Theorem 1 and the uniform integrability asserted in Theorem 2.

In the proof of Theorem 2, we write  $t = t_a$ . By (11) and Lemma 3 below there are  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  for which  $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \infty$  and

$$(13) \quad aP\{t < n_1\} + \int_{t > n_2} t dP \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $n_1 = n_1(a) = [\epsilon_1 a], n_2 = n_2(a) = [\epsilon_2 a]$ , and  $[-]$  denotes the greatest integer which is

less than or equal to  $-$ . Next, let  $N = N(a) = [\mu^{-1}a]$ ; let  $b = b(a) = a - f(N)$ ; and let

$$B = B_a = \{n_1 \leq t \leq n_2, \tau_b > n_1\} \cap \cap_{k=n_1}^{\infty} A_k, \quad a > 0.$$

Then it follows easily from (5), (13), and the standing assumption that  $X_1$  has a finite variance that  $P(B') = o(1/a)$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . So,

$$\int_B S_t dP = \int_B (a + R_a - \xi_t) dP = a + \int_B (R_a - \xi_t) dP + o(1), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

We need

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_B S_t dP &= \mu E(t) + o(1) \\ \int_B \xi_t dP &= f\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right) + E(V) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and the uniform integrability of  $R_a I_B$ ,  $a > 0$ . The first of these assertions follows from Wald's Lemma and (13) by an argument which is nearly identical to the proof of Lemma 4 in Lai and Siegmund (1979). The others are established in Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

LEMMA 1. *Relation (14) holds.*

PROOF. Clearly,  $\xi_t = \xi_N + (\xi_t - \xi_N)$  and

$$\int_B \xi_N dP = f\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right) + E(V) + o(1), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\xi_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are slowly changing,  $t/N \rightarrow 1$  and  $(\xi_t - \xi_N) \rightarrow 0$  in probability. So, it suffices to show that  $(\xi_t - \xi_N)I_B$  are uniformly integrable; and this follows easily from (7), (8), and

$$|\xi_t - \xi_N|I_B \leq \max_{n_1 \leq k \leq n_2} |f(k) - f(N)| + 2\max_{n_1 \leq k \leq n_2} |V_k|.$$

Observe that the proof of Lemma 1 shows that the random variables  $[\xi_t - f(N)]I_B = V_N I_B + (\xi_t - \xi_N)I_B$ ,  $a > 0$ , are uniformly integrable too. In fact,

$$M_a = \max_{n_1 \leq k \leq n_2} |\xi_k - f(N)|, \quad a > 0$$

are uniformly integrable.

LEMMA 2.  *$R_a I_B$ ,  $a > 0$ , are uniformly integrable.*

PROOF. Since  $[\xi_t - f(N)]I_B$ ,  $a > 0$ , are uniformly integrable, it suffices to show the uniform integrability of the positive parts of

$$R_a^* = [R_a - (\xi_t - f(N))]I_B = (S_t - b)I_B.$$

Let

$$C = C_a(x) = \{M_a \leq x\}, \quad a, x > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\{R_a^* > 2x, BC\} &= \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} P\{t = n, S_n > b + 2x, BC\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} P\{t \geq n, S_n > b + 2x, BC\}, \quad a, x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $B$  and  $C$  both occur and if  $t \geq n$ , then  $\tau_{b+x} \geq n$  for all  $x > 0$ ; for  $B$  requires  $\tau_{b+x} \geq \tau_b \geq n_1$ , and  $C\{t \geq n\}$  requires  $S_k = Z_k - \xi_k = Z_k - f(N) - [\xi_k - f(N)] \geq a - f(N) + x$

=  $b + x$  for  $n_1 \leq k \leq n_2$ . So, for  $n_1 \leq n \leq n_2$ ,

$$P\{t \geq n, S_n > b + 2x, BC\} \leq P\{\tau_{b+x} \geq n, S_n > (b + x) + x, BC\} \\ \leq P\{\tau_{b+x} = n, S_n - (b + x) > x\};$$

and

$$P\{R_a^* > 2x, BC\} \leq \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} P\{\tau_{b+x} = n, S_n - (b + x) > x\} \\ \leq P\{S_{\tau_{b+x}} - (b + x) > x\} \leq \sup_{c>0} P\{S_{\tau_c} - c > x\}$$

for  $x > 0$ . The latter function is integrable w.r.t  $x$  over  $(0, \infty)$ , by Theorem 4 of Lorden (1970) or a careful reading of the proof of Corollary 1 in Lai and Siegmund (1979). The uniform integrability of  $R_a^*$  now follows easily from that of  $M_a$ ,  $a > 0$ , and the relation  $P\{R_a^* > 2x, B\} \leq P\{R_a^* > 2x, BC\} + P\{M_a > x\}$ ,  $a, x > 0$ .

LEMMA 3. *There are  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  for which  $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \infty$  and (13) holds.*

PROOF. The existence of  $\epsilon_1$  for which  $aP\{t < \epsilon_1 a\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$  follows directly from (11). To establish the existence of  $\epsilon_2$ , let  $\epsilon$  be as in (9),  $0 < \epsilon < \mu$ ; let  $\delta > 0$  be so small that  $\epsilon + \delta < \mu$ ; and let  $\epsilon_2 = 2/(\mu - \epsilon - \delta)$ . Then, for  $n > K_a = [\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2 a]$ ,  $a - n\mu < -n(\epsilon + \delta)$ , so that

$$(15) \quad P\{t > n\} \leq P\{S_n - n\mu + \xi_n < a - n\mu\} \leq P\{S_n - n\mu < -n\delta\} + P\{\xi_n < -n\epsilon\}.$$

Denote the right side of (15) by  $\gamma_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\gamma_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are summable by (9) and the standing assumption that  $X_1$  has a finite variance. See, for example, Baum and Katz (1965). It follows that

$$\int_{t>2K_a} t dP \leq 2 \int_{t>2K_a} (t - K_a) dP \leq 2 \sum_{n=K_a}^{\infty} \gamma_n = o(1), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The Condition (7) of Theorem 2 is clearly stronger than the corresponding Condition (13) of Lai and Siegmund. On the other hand, Theorem 2 imposes fewer moment conditions than Theorem 3 of Lai and Siegmund (1979)—at least, in the context of the following important special case.

EXAMPLE. *First Exit From a Square Root Boundary.* Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with finite mean  $\nu \neq 0$  and finite positive variance; and let

$$t_a = \inf\{n \geq 1 : |Y_1 + \dots + Y_n| > \sqrt{2an}\}, \quad a > 0.$$

Then  $t_a$  has the right form with  $Z_n = \frac{1}{2}n\bar{Y}_n^2$  and  $\bar{Y}_n = (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)/n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Now,  $Z_n$  may be written in the form  $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ , where

$$S_n = \frac{1}{2}n\nu^2 + n\nu(\bar{Y}_n - \nu) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = \frac{1}{2}n(\bar{Y}_n - \nu)^2, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to check that the standing assumptions and Conditions (3) through (10) are satisfied, under the sole assumption that  $E(Y_1^2) < \infty$ . Indeed, letting  $A_n$  be the entire sample space and  $f(n) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , Conditions (5), (6), (7), and (9) are clearly satisfied. Condition (4) follows from the S.L.L.N.; the asymptotic distribution of  $V_n = \xi_n$  is a multiple of Chi squared in (10); and Conditions (3) and (8) follows from standard maximal inequalities.

Condition (11) is satisfied if  $E|Y_1|^\alpha < \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 2$ . To see this first observe that  $\sqrt{2an} - n\nu > \sqrt{an}$  for all  $n < \epsilon a$  for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus, for such  $\epsilon$ ,  $t < \epsilon a$  implies that

$$B_k = \{\max_{n \leq 2^k} |Y_1 + \dots + Y_n - n\nu| > \sqrt{(a2^{k-1})}\}$$

occurs for some  $k \leq K_a = [\log_2(\epsilon a) + 1]$ . By the submartingale inequality,

$$(16) \quad P(B_k) \leq \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\alpha/2} E |2^{-k/2}(Y_1 + \dots + Y_{2^k} - 2^k \nu)|^\alpha, \quad k \geq 1;$$

and, by Theorem 3 of von Bahr (1965), the expectation in (16) remains bounded as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . That condition (11) is satisfied follows immediately.

Thus, if  $E |Y_1|^\alpha < \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 2$ , then the expansion (12) holds for  $t_a$ ; and if  $X_1 = \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 + \nu(Y_1 - \nu)$  has a non-arithmetic distribution, then  $\rho$  may be substituted for  $\int_B R_a dP$ . This example has been considered in detail by Woodroffe (1976) and Lai and Siegmund (1979). Theorem 3 of Lai and Siegmund appears to require that  $Y_1$  have a fourth moment.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ANSCOMBE, F. J. (1952). Large sample theory of sequential estimation. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **48** 600–607.
- [2] BAUM, L. and KATZ, M. (1965). Convergence rates in the law of large numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** 108–123.
- [3] FELLER, WILLIAM (1966). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. 2*. Wiley, New York.
- [4] HAGWOOD, C. (1980). A non-linear renewal theorem for discrete random variables. *Comm. Statist.* **A9** No. 16, 1677–1698.
- [5] LAI, T. L. and SIEGMUND, D. (1977). A non-linear renewal theory with applications to sequential analysis, I. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 946–954.
- [6] LAI, T. L. and SIEGMUND, D. (1979). Non-linear renewal theory with applications to sequential analysis II. *Ann. Statist.* **7** 60–76.
- [7] LALLEY, S. (1980). *Repeated likelihood ratio tests for curved exponential families*. Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University.
- [8] LORDEN, G. (1970). On the excess over the boundary. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 520–526.
- [9] WOODROOFE, M. (1976). A renewal theorem for curved boundaries and moments of first passage times. *Ann. Probability* **4** 67–80.
- [10] VON BAHR, B. (1965). On the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 808–818.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
MATH-ASTRONOMY BLDG., CABELL DR.  
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA  
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22903

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
1447 MASON HALL  
419 SOUTH STATE ST.  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109